# The Union-Closed Sets Conjecture

By Nicolas Blackburn

#### Introduction

The union-closed conjecture is a well known unsolved problem in combinatorial mathematics. In this article, we will give a short proof by induction.

#### **Notations**

Let  $2^X$  denote the power set of X.

Let [n] denote the set  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ .

Let  $(F, \cup)$  denote a union-closed family with |F| > 1. By union-closed we mean that  $\forall A, B \in F, A \cup B \in F$ . When the context is clear we can simply write F.

Let  $G \leq F$  denote a union-closed family G subset of a union-closed family F. We might informally say that G is a sub-family of F to talk about a union-closed subset of F.

Define  $F_x := \{ F_i \in F : x \in F_i \}$  and  $F_{\bar{x}} := \{ F_i \in F : x \notin F_i \}$ .

Let  $\operatorname{Sub}_{F,x,n} := \{G \leq F : |G_x| = n\}$  denote the set of all sub-families G of F where the number of sets in G that contains x is equal to n.

## Theorem

Let  $(F, \cup)$  be a union-closed family.

Then  $\exists x \in [n]$  such that  $|F_x|/|F| \ge 1/2$ .

### Proof

We will proceed by induction. It is easy to verify that it is true for any sub-family  $F \leq 2^{[2]}$ .

Now suppose the union-closed hypothesis is true for all sub-families  $F \leq 2^{[n]}$ .

Take a sub-family  $G \leq 2^{[n+1]}$ . Either  $[n+1] \in G$  or  $[n+1] \notin G$ .

Suppose that  $[n+1] \notin G$ . Then  $G \leq 2^{[n]}$  and by the induction hypothesis the union-closed hypothesis is true.

Now suppose on the contrary that  $[n+1] \in G$ .

If  $G = 2^{[n+1]}$  then  $|G_1|/|G| = 1/2$  and the union-closed hypothesis is true.

If on the contrary,  $G \neq 2^{[n+1]}$ , we can consider without loss of generality that  $|G_1| \geq |G_x|$ , for all x such that  $1 < x \leq n+1$ . Consider the family of sub-families

 $\{\mathbb{G}_0, \mathbb{G}_1, \dots, \mathbb{G}_{2^n-1}\}$  where  $\mathbb{G}_i := \{G \in \operatorname{Sub}_{2^{[n+1]}, 1, 2^n - i} : \forall x \text{ such that } 1 < x \le n+1, |G_1| \ge |G_x| \text{ and } [n+1] \in G\}.$ 

For all G in  $\mathbb{G}_0$  we can see that  $|G_1| = 2^n$  and  $|G_{\bar{1}}| \leq 2^n$ . Therefore  $|G_1|/|G| \geq 1/2$ .

We will make a second induction by supposing the union-closed hypothesis to be true for all  $\mathbb{G}_i$  such that  $0 \le i < 2^n - 1$ .

We remark that we can obtain any family G' in  $\mathbb{G}_{i+1}$  by taking a family G in  $\mathbb{G}_i$  and removing a set from G which contains 1. However, for  $|G'_1| \geq |G'_x|$  to hold for all x such that  $1 < x \leq n+1$ , we must also remove a set from G which contains 2; a set which contains 3; and so on up to a set which contains n+1.

Again if  $[n+1] \notin G'$ , we can conclude by the first induction hypothesis that the union-closed hypothesis is true for G'.

Otherwise we have that  $[n+1] \in G'$ . Therefore to obtain a set G' in  $\mathbb{G}_{i+1}$  from G, we must at least remove a set in  $G_1$  and a set in  $G_{\bar{1}}$ . Thus  $|G'_1|/|G'| \ge (|G_1|-1)/(|G|-2)$  and by the second induction hypothesis  $|G'_1|/|G'| \ge 1/2$ .

We have covered all the cases and this concludes the proof.  $\Box$