### • 1. Problem Definition

### Problem:

Predicting student dropout rates in a university.

### **Objectives:**

- 1. Identify students at risk of dropping out early in the semester.
- 2. Suggest targeted interventions based on predicted risk.
- 3. Improve overall student retention rate by 15%.

### Stakeholders:

- University administration
- Academic advisors

### **KPI (Key Performance Indicator):**

• Retention rate improvement over two semesters

### 2. Data Collection & Preprocessing

### **Data Sources:**

- 1. Academic records (grades, attendance)
- 2. Student engagement data (LMS logins, participation)

### **Potential Bias:**

• Students without consistent internet access may appear disengaged, mislabelling them as "at risk."

## **Preprocessing Steps:**

- 1. Handle missing values (e.g., attendance gaps)
- 2. Normalize numerical features (e.g., test scores)
- 3. Encode categorical data (e.g., gender, course code)

## • 3. Model Development

## **Model Choice:**

*Random Forest* — handles both categorical and numerical data well, interpretable, and resistant to overfitting.

## **Data Splitting Strategy:**

70% training, 15% validation, 15% test
(Stratified to preserve dropout ratios)

### **Hyperparameters to Tune:**

1. n\_estimators - controls number of trees for better accuracy

2. max\_depth - prevents overfitting by limiting tree growth

# 4. Evaluation & Deployment

### **Evaluation Metrics:**

- Precision: Ensures we don't incorrectly classify students as "at risk"
- **Recall:** Ensures we capture most of the truly at-risk students

### **Concept Drift:**

Changes over time in student behaviours (e.g., post-pandemic patterns).

Monitoring: Track model accuracy over semesters and retrain regularly.

### **Deployment Challenge:**

Scalability – integrating the model with live student management systems across different faculties.

# Part 2: Case Study Application (40 Points)

# Problem Scope

### Problem:

Predict if a patient will be readmitted within 30 days after hospital discharge.

### **Objectives:**

- Identify high-risk patients
- Support better discharge planning
- Reduce hospital readmission costs

## Stakeholders:

- Doctors and discharge coordinators
- Hospital management

### Data Strategy

# **Data Sources:**

- Electronic Health Records (EHRs)
- Demographic data (age, gender, zip code)

# **Ethical Concerns:**

- 1. Patient privacy (handling sensitive data)
- 2. Algorithmic bias (e.g., against certain socioeconomic groups)

# **Preprocessing Pipeline:**

1. Impute missing values (e.g., lab results)

- 2. Normalize numerical features (e.g., age, BMI)
- 3. One-hot encode categorical variables (e.g., diagnosis codes)
- 4. Feature engineering:
  - o Create a "readmissions in past 6 months" feature
  - o Extract comorbidities count from diagnoses

# Model Development

### **Model Choice:**

Logistic Regression — interpretable and suitable for binary classification with healthcare constraints.

# **Hypothetical Confusion Matrix:**

### **Predicted Yes Predicted No**

Actual Yes 45 5

Actual No 10 40

**Precision:** 45 / (45 + 10) = 0.818 **Recall:** 45 / (45 + 5) = 0.9

# Deployment (10 pts)

# **Integration Steps:**

- 1. Convert model into a REST API
- 2. Integrate into hospital's EHR dashboard
- 3. Provide visual risk scores for each patient at discharge
- 4. Schedule periodic retraining

# **Regulatory Compliance:**

- Ensure full HIPAA compliance
- Encrypt patient data during processing and storage
- Limit access via authentication

# Optimization

# Overfitting Fix:

 Use cross-validation and dropout (if neural nets used) to generalize the model across patient populations

### Part 3: Critical Thinking (20 Points)

### • Ethics & Bias

### **Impact of Bias:**

If the model is trained on biased historical data (e.g., only patients from urban hospitals), it may underpredict readmissions in rural or underserved communities — leading to worse care for those patients.

# Mitigation Strategy:

- Ensure the training data is diverse and representative
- Use fairness metrics during model evaluation
- · Regularly audit predictions for bias

### Trade-Offs

# Interpretability vs Accuracy:

- High-accuracy models (e.g., deep neural nets) are harder to interpret, which may be **unacceptable in healthcare** where explainability is critical.
- In some cases, simpler models (e.g., logistic regression or decision trees) are preferred even if accuracy is slightly lower because they're easier for doctors to trust.

# **Computational Limits:**

- If the hospital has limited computing resources, models with heavy training demands (e.g., deep learning) may be impractical.
- Choose lightweight models like logistic regression or smaller tree ensembles for real-time inference.

# Part 4: Reflection & Diagram (10 Points)

Reflection

Most Challenging Part:

Designing a balanced preprocessing pipeline — ensuring the data was clean while also ethical and privacy-conscious.

Improvements with More Time:

### I would:

- 1. Test more models (like gradient boosting)
- 2. Collect more diverse data
- 3. Deploy the model in a secure sandbox for trial use

Workflow Diagram (5 pts)
[ Problem Definition]
↓
[ Data Collection]
↓
[ Model Preprocessing]
↓
[ Model Selection]
↓
[ Model Training & Tuning]
↓
[ Evaluation]
↓
[ Deployment]
↓
[ Monitoring & Maintenance]