The Union-Closed Sets Conjecture

By Nicolas Blackburn

Plan

Introduction

The union-closed conjecture is a well known unsolved problem in combinatorial mathematics.

Notations

Let 2^X denote the power set of X.

Let $[n]$ denote a set of n elements $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$. (It would be better to use the usual definition $[n] := \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$)

Let $(F, \cup)$ denote a union-closed family with $|F| > 1$. That is $\forall A, B \in F, A \cup B \in F$. When the context is clear we can simply write F.

Define the universe of a family F as $U(F) := \bigcup_{X \in F} X$.

Let $G \leq F$ denote a union-closed family G subset of a union-closed family F. We might informally say that G is a sub-family of F to talk about a union-closed subset of F.

Define $F_x := \{X \in F : x \in X\}$ and $F_{\bar{x}} := \{X \in F : x \notin X\}$.

Let $\mathrm{Sub}_{F,x,n} := \{G \leq F : |G_x| = n\}$ denote the set of all sub-families G of F where the number of sets in G that contains x is equal to n.

Conjecture

Let $(F, \cup)$ be a union-closed family.

Then $\exists x \in U(F)$ such that $|F_x|/|F| \geq 1/2$.

Proof Attempt 1

> This proof approach failed because the structure of $\{\mathbb{G}_0, \mathbb{G}_1, \ldots, \mathbb{G}_{2^n-1}\}$ is *too loose*. It fails at forcing to remove from G_{x_1} and $G_{\bar{x}_1}$. We need a more constraining structure.

We will proceed by induction. It is easy to verify that it is true for any sub-family $F \leq 2^{[2]}$.

Now suppose the union-closed hypothesis is true for all sub-families $F \leq 2^{[n]}$.

Take a sub-family $G \leq 2^{[n+1]}$. Either $[n+1] \in G$ or $[n+1] \notin G$.

Suppose that $[n+1] \notin G$. Then G is isomorphic to a sub-family $F \leq 2^{[n]}$ and by the induction hypothesis the union-closed hypothesis is true.

Now suppose on the contrary that $[n+1] \in G$.

If $G = 2^{[n+1]}$ then $|G_{x_1}|/|G| = 1/2$ and the union-closed hypothesis is true.

If on the contrary, $G \neq 2^{[n+1]}$, we can consider without loss of generality that $|G_{x_1}| \geq |G_{x_i}|$, for all i such that $1 < i \leq n+1$. Consider the family of sub-families $\{\mathbb{G}_0, \mathbb{G}_1, \ldots, \mathbb{G}_{2^n-1}\}$ where $\mathbb{G}_i := \{G \in \mathrm{Sub}_{2^{[n+1]}, x_1, 2^n - i} : \forall i \text{ such that } 1 < i \leq n+1, |G_{x_1}| \geq |G_{x_i}| \text{ and } [n+1] \in G\}$.

For all G in $\mathbb{G}_0$ we can see that $|G_{x_1}| = 2^n$ and $|G_{\bar{x}_1}| \leq 2^n$. Therefore $|G_{x_1}|/|G| \geq 1/2$.

We will make a second induction by supposing the union-closed hypothesis to be true for all $G \in \mathbb{G}_i$ such that $0 \leq i < 2^n - 1$.

We remark that we can obtain any family G' in $\mathbb{G}_{i+1}$ by taking a family G in $\mathbb{G}_i$ and removing a set from G which contains x_1. However, for $|G'_{x_1}| \geq |G'_{x_i}|$ to hold for all i such that $1 < i \leq n+1$, we must also remove a set from G which contains x_2; a set which contains x_3; and so on up to a set which contains x_{n+1}.

Again if $[n+1] \notin G'$, we can conclude by the first induction hypothesis that the union-closed hypothesis is true for G'.

Otherwise we have that $[n+1] \in G'$. Therefore to obtain a set G' in $\mathbb{G}_{i+1}$ from G, we must at least remove a set in G_{x_1} and a set in $G_{\bar{x}_1}$. Thus $|G'_{x_1}|/|G'| \geq (|G_{x_1}| - 1)/(|G| - 2)$ and by the second induction hypothesis $|G'_{x_1}|/|G'| \geq 1/2$.

We have covered all the cases and this concludes the proof. $\square$

References

Henning Bruhn and Oliver Schaudt. (2013). The journey of the union-closed sets conjecture. Retrieved from http://www.zaik.uni-koeln.de/~schaudt/UCSurvey.pdf

André da Cruz Carvalho. (2016). Frankl Conjecture. Retrieved from https://matematica.fc.up.pt/sites/default/files/theses/FranklConjecture.pdf

BJORN POONEN. (1990). Union-Closed Families. Retrieved from https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/81930624.pdf