

# A General Race-Model Likelihood: Structure, Notation, and Examples

Cindy

## Abstract

Race models explain choices and response times by positing latent *accumulators* that finish at different times and compete to determine the observed outcome. This note presents a single, general likelihood recipe that covers common constructions (pooling, AND/OR logic, inhibition/exclusion, mixtures, terminals, censors, and deadlines) without enumerating many special cases. We express model structure with simple Boolean-like expressions over *pools* of accumulators and show how each expression defines an *event CDF* and its *first-hit density*. Small DSL examples illustrate how specifications map directly to the mathematics. The development assumes only that finishing-time densities and cumulative distribution functions are available.

## 1 Overview

Decisions are represented as contests among *events* that become true over time. Events are built from *pools* of accumulators using AND/OR/NOT-like operators and optional guards (inhibitors and protectors). An observed response occurs when its event becomes true *first*. This view yields a compact, general per-trial likelihood that applies regardless of how events are composed.

## 2 Objects and Notation

Each accumulator  $i$  has finishing-time CDF  $F_i(t)$ , PDF  $f_i(t)$ , and survival  $S_i(t) = 1 - F_i(t)$ . A *pool*  $P$  aggregates one or more accumulators under a completion rule. The most common rule is  $k$ -of- $n$ : the pool completes when

the  $k$ -th member finishes. For i.i.d. members with CDF  $F$  and PDF  $f$ ,

$$F_{(k)}(t) = \sum_{m=k}^n \binom{n}{m} [F(t)]^m [1-F(t)]^{n-m}, \quad f_{(k)}(t) = \binom{n}{k-1} f(t) [F(t)]^{k-1} [1-F(t)]^{n-k}. \quad (1)$$

For heterogeneous members  $i = 1..n$ , the first-hit density is

$$f_{(k)}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(t) \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq \{1..n\} \setminus \{j\} \\ |S|=k-1}} \prod_{i \in S} F_i(t) \prod_{i \notin S, i \neq j} [1 - F_i(t)], \quad (2)$$

with  $F_{(k)}(t)$  the corresponding CDF. We build *events* from pools using a small expression calculus. Every event  $E$  has a completion probability  $C_E(t)$  (true by  $t$ ) and a first-hit density  $h_E(t)$  (becomes true *at*  $t$ ). Throughout we assume independence between individual accumulators.

### 3 Expression Calculus (Events from Pools)

Let  $E_1, E_2$  be events. The following rules define  $C_E$  and  $h_E$  recursively.

**Event from a pool.** If  $E$  is the event that pool  $P$  completes, then  $C_E(t) = F_P(t)$  and  $h_E(t) = f_P(t)$ .

**AND (all-of).**  $E = E_1 \wedge E_2$  becomes true when the last required part finishes:

$$C_{\wedge}(t) = C_{E_1}(t) C_{E_2}(t), \quad h_{\wedge}(t) = h_{E_1}(t) C_{E_2}(t) + h_{E_2}(t) C_{E_1}(t). \quad (3)$$

**OR (first-of).**  $E = E_1 \vee E_2$  becomes true when the first part finishes:

$$C_{\vee}(t) = 1 - [1 - C_{E_1}(t)] [1 - C_{E_2}(t)], \quad h_{\vee}(t) = h_{E_1}(t) [1 - C_{E_2}(t)] + h_{E_2}(t) [1 - C_{E_1}(t)]. \quad (4)$$

**NOT / exclusion.** Absence constraints do not create new first-hits; instead, they multiply the likelihood by survival factors of the excluded elements. If outcome  $R$  must exclude a set  $\mathcal{X}_R$  and is inhibited by  $\mathcal{I}_R$  (blockers), define

$$M_R(t) = \prod_{X \in \mathcal{X}_R} S_X(t) \prod_{I \in \mathcal{I}_R} S_I(t). \quad (5)$$

Guards (**inhibit/guard** in the DSL) are encoded as such absence multipliers, optionally disabled by protectors that have finished earlier.

## 4 Unified Per-Trial Likelihood

Let the model offer responses  $\{R\}$  (each an event expression), terminals  $\{T\}$  that yield no choice, and censors  $\{C\}$  that end observation. For a trial with observed outcome  $o$  at time  $t$ , the contribution is

$$\ell_o(t) = h_o(t) \left\{ \prod_{S \neq o} [1 - C_S(t)] \right\} \left\{ \prod_{I \in \mathcal{I}_o} S_I(t) \right\} \left\{ \prod_{X \in \mathcal{X}_o} S_X(t) \right\} \left\{ \prod_T S_T(t) \right\} \left\{ \prod_C S_C(t) \right\}. \quad (6)$$

If a terminal  $T$  or censor  $C$  is observed instead, use their densities

$$\tau_T(t) = f_T(t) \prod_R [1 - C_R(t)] \prod_{T' \neq T} S_{T'}(t) \prod_C S_C(t), \quad \gamma_C(t) = f_C(t) \prod_R [1 - C_R(t)] \prod_T S_T(t) \prod_{C' \neq C} S_{C'}(t). \quad (7)$$

With a deadline  $D$ , densities are truncated to  $t \leq D$  and the no-response probability collects remaining mass

$$\Pr(\text{no response by } D) = \prod_R [1 - C_R(D)] \prod_k [1 - F_{\text{censor},k}(D)]. \quad (8)$$

The full log-likelihood sums  $\log L = \sum_j \log \ell_{o_j}(t_j)$  over trials (with  $\tau/\gamma$  replacing  $\ell$  when appropriate).

## 5 Mixtures, Mapping, and Shared Parameters

Mixtures average component-wise likelihoods: for components  $c$  with weights  $w_c$ ,

$$\ell(t, o) = \sum_c w_c \ell_c(t, o). \quad (9)$$

Fixed relabelling or guessing maps the vector of response densities  $\mathbf{p}(t)$  to  $\mathbf{p}'(t) = \mathbf{M} \mathbf{p}(t)$  for a column-stochastic matrix  $\mathbf{M}$ . Shared parameters constrain subsets of  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  so two or more pools share distributional parameters; this changes  $F/f$  but not the structural forms in Equations (3)–(6).

## 6 DSL Examples (specification examples/new\_API.R)

We show how the DSL maps to the mathematics above. In the snippets, `add_pool` declares a pool, `add_outcome` names an outcome and attaches an event expression, and `inhibit/all_of/first_of` correspond to NOT/AND/OR semantics.

**Simple two-response race.** Two single-member pools compete.

```
example_1_simple <- race_spec() |>
  add_accumulator("go1", "lognormal", meanlog = log(0.30), sdlog = 0.18) |>
  add_accumulator("go2", "lognormal", meanlog = log(0.32), sdlog = 0.18) |>
  add_pool("R1", "go1") |>
  add_pool("R2", "go2") |>
  add_outcome("R1", "R1") |>
  add_outcome("R2", "R2") |>
  build_model()
```

Math at a glance:  $h_{R1}(t) = f_{go1}(t)$ ,  $C_{R2}(t) = F_{go2}(t)$ ; use Equation (6) for  $\ell_{R1}(t)$  and swap roles for  $R2$ .

**Go/Stop with inhibition and gating.** One response is inhibited by a stop signal; another requires the stop as a gate.

```
example_2_stop_mixture <- race_spec() |>
  add_pool("G01", "go1") |>
  add_pool("STOP", "stop") |>
  add_pool("G02", "go2") |>
  add_outcome("R1", inhibit("G01", by = "STOP")) |>
  add_outcome("R2", all_of("G02", "STOP")) |>
  build_model()
```

Here  $h_{R1}(t) = h_{G01}(t)$  with absence multiplier  $M_{R1}(t) = S_{STOP}(t)$ ; and  $h_{R2}(t) = h_{\wedge}(t)$  from Equation (3) with  $E_1 = G02$ ,  $E_2 = STOP$ .

**$k$ -of- $n$  pool.** Outcome completes when two of three members finish.

```
example_9_advanced_k <- race_spec() |>
  add_pool("A", c("a1", "a2", "a3"), k = 2L) |>
  add_outcome("A", "A") |>
  build_model()
```

Use Equation (1) (or (2)) to obtain  $F_A$  and  $f_A$ , then plug into Equation (6).

**Dual path via shared gate.** Two outcomes share a last pool (a gate), requiring tie resolution if the gate finishes at  $t$ .

```
example_6_dual_path <- race_spec() |>
  add_pool("TaskA", "acc_taskA") |>
```

```

add_pool("TaskB", "acc_taskB") |>
add_pool("GateC", "acc_gateC") |>
add_outcome("Outcome_via_A", all_of("TaskA", "GateC")) |>
add_outcome("Outcome_via_B", all_of("TaskB", "GateC")) |>
build_model()

```

When a group of outcomes shares the same last-required pool, split the at- $t$  mass from that pool across outcomes using time-varying weights based on which non-shared cores would have finished first; the engine handles this automatically.

**Censoring and deadline.** Add a censor stream and a hard deadline.

```

example_11_censor_deadline <- race_spec() |>
  add_pool("L", "go_left") |>
  add_pool("R", "go_right") |>
  add_pool("CENSOR", "censor_watch") |>
  add_outcome("Left", "L") |>
  add_outcome("Right", "R") |>
  add_outcome("NR_CENSOR", "CENSOR", options = list(class = "censor")) |>
  set_metadata(deadline = 0.55) |>
  build_model()

```

Use Equation (7) for  $\gamma_{\text{CENSOR}}(t)$  and Equation (8) for the no-response mass beyond  $D$ .

**Mixture across components.** Average component-wise likelihoods.

```

example_7_mixture <- race_spec() |>
  add_pool("TARGET", c("target_fast", "target_slow")) |>
  add_pool("COMP", "competitor") |>
  add_outcome("R1", "TARGET") |>
  add_outcome("R2", "COMP") |>
  set_metadata(mixture = list(components = list(
    component("fast", weight = 0.2), component("slow", weight = 0.8)
  ))) |>
  build_model()

```

Evaluate Equation (6) per component and average via Equation (9).

## 7 Implementation Recipe

For each trial: (1) parse each outcome’s expression into a tree of events; (2) compute  $C_E(t)$  and  $h_E(t)$  recursively using Equations (1)–(4); (3) evaluate the per-trial contribution with Equation (6); (4) include terminals/censors via Equation (7) or deadlines via Equation (8) if present; (5) apply mixtures and any label mappings; (6) sum logs. Absence constraints multiply in as survival factors and do not change first-hit forms.

**Relationships and special cases.** (i) Gates are just ANDs over required pools; (ii) Excluders and inhibitors are absence multipliers; (iii) Terminals/censors are symmetric to responses in the competition, differing only in labelling; (iv) Shared last-pool ties redistribute the at- $t$  mass from the shared pool across tied outcomes; without shared last pools, no tie handling is needed.

## References