

# Lecture 3: Ideles & (maybe) Tate integrals

Recall: The ring of adeles  $\mathbb{A}_K$  admits  $K$  as a discrete cocompact additive subgroup.

Eg:  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}$  is compact

$$x = (x_v)_{v \in V_{\mathbb{Q}}} = (x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots)$$

I want to find a compact set  $\sum \subseteq \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , s.t.  $\forall x \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}, \exists y \in \mathbb{Q}$  s.t.

$$x - y \in \sum$$

Fix a set  $S \subseteq V_{\mathbb{Q}}$  s.t.

$$x_p \in \mathbb{Q}_p / \mathbb{Z}_p \Rightarrow p \in S, S \text{ is a finite set}$$

e.g. if  $p=2$ , then

$$x_2 = [a_{-n} 2^{-n} + a_{-n+1} 2^{-n+1} + \dots] + a_0 + a_1 2 + \dots \in y$$

Subtracting  $y$  from  $x$ , makes  $(x-y)_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$

To check  $y \in \mathbb{Q}$  lies in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  for every  $p \neq 2$ . Continuing by induction, one can find  $y \in \mathbb{Q}$  s.t.  $x_p - y \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  for every  $p \in P$

But then  $x - y$  is a real number,

which upto addition by  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$

lies in  $(0, 1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$

Therefore take  $\sum \subseteq \mathbb{A}_K$

$$= (0, 1] \times (\mathbb{Z}_p)_{p \in P} \quad \begin{matrix} \nwarrow \\ \mathbb{Q}_{\infty} \end{matrix}$$

Recall

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}} \subseteq \prod_{v \in V_{\mathbb{Q}}} \mathbb{Q}_v$$

$$= \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}_2 \times \mathbb{Q}_3 \dots$$

$$(x_v)_{v \in V_{\mathbb{Q}}} \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

$\Leftrightarrow x_v \in \mathbb{Z}_v$  for all but fin. many  $v \in V_{\mathbb{Q}}$

Ideles:  $V = \# \text{ fixed}$ ,  $\mathbb{A}_K = \text{ring of adeles}$   
 Recall  $\mathbb{A}_K$  is a ring but not a field!  
 Define  $\mathbb{J}_K = \text{the group of units in } \mathbb{A}_K$

$$\mathbb{J}_K = \bigcup_{V_K^\infty \subseteq S \subseteq V_K \text{ finite}} \mathbb{J}(S)$$

$$\mathbb{J}(S) = \prod_{v \in S} K_v^\times \times \prod_{v \notin S} \mathcal{O}_v^\times$$

Warning:  $\mathbb{J}_K$  does not have the subset topology  
 from  $\mathbb{J}_K \subseteq \mathbb{A}_K$

get  
 $\mathbb{J}_K \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_K \times \mathbb{A}_K$   
 $x \mapsto (x, x^{-1})$

Define: Idelic norm:

$$\text{For } x = (x_v)_{v \in V_K} \in \mathbb{J}_K$$

$$N_{\mathbb{J}}(x) = \prod_{\substack{v \in V_K^\infty \\ v \text{ is real}}} |x|_v \quad \prod_{\substack{v \in V_K^\infty \\ v \text{ is complex}}} |x_v|^2 \prod_{v \in V_K^f} |x|_v$$

$$N_{\mathbb{J}}: \mathbb{J}_K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$

Question: Why is this well-defined?

Thm: (Artin Product formula:)

(Consider

$$K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{J}_K$$

$$N_{\mathbb{J}}(x) = 1 \quad \text{for } x \in K^\times$$

$x \in K^\times$   
 $x \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times \text{ for all but finitely many } v \in V_K$

Eg:  $\mathbb{J}_{\mathbb{Q}_2}$ , consider  $\gamma_6 \in \mathbb{Q}$

$$N_{\mathbb{J}}(\gamma_6) = \left( \prod_{\substack{v \in V_K^\infty \\ v \text{ is real}}} |\gamma_6|_v \right) \times \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} |\gamma_6|_p$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \mathbb{I}_6 + (\mathbb{I}_6|_2 \times \mathbb{I}_6|_3) \times \dots \\
 &= \mathbb{I}_6 \times \frac{\mathbb{I}_2|_2}{\mathbb{I}_6|_2} \times \frac{\mathbb{I}_3|_3}{\mathbb{I}_6|_3} \times \frac{\mathbb{I}_5|_5}{\mathbb{I}_6|_5} \times \dots \\
 &= \mathbb{I}_6 \times \mathbb{I}_2^{-1} \times \mathbb{I}_3^{-1} = 1
 \end{aligned}$$

One gets the following exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \rightarrow & \overline{J_K^{(1)}} & \rightarrow & J_K & \xrightarrow{N_{J_K}} & \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \text{UI} & & & & \\
 & & K^* & & & &
 \end{array}$$

Question:

which of the two would have infinite volume w.r.t Haar measure.

Rmk: Every locally compact group has a left-invariant Haar measure

•  $\overline{J_K^{(1)}}$  or •  $\frac{J_K^{(1)}}{K^*}$

Justification: The map  $J_K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  obstructs the finiteness of Haar measure

Thm:

$\frac{J_K^{(1)}}{K^*}$  is compact

(Every loc. compact Abelian group with finite Haar measure is compact)

Consequence: Dirichlet unit thm  
+ finiteness of class group

Ex: find a alg.  $\mathbb{Q}$ -group with no  $\mathbb{Q}$ -characters that proves this Thm from BHC

"Proof of cons."

Consider  $I : J_K \rightarrow \{\mathbb{O}_K\text{-modules of } K\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (x_v)_{v \in V_K} &\mapsto \prod_{v \in V_K^f} P_v^{n_v} \\
 \text{where } |x_v|_v &= N(P)^{-n_v}
 \end{aligned}$$

This map take an ideal to a fractional ideal in  $K$

$$\text{For } x = (x_v)_{v \in V_K}, y \in K^\times$$

$$I(xy) = y I(x)$$

So this gives a map

$$\frac{\left(\prod_{v \in V_K} K_v^\times\right)^{(1)}}{O_K^\times} \times \left(\prod_{v \in V_K} O_v^\times\right) \rightarrow \frac{J_K^{(1)}}{K^\times} \rightarrow \begin{cases} \text{frac. ideals} \\ \sim \text{multiplication by principal ideals} \end{cases}$$

$\hookrightarrow$

$$\frac{(K \otimes \mathbb{R})^{(1)}}{O_K^\times} \times \left(\prod_{v \in V_K} O_v^\times\right) \quad \text{Class group } Cl(K)$$

$\hookrightarrow$

Tate integrals :

The Riemann zeta function,  $\Re(s) > 1$  for

$$\zeta(s) = \prod_{p \in P} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1}$$

Functional equation

$$\text{Put } \zeta^*(s) = \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2) \zeta_K(s)$$

$$\text{then } \zeta^*(s) = \zeta^*(1-s)$$

One can write

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1} = 1 + \frac{1}{p^s} + \frac{1}{p^{2s}} + \frac{1}{p^{3s}} \dots \text{as an integral on } \mathbb{Z}_p$$

$$p=2, \quad \text{Want to show} \quad \int_{\mathbb{Z}_2^s} |x|_2^s dx = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^s}\right)^{-1}$$

where  $dx = \text{Haar measure on } \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$   
s.t.  $dx(\mathbb{Z}_p^\times) = 1$

Observe

$$x \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \Rightarrow x = x_0 + x_1 2 + x_2 2^2 + \dots$$

$$x \in \mathbb{Z}_2^+ \text{ iff } x_0 = 1$$

$$x \in 2\mathbb{Z}_2^+ \text{ iff } x_0 = 0, x_1 = 1$$

$$x \in 2^2 \mathbb{Z}_2^+ \text{ iff } x_0 = 0, x_1 = 0, x_2 = 1, \dots$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_2 = \mathbb{Z}_2^+ \sqcup 2\mathbb{Z}_2^+ \sqcup 2^2 \mathbb{Z}_2^+ \sqcup \dots$$

$$\underbrace{\quad}_{\substack{\text{vol}=1 \\ \text{wrt } d_2^+}} \quad \underbrace{\quad}_{\substack{\text{vol}=1 \\ \text{wrt } d_2^+}} \quad \underbrace{\quad}_{\substack{\text{vol}=1 \\ \text{wrt } d_2^+}}$$

$$1 \cdot l_2^s = 1 \quad 1 \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2^s} \quad 1 \cdot \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{2^{2s}}$$

$$\text{So} \quad \int_{\mathbb{Z}_2^s} |x|_2^s dx = 1 + \frac{1}{2^s} + \frac{1}{4^s} + \dots$$



$$\text{Now} \quad \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^\times} e^{-\pi x^2} |x|^s \frac{dx}{x}$$

$\mathbb{R}^\times$

Observe that  $\frac{dx}{x}$  is a Haar measure

So what we get

$$\exists f: \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$\text{Given as } f(x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots) = e^{-\pi x_0^2} \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \cdots$$

$$\text{We get } \zeta^*(s) = \int_{\mathbb{J}^X(\mathbb{Q})} f(x) |N_{\mathcal{O}}(x)|^s d^X x$$

where  $d^X x$  is a suitable Haar measure.

Tate integral allow one to prove analytic cont. more conceptually

$$\text{Dirichlet L-func., Riem. zeta function} \leftrightarrow \int_{\mathbb{J}^I(\mathbb{Q})}$$

$$\text{Hecke L-funct, Dedekind zeta function} \leftrightarrow \int_{\mathbb{J}^K(\mathbb{Q})}$$

Use Poisson summation

~~— x — x — x —~~