# Foundations first, some terms cryptography: the art and science of encryption; it is performed by cryptographers cryptanalysis: the art of science of breaking the encryption; it is performed by cryptanalysts this is you this term! cryptology: involves both cryptography and cryptanalysis; it is performed by cryptologists cryptosystem: a set of cryptographic algorithms needed to implement security for a service so, what's the purpose of cryptography? primarily, to achieve confidentiality but also for authentication, integrity verification, and nonrepudiation confidentiality: the information is hidden from parties who cannot decrypt authentication: the receiver can verify the origin of the data integrity verification: ensures that the data was not tampered with during transit nonrepudiation: the sender must be unable to deny having sent a message parties involved usually, only two parties: sender (Alice) and receiver (Bob) but there are protocols that require more than two parties basic working mechanism enciphering: plaintext → ciphertext (through encryption) if E is an encryption function, P is plaintext, and C is ciphertext, then: E(P)=Cdeciphering: ciphertext → plaintext (through decryption) if D is a decryption function, C is ciphertext, and P is plaintext, then: D(C)=Pcipher an encryption algorithm most ciphers require a key for encryption and decryption restricted algorithms do not use a key

security depends on the secrecy of their implementation i.e., their methods are "secret"

### issues

each algorithm must be unique useless for teamwork a new algorithm is needed every time someone leaves Gourd: Quickbooks escrow account security of the algorithm is in question can't be verified by third-party experts plus, reverse engineering (CSC 448/CYEN 404)

### algorithms with key(s)

modern cryptography introduces a key to tackle to issues involved with restricted algorithms the security then relies on the secrecy of the key instead of the secrecy of an algorithm

```
keyspace
```

the range of keys an algorithm can use for encryption and decryption purposes i.e., all possible keys for any algorithm

## unconditionally and conditionally secure algorithms

unconditionally secure algorithms

can never be broken, even with an infinite computing power and time only one-time pad (OTP) is proven to be unconditionally secure you can try all possible keys, but that would give you all possible outcomes

so you would not know which one is an actual message

but what if we know something about the original message?

conditionally/computationally secure algorithms

can be broken; however, the cost of breaking them is higher than the value of the message most modern algorithms take billions of years to break

when an adequate-length key is used

# key lengths, keyspace, and time complexity space

4-digit numeric key:  $10^4 = 10,000$ 

10-digit numeric key:  $10^{10} = 10,000,000,000$  (10 billion)

4-character lowercase key:  $26^4 = 456,976$ 

4-character mixed case key:  $52^4 = 7,311,616$  (7.31 million)

4-character alphanumeric key:  $62^4 = 14,776,336$  (14.78 million)

4-character (with symbols) key: 94<sup>4</sup>=78,074,896 (78.07 million) 5-character (with symbols) key: 94<sup>5</sup>=7,339,040,224 (7.34 billion)

6-character (with symbols) key: 94<sup>6</sup>=689,869,781,056 (689.87 billion)

8-character (with symbols) key:  $94^8 = 6.10 \times 10^{15}$  (6.10 quadrillion)

12-character lowercase:  $26^{12} = 9.54 * 10^{16}$  (95.43 quadrillion)

15-character lowercase:  $26^{15} = 1.68 * 10^{21}$  (1.68 sextillion)

20-character lowercase:  $26^{20} = 1.99 * 10^{28}$  (19.93 octillion)

### time?

CPU: 100 million per second GPU: 3 billion per second distributed: 12 billion per second

specialized hardware: 90 billion per second fastest so far (cluster): 350 billion per second

### let's use the CPU option

4-digit numeric key: 0.0001 seconds (0.1 milliseconds – or one 10,000th of a second)

10-digit numeric key: 100 seconds

4-character lowercase key: 4.57 milliseconds

4-character mixed case key: 0.07 seconds

4-character alphanumeric key: 0.15 seconds

4-character (with symbols) key: 0.78 seconds

5-character (with symbols) key: 73.39 seconds

6-character (with symbols) key: 1.92 hours

8-character (with symbols) key: 1.93 years

12-character lowercase: 30.26 years

15-character lowercase: 531,855.45 years

20-character lowercase: 6.32\*10<sup>12</sup> years (6.32 trillion years)

attack

any attempted cryptanalysis on a cryptosystem

complexity of an attack

depends mainly on three factors:

data complexity: the amount of data needed to break an encryption processing complexity: the time needed to perform an attack

storage requirements: the memory needed to perform an attack

a good cryptosystem would not just worry about today but also consider the computing power of tomorrow

security of an algorithm

depends on how difficult an algorithm is to break

if the cost to break an algorithm is higher than the value of the message, then it is considered safe an algorithm can be compromised in the following ways:

total break: a cryptanalyst finds a key, k, such that  $D_k(C)=P$ 

global deduction: a cryptanalyst finds another equivalent algorithm, without knowing a key local deduction: a cryptanalyst finds the plaintext of an intercepted ciphertext

information deduction: a cryptanalyst gains some information about the key or plaintext

one-time pad

it is the only known unconditionally secure encryption algorithm process:

a one-time pad, containing some long randomly generated keys, is shared beforehand the key used has the same length as the message/plaintext

Gourd's XOR!

each key is used only once, then discarded

OK, not like Gourd's XOR

e.g.:

OTP: 2 3 5 1 20 2 5 6 2 1 8 23 4 9 6 4 5 3 15 1 12 7 16 12 15

first message: HEY

encryption: H + 2 = J, E + 3 = H,  $Y + 5 = D \rightarrow JHD$  decryption: J - 2 = H, H - 3 = E,  $D - 5 = Y \rightarrow HEY$ 

before sending the next message, discard the keys already used to brute force this, you could try every possible key but you would get every possible result can you think of a way to work through this anyways? at least with some constraints (e.g., language)

XOR

theoretically as secure as OTP

the nifty reversible property of XOR makes it easy to implement if *E* is an encryption function, we can use this same function to encrypt and decrypt

 $E_k(P)=C$ 

e.g.:

 $E_k(C) = P$ 

*k* is the random string of bits used as a key

75% 1s

with XOR there's a 50/50 distribution of the bits 0 and 1 in the output this shuffles the output bits quite well which other bitwise operators like OR and AND fail to do

| OR |
|----|
|----|

| input | key | output |
|-------|-----|--------|
| 0     | 0   | 0      |
| 0     | 1   | 1      |
| 1     | 0   | 1      |
| 1     | 1   | 1      |

**AND** 

| input | key | output |  |
|-------|-----|--------|--|
| 0     | 0   | 0      |  |
| 0     | 1   | 0      |  |
| 1     | 0   | 0      |  |
| 1     | 1   | 1      |  |
|       |     | 25% 1s |  |

**XOR** 

| input | key | output |
|-------|-----|--------|
| 0     | 0   | 0      |
| 0     | 1   | 1      |
| 1     | 0   | 1      |
| 1     | 1   | 0      |

50% 1s

despite being a promising concept, XOR has several limitations related to the key generation true random keys are almost impossible to generate key length: keys have to be as long as the message key exchange

...

but for limited (personal) uses (with long enough keys/plaintexts), it works fine