

# CSL 341: Assignment 2

**Due Date: 11:50 am, Tuesday Oct 7, 2014. Total Points:**

## Notes:

- This assignment has a mix of theoretical as well as implementation questions.
- Only the implementation questions will be graded.
- You are strongly encouraged to try out theoretical questions though they are not graded.
- You should submit all your code as well as any graphs that you might plot. Do not submit answers to theoretical questions.
- For each problem that you implement, you should include a file `<question-no.writeup.txt>` which should have a brief explanation of what you did.
- You should use MATLAB for all your programming solutions.
- Your code should have appropriate documentation for readability.
- You will be graded based on what you have submitted as well as your ability to explain your code.
- Refer to the [course website](#) for assignment submission instructions.
- This assignment is supposed to be done individually. You should carry out all the implementation by yourself.
- We plan to run Moss on the submissions. Any cheating will result in a penalty of **-7** points on your total course score (in addition to a 0 on the assignment). Stricter penalties (**including a fail grade**) may follow.
- Many of the problems (and the datasets) below have been adapted from the Machine Learning courses offered by Andrew Ng, Carlos Guestrin, Pedro Domingos and possibly other researchers at their respective universities.

## 1. (30 points) Spam Classification

In this problem, we will use Support Vector Machines (SVMs) to build a spam classifier. We will be solving the SVM optimization problem using a general purpose convex optimization package as well using a customized solver known as libSVM. The dataset we will be using is a subset of 2005 TREC Public Spam Corpus. It contains a training set and a test set. Both files use the same format: each line represents the space-delimited properties of an email, with the first one being the email ID, the second one being whether it is a spam or ham (non-spam), and the rest are words and their occurrence numbers in this email. The dataset presented to you is processed version of the original dataset where non-word characters have been removed and some basic feature selection has been done. You can download the dataset from [here](#).

- (a) **(8 points)** Download and install the CVX package. Express the SVM dual problem (with a linear kernel) in the a form that the CVX package can take. You will have to think about how to express the SVM dual objective in the form  $\alpha^T Q \alpha + b^T \alpha + c$  matrix where  $Q$  is an  $m \times m$  matrix ( $m$  being the number of training examples),  $b$  is an  $m$ -sized column vector and  $c$  is a constant. For your optimization problem, remember to use the constraints on  $\alpha_i$ 's in the dual. Use  $C = 1$ . Report the set of support vectors obtained from your optimization.

- (b) **(6 points)** Calculate the weight vector  $w$  and the intercept term  $b$  using the solution in the part above. Classify the test examples as spam or non-spam. Report the average accuracy obtained.
  - (c) **(6 points)** Now solve the dual SVM problem using a Gaussian kernel with the bandwidth parameter  $\gamma = 2.5 * 10^{-4}$ . Think about how the  $Q$  matrix will be represented. What are the set of support vectors in this case? Note that you may not be able to explicitly store the weight vector ( $w$ ) or the intercept term ( $b$ ) in this case. Use your learned model to classify the test examples and report the accuracies obtained. How do these compare with the ones obtained with the linear SVM?
  - (d) **(10 points)** Now train an SVM on this dataset using the LibSVM library, available for download from LibSVM. Repeat the parts above using a linear Kernel as well as a Gaussian kernel with  $\gamma = 2.5 * 10^{-4}$ . Use  $C = 1$  in both cases, as before. Report the set of support vectors obtained as well as the test set accuracies for both linear as well as the Gaussian kernel setting. How do these compare with the numbers obtained using the CVX package. Comment.
- Note: You do not need to submit the CVX or LibSVM code. But you should submit any code that you wrote as a wrapper to get them to run on this dataset.

## 2. (20 points + 8 extra credit) Digit Recognition

In this problem, you are given the MNIST handwritten digit dataset<sup>1</sup> (mnist\_all.mat) that contains 60K training and 10K testing examples of handwritten digits. Each example in the dataset is represented by 784 features corresponding to  $(28 \times 28)$  pixel values  $([0, 255])$ . The classes are 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 corresponding to each digit. Examples are partitioned based on the class to which they belong. We will implement the neural network learning algorithm (using backpropagation) to recognize the digits given the pixel values.

- (a) **(4 points)** Write a script to visualize the digits in the data. Your script should take a file/example index and display the image corresponding to the gray scale pixel values.
- (b) **(12 points)** Extract the data for classes 3 and 8 from the original mnist\_all.mat file to create a new mnist\_bin38.mat file for binary classification. Train a neural network with one hidden layer (with 100 units) using the backpropagation algorithm. You should implement the algorithm from first principles and not use any existing matlab modules. Use the stochastic gradient version of the algorithm. Use a variable learning rate given as  $\alpha_t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}$  where  $t$  denotes the learning iteration. Choose an appropriate stopping criteria based on the change in value of the error function. Report the stopping criteria that you chose.
- (c) **(4 points)** Report your accuracies over the test set using the learned network. Also report the training times of your algorithm.
- (d) **(Extra Credit: 8 points)** Train the neural network classifier on the original multiclass MNIST dataset with the same experimental settings as in the binary case above. How many output units would you need in this case? Report the accuracies over the test set. Do you see any difference in training times compared to the binary setting?

## 3. (24 points) Newsgroup Classification

In this problem, we will use the naïve Bayes algorithm to learn a model for classifying an article into a given set of newsgroups. The data and its description is available through the UCI data repository. The original data is description available [here](#). We have processed the data further to remove punctuation symbols, stopwords etc. The processed dataset contains the subset of the articles in the newsgroups rec.\* and talk.\*. This corresponds to a total of 7230 articles in 8 different newsgroups. The processed data is made available to you in a single file with each row representing one article. Each row contains the information about the class of an article followed by the list of words appearing in the article.

- (a) **(10 points)** Implement the Naïve Bayes algorithm to classify each of the articles into one of the newsgroup categories. Randomly divide your data into 5 equal sized splits of size 1446 each. Make sure NOT TO create the splits in any particular order (e.g. picking documents sequentially) otherwise it may lead to skewed distribution of classes across the splits. Now, perform 5-fold cross validation (train on each possible combination of 4 splits and the test on the remaining one). Report average test set accuracies.

Notes:

---

<sup>1</sup>data is available at <http://www.cs.nyu.edu/~roweis/data.html>

- Make sure to use the Laplace smoothing for Naïve Bayes (as discussed in class) to avoid any zero probabilities.
  - You should implement your algorithm using logarithms to avoid underflow issues.
  - You should implement naïve Bayes from the first principles and not use any existing Matlab modules.
- (b) **(2 points)** What is the accuracy that you would obtain by randomly guessing one of the newsgroups as the target class for each of the articles. How much improvement does your algorithm give over a random prediction?
- (c) **(2 points)** Some (4% in the original data) of the articles were cross-posted i.e. posted on more than one newsgroup. Does it create a problem for the naïve Bayes learner? Explain.
- (d) **(6 points)** For each of the train/test splits in part (a) above, vary the number of articles used in training from 1000 to 5784 (training split size) at the interval of 1000 and evaluate on the test split (sized 1446). Plot on a graph the train as well as the test set accuracies (y-axis) averaged over the 5 random splits, as you vary the number of training examples (x-axis). This is called the learning curve. What do you observe? Explain.
- (e) **(4 points)** Read about the confusion matrix. Draw the confusion matrix for your results in the part (a) above. Which newsgroup has the highest value of the diagonal entry in the confusion matrix? Which two newsgroups are confused the most with each other i.e. which is the highest entry amongst the non-diagonal entries in the confusion matrix? Explain your observations. Include the confusion matrix in your submission.

Note: You should submit all your code including any code written for processing the data.

#### 4. Generative Vs. Discriminative Classifiers: Coming Soon..

Following problems are for your practice and will not be graded.

##### 1. Constructing Kernels

In class, we saw that by choosing a kernel  $K(x, z) = \phi(x)^T \phi(z)$ , we can implicitly map data to a high dimensional space, and have the SVM algorithm work in that space. One way to generate kernels is to explicitly define the mapping  $\phi$  to a higher dimensional space, and then work out the corresponding  $K$ .

However in this question we are interested in direct construction of kernels. I.e., suppose we have a function  $K(x, z)$  that we think gives an appropriate similarity measure for our learning problem, and we are considering plugging  $K$  into the SVM as the kernel function. However for  $K(x, z)$  to be a valid kernel, it must correspond to an inner product in some higher dimensional space resulting from some feature mapping  $\phi$ . Mercer's theorem tells us that  $K(x, z)$  is a (Mercer) kernel if and only if for any finite set  $\{x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(m)}\}$ , the matrix  $K$  is symmetric and positive semidefinite, where the square matrix  $K \in R^{m \times m}$  is given by  $K_{ij} = K(x^{(i)}, x^{(j)})$ .

Now here comes the question: Let  $K_1, K_2$  be kernels over  $R^n \times R^n$ , let  $a \in R^+$  be a positive real number, let  $f : R^n \mapsto R$  be a real-valued function, let  $\phi : R^n \mapsto R^d$  be a function mapping from  $R^n$  to  $R^d$ , let  $K_3$  be a kernel over  $R^d \times R^d$ , and let  $p(x)$  a polynomial over  $x$  with positive coefficients. For each of the functions  $K$  below, state whether it is necessarily a kernel. If you think it is, prove it; if you think it isn't, give a counter-example.

- (a)  $K(x, z) = K_1(x, z) + K_2(x, z)$
- (b)  $K(x, z) = K_1(x, z) - K_2(x, z)$
- (c)  $K(x, z) = aK_1(x, z)$
- (d)  $K(x, z) = -aK_1(x, z)$
- (e)  $K(x, z) = K_1(x, z)K_2(x, z)$
- (f)  $K(x, z) = f(x)f(z)$
- (g)  $K(x, z) = K_3(\phi(x), \phi(z))$
- (h)  $K(x, z) = p(K_1(x, z))$

## 2. Kernelizing the Perceptron

Let there be a binary classification problem with  $y \in \{0, 1\}$ . The perceptron uses hypotheses of the form  $h_\theta(x) = g(\theta^T x)$ , where  $g(z) = \mathbf{1}\{z \geq 0\}$ . In this problem, we will consider a stochastic gradient descent-like implementation of the perceptron algorithm where each update to the parameters  $\theta$  is made using only one training example. However, unlike stochastic gradient descent, the perceptron algorithm will only make one pass through the entire training set. The update rule for this version of the perceptron algorithm is given by

$$\theta^{(i+1)} := \theta^{(i)} + \alpha[y^{(i+1)} - h_{\theta^{(i)}}(x^{(i+1)})]x^{(i+1)}$$

where  $\theta^{(i)}$  is the value of the parameters after the algorithm has seen the first  $i$  training examples. Prior to seeing any training examples,  $\theta^{(0)}$  is initialized to  $\vec{0}$ .

Let  $K$  be a Mercer kernel corresponding to some very high-dimensional feature mapping  $\phi$ . Suppose  $\phi$  is so high-dimensional (say,  $\infty$ -dimensional) that it's infeasible to ever represent  $\phi(x)$  explicitly. Describe how you would apply the "kernel trick" to the perceptron to make it work in the high-dimensional feature space  $\phi$ , but without ever explicitly computing  $\phi(x)$ . [Note: You don't have to worry about the intercept term. If you like, think of  $\phi$  as having the property that  $\phi_0(x) = 1$  so that this is taken care of.] Your description should specify

- How you will (implicitly) represent the high-dimensional parameter vector  $\theta^{(i)}$ , including how the initial value  $\theta^{(0)} = \vec{0}$  is represented (note that  $\theta^{(i)}$  is now a vector whose dimension is the same as the feature vectors  $\phi(x)$ );
- How you will efficiently make a prediction on a new input  $x^{(i+1)}$ . I.e., how you will compute  $h_{\theta^{(i)}}(x^{(i+1)}) = g(\theta^{(i)T} \phi(x^{(i+1)}))$ , using your representation of  $\theta^{(i)}$ ; and
- How you will modify the update rule given above to perform an update to  $\theta$  on a new training example  $(x^{(i+1)}, y^{(i+1)})$ ; i.e., using the update rule corresponding to the feature mapping  $\phi$ :

$$\theta^{(i+1)} := \theta^{(i)} + \alpha[y^{(i+1)} - h_{\theta^{(i)}}(x^{(i+1)})]\phi(x^{(i+1)})$$

[Note: If you prefer, you are also welcome to do this problem using the convention of labels  $y \in \{-1, 1\}$ , and  $g(z) = \text{sign}(z) = 1$  if  $z \geq 0$ ,  $-1$  otherwise.]

## 3. Neural Networks

One of the ways to avoid overfitting during neural network learning is to add a penalty term to the cost function, which penalizes large weights. This has the effect of trading-off minimization of the squared error with keeping some function of the weights' magnitude low. Typically, 2-norm of the weight vector is used as the penalty term. Consider the alternate error function defined as:

$$J(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in \{1 \dots m\}} \sum_{k \in \text{outputs}} (y_k^{(i)} - o_k^{(i)})^2 + \gamma \sum_{l,k} \theta_{kl}^2$$

Derive the stochastic gradient descent update rule for this definition of  $J$ . Show that it can be implemented by multiplying each weight by some constant before performing the standard gradient descent update.

## 4. Dealing with Non-Linear Hypotheses

Consider learning the target concepts corresponding to circles in the  $x, y$  plane. Describe each hypothesis  $h_{cr}$  by the co-ordinates of the center  $(c_x, c_y)$  and the radius  $r$  of the circle. An instance  $(x, y)$  is labeled positive by hypothesis  $h_{cr}$  if and only if the point  $(x, y)$  lies inside the corresponding circle.

- Write the mathematical expression for the classification rule imposed by a hypothesis  $h_{cr}$  as defined above.
- Let the training error of a hypothesis be defined as in the case of perceptron i.e. number of examples classified incorrectly by the hypothesis. Can you devise a gradient descent algorithm to learn the hypothesis minimizing this error function. Argue.
- Devise an alternate error function so that error is a continuous function of the inputs. You should come up with an error function which is reasonable i.e. makes intuitive sense.
- Derive the gradient descent rule for the error function defined in the part above.