NAME: Miklas Kampe.
ISMT S-136 Time Series Analysis with Python
Part I of Assignment 7

Let  $x_t$  be an AR(2)-ARCH(1) process:

$$x_t = \phi_1 x_{t-1} + \phi_2 x_{t-2} + r_t,$$
  
 $r_t = \sigma_t \varepsilon_t$ , where  $\varepsilon_t \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, 1),$   
 $\sigma_t^2 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 r_{t-1}^2.$ 

Suppose we observe  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ , and  $x_3$ , i.e. n = 3.

- (a) Here, we assume that we know φ<sub>1</sub>, φ<sub>2</sub>, α<sub>0</sub>, and α<sub>1</sub>. Assume r<sub>t</sub> is independent of x<sub>t-1</sub>, x<sub>t-2</sub>,... for all t. Find the minimum mean square error predictor x<sub>4</sub><sup>3</sup> (the superscript "3" here indicates that the predictor is based on x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, x<sub>3</sub>) of x<sub>4</sub>, i.e. the predictor that minimizes E [(x<sub>4</sub> x<sub>4</sub><sup>3</sup>)<sup>2</sup>].
- (b) Assume the process x<sub>t</sub> follows AR(2)-ARCH(1) with unknown parameters. Does fitting AR(2)-ARCH(1) provide any advantage comparable to just using AR(2) model for this process x<sub>t</sub>? Please be specific.
  Hint: In practice, one needs to estimate the parameters first.

## SOLUTION:

b) The main advantage of fitting on AR(2)-ARCH(1) model against fitting an AR(2) model is the advantage of being able to correctly model the non-constant variance (dependent on past realitations / variances in time). Using an AR(2) model always implies a constant variance which is not appropriate for the process xt. The ARCH(1) extension therefore allows to count for the advergressive error terms.