HOWEST

THESIS

Automated deployment and performance analysis of a white-label web application

Author:
Niek CANDAELE

Supervisor:
Thomas CLAUWAERT

February 19, 2021

"Funny or thought provoking quote goes here"

Someone, somewhere

HOWEST

Abstract

Bachelor of applied computer science

Automated deployment and performance analysis of a white-label web application

by Niek CANDAELE

A case study and practical implementation of a white-labeled web application. Starting with an existing application, proceeding with analysis of the current implementation and problems, investigating potential solutions and finally implementing them

Acknowledgements

Thanks to Thomas Clauwaert, Serge Morel, en de rest ... :)

Contents

List of Figures

List of Tables

List of Abbreviations

AWS   Amazon Web Services
CMS   Content Management System
IaC   Infrastructure as Code

For/Dedicated to/To my...

Chapter 1

Intro

1.1 How it used to be

Stampix is a startup that prints photos. Stampix' customers are companies, these companies buy printcodes which they can then distribute to their users in context of marketing or loyalty campaigns. Every client gets their own branded web application.

This involves:

- Storing all brand-related content in a CMS (Contentful)

- Pulling in all that content during app runtime

- Deployments for new clients require a lot of manual configuration / dev work

- There's no automated tests, which can cause broken deployments if not careful

This had a few problems which I will explain in detail later . . .

1.2 Solutions

Following are the methods used to improve this workflow. Each method will probably get it's own detailed chapter later?

- Using a static site generator to build web app and assets during build time

- Automated testing (Selenium-like / snapshots / unit)

- Deploying each built application to AWS

1.3 Requirements

1.3.1 Infrastructure as code

A big pain point right now is that it takes a lot of manual (development) work to create new deployments. We can solve this by automating the process, however it's not as simple as just building the frontend assets and uploading them to the cloud.

The operations and sales teams must be able to create deployments on their own and they must be able to control certain aspects of the final product.

1.3.2   Asset managment

Brand images, texts, ...

This is currently kept in a CMS. CMS' are made for this, which automatically gives us a lot of functionality.

An additional pain point here is that the structure of the data is still manually managed. Stampix has asked to see if there is some sort of IaC solution possible for this.

1.3.3   Deployment configuration

Domain name (company.stampix.com), length of the campaign, total amount of prints bought, . . .

This should be a semi-structured document which can be easily edited by non-development teams which will then get sent to our IaC solution.

1.3.4   Deployment stages

There should be a clear distinction between deployments in production, staging or test. A common occurence right now is that the sales team will create demo's to use during their pitches. This has the risk that they change some config which breaks production apps. These demo's also get made, pitched and then prompty forgotten, never to be used again.

- Production: deployments that are live, in actual use.

- Staging: deployments before going to production. Final checks happen here

- Test: sales demos, development builds, . . . anything else

1.3.5   Modularity

The web app must support 'plugins'. These plugins can literally be anything. They can include extra logic in the backend, extra pages in the frontend or a combination of both.

TODO: How will this be configured? Could be a multi-select in the deployment configuration

NSFW check

This plugin checks every order for photos that has **Not Safe For Work** content. If an order includes content like this, it gets rejected.

Thank you module

After creating an order, the user is presented with a small form that asks if they would like to say thank you. The user can select an emoji to reflect their feelings. This module is particularly useful for creating metrics at the end of a campaign

Chapter 2

Research

2.1 Static site generators

Static site generators take your app and build in before serving to users. This means users receive plain HTML files. This moves a large computation burden from run time to build time which results in significantly faster load times for users. Furthermore, this approach allows for more aggressive and efficient caching.

2.1.1 Nextjs

Nextjs[1] is a React framework. Not explicitly a static site generator but has support for it

2.1.2 Gatsby

Gatsby[2] is a static site generator at heart. It might be harder to do runtime stuff with Gatsby

2.1.3 Umi.js

2.2 Automated testing

We create lots of different webapps, a different one for each client. Currently, we have no automated tests. This should change

Automated testing gives developers confidence their changes did not break anything. It can spot bugs before the code is even released

2.2.1 Selenium

Selenium[3] is an established project

2.2.2 Cypress

Cypress[4] is pretty new and 'cool'

---

[1]https://nextjs.org/
[2]https://www.gatsbyjs.com/
[3]https://www.selenium.dev/
[4]https://www.cypress.io/

2.2.3   Protractor

2.2.4   Playwright

Playwright[5] is

2.3   Deployment

2.3.1   Ansible

2.3.2   Terraform

2.3.3   Serverless

2.3.4   AWS Cloud Development Kit

---

[5]https://playwright.dev/

Appendix A

Performance reports

A.1 Initial performance

Lighthouse scores? Some trace info? Other performance indicators?