

LSci 51/Psych 56L: Acquisition of Language

Lecture 2
Children's input &
Research methods

Announcements

Be working on review questions for intro material

Be working on HW1
(due 10/1/21 at 3:50pm, submitted through Canvas)

Please note that you can only submit HW assignments once.
Only submit when you've completed the entire assignment.

Last time: How do children acquire the rules of their language?

We know they do it relatively quickly.

speech segmentation

phonology

syntactic categorization

syntax

syntax, semantics

pragmatics

Much of the linguistic system is already known by **age 4**.



Interesting: They do this mostly without explicit instruction.

What about learning by explicit correction?

Even if the knowledge is subconscious, couldn't parents teach children these rules of language by explicitly correcting them when they say something wrong?

What about learning by explicit correction?

Even if the knowledge is subconscious, couldn't parents teach children these rules of language by explicitly correcting them when they say something wrong?


The problem: parents don't correct their children that often about the **form of the language**. Instead, they tend to correct when the meaning is incorrect.

Child: “Her curl my hair.”

Parent: “Uh huh.”

Child: “There’s an animal farmhouse.”

Parent: “No, that’s a lighthouse.”



[Extra] Explicit correction problems

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=a7Un06tDOn0&feature=youtu.be>

1:33-4:33



What about learning by implicit correction?

Parents may provide implicit correction by offering alternative language forms when a child has said something incorrect. In effect, the parents provide a good example of language use for children without explicitly correcting them. This is called a recast.

Child: The dog **runned** really fast, Daddy.

Parent: Yeah, he **ran** really fast, didn't he?



Recasts?

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=a7Un06tDOn0&feature=youtu.be>

4:33-5:31



What about learning by implicit correction?

However, parents don't provide recasts all the time or all that consistently. One study looking at interactions between 2-year-olds and their mothers showed that they **only made recasts after 26.3% of incorrect sentences**. The rest of the time, they didn't bother.

Also, sometimes parents will **repeat children's incorrect utterances** if they agree with the meaning of them! This would seem to reinforce the incorrect language usage.

Child: Read book.

Mother: Alright, you **read book.**
(instead of *read the book*)



What about learning by implicit correction?

Still, recasts can be very helpful when they offer a direct and immediate contrast between the child's way of saying something and the correct way. Saxton et al. (1998) found that children learned more quickly when they were given recasts.

Taumoepeau 2016: The percentage of utterances caretakers expanded (and recast) when their children were between the ages of 24 and 33 months had a strong impact on children's vocabulary development.



Recasts may help speed up learning, but probably aren't responsible for learning all knowledge about language.

The nature of the input



About the input

"**Motherese** has interpretable melodies: a rise-and-fall contour for approving, a set of sharp, staccato bursts for prohibiting, a rise pattern for directing attention, and smooth, low legato murmurs for comforting." – Pinker, *The Language Instinct*



About the input

<https://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2017/10/171012143326.htm>

“We use **timbre**, the tone color or unique quality of a sound, all the time to distinguish people, animals, and instruments...We found that mothers alter this basic quality of their voices when speaking to infants, and they do so in a highly consistent way across many diverse languages.” — Elise Piazza, Princeton (about the findings of Piazza et al. 2017)



“Timbre is the reason it's so easy to discern idiosyncratic voices -- the famously velvety sound of Barry White, the nasal tone of Gilbert Gottfried, and the gravelly sound of Tom Waits -- even if they're all singing the same note.”

About the input

Properties of motherese (speech adults use with children):

(1) prosodic features are exaggerated, and pauses tend to occur at phrase boundaries (helping to identify how words cluster together into larger units like phrases)

“The brave older *sister* (pause)
went to *rescue* (pause)
her *little baby brother* Toby.”

“The brave older sister” = noun phrase
“her little baby brother Toby” = noun phrase



Noun phrase indicator: Can replace with pronoun

“The brave older sister” = *she*
“her little baby brother Toby” = *him*

About the input

Properties of motherese (speech adults use with children):

- (1) prosodic features are exaggerated, and pauses tend to occur at phrase boundaries (helping to identify how words cluster together into larger units like phrases)

Räsänen, Kakourous, & Soderstrom 2017:
pitch contours (one very key prosodic feature) are far less predictable — and are therefore far more surprising and attention-getting — in motherese, compared to adult-directed speech



What about “fatherese”?

VanDam, DePalma, & Strong (2015):
Fatherese may serve as a bridge intonation-wise

“...the mothers used higher pitch and varied their pitch more when interacting with their child than with adults. The fathers, on the other hand, did not show the same pattern, and instead talked to their children **using intonation patterns more like when they talked to other adults**...The data support what VanDam refers to as the bridge hypothesis -- that fathers, by speaking to their children more like adults, **might act as a link to the outside world by helping them to deal with unfamiliar speech.**”



<http://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2015/05/150519083257.htm>

About the input

Properties of **motherese** (speech adults use with children):

(2) topics are about the **here and now** (easier to link words to meanings) (Hills 2013)

Note: There is considerable individual variation in how well and how much caretakers do this, but children of caretakers who do this more learn vocabulary faster (Cartmill et al. 2013).



When talking about objects, English adults tend to **say the name of the object last** ("*this is the [object]*") and **precede it with a small set of reliable cues** (ex: *the, a*) (Yurovsky et al. 2013).

About the input

Properties of **motherese** (speech adults use with children):

- (3) **very few grammatical errors** (good example of correct grammar usage)
- (4) adults tend to **use gestures to secure children's attention** (easier to link words to meanings) — in general, engaging children socially is very important for the input to have an impact



About the input

More on **securing children's attention**



“Screen time” interactions



About the input

More on **securing children's attention**



“Screen time” interactions

<https://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2016/07/160715115023.htm>



“...children paid attention and responded to their on-screen partners, but **only children who experienced interactive video chat** responded in sync with the partner, such as clapping to imitate after the partner had clapped.”

About the input

More on **securing children's attention**



“Screen time” interactions

<https://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2016/07/160715115023.htm>



“...learning new words and patterns... occurred from video chat only when children talked to an on-screen ‘partner’ **who responded to them in real time.**”

About the input

More on **securing children's attention**



“Screen time” interactions

<https://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2016/07/160715115023.htm>



“....starting at about **17 months**, children begin to get something out of live video interaction with real people”

- Lauren J. Myers

Lauren J. Myers, Rachel B. LeWitt, Renee E. Gallo, Nicole M. Maselli. 2016. **Baby FaceTime: can toddlers learn from online video chat?** *Developmental Science*.

About the input

More on securing children's attention



“Screen time” interactions

<https://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2018/10/181016132000.htm>



At **9 months**, infants can learn some sound information from a screen **when they learn with another 9-month-old present**.


Sarah Roseberry Lytle, Adrian Garcia-Sierra, Patricia K. Kuhl.
Two are better than one: Infant language learning from video improves in the presence of peers. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 2018; 115 (40): 9859
DOI: [10.1073/pnas.1611621115](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1611621115).

About the input

More on securing children's attention

“Screen time” interactions

<https://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2018/10/181016132000.htm>



“What this study introduces for the first time is that part of the reason we learn better when we learn collaboratively is that **a social partner increases arousal, and arousal in turn increases learning.**

Social partners not only provide information by showing us how to do things, but also provide motivation for learning.”

- Patricia Kuhl



Sarah Roseberry Lytle, Adrian Garcia-Sierra, Patricia K. Kuhl.
Two are better than one: Infant language learning from video improves in the presence of peers. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 2018; 115 (40): 9859
DOI: [10.1073/pnas.1611621115](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1611621115).

About the input

More on securing children's attention

“Screen time” interactions

...but “non-interactive language acquisition from television does occur in some autistic children” – Kissine 2020



About the input

Properties of **motherese** (speech adults use with children):

(5) **speech is repetitious** (easier to remember when you have a short attention span) (Hills 2013)

(6) **adults will often expand children's utterances** (learning how to convey the meaning they want by example)

“Milk.” “You want some milk?”



About the input

Properties of motherese (speech adults use with children):

(7) child-directed speech is tuned to the level of linguistic complexity (vocabulary, syntax, function words) the child can handle until around age five (Yurovsky, Doyle, & Frank 2016, Denby & Yurovsky 2019, Leung, Tunkel, & Yurovsky 2019) — it's easiest to absorb information if it's neither too simple or too complex. The better tuned the child-directed speech is, the better the child's linguistic development is (Denby & Yurovsky 2019).



The importance of speech directed at children

Vouloumanos & Waxman (2014):

Child-directed speech scaffolds lots of knowledge

Vouloumanos: "...listening to speech promotes the babies' acquisition of the **fundamental cognitive and social psychological capacities** that form the foundation for subsequent learning."



What kinds of things?

"...noticing patterns or regularities among the sounds or objects that surround them, recognizing partners with whom they can communicate, and establishing coherent categories of objects and events..."

<http://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2015/01/150105141707.htm>

Helpful motherese

Motherese can help jumpstart the language parts of the brain:

Just 24 hours after birth, the sound of a **mother's voice specifically activates the language processing and motor circuits of the brain**, moreso even than another female voice.
(Beauchemin et al. 2010)



Helpful motherese

Children who attend day care centers with more **one-on-one contact with an adult** acquire language more rapidly than children who get less one-on-one adult contact (Hoff 2006).

Older children (who receive all of their parents' child-directed speech) generally develop language earlier than later-born children, who have to share it with their siblings (Hoff-Ginsberg 1998).



Helpful motherese

Parents who were coached to **use more motherese** with their 6- and 10-month-olds ended up with **14-month-olds who produced significantly more words** (Ferjan Ramírez, Roseberry Lytle, Fish, & Kuhl 2018) and **18-month-olds who had more vocalizations & conversational turn-taking as well as larger vocabularies** (Ferjan Ramírez, Roseberry Lytle, & Kuhl 2020)



<https://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2018/11/181126123348.htm>
<https://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2020/02/200203151158.htm>

Helpful motherese

21-month-olds learn new words better from child-directed speech, as compared to adult-directed speech (Ma et al. 2011).


There's something special about words specifically directed at children, compared to words children simply overhear – **words that are simply overheard have very little impact** on vocabulary acquisition (Schneidman et al. 2013)....at least until children are preschool age (Foushee & Xu 2016). This may have to do with the relative complexity – **overheard speech is more complex than child-directed speech** until children are 30 months old (Foushee, Griffiths, & Srinivasan 2016, Lakoutou, Le Normand, & Cristia 2019).

But not really necessary...

Motherese seems very helpful in a variety of ways, but is **unlikely to be necessary for successful language acquisition**. This is because children in some cultures (Tseltal Mayan: Casillas et al. 2019; Inuit: Crago et al. 1997) don't receive much (or any) child-directed speech, and yet still manage to acquire their native languages just fine.



Research methods




Research methods

Important: do cross-linguistic and cross-cultural research. Even if language is universal, there are individual differences in language development and there may be more than one route to acquisition success. Also, there may be influence from different cultures on the language learning environment for children.



Research methods

Diary studies: keeping diaries of children's development. Charles Darwin did this with his son (Darwin 1877), who seemed to follow the progression we now expect.



Other diary studies: Clara & Wilhelm Stern's 1907 *Die Kindersprache* and Werner Leopold's (1939-1949) four volume account of his daughter's acquisition of English & German.

Modern diary studies: Braunwald 1976; Bowerman 1985, 1990; Dromi 1987; A. Gopnik & Meltzoff 1987; L. Bloom, 1993; Naigles, Vear, & Hoff 2002

A very modern diary study

http://www.ted.com/talks/deb_roy_the_birth_of_a_word.html

Beginning through about 4:15 (full video is about 17 minutes total)



Research methods

CHILDES



Child Language Data Exchange
System

<http://childe.talkbank.org>

Video/audio recordings of spontaneous speech samples, along with transcriptions and some structural annotation. Extremely valuable resource to the language acquisition community.



```
@Loc: Eng-NA-MOR/Rollins/al12.cha
@PID: 11312/c-00017262-1
@Begin
@Languages: eng
@Participants: CHI Target_Child , MOT Mother
@ID: eng|rollins|CHI|||Target_Child|||
@ID: eng|rollins|MOT|||Mother|||
@Media: al12, video
@Activities: Free Play
*MOT: you haven't seen this . ▶
%mor: pro|you aux|have-neg|not part|see&PASTP pro:dem|this .
%gra: 1|4|SUBJ 2|4|AUX 3|2|NEG 4|0|ROOT 5|4|OBJ 6|4|PUNCT
*MOT: that looks pretty cool . ▶
%mor: det|that n|look-PL adv:int|pretty adj|cool .
%gra: 1|2|DET 2|0|INCRROOT 3|4|JCT 4|2|XMOD 5|2|PUNCT
*MOT: do you know how to work that . ▶
%mor: mod|do pro|you v|know adv:wh|how inf|to v|work pro:dem|that .
%gra: 1|3|AUX 2|3|SUBJ 3|0|ROOT 4|3|OBJ 5|6|INF 6|4|XCOMP 7|6|OBJ 8|3|PUNCT
*MOT: yes you do . ▶
%mor: co|yes pro|you v|do .
%gra: 1|3|COM 2|3|SUBJ 3|0|ROOT 4|3|PUNCT
```

Research methods

CHILDES



Child Language Data Exchange
System

<http://childe.talkbank.org>

Difficulty: Have to transcribe recorded speech. May take between 5 and 20 hours to faithfully transcribe 1 hour of child speech.

Why?

Conversational speech doesn't often use complete sentences.

Child pronunciation is often not adult-like - and the non-adult-like parts are usually what researchers are interested in.

[http://childe.talkbank.org/browser/index.php?
url=Eng-NA/Braunwald/1-05-09.cha](http://childe.talkbank.org/browser/index.php?url=Eng-NA/Braunwald/1-05-09.cha)

Research methods

CHILDES



Child Language Data Exchange
System

<http://childe.talkbank.org>

Example from the Braunwald corpus

[http://childe.talkbank.org/
browser/index.php?url=Eng-NA/
Braunwald/1-05-09.cha](http://childe.talkbank.org/browser/index.php?url=Eng-NA/Braunwald/1-05-09.cha)

```
62      *CHI: no . [+ SR]          ▶
63      %mor: co|no .
64      %gra: 1|0|INCR0OT 2|1|PUNCT
65      *CHI: <get down> [?] .
66      %mor: v|get adv|down .
67      %gra: 1|0|R0OT 2|1|JCT 3|1|PUNCT
68      *MOT: what do you want to do , Laura ?
69      %mor: pro:int|what mod|do pro:per|you v|want in
70      n:prop|Laura ?
71      %gra: 1|4|LINK 2|4|AUX 3|4|SUBJ 4|0|R0OT 5|6|IN
72      9|4|PUNCT
73      *MOT: you wanna [: want to] go night_night ?
```

Research methods

CHILDES



Child Language Data Exchange
System

<http://childestalkbank.org>

“In terms of its impact on the field of language development, **CHILDES is a game-changer**. It allows researchers with limited resources to test hypotheses using an extremely rich data set. It allows for comparison across many different languages, which makes it possible to look for universal cross-linguistic patterns in language development....because the transcripts also include language by the adults that the children are interacting with, it also allows researchers to test detailed quantitative predictions about the relationships between a child’s input and her language production.” — Sedivy 2014, p.224

Research methods

CHILDES



Child Language Data Exchange
System

<http://childe.talkbank.org>

Used to find out the nature of language children produce. Ideally, sample is representative of everything child says - but hard to do in practice. (Deb Roy's work is a notable exception.)

Because of this, it is hard to make claims that children don't use/know a particular structure based on its absence in spontaneous speech samples. It could be that they simply didn't say that structure when they were being recorded.

Research methods

Getting standardized assessments of children's performance

Use coding systems like Mean Length of Utterance (MLU), which correlates with measures of children's grammatical and phonological development. This is done by tracking the average number of meaning-bearing units (morphemes) in the child's speech.

Ex: "He likes me" = 4 morphemes ("he", "like", "-s", "me")

Use estimates that caregivers provide of children's performance, such as the MacArthur-Bates Communicative Development Inventories (CDIs): 8-16 months, 16-30 months, 30-36 months. These include checklists of words, gestures, and word combinations children produce or comprehend.

Research methods

Some ways to assess children's **comprehension** abilities:

(1) Use examiner-administered tests like the **Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test**, where the child points at a picture matching the word(s).

(2) **Act-out tasks:** The child is given toys and a linguistic description, and must make the toys act out the appropriate scenario.

“The wolf is happy to bite the lion.”



<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UY04SEjZJSw&list=PL95604CD0326F659A&index=2>

Research methods

Some ways to assess children's **comprehension** abilities:

- (3) **Pointing tasks:** The child points at the picture that matches the linguistic description (words or sentences).
- (4) **Grammaticality judgment tasks:** Child indicates whether spoken utterance sounds “okay” or “silly”.

Grammaticality: Is this a silly thing to say?



Every penguin ate two fish. 

Every penguin went two fish 

Research methods

Some ways to assess children's **production** abilities:

(1) **elicited production**:

“What’s Ernie doing?” “What happened to the ball?”

(2) **repetition/imitation elicitation**:

“Say this: ‘After she ate the peach, Sarah fell asleep.’”

(3) **syntactic priming**: Modeling a syntactic construction with one utterance, and having the child produce a novel utterance that uses that same construction

Passive example:

“...the ball is being bounced by Ernie...Oh look! What’s happening to that peach?”

(Intended response: “The peach is being eaten by Sarah.”)

Research methods

Computational modeling (Digital children)

Create a computer program that implements some learning theory's ideas about how acquisition works (ex: what learning strategies children might use), and therefore test that theory empirically.

Ex: Learning to segment units in fluent speech (speech segmentation):


Swingley 2005, Gambell & Yang 2006, Pearl, Goldwater, & Steyvers 2011, Phillips & Pearl 2012, 2014a, 2014b, 2015, Pearl & Phillips 2018



= wʌrəplɪkɪrɪ
wʌr ə plɪkɪ rɪ

speech segmentation


what a pretty kitty!



Research methods

Computational modeling (Digital children)

An example with speech segmentation,
implementing a particular learning strategy



= wʌrəplɪkɪrɪ
wʌrɪ e plɪrɪ kɪrɪ


speech segmentation

what a pretty kitty!



speech segmentation

Research methods



what a pretty kitty!

(1) Decide what kind of learner the model represents


For the first stages of speech segmentation:

Typically developing 6- to 8-month-old child learning first language



speech segmentation

Research methods



what a pretty kitty!

(2) Decide what data the child learns from (input)

Example empirical data: CHILDES database

<http://childestalkbank.org>

CHILDES

Child Language Data Exchange System

Video/audio recordings of speech samples, along with transcriptions and some structural annotations.



```

@Loc: Eng-NA-MOR/Rollins/al12.cha
@PID: 11312/c-00017262-1
@Begin
@Languages: eng
@Participants: CHI Target_Child , MOT Mother
@ID: eng|rollins|CHI||||Target_Child|||
@ID: eng|rollins|MOT||||Mother|||
@Media: al12, video
@Activities: Free Play
*MOT: you haven't seen this . ▶
%mor: pro|you|aux|have~neg|not part|see&PASTP pro:dem|this .
%gra: 1|4|SUBJ 2|4|AUX 3|2|NEG 4|0|ROOT 5|4|OBJ 6|4|PUNCT
*MOT: that looks pretty cool . ▶
%mor: det|that n|look-PL adv:int|pretty adj|cool .
%gra: 1|2|DET 2|0|INCROOT 3|4|JCT 4|2|XMOD 5|2|PUNCT
*MOT: do you know how to work that . ▶
%mor: mod|do pro|you v|know adv:wh|how inf|to v|work pro:dem|that .
%gra: 1|3|AUX 2|3|SUBJ 3|0|ROOT 4|3|OBJ 5|6|INF 6|4|XCOMP 7|6|OBJ 8|3|PUNCT
*MOT: yes you do . ▶
%mor: co|yes pro|you v|do .
%gra: 1|3|COM 2|3|SUBJ 3|0|ROOT 4|3|PUNCT


```

Computational modeling (Digital children)

speech segmentation




Research methods



what a pretty kitty!

(3) Decide how the child perceives the data, and which data are relevant (intake)



syllables with stress

= w[']Λ rə pr[']I ri k[']I ri

Many models will try to make cognitively plausible assumptions about how the child is representing and processing input data

Computational modeling (Digital children)


speech segmentation



Research methods

@Loc: Eng-NA-MOR/Rollins/al12.cha
 @PID: 11312/c-00017262-1
 @Begin:
 @Languages: eng
 @Participants: CHI Target_Child , MOT Mother
 @ID: eng|rollins|MOR|||||Target_Child|||
 @Media: al12, video
 @Activities: Free Play
 @Transcriber: havens, this -
 *Mof: pro you aux|have|neg|neg|part|see&PASTP pro|den|this .
 *gra: 1|4|SUBJ 2|4|AUX 3|2|NEG 4|6|ROOT 5|4|OBJ 6|4|PUNCT
 *Mof: der|that n|look PL adv|int|prety adj|cool .
 *gra: 1|2|DET 2|0|INCR|ROOT 3|4|JCT 4|2|XMOD 5|2|PUNCT
 *MOT: do you know how|to work that
 *gra: 1|3|VOC 2|1|SUBJ 3|0|ROOT 4|3|OBJ 5|6|INF 6|4|XCOMP 7|6|OBJ 8|3|PUNCT
 *MOT: yes You do
 *Mof: colyes pro|you v|do ..
 *gra: 1|3|COP 2|1|SUBJ 3|0|ROOT 4|3|PUNCT

AL03F059



= w'Λ rə pr'i ri k'i ri

what a pretty kitty!

(4) Decide what **hypotheses** the child has

Example hypotheses: what the words are

w'Λrə
pr'i ri
k'i ri

w'Λ
rə
pr'i ri k'i ri

w'Λrə
pr'i ri k'i ri

Computational modeling (Digital children)

speech segmentation



= w'Λ rə pr'i ri k'i ri



w'Λ
rə
pr'i
ri


w'Λ
rə
pr'i
ri

w'Λ
rə
pr'i
ri

Research methods



= w'Λ rə pr'i ri k'i ri



what a pretty kitty!

Computational modeling (Digital children)

speech segmentation




= w'Λ rə pr'i ri k'i ri



w'Λrə
pr'i ri
k'i ri

= w'Λ rə pr'i ri k'i ri

w'Λrə
pr'i ri k'i ri



what a pretty kitty!

Research methods



(5) Decide how belief in different hypotheses is updated

Example: based on transitional probability
between syllables

Computational modeling (Digital children)

speech segmentation




= w'Λ rə pr'i ri k'i ri



w'Λ
rə
pr'i ri
k'i ri

= w'Λ rə pr'i ri k'i ri



what a pretty kitty!

w'Λ
rə
pr'i ri k'i ri

(6) Decide what the measure of success is

This can be based on your theory or empirical data about behavior

Computational modeling (Digital children)

speech segmentation




= w'Λ rə pr'i ri k'i ri



w'Λrə
pr'i ri
k'i ri

= w'Λ rə pr'i ri k'i ri



what a pretty kitty!

w'Λrə
pr'i ri k'i ri

(6) Decide what the measure of success is

This can be based on your **theory** or empirical data about behavior

Example developing knowledge
Proto-lexicon of word forms

w'Λrə *what*

rə *a*

pr'i ri *pretty*

k'i ri *kitty*

Computational modeling (Digital children)

speech segmentation




= w'Λ rə pr'i ri k'ɪ ri



w'Λrə
pr'i ri
k'ɪ ri

= w'Λ rə pr'i ri k'ɪ ri

w'Λrə
pr'i ri k'ɪ ri



what a pretty kitty!



(6) Decide what the measure of success is

This can be based on your theory or empirical data about **behavior**

w'Λr *what*

rə *a*

pr'i ri *pretty*

k'ɪ ri *kitty*

Example behavior
indicating developed
knowledge:



Recognizing useful units (such as words) in a fluent speech stream, as indicated by **looking time behavior**

Computational modeling (Digital children)

speech segmentation



= w'Λ rə pr'i ri k'ɪ ri



w'Λrə
pr'i ri
k'ɪ ri


w'Λrə *what*
rə
pr'i ri *pretty*
k'ɪ ri *kitty*

This is the heart of the model

Research methods



= w'Λ rə pr'i ri k'ɪ ri



what a pretty kitty!



Computational modeling (Digital children)

speech segmentation

Research methods




= w'Λ rə pr'i ri k'i ri
 ↗ ↗ ↗ ↗ ↗ ↗


= w'Λ rə pr'i ri k'i ri



(7) Implement the model in a programming language of choice



k*i*^l*i**r**i* *kitty*



what a pretty kitty!



Computational modeling (Digital children)

speech segmentation



Research methods

= *w'Λ r̥ p̄ɪ'i r̥ i k̄ɪ'i r̥ i*



```

@Loc: Eng-NA-NOR/Rollins/all2.cha
@PID: 11312/c-00017262-1
@Begin
@End: eng|rollins|(O|[||Target Child|_|MOT Mother|
@ID: eng|rollins|MOT|[||Mother|||
@Mia: AL03FO59
@Activities: Free Play
>*Mia: you know what's cool? .
>*Mia: that looks pretty cool.
>*Mia: that looks pretty cool.
>*Mia: that looks pretty cool.
>*Mia: do you know how to work?
>Mia: no! do you know how to work?
>Mia: work?
>Mia: work?
>Mia: no! do you know how to work?
>Mia: work?
  
```

= *w'Λ r̥ p̄ɪ'i r̥ i k̄ɪ'i r̥ i* →



**(8) See how well the model did w.r.t.
the measure of success**



Example developing knowledge

Proto-lexicon of word forms

???

w'Λr̥

a

p̄ɪ'i r̥ i

pretty

k̄ɪ'i r̥ i

kitty

Computational modeling (Digital children)

speech segmentation



Research methods

Eng-NA-NOR/Rollins/all1.cha
@PID: 11312/c-/00017262-1
@Begin: eng
@Language: eng
@Participants: CHL Target Child , MOT Mother
@ID: eng|rollins|CHL||||Target Child| |||
@Time: 1.12.2012 12:54:50
@Activities: Free Play
>MOT: you know how to say pretty .
>MOT: you know how to say pretty .
>MOT: that looks pretty cool .
>MOT: do you know how to say pretty .
>MOT: you know how to say pretty .
>MOT: do you know how to say pretty .
>MOT: do you know how to say pretty .
>MOT: do you know how to say pretty .

= w'Λ rə pi'r i ri k'i ri

= w'Λ rə pi'r i ri k'i ri



**(8) See how well the model did w.r.t.
the measure of success**

Recognizing useful units (such as words) in a fluent speech stream, as indicated by **looking time behavior**

???



Computational modeling (Digital children)

speech segmentation



???

Research methods

$= w^l \ r \ə \ p \r i \ t \ k \i \ r \i$

$= w^l \ r \ə \ p \r i \ t \ k \i \ r \i \rightarrow$

$w^l \r \ə$
 $p \r i \ t \ k \i \ r \i$

$w^l \r \ə$
 $w \l \ what$
 $a \ a$

$p \r i \ t \ k \i \ r \i$ *pretty*

$k \i \ r \i$ *kitty*

(8) See how well the model did w.r.t.
the measure of success

From this, we can determine how well the model did —
and more importantly, how well the strategy
implemented concretely in the model did.

Computational modeling (Digital children)

speech segmentation



???

= w'Λ rə pi'ɪ ri k'ɪ ri
↑↑↑↑↑↑↑↑



= w'Λ rə pi'ɪ ri k'ɪ ri



(9) Interpret the results for other people who aren't you so they know why they should care




"The modeled child has the same **developing knowledge** as we think 8-month-olds do. This strategy can be what they're using!"

w'Λrə *what*

ə *a*

pi'ɪri *pretty*

k'ɪri *kitty*



what a pretty kitty!



Computational modeling (Digital children)

speech segmentation



???

= w'Λ rə pr'i ri k'i ri
↑↑↑↑↑↑↑↑



= w'Λ rə pr'i ri k'i ri



pr'i ri *pretty*


k'i ri *kitty*



“The modeled child can reproduce the behavior we see in 8-month-olds. This strategy could be what they’re using to generate that behavior!”



Research methods



what a pretty kitty!

Recap

Even though children rarely get explicit correction, they can get some help on what the correct forms are by listening to recasts in the input.

Children's input often consists of caretaker speech, which has many properties that may aid language acquisition.

There are different methods for investigating questions in language acquisition, most of which involve using child-directed input and child-produced output.

One research method gaining prominence in the field is computational modeling, which tends to look at specific implementations of how the process of language acquisition could work.

Questions?



You should be able to do up through 18 on the introductory review questions and up through 2 on HW1.