## Speed Comparison

Row: 100, Col: 100, ratio: 0.10

Choi Parikh

203 306  $\rightarrow$  50.7% more

Row: 100, Col: 100, ratio: 0.20

Choi Parikh

261 358  $\rightarrow$  37.2% more

Row: 200, Col: 200, ratio: 0.10

Choi Parikh

770 987  $\rightarrow$  28.2% more

## Analysis

My implementation did the following:

- 1. Check to see if row was sorted or reverse sorted
- 2. If so, did nothing (reversed for reverse sorted row)
- 3. If not, checked to see how sorted or reverse sorted it was based on comparisons per
  - a. Sweet spot was 90% sorted or reverse sorted
  - If higher than or equal to 90%, ShellSort (Knuth sequence),
    which was best for partially sorted arrays
- 4. If completely unsorted, QuickSort, which was best for unsorted arrays
- 5. Merge rows into one array
- 6. QuickSort final array (fastest algorithm to sort array with sorted sub-sequences)

## Notes

Tried to implement min-heap priority queue to quickly merge rows into final array, by queueing lowest value in each row, de-queueing the root, then adding the next lowest value from the same row as the dequeued root, and so on. However, I was stumped because I needed a max value to signify the row had no more values.

Also, it is interesting to note that my implementation gets better for larger / higher ratio arrays. This is due to the fact that the ratio of time spent sorting the rows versus the final array gets larger for larger / higher ratio arrays. More work is done on the rows before the final QuickSort.