

Flight Price Analysis Using PySpark

NIDHI DESAI
CS777

INTRODUCTION

- Analyzing flight prices by using pyspark
- Focus on data preprocessing, exploratory data analysis (EDA), and predictive modeling.

DATASET

- Sourced from Expedia for major US airports between April 16, 2022, and October 5, 2022

DATA PREPROCESSING

- Column selection and type conversion.
- Handling missing values
- Outlier detection and removal.
- Deduplication of data entries.

EXPLORATORY DATA ANALYSIS (EDA)

- Descriptive statistics calculation: Summary statistics for numerical columns: mean, median, standard deviation, etc.
- Correlation analysis: Pearson's correlation coefficient between numerical features and totalFare.

EXPLORATORY DATA ANALYSIS (EDA)

- Trend analysis over months and weekdays.
- Average fare analysis by airline, cabin code, refundability, and non-stop status.

airlineName	AvgFare	Count	cabinCode	AvgFare	Count
Hawaiian Airlines	566.9392307692308	39	business	704.8048734177216	158
Alaska Airlines	473.4804969444061	378996	premium coach	620.3081064201866	4003
Cape Air	470.3962353613246	3501	first	467.34315573770414	1708
Boutique Air	455.4820252100842	2380	coach	331.87794492329175	7679550
Sun Country Airlines	403.006593265469	21026			
Delta	382.2543435912364	1920158	isRefundable	AvgFare	
United	362.7724546815354	1790082	false	332.06547392480206	
Contour Airlines	356.8358083832336	167	true	370.42357894736847	
Key Lime Air	355.88352230095916	2399			
Southern Airways ...	335.8306681318684	4550	isNonStop	AvgFare	
American Airlines	296.8047291893123	2329222	false	364.7195599379431	
JetBlue Airways	259.91092905301167	640071	true	244.5117278145996	
Frontier Airlines	200.4430832208655	111883			
Spirit Airlines	198.4796826872473	480945			

Predictive Modeling Using Random Forest Regressor

Predicting the total fare of flight tickets based on various features related to the flight and booking details.

Why Random Forest Regressor?

Robustness to Overfitting: Random Forests aggregate multiple decision trees, reducing the risk of overfitting compared to single decision trees. This ensemble method enhances model generalization to unseen data.

Feature Importance: RandomForestRegressor provides a measure of feature importance, helping in understanding which features contribute most to the prediction. This insight aids in feature selection and model interpretability.

Robust to Missing Values and Outliers: Can handle missing values and outliers more effectively than many other regression algorithms. This robustness makes it suitable for real-world datasets that often have imperfect data.

Model Evaluation

- Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE): Measures prediction error.].
- Mean Absolute Error (MAE): Average absolute error.
- R^2 Score: Proportion of variance explained by the model.

Best Random Forest RMSE on test data: 122.25551452704748

Best Random Forest MAE on test data: 93.77078379635788

Best Random Forest R^2 on test data: 0.48909371959273773

Feature Importance

Identification of key features contributing to totalFare predictions.

	Feature	Importance
3	totalTravelDistance	0.518508
0	isBasicEconomy	0.275282
2	seatsRemaining	0.103302
1	isNonStop	0.102907

Clustering

- Categorical to numerical feature conversion.
- Feature normalization with StandardScaler.
- K-Means model training with k=5.
- Evaluation using Silhouette score.
- Cluster center analysis.

+-----+-----+		
prediction	count	
+-----+-----+		
	1	378996
	3	3501
	4	4742693
	2	640071
	0	1920158
+-----+-----+		

```
Cluster Centers:
[6.24778352e-01 0.00000000e+00 5.39369924e-01 2.27266097e+00
 9.48505424e-03 2.26505171e+00 2.10245887e-02 4.63903390e-03
 8.22308701e-05 2.18215216e-02 3.70942828e-04 1.19278383e-03
 1.67957049e-04 8.85502843e-04 8.67279885e-05 2.03171210e-04
 0.00000000e+00]
[0.39821998 0.          0.26385787 2.68298093 0.          0.
 0.          0.          0.          4.61846655 0.          0.
 0.          0.          0.          0.          0.          ]
[0.          0.          1.18827577 1.49760858 0.          0.
 0.          3.61911365 0.          0.          0.          0.
 0.          0.          0.          0.          0.          ]
[ 0.          0.          0.          2.76244461 0.          0.
 0.          0.          0.          0.          0.          0.
 0.          46.8637063 0.          0.          0.          ]
[0.37901572 0.00569153 0.58844618 1.81017928 1.06360724 0.
 0.88336091 0.0030401 0.41822497 0.02503434 0.19660451 0.08429674
 0.03933099 0.01314837 0.02856961 0.02840805 0.00754619]
```


Conclusion

The results show a comprehensive analysis of flight data. The dataset has been preprocessed, including categorical encoding and feature scaling. The K-Means clustering yielded five clusters with a silhouette score of -0.365, indicating poor cluster cohesion and separation. The cluster centers reflect varying patterns in the scaled features. In the Random Forest regression model, the best RMSE is 122.26, MAE is 93.77, and R^2 is 0.49, suggesting moderate prediction accuracy. Feature importance highlights totalTravelDistance and isBasicEconomy as significant. Clustering results are diverse, with imbalances in cluster sizes, indicating challenges in clustering quality.

Future Work

Advanced Feature Engineering: Explore additional features like flight duration.

Model Selection: Experiment with alternative machine learning models.

Temporal Analysis: Investigate seasonal and time-based trends.

THANK YOU!!!
