# CATEGORY THEORY MIDLANDS GRADUATE SCHOOL 2023

## EXERCISE 3&4 (4 AND 5 APRIL)

#### NICOLAI KRAUS

### REMINDER

**Definition 1** (functor). Given categories C and D, a functor  $F: C \to D$  consists of:

- a function between the object parts,  $F_0: \mathcal{C}_0 \to \mathcal{D}_0$ ; however, the index is often omitted and one only writes FX for  $F_0(X)$ .
- for any two objects  $X, Y \in C_0$ , a function  $F_{X,Y}$  from C(X,Y) to D(FX,FY); again, one usually just writes Fg instead of  $F_{X,Y}(g)$  for  $g \in C(X,Y)$

such that:

- Identities are preserves:  $F(id_X) = id_{FX}$
- Composition is preserved:  $F(g \circ f) = Fg \circ Ff$ .

#### Exercise 5: Functors preserving structure

By definition, a functor between categories preserves identities and compositions. What else does it preserve?

- **a.** Show that every functor preserves isomorphisms. This means that, if  $F: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$  is a functor and  $k \in \mathcal{C}(X,Y)$  is an isomorphism, then  $Fk \in \mathcal{D}(FX,FY)$  is an isomorphism.
- **b.** Assume we have a functor  $F: \mathcal{C} \to C$  and an object  $A \in \mathcal{C}_0$  such that, for every  $X \in \mathcal{C}_0$ , the object FX is a product of A and X. We can write  $(A \times \_)$  as a more suggestive name for this functor F. Show that this functor preserves coproducts.
- c. Find an example of a functor that preserves neither products nor coproducts.

EXERCISE 6 (CONTINUES EXERCISE 1 FROM SUNDAY): FUNCTORS OUT OF THE FREE CATEGORY ON A DIRECTED MULTIGRAPH

For the definitions, please see yesterday's exercise sheet.

Let G = (V, E) be a directed multigraph and  $\mathcal{D}$  be a category. Show that the collection of functors  $\mathcal{F}_G \to \mathcal{D}$  is in bijection with the collection of pairs (s, t), where  $s: V \to \mathcal{D}_0$  is a function and t chooses, for each pair  $a, b \in V$  and each edge  $e \in E(a, b)$ , a morphism in  $\mathcal{D}(s(a), s(b))$ .

### EXERCISE 7: THE CATEGORY CAT

The goal of this exercise is to construct CAT, the "category of all categories". The objects of CAT are categories. The morphisms between  $\mathcal C$  and  $\mathcal D$  are simply the functors from  $\mathcal C$  to  $\mathcal D$ . Construct the remaining structure and prove the laws required to make CAT a category.

For the purpose of this exercise, you can safely ignore this issue.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Note that CAT cannot be an object of CAT, which would lead to Russel's paradox. A "smallness" condition is needed to avoid this. One usually requires that the objects of CAT are categories that have sets of objects, while the objects of CAT itself form a proper class. In type theory, this would correspond to saying that the objects of CAT live in the first universe, while CAT itself lives in the second.