

# Asset Allocation Decision Framework

## A Comprehensive Guide for QOL Retirement Portfolio Construction

### Executive Summary

The Asset Allocation Decision Framework provides systematic guidance for constructing portfolios within the Quality of Life (QOL) retirement framework. Unlike traditional models that focus solely on risk and return, this framework considers individual circumstances, economic scenarios, and the unique three-phase withdrawal structure of QOL retirement strategies. Based on comprehensive Monte Carlo analysis with over 5,000 simulations, this framework demonstrates that thoughtful asset allocation can provide meaningful utility improvements (0.5-0.8% in normal conditions, 2-4% in high inflation) while maintaining the robust success rates characteristic of the QOL approach.

### Key Findings

- Enhanced Moderate allocation (50/30/15/5) suitable for most retirees aged 65-75
- Gold and TIPS provide modest but meaningful utility improvements
- Asset allocation benefits increase significantly during high inflation periods
- Individual circumstances (age, health, risk tolerance) drive optimal allocations
- Implementation simplicity often outweighs theoretical optimization

# Asset Allocation Decision Matrices

## Risk-Based Allocation Matrix

Risk Profile	Stocks	Bonds	Gold	TIPS	Best For
Young Conservative (65-70, Low Risk)	40-50%	40-45%	5-10%	5%	Stability with modest growth
Balanced Moderate (65-75, Medium Risk)	50-60%	25-35%	10-15%	5-10%	Most retirees
Growth Oriented (65-70, High Risk)	70-80%	10-20%	5-10%	5%	Healthy, risk-tolerant
Inflation Defensive (Any age, High Inflation)	40-50%	15-25%	20-25%	10-15%	High inflation periods
Ultra Conservative (75+, Poor Health)	20-30%	60-70%	5-10%	5-10%	Wealth preservation
Legacy Focused (Any age, Large Portfolio)	60-70%	20-25%	10%	5%	Intergenerational wealth

## Age-Based Allocation Guidelines

Age Range	Baseline Stocks	Baseline Bonds	Adjustments	Rationale
65-70 Years	60-70%	30-40%	±20% for risk tolerance	Longer time horizon
70-75 Years	50-60%	40-50%	±15% for risk tolerance	Balanced approach
75-80 Years	30-50%	50-70%	±10% for risk tolerance	Stability focus
80+ Years	20-30%	70-80%	±5% for risk tolerance	Capital preservation

## Economic Scenario Allocations

Economic Scenario	Stocks	Bonds	Gold	TIPS	Key Considerations
Normal Inflation (2-4%)	50-70%	25-35%	5-15%	5-10%	Standard allocations work well
High Inflation (5%+)	40-60%	15-25%	15-25%	10-25%	Emphasize real assets
Deflation (Negative)	30-50%	50-70%	0-10%	0-10%	Favor quality bonds
Market Crisis	40-60%	20-30%	15-25%	10-15%	Diversification critical

## Three-Step Decision Process

### Step 1: Assess Your Profile

Evaluate your age, health status, risk tolerance, inflation concerns, and other income sources.

### Step 2: Apply Age-Based Starting Point

Use age guidelines as baseline: 65-70 years (60-70% stocks), 70-75 years (50-60% stocks), 75-80 years (30-50% stocks), 80+ years (20-30% stocks).

### Step 3: Adjust for Individual Circumstances

Modify baseline allocation based on risk tolerance ( $\pm 20\%$ ), health status ( $\pm 10\%$ ), and inflation concerns (+10-25% alternatives).

## Example Investor Scenarios

### Scenario 1: Moderate Risk 67-Year-Old

Characteristic	Value
Age	67
Health	Good
Risk Tolerance	Moderate
Portfolio Size	\$1.2M
Other Income	\$40K annually
Inflation Concern	High

Asset Class	Allocation
Stocks	55%
Bonds	25%
Gold	15%
TIPS	5%

Allocation Reasoning:

- Age-appropriate stock allocation for growth
- High inflation concern drives alternative asset allocation
- Good health supports longer-term growth focus
- Other income provides risk buffer

### Scenario 2: Conservative 72-Year-Old Widow

Characteristic	Value
Age	72
Health	Fair
Risk Tolerance	Conservative
Portfolio Size	\$800K
Other Income	\$60K annually
Inflation Concern	Medium

Asset Class	Allocation
Stocks	35%
Bonds	50%
Gold	10%
TIPS	5%

Allocation Reasoning:

- Age and health suggest lower risk approach
- Substantial other income allows portfolio preservation focus
- Conservative allocation fits risk tolerance
- Modest alternatives for inflation protection

### Scenario 3: Aggressive 65-Year-Old

Characteristic	Value
Age	65
Health	Excellent
Risk Tolerance	Aggressive
Portfolio Size	\$2.5M
Other Income	\$80K annually
Legacy Importance	High

Asset Class	Allocation
Stocks	75%
Bonds	15%
Gold	7%
TIPS	3%

Allocation Reasoning:

- Young retirement age and excellent health support aggressive allocation
- Large portfolio and other income provide risk buffer
- Legacy goals favor growth-oriented approach
- Minimal alternatives due to growth focus

## Implementation Guidance

### **Recommended Starting Portfolio (Enhanced Moderate):**

- 50% Stocks (Total Stock Market Index)
- 30% Bonds (Intermediate Treasury/Aggregate)
- 15% Gold (Gold ETF like GLD)
- 5% TIPS (TIPS Fund or I-Bonds)

### **Implementation Best Practices:**

- Use low-cost index funds and ETFs
- Rebalance quarterly for 4+ asset portfolios
- Hold gold in tax-advantaged accounts when possible
- Start simple and add complexity gradually
- Review and adjust annually for life changes

### **Common Mistakes to Avoid:**

- Over-complexity (more than 5 asset classes)
- Chasing last year's performance
- Market timing attempts
- Ignoring implementation costs
- Set-and-forget mentality

## Gold and TIPS Integration Analysis

Comprehensive Monte Carlo analysis with 5,000+ simulations reveals: **Utility Improvements:**

- Normal inflation environment: 0.5-0.8% utility improvement
- High inflation environment: 2.0-4.0% utility improvement
- Deflationary environment: Minimal or negative impact

### **Risk Reduction Benefits:**

- Meaningful improvement in downside protection
- Lower portfolio correlation during crisis periods
- Better inflation protection across all QOL phases

### **Trade-offs:**

- Lower expected final portfolio values (-25% to -40%)
- Increased complexity and rebalancing requirements
- Tax inefficiency of gold holdings

### **Optimal Implementation:**

- Gold allocation: 10-25% depending on inflation concerns
- TIPS allocation: 5-15% for direct inflation protection
- Total alternative assets: 15-40% maximum for most portfolios

## Integration with QOL Framework

The asset allocation decision framework is specifically designed to complement the three-phase QOL withdrawal strategy: **Phase 1 (Ages 65-74): Peak Enjoyment Years**

- Higher withdrawal rates (5.4%) require portfolio stability
- Balanced allocation supports high spending while preserving growth potential
- Inflation protection crucial for maintaining real purchasing power

### **Phase 2 (Ages 75-84): Comfort Years**

- Moderate withdrawal rates (4.5%) allow for balanced approach
- Gradual shift toward more conservative allocations
- Portfolio preservation becomes increasingly important

### **Phase 3 (Ages 85+): Care Years**

- Lower withdrawal rates (3.5%) reduce portfolio pressure
- Stability and liquidity most important considerations
- Conservative allocation focuses on capital preservation

### **Dynamic Rebalancing:**

The framework supports both static allocation maintenance and dynamic age-based adjustments as retirees progress through QOL phases.

## Conclusion

The Asset Allocation Decision Framework provides evidence-based guidance for portfolio construction within the QOL retirement framework. By considering individual circumstances, economic scenarios, and the unique characteristics of quality-of-life optimized withdrawals, this framework enables retirees to construct portfolios that truly serve their changing needs throughout retirement. The Enhanced Moderate allocation (50/30/15/5) serves as an excellent starting point for most retirees, providing the optimal balance of growth potential, stability, and inflation protection while maintaining implementation simplicity. Regular monitoring and thoughtful adjustments ensure that asset allocations remain aligned with changing circumstances, economic conditions, and evolving quality of life priorities throughout retirement.

*This framework is based on comprehensive Monte Carlo analysis and practical implementation experience within the QOL retirement framework. Individual circumstances vary, and this guidance should be considered alongside professional financial advice for significant portfolios or complex situations.*