HW8

Nicole Carlson

4/17/2018

K-nearest neighbor

Let's try a variation on the NHANES data set again.

```
library(tidyverse)
library(class)
library(npart)
library(NHANES)
library(RColorBrewer)
library(plot3D)
library(parallel)
library(randomForestSRC)
library(ggRandomForests)
library(mosaic)
```

Create the NHANES dataset again, just like we did in class, only using sleep trouble (variable name = SleepTrouble) as the dependent variable, instead of Diabetes.

```
# Create the NHANES dataset again
people <- NHANES %>% dplyr::select(Age, Gender, SleepTrouble, BMI, HHIncome,
PhysActive)
#%>% na.omit()
glimpse(people)
## Observations: 10,000
## Variables: 6
## $ Age
                 <int> 34, 34, 34, 4, 49, 9, 8, 45, 45, 45, 66, 58, 54, ...
                 <fct> male, male, male, female, male, femal...
## $ Gender
## $ SleepTrouble <fct> Yes, Yes, Yes, NA, Yes, NA, NA, No, No, No, No, No...
## $ BMI
                 <dbl> 32.22, 32.22, 32.22, 15.30, 30.57, 16.82, 20.64, ...
                 <fct> 25000-34999, 25000-34999, 25000-34999, 20000-2499...
## $ HHIncome
## $ PhysActive <fct> No, No, No, NA, No, NA, Yes, Yes, Yes, Yes, Y...
```

Problem 1

What is the marginal distribution of sleep trouble (SleepTrouble)?

```
tally(~ SleepTrouble, data = people, format = "percent")
```

```
## SleepTrouble
## No Yes <NA>
## 57.99 19.73 22.28
```

Recall from our prior work, the packages work better if the dataset is a dataframe, and the variables are numeric.

```
people <- as.data.frame(people)</pre>
people$Gender <- as.numeric(people$Gender)</pre>
people$SleepTrouble <- as.numeric(people$SleepTrouble)</pre>
people$HHIncome <- as.numeric(people$HHIncome)</pre>
people$PhysActive <- as.numeric(people$PhysActive)</pre>
people <- na.omit(people)</pre>
glimpse(people)
## Observations: 7,037
## Variables: 6
## $ Age
                   <int> 34, 34, 34, 49, 45, 45, 45, 66, 58, 54, 58, 50, 3...
## $ Gender
                   <dbl> 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 1, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 2...
## $ SleepTrouble <dbl> 2, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 2...
## $ BMI
                   <dbl> 32.22, 32.22, 32.22, 30.57, 27.24, 27.24, 27.24, ...
## $ HHIncome
                   <dbl> 6, 6, 6, 7, 11, 11, 11, 6, 12, 10, 11, 4, 6, 4, 1...
                   <dbl> 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2...
## $ PhysActive
```

Apply the k-nearest neighbor procedure to predict SleepTrouble from the other covariates, as we did for Diabetes. Use k = 1, 3, 5, and 20.

Problem 2

```
#Apply k-nearest neighbor approach to predict SleepTrouble for k = 1, 3, 5,
20
knn.1 <- knn(train = people, test = people, cl =
as.numeric(people$SleepTrouble), k = 1)
knn.3 <- knn(train = people, test = people, cl = people$SleepTrouble, k = 3)
knn.5 <- knn(train = people, test = people, cl = people$SleepTrouble, k = 5)
knn.20 <- knn(train = people, test = people, cl = people$SleepTrouble, k = 20)
#knn.1</pre>
```

Now let's see how well these classifiers work overall

Problem 3

```
# How well do these classifiers (k = 1, 3, 5, 20) work?
# Insert your code here
100*sum(people$SleepTrouble == knn.1)/length(knn.1)
## [1] 100
```

```
100*sum(people$SleepTrouble == knn.3)/length(knn.3)
## [1] 92.01364

100*sum(people$SleepTrouble == knn.5)/length(knn.5)
## [1] 88.53204

100*sum(people$SleepTrouble == knn.20)/length(knn.20)
## [1] 78.62726
```

Problem 4

What about success overall?

```
#Insert your code here to determine overall success for k = 1, 3, 5, 20
table(knn.1, people$SleepTrouble)
##
## knn.1 1
## 1 5239
      2
          0 1798
##
table(knn.3, people$SleepTrouble)
##
## knn.3 1
## 1 5061 384
      2 178 1414
##
table(knn.5, people$SleepTrouble)
##
## knn.5 1
      1 5025 593
##
      2 214 1205
##
table(knn.20, people$SleepTrouble)
##
## knn.20
            1
                2
##
       1 5098 1363
## 2 141 435
```