

# Categories as Semicategories with Identities

Joshua Chen, Tom de Jong, Nicolai Kraus, and Stiéphen Pradal

University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

{joshua.chen, tom.dejong, nicolai.kraus, stiephen.pradal}@nottingham.ac.uk

**Motivation** The development of category theory inside type theory has a long history, and many libraries for proof assistants such as Agda or Coq contain results on categories [4, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14]. In a theory without UIP, in particular in HoTT, the standard theory of 1-categories is often not satisfactory and more general (i.e., higher) notions of categories become relevant. For example, the universe of types and functions is adequately described as an  $(\infty, 1)$ -category. Unsurprisingly, already writing down the definition of such a higher category is involved and a careful approach to organising the huge number of components is required.<sup>1</sup>

One approach to defining higher categories is to first consider the composition structure (i.e., morphisms, composition, associativity, Mac Lane’s pentagon coherence, ...). This leads to a notion of higher semicategory. We then want to describe the higher categories as those higher semicategories that happen to have identities. If we can formulate “having identities” as a propositional property, the higher categories become a subtype of the higher semicategories.

In this talk, we present several different (equivalent) definitions of the property “having identities”. Instead of higher categories, we work with a 1-categorical notion of semicategory, a “wild” (untruncated) and a priori ill-behaved concept that generalises both “honest” semicategories (with set-truncated morphism types) and  $(\infty, 1)$ -semicategories (with all coherences). The fact that this is possible is very fortunate as it simplifies the situation significantly compared to the  $\infty$ -categorical setting, but it of course leads to the question in which sense our identity structures are “correct” for  $(\infty, 1)$ -categories. We discuss this question at the end.

**Notions of identities in wild semicategories** A wild semicategory is a tuple  $(\text{Ob}, \text{hom}, \circ, \alpha)$  where  $\alpha$  witnesses associativity. The attribute *wild* indicates that we do not place a truncation condition on the family  $\text{hom}$ .

**Naive identities** A direct way to define an identity structure is to ask for a function  $\text{id} : \prod_{x:\text{Ob}} \text{hom}(x, x)$  together with identity laws  $\lambda_f : \text{id}_y \circ f = f$  and  $\rho_f : f \circ \text{id}_x = f$ . Since  $\text{hom}$  is not required to be a family of sets, this formulation of *having naive identities* is not a proposition and it does not automatically satisfy the coherences that one would expect of an identity in a higher category, such as  $\lambda_{\text{id}} = \rho_{\text{id}}$ . We write  $\text{Nald}_x$  for the type of triples  $(\text{id}_x, \lambda, \rho)$ .

**Idempotent equivalences** A less direct but more well-behaved definition of an identity structure is to ask for an *idempotent equivalence* on each object ([7]; cf. the *weak units* of [5]). Here, a morphism  $f$  is an equivalence if both pre- and post-composition with  $f$  is an equivalence of types in the usual (HoTT) sense and we write  $\text{eqv}(x, y)$  for the subtype of  $\text{hom}(x, y)$  that are equivalences. A morphism  $f : \text{hom}(x, x)$  is idempotent if  $f \circ f = f$ . Clearly, we would expect an identity morphism to be both an equivalence and idempotent, and it turns out that this expectation can be reversed: an idempotent equivalence is always a naive identity in the above sense. This notion is well-behaved since the type  $\text{IdemEqv} := \prod_{x:\text{Ob}} \Sigma_{i:\text{eqv}(x, x)} (i \circ i = i)$  is a proposition [7].

---

<sup>1</sup>It is a well-known open question, and one of the major unsolved problems of the field, whether homotopy type theory [13] is expressive enough to formulate the definition of an  $(\infty, 1)$ -category such that the universe is an instance. The difficulty is to find a way (or determine that there is no way) to encode the infinite number of morphism levels. 2LTT [1] is a setting in which this can be done. The current abstract is *not* on this issue.

**Harpaz’s identities** Following an idea by Harpaz [3], we can ask that there is an equivalence out of each object  $x$ , that is,  $\Sigma_{y:\text{Ob}} \text{eqv}(x, y)$ . If we want this to be a proposition, we can truncate (i.e., replace  $\Sigma_y$  by  $\exists_y$ ); this variation is still sufficiently strong to derive a naive identity structure. Alternatively, we can ask for the type of outgoing equivalences (the type of tuples  $(y, f, e)$ ) to be contractible. It turns out that this version defines *univalent* identities [2]. We write  $\text{HarpazId} := \Pi_{x:\text{Ob}} \exists_{y:\text{Ob}} \text{eqv}(x, y)$  and  $\text{uHarpazId} := \Pi_{x:\text{Ob}} \text{isContr}(\Sigma_{y:\text{Ob}} \text{eqv}(x, y))$ .

**Identities via (co)slices** In category theory, the identity on  $x$  is the terminal (resp. initial) object in the slice over (resp. the coslice under)  $x$ . Reversing this, we get yet another method to characterise an identity structure in semicategories. Note that wild semicategories are not sufficiently well-behaved to construct slices or coslices as associativity cannot be derived (as explained in e.g. [7]). However, sufficient structure can be constructed to define what it means to be initial or terminal. After unfolding the definition, this leads to the simple definition  $\text{SlicId} := \Pi_{x:\text{Ob}} \|\text{eqv}(x, x)\|$ .

**Equivalence of the above notions** For a semicategory with set-truncated families of morphisms, a naive identity structure is unique if it exists; in other words,  $\text{Nald}$  is a proposition. This is not the case for a wild semicategory, but we could explicitly truncate to get a proposition  $\|\text{Nald}\|$ . By combining results from several papers we can then show:

**Theorem 1.** *For a given wild semicategory, the four types  $\Pi_{x:\text{Ob}} \|\text{Nald}_x\|$ ,  $\text{IdemEqv}$ ,  $\text{HarpazId}$ ,  $\text{SlicId}$  are equivalent propositions.*

*Proof.* Three of the types are explicitly constructed to be propositions. In contrast, it is not automatic that  $\text{IdemEqv}$  is a proposition: While *being an equivalence* is a proposition, the *type* of equivalences is in general not a proposition, and neither is the statement that a morphism is idempotent. The result was shown by the third-named author in [7] and the strategy is to show that, if an identity-like morphism is given, then every idempotent equivalence has to be equal to it. We refer to the formalisation<sup>2</sup> for the details.

- $\Pi_{x:\text{Ob}} \|\text{Nald}_x\| \leftrightarrow \text{IdemEqv}$  [7]: Naive identities are idempotent equivalences and vice versa.
- $\text{HarpazId} \rightarrow \text{IdemEqv}$ : This uses an insight of Harpaz [3] in a type-theoretic setting. Given an equivalence  $f : \text{hom}(x, y)$ , we can apply the inverse of  $(f \circ \_)$  to  $f$  itself, and the result is an idempotent equivalence.
- Finally,  $\text{IdemEqv} \rightarrow \text{SlicId} \rightarrow \text{HarpazId}$  is easy. □

**Discussion** One approach to  $(\infty, 1)$ -semicategories in a type-theoretic setting is to consider type-valued Reedy fibrant presheaves over the category  $\Delta_+$  satisfying the Segal condition [1, 2]. Morally, an identity structure corresponds to the degeneracy maps that are present in  $\Delta$  but not in  $\Delta_+$ . Unfortunately, the strategy of defining strict type-valued presheaves via type families only works for direct categories, which  $\Delta$  is not. Approaches that include an identity structure include the use of a *direct replacement* of  $\Delta$  [6, 8] or *homotopy coherent nerves* [8]; these structures consist of infinite towers of coherences.

An  $(\infty, 1)$ -semicategory  $\mathcal{C}$  has an underlying semicategory  $\mathcal{C}_1$  (by forgetting almost the complete structure). If  $\mathcal{C}$  has an “infinite” identity structure then  $\mathcal{C}_1$  is trivially equipped with naive identities and thus any of the other discussed identity structures (apart from  $\text{uHarpazId}$ , which is stronger). We conjecture that the opposite holds as well; special cases of this expectation are verified in [2]. This conjecture would give us an easy way to construct the complete tower of coherences by checking any of the very easy conditions discussed above.

<sup>2</sup>**Formalisation** — browsable html version: [joshchen.io/agda/semicategories-with-identities/](https://joshchen.io/agda/semicategories-with-identities/); Agda source code: [github.com/jaycech3n/semicategories-with-identities](https://github.com/jaycech3n/semicategories-with-identities)

## References

- [1] Danil Annenkov, Paolo Capriotti, Nicolai Kraus, and Christian Sattler. Two-level type theory and applications. [arXiv\[cs.LG\]:1705.03307](#), 2019.
- [2] Paolo Capriotti and Nicolai Kraus. Univalent higher categories via complete semi-Segal types. *Proceedings of the ACM on Programming Languages*, 2(POPL’18):44:1–44:29, 2017. Full version available at [arXiv:1707.03693](#).
- [3] Yonatan Harpaz. Quasi-unital  $\infty$ -categories. *Algebraic & Geometric Topology*, 15(4):2303–2381, 2015. doi:10.2140/agt.2015.15.2303.
- [4] Jason Z. S. Hu and Jacques Carette. Agda-Categories: Category theory library for Agda. doi:10.1145/3410272, 2021.
- [5] André Joyal and Joachim Kock. Coherence for weak units. *Documenta Mathematica*, 18:71–110, 2013.
- [6] Joachim Kock. Weak identity arrows in higher categories. *International Mathematics Research Papers*, 2006:69163, 2006. doi:10.1155/IMRP/2006/69163.
- [7] Nicolai Kraus. Internal  $\infty$ -categorical models of dependent type theory : Towards 2LTT eating HoTT. In *2021 36th Annual ACM/IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science (LICS)*, pages 1–14, 2021. doi:10.1109/LICS52264.2021.9470667.
- [8] Nicolai Kraus and Christian Sattler. Space-valued diagrams, type-theoretically (extended abstract). [arXiv\[math.LG\]:1704.04543](#), 2017.
- [9] Amélia Liao, Astra Kolomatskaia, and Reed Mullanix. 1lab. Available at <https://1lab.dev/>.
- [10] The mathlib Community. The Lean mathematical library. *9th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Certified Programs and Proofs (CCP’20)*, pages 367–381, 2020. doi:10.1145/3372885.3373824.
- [11] Anders Mörtberg, Evan Cavallo, Felix Cherubini, Max Zeuner, Alex Ljungström, Andrea Vezzosi, et al. A standard library for Cubical Agda. Available at <https://github.com/agda/cubical>, 2018.
- [12] Marco Perone et al. Idris category theory. Available at <https://github.com/statebox/idris-ct>.
- [13] The Univalent Foundations Program. *Homotopy Type Theory: Univalent Foundations of Mathematics*. <http://homotopytypetheory.org/book/>, 2013.
- [14] Vladimir Voevodsky, Benedikt Ahrens, Daniel Grayson, et al. UniMath — a computer-checked library of univalent mathematics. Available at <http://unimath.org>.