

BRUNEL UNIVERSITY



DOCTORAL THESIS

Measurement of the inclusive top-quark pair plus a radiated photon production cross section in the dilepton channel in pp collisions at 8TeV

Author:

Nik Berry

Supervisor:

Prof. Akram Khan

Prof. Peter Hobson

*A dissertation submitted to Brunel University
in accordance with the requirements
for award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy*

in

*the Faculty of Particle Physics
Centre for Sensors & Instrumentation*

September 2, 2015

Declaration of Authorship

I, Nik Berry, declare that the work in this dissertation was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the University's Regulations and Code of Practice for Research Degree Programmes and that it has not been submitted for any other academic award. Except where indicated by specific reference in the text, the work is the candidate's own work. Work done in collaboration with, or with the assistance of, others, is indicated as such. Any views expressed in the dissertation are those of the author.

SIGNED: DATE:

(Signature of student)

“Real courage is when you know you’re licked before you begin, but you begin anyway and see it through no matter what.”

Harper Lee, To Kill a Mockingbird

DRAFFEN

Abstract

We present the top-quark pair plus photon production cross section measured in pp collisions at a centre-of-mass energy of 8 TeV with the CMS detector at the Large Hadron Collider, using data recorded in 2012 corresponding to an integrated luminosity of $L_{\text{int}} = 19.6 \text{ fb}^{-1}$. The measurement is performed in the dilepton decay channel. The signal region is defined by the final state of the process $\text{pp} \rightarrow W + W + b\bar{b}$, with a minimum photon transverse energy of $E_T(\gamma) > 20 \text{ GeV}$ and minimum distance of $\Delta R(\gamma, b/\bar{b}) > 0.1$ between the photon and the b-quark in $\eta - \phi$ space. Signal events are simulated using the WHIZARD event generator. The normalized cross-section, $R = \frac{\sigma_{t\bar{t}+\gamma}}{\sigma_{t\bar{t}}}$, is exploited in order to cancel various sources of systematic uncertainties. The largest contribution to the systematic uncertainty of 17.3 arises due to the modelling of the $t\bar{t}$ background process. We measure the fiducial normalised cross-section, requiring $E_T(\gamma) > 25 \text{ GeV}$ and $\eta(\gamma) < 1.4442$ on the final state photon, to be $R^{fid.} = (0.72 \pm 0.04(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.15(\text{syst.})) \times 10^2$. Extrapolated into the signal region, we obtain $R = (0.89 \pm 0.05(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.18(\text{syst.})) \times 10^2$. Using a recent CMS $t\bar{t}$ cross-section measurement at 8 TeV, we calculate the top pair plus photon production cross-section to be $\sigma_{t\bar{t}+\gamma}^{CMS} = 2.0 \pm 0.1(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.4(\text{syst.})$. Being in agreement with the $t\bar{t} + \gamma$ SM expectation of $\sigma_{t\bar{t}+\gamma}^{SM} = 1.8 \pm 0.5 \text{ pb}$, this is the most accurate measurement of the $t\bar{t} + \gamma$ process to date, and the first at a center-of-mass energy of 8 TeV.

The acknowledgements and the people to thank go here, don't forget to include your project advisor...

DRAFT

Contents

Introduction

1 Theoretical Motivation

2 The LHC and the CMS Detector

2.1 The Large Hadron Collider	3
2.1.1 Pre-LHC accelerator complex	3
2.1.2 Design of the LHC	5
2.1.3 Physics goals	5
2.1.4 Luminosity at the LHC	6
2.1.5 Performance throughout run I	7
2.2 The CMS Detector	8
2.3 Inner Tracking System	10
2.3.1 Tracker performance in Run I	12
2.4 Electromagnetic Calorimeter	14
2.5 Hadron Calorimeter	16
2.6 Superconducting Solenoid	16
2.7 Muon System	16
2.8 Trigger	16
2.9 Particle Reconstruction	19
2.9.1 Electron identification	19
2.9.2 Muon reconstruction	19
2.9.3 Jet reconstruction	19
2.10 Computing	19
2.10.1 Event Data Model	19
2.10.2 Analysis Software	19

2.11 Monte Carlo Simulation	19
2.11.1 Monte Carlo event generators	19
3 Measurement of the inclusive $t\bar{t} + \gamma$ cross-section	21
3.1 Signal Definition and Background Processes	21
3.1.1 Signal definition	21
3.1.2 Background processes	21
3.2 $t\bar{t} + \gamma$ Signal Simulation	21
3.3 Phase Space Overlap Removal	21
3.4 Event Selection	21
4 Measurement of the anomalous couplings of the photon to the top quark	24
5 Electron Conversion Veto	25
Conclusions	26
Appendices	28
Bibliography	29

List of Figures

2.1	A full schematic of the full CERN accelerator complex.	4
2.2	(Left) Peak and (right) integrated luminosity recorded by the LHC between 2010 and 2012 for proton operation. The 2010 luminosity values have been multiplied by a factor 20 [1].	8
2.3	Left: The accumulation of the integrated luminosity produced at the LHC vs time for runs in 2010, 2011, and 2012. The 2010 integrated luminosity is multiplied by 100 in order for it to be visible on the plot. Right: Total integrated luminosity vs time for the 2012 run in CMS and the LHC.	9
2.4	A cross-sectional view of the CMS detector.	9
2.5	The sub-detectors of the CMS silicon tracker system: TOB=outer barrel, TIB=inner barrel, TID=inner disc, TEC=endcaps, PIXEL=pixeldetector. Each line represents a detector module. Double lines indicate back-to-back modules which deliver stereo hits. [2].	11
2.6	Muon reconstruction efficiency in thee tracker as functions of pseudorapidity (left) and the number of proton-proton interaction vertices (right) [3].	13
2.7	Primary vertex resolution in the transverse plane (left) and along the beam-line (right) as functions of the number of tracks attached to the vertex [3].	13
2.8	Geometric view of one quarter of the ECAL (top). Layout of the CMS electromagnetic calorimeter presenting the arrangement of crystal modules, supermodules, endcaps and the preshower in front (bottom) [2].	15
2.9	Geometric view of one quarter of the ECAL (top). Layout of the CMS electromagnetic calorimeter presenting the arrangement of crystal modules, supermodules, endcaps and the preshower in front (bottom) [2].	16

2.10 General artistic view of the 5 modules composing the cold mass inside the cryostat, with details of the supporting system (vertical, radial and longitudinal tie rods) [2]	17
2.11 Layout of one quadrant of CMS. The figure shows the four DT stations in the barrel (MB1-MB4, yellow), the four CSC stations in the endcap (ME1-ME4, green), and the RPC stations (RB1-RB4 and RE1-RE3) [2].	18
3.1 Flow chart showing each stage of the analysis. The box numbers represent the outlined analysis steps.	22
3.2 Three different methods to define the signal process [4].	23

List of Tables

2.1	LHC design parameters [5].	6
2.2	Dataset information for signal and background MC samples.	20

Introduction

DRAFT

Chapter 1

Theoretical Motivation

DRAFT

Chapter 2

The LHC and the CMS Detector

2.1 The Large Hadron Collider

The Large HAdron Collider (LHC) is currently the largest, and highest energy, particle accelerator ever created. Located, on average, one hundred metres under the Franco-Swiss border at Geneva, the LHC is installed in the 26.7 km tunnel that once contained the Large Electron-Positron Collider (LEP) which ran from 1989 until the end of 2000. The project was approved by the CERN council in December of 1994. Originally, the accelerator was designed as a two-stage project: constructed to run at a centre-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, and later an upgrade to $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV. This was due to budget constraints which did not include contributions from non member states.

After many setbacks, the first run began in 2010 and continued until the end of 2011 when the beam energy was then increased to $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV for the whole of 2012 before shifting to Long Shutdown 1 (LS1) from 2013 to 2015. During LS1 the CERN accelerator complex, shown in Figure 2.1, was completely upgraded in order to run at a new unprecedented centre-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV before ramping up to the original design energy of $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV.

2.1.1 Pre-LHC accelerator complex

The proton acceleration process begins by injecting Hydrogen (H_2) gas into a Duoplasmatron surrounded by an electric field, whereby the electrons become ionised through interactions with the free electrons from the cathode forming a plasma. This strips the electrons from the Hydrogen leaving just the protons. The remaining protons are then linearly accelerated by the LINAC 2 accelerator, which uses radio frequency (RF) cavities to accelerate bunches




Figure 2.1: A full schematic of the full CERN accelerator complex.

of protons. By the end of this step the protons have reached an energy of up to 50 MeV and gained 5% in mass. The next stage in the sequence sees the protons enter the Proton Synchrotron Booster (PSB) which is composed of superimposed synchrotron rings which accelerate the received protons up to 1.4 GeV in 1.2 seconds before injection into the Proton Synchrotron (PS). The advantage of the Booster is that it allows the PS to accept over 100 times more protons by squeezing the proton bunches such that they have a much smaller cross-section.

The PS is an essential component in the accelerator complex at CERN, where it accelerates either protons received from the PSB, or heavy ions from the Low Energy Ion Ring (LEIR). The apparatus first ran on the 24th of November 1959, and was, at that time, the world's highest energy particle accelerator. Having a circumference of 628 metres, the PS comprises 277 conventional (room temperature) electromagnets, as well as 100 dipole magnets that serve to bend the beam around the ring. The PS accelerates protons, as well as other particles, up to 25 GeV in 3.2 s. The final stage of acceleration, before injection into the LHC, lies in the Super Proton Synchrotron (SPS). The SPS is the second largest of the CERN accelerators with a circumference of 7 kilometers, and provides beams for various experiments other than LHC: such as the NA61/SHINE and NA62 experiments, the COM-

PASS experiment, and the CNGS neutrino experiment. Protons are accelerated to 450 GeV in 20 s within the SPS before injection into the LHC. Before the creation of LEP or the LHC the SPS was the primary collider at CERN, and in 1983 the collaboration won the Nobel prize for the discovery of the W and Z bosons in proton-antiproton collisions. The SPS comprises 1317 conventional electromagnets and 744 dipoles.

2.1.2 Design of the LHC

Two beams of protons are injected into the LHC and accelerated using RF cavities, one clockwise and the other counter-clockwise, taking roughly 20 minutes for each beam to reach the design energy of 7 TeV per beam. The two beams come into collision at four points around the ~ 27 km ring where the collisions are recorded by the four detectors placed on the beam line. There are two all-purpose discovery detectors, namely CMS and ATLAS, studies of mesons by LHCb, and the study of heavy ions by the ALICE experiment. Because the tunnel in which the LHC is placed was designed for LEP it has an internal diameter of only 3.7 m, which is not large enough to install two separate beam pipes, and thus a design for a twin-bore magnet [6]was created which would save space and cut costs substantially. Each beam is designed to hold 2808 bunches of protons with a bunch spacing of 50 ns. The protons are guided around the ring in a vacuum by superconducting electromagnets which are cooled to 1.9 K (-271.3°) by using liquid helium. It consists of 1232 dipole magnets that are each 15 metres in length, and 392 quadrupole magnets that are 5-7 metres in length each which focus the beams. Before collisions can begin, a final shaping and cleaning of the beam takes place. Parameters for the LHC can be seen in Table 2.1.2.

2.1.3 Physics goals

There are many physics goals aimed to be achieved during the running of the LHC, but there are certain aims that are of a higher priority than others. One of the main focuses was the discovery of the Higgs boson and electroweak symmetry breaking, which was announced on the fourth of July 2012. This discovery was a triumph for the physics community in that it shed light on a fundamental building block of the universe which was theorised to exist some sixty years before its discovery. The theoretical physicist Peter Higgs subsequently won the Nobel prize for his work predicting the existence of a massive gauge boson in 1964. The Higgs a since been measured in various decay channels by both they ATLAS and CMS experiments with on-going studies aiming to measure properties of the boson, such as a the

LHC Parameters		
Parameter	2012 Run	Design Value
Beam Energy (TeV)	4	7
Maximum number of bunches	1380	2808
Number of particles per bunch	1.7×10^{11}	1.15×10^{11}
Bunch spacing (ns)	50	25
Revolution frequency (kHz)	11.245	11.245
Transverse beam size (μm)	18.8	16.6
Peak luminosity ($\text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$)	7.7×10^{33}	10^{34}
Stored beam energy (MJ)	140	362
Normalised emittance at start of fill (mm mrad)	2.5	3.75
β^* in IP 1 and 5 (m)	0.6	0.55

Table 2.1: LHC design parameters [5].

spin. Other physics goals include the search for supersymmetry, CP violation measurements, and studies of quark-gluon plasma using the ALICE experiment.

2.1.4 Luminosity at the LHC

Due to the nature of individual detectors, not all require the same levels of delivered luminosity. For example, with CMS being an all-purpose discovery machine, the detector needs as much luminosity as possible, however an experiment like LHCb that measure mesons that are produced frequently and in a certain portion of the solid angle that the others use, less luminosity is required. The peak design luminosities for Run I and Run II are listed in Table 2.1.2. The instantaneous luminosity of a collider is calculated as

$$\mathcal{L} = f \frac{N_1 N_2}{4\pi\sigma_x\sigma_y} \quad (2.1)$$

where f is the collision frequency given by $f = u \times N_b$, the repetition frequency multiplied by the number of bunches in the beam, $N_{1,2}$ are the number of protons per bunch per beam, and $\sigma_{x,y}$ are the horizontal and vertical beam sizes at the interaction point (IP), respectively, and are defined as the product of the beams beta function and the proton beam emittance as shown in Equation 2.2.

$$\sigma_{x,y} = \epsilon_{x,y} \beta_{x,y} \quad (2.2)$$

The emittance of a beam describes the volume of the 6-dimensional phase space occupied by the proton bunch.

2.1.5 Performance throughout run I

Throughout Run I (2010 - 2013) the LHC operated with protons at beam energies of 3.5 and 4 TeV, where the beams consisted of single bunches and trains with different bunch spacing of 150 ns (2010), 75 ns (2011), and 50 ns (2011 and 2012). The performance of the LHC was much greater than initially expected at 50 ns, and culminated in the discovery of a $125\text{GeV}/c^2$ Higgs boson in both the ATLAS [7] and CMS [8] experiments. The use of 25 ns bunch spacing was only implemented in regards to electron-cloud scrubbing runs at the injection stage, and also for tests of future collisions with an upgraded LHC energy. One of the main focuses was to reduce the β^* - the measure of how precisely the beam is focused at the interaction point. For ATLAS and CMS β^* was lowered in steps from 3.5 mm in 2010 to 0.6 mm in 2012 by using tighter collimator settings. Other runs with mixed particle beams were also performed: such as proton-Pb, Pb-Pb, intermediate proton energy (1.38 TeV), and high beta.

For the 2012 run the default filling scheme introduced 1374 proton bunches per beam with 50 ns bunch spacing, giving ATLAS and CMS 1368 colliding bunches, 1262 in LHCb, and no colliding bunches in ALICE. The bunch intensity per beam peaked at 1.7×10^{11} protons per bunch, which was then translated into a bunch intensity of 1.6×10^{11} protons per bunch upon stabilisation of the beams. The transverse emittance remained constant throughout the year, despite moving to a different optical configuration with a lower transition energy. At the end of the runs the LHC had delivered an integrated luminosity of 23.3 fb^{-1} to ATLAS and CMS, and over 2.1 fb^{-1} to LHCb. The integrated and peak luminosity can be seen in Figure 2.1.5, and the integrated luminosity recorded by CMS between 2011 and 2013, and also compared to the total integrated luminosity delivered by the LHC, can be seen in Figure 2.1.5.




Figure 2.2: (Left) Peak and (right) integrated luminosity recorded by the LHC between 2010 and 2012 for proton operation. The 2010 luminosity values have been multiplied by a factor 20 [1].

2.2 The CMS Detector

The Compact Muon Solenoid (CMS) [2] is one of the two all-purpose discovery machines located approximately 100 metres underground at point 5 (Cessy, France) on the LHC ring. Designed to cover the full solid angle, the hermetic detector is composed of multiple sub-detectors, described in detail in the following sections, designed to perform precision particle detection and withstand extremely high doses of radiation. Unlike the other detectors that lie on the LHC ring CMS is designed with the purpose of precision measurements of Standard Model measurements and the discovery of physics beyond that of the Standard Model. The primary physics motivation for the construction of such a detector was to elucidate the nature of electroweak symmetry breaking of which the Higgs field was theorised to be responsible, which was proved correct in 2012 with the discovery of the quanta that propagates the Higgs field - the Higgs boson. Many theories predict to observe new physics at the TeV scale, and so CMS was designed with the intention to be able to withstand high energy and fluence of particles. Discovering physics beyond the Standard Model would pave the way for a potential unified theory. The detector weighs around 14,000 tonnes and has an overall length of 28.7 metres and diameter of 15 metres. A sectional view of the CMS detector labeling each sub-detector within is shown in Figure 2.2. CMS uses a right-handed coordinate system whereby the x-axis points towards the center of the LHC ring, the y-axis lies perpendicular to the beam, and the z-axis follows the direction of the beam anti-clockwise. The azimuthal angle, ϕ , is measured from the x-axis in the xy plane where the radial component in this plane is defined by r, and the polar angle θ in the rz plane. The




Figure 2.3: Left: The accumulation of the integrated luminosity produced at the LHC vs time for runs in 2010, 2011, and 2012. The 2010 integrated luminosity is multiplied by 100 in order for it to be visible on the plot. Right: Total integrated luminosity vs time for the 2012 run in CMS and the LHC.




Figure 2.4: A cross-sectional view of the CMS detector.

pseudorapidity is thus defined as

$$\eta = -\ln \left(\tan \left(\frac{\theta}{2} \right) \right) \quad (2.3)$$

and the momentum transverse to the beam is defined as p_T , and calculated using the x- and y-components. The transverse energy is defined as $E_T = E \sin \theta$.

2.3 Inner Tracking System

The first sub-detector system located closest to the beam is the Inner Tracking System. The Inner Tracking System is composed of several modules that work in conjunction to provide precise and efficient measurements of the trajectories of charged particles resulting from the beam collisions, as well as a precision reconstruction of secondary vertices, whereby the product from the LHC beam collision decays. The tracker is completely hermetic around the interaction point (IP) of the beam-line, is 5.8 m in length, and has a diameter of 2.5 m. In order to reconstruct particle tracks momentum measurements must be made. To do this the tracker works in combination with the CMS Superconducting Solenoid (Section 2.6) with a magnetic field at 4 T.

Due to the high flux of the LHC at design luminosity the inner tracker will receive around 1000 particles per bunch crossing with around 20 primary vertices per collision, therefore the tracker was designed to operate with a high granularity and fast response time such that trajectories can be precisely identified and associated with the correct bunch crossing. Several challenges arise upon implementation of such technology: the requirement of high power density to the on-detector electronics means that sufficient cooling must be used throughout, which then conflicts with the ideology of keeping material to a minimum to prevent effects such as multiple scattering, bremsstrahlung, photon conversion, and nuclear scattering. Another challenge presents itself in the form of radiation damage to the tracking system due to the large flux of high energy particles over time. The requirements for a high granularity detector using minimum material that can run over a period of roughly 10 years whilst remaining radiation hard lead to a final design entirely based on silicon detector technology.

Shown in Figure 2.3, the Inner Tracking System is composed of a pixel detector with a radii of between 4.4 cm and 10.2 cm, and a silicon strip tracker which in composed of 10 barrel detection layers reaching a radius of 1.1 m. In order to make tracking system completely hermetic the barrel detectors are surrounded by endcaps composed of 2 disks in the pixel detector and 3 plus 9 disks of silicon strip tracker, thus extending the acceptance, A , of the tracker up to a pseudorapidity of $|\eta| < 2.5$. Each individual pixel station covers a region of $100 \times 150 \mu\text{m}^2$ in the $r - \phi$ and z coordinate system, respectively, and is driven

by the desired impact parameter resolution. In total the pixel detector contains 66 million pixels, corresponding to an active area of 1 m^2 .




Figure 2.5: The sub-detectors of the CMS silicon tracker system: TOB=outer barrel, TIB=inner barrel, TID=inner disc, TEC=endcaps, PIXEL=pixeldetector. Each line represents a detector module. Double lines indicate back-to-back modules which deliver stereo hits. [2].

The sensor elements in the silicon strip tracker system are single sided p-on-n type silicon micro-strip sensors [9, 10]. The Tracker Inner Barrel (TIB) and Disks (TID), where the particle flux is smaller, extends to a radius of between $20\text{ cm} < r < 55\text{ cm}$, and has a typical cell size of $10\text{ cm} \times 80\mu\text{m}^2$, strip thickness of $320\mu\text{m}$, and an occupancy of $\sim 2 - 3\%$ per strip per bunch crossing. The outer layer of the silicon strip tracker ranges from $55\text{ cm} < r < 110\text{ cm}$ and $\sim 500\mu\text{m}$ thick, but with a cell size of $25\text{ cm} \times 180\mu\text{m}$ due to lower levels of radiation in the outer region. The TIB and TID are surrounded by the Tracker Outer Barrel (TOB) which has an outer radius of 116 cm and comprises 6 barrel layers of $500\mu\text{m}$ thickness micro-strip sensors and strip patches of $183\mu\text{m}$ on the first 4 layers and $122\mu\text{m}$ on the 5th and 6th layers. Beyond the range of the TOB lies the Tracker EndCaps (TEC+ and TEC-), where the sign represents the location of the endcap along the z -axis) to provide complete coverage. The TECs cover the region $124\text{ cm} < |z| < 282\text{ cm}$ and $22.5\text{ cm} < |r| < 113.5\text{ cm}$ and is composed of 9 disks each consisting of 7 rings of silicon micro-strip detectors, $320\mu\text{m}$ thick on the inner 4 rings, $500\mu\text{m}$ thick on rings 5-7) with radial strips of $97\mu\text{m}$ to $184\mu\text{m}$ average pitch. Therefore, they provide up to 9 ϕ measurements per trajectory.

2.3.1 Tracker performance in Run I

Over the Run I period, from 2010 to 2013, the LHC delivered around 6 fb^{-1} at 7 TeV and 23.3 fb^{-1} at 8 TeV (Figure 2.1.5), out of this approximately 93% was recorded by CMS. The CMS tracker was responsible for roughly one third of the lost data due to the high voltage only being ramped up once stable beams are reached. By the end of Run I approximately 2.3% of the tracker barrel and 7.2% of the endcap modules were inactive associated with faulty wire-bonds or poor connections. During this period around 2.5% of the strip detector became inactive because of short-circuits in the control rings and HV lines, or due to faulty optical communications. Maintenance and repairs began upon shutdown of the LHC, and CMS was able to salvage up to 1.5% of the pixel barrel, up to 0.5% of the pixel endcap modules, and up to 1% of the strip detectors [11].

In order to process the data prior to track reconstruction the hit efficiency must be measured, the points at which a charged particle traversed each layer of the inner tracker. After track reconstruction the efficiency is calculated as the fraction of particles that are expected to pass through the fiducial regions of the sensors in a layer of the detector in which matching hits are found. For the strip detectors a hit is considered to be a hit if the energy deposit is found in the module in which it was expected to be observed. For efficient reconstruction of tracks knowledge of the position of each module in three-dimensional space is required. Distortions and movements of the inner tracker modules were monitored using cosmic ray data and collision tracks by measuring the distance between expected and observed track trajectories. Distortions in tracking lead to biases in the reconstructed track curvature, and were studied using the reconstructed mass of $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$ events as a function of the positive muon's azimuthal angle. The muon reconstruction efficiency can be seen in Figure 2.3.1.

The CMS tracking software relies on an iterative procedure to measure hits in a high particle occupancy environment. Earlier steps of the tracking process search for tracks with higher p_T due to the more obvious nature of the tracks, which include a smaller impact parameter, and greater number of measured hits in each layer of the tracker. By selecting more obvious processes first, the reconstruction becomes easier as it has fewer events to deal with. Track reconstruction efficiency is measured by using the tag and probe method in $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$ events [3]. The tracking efficiency is then defined as the number of probes observed to have matching tracks within the tracker and is a function of the number of primary vertices and the pseudorapidity of the tracks and can be seen in Figure 2.3.1. LHC proton-proton events are reconstructed by firstly identifying the tracks, then grouping in accordance with




Figure 2.6: Muon reconstruction efficiency in the tracker as functions of pseudorapidity (left) and the number of proton-proton interaction vertices (right) [3].




Figure 2.7: Primary vertex resolution in the transverse plane (left) and along the beam-line (right) as functions of the number of tracks attached to the vertex [3].

their primary vertex, and finally fitting to the position of each vertex.

One of the long term damaging effects of high luminosity collisions is radiation damage. Radiation damage in the silicon was monitored throughout Run I and tested by performing special runs where the bias voltage was increased in steps from 0 to the operational voltages. Results showed that the hit efficiency decreased with irradiation at first, then increased with changes in the effective doping [12]. Due to collisions not being completely aligned at the centre of the detector, even irradiation of the modules is seen in the azimuthal direction.

Overall, the CMS tracker has performed exceptionally throughout the Run I three-year period with regards to detector reliability and tracking. The tracker was able to overcome a major problem of high pile-up and reconstruct tracks with excellent efficiency. Less than 3% of the tracker became inactive throughout the entire run, and less than 5% of the delivered luminosity was lost through the tracker.

2.4 Electromagnetic Calorimeter

Directly after the Inner Tracking System, the second stage of particle identification and reconstruction comes in the form of the Electromagnetic Calorimeter (ECAL). The ECAL serves to stop electromagnetic particles, namely electrons and photons, and measure the energy deposited in the detector. These particles are identified and reconstructed using signatures such as charge, shower shape, and isolation. When an electron passes through the ECAL it showers via bremsstrahlung, describe brem.

It is possible to differentiate between electrons and positrons by the curvature produced from the Superconducting Solenoid. Photons are neutrally charged and thus do not bend via the magnet, however they produce a shower of electron-positron pairs which can then be measured. The photon shower shape, known as $\sigma_{inj\eta}$, is a key component in this analysis and will be described in detail in Section ??.

A key component driving the design of the ECAL is the decay channel $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$

The CMS ECAL is a is a hermetic, homogeneous fine-grained lead tungstate (PbWO_4) crystal calorimeter [13], shown in Figure ???. The PbWO_4 crystals are extremely dense ($\delta = 8.28 \text{ g/cm}^3$), thus providing excellent performance and compactness, and thus fit within the Superconducting Solenoid magnet volume. The crystals were designed with an extremely small radiation length, $X_0 = 0.85 \text{ cm}$, and small Molière radius, $R_M = 2.19 \text{ cm}$. The decision to use a homogeneous medium was chosen because of the ability to obtain a greater energy resolution by minimizing sampling fluctuations [13].




Figure 2.8: Geometric view of one quarter of the ECAL (top). Layout of the CMS electromagnetic calorimeter presenting the arrangement of crystal modules, supermodules, endcaps and the preshower in front (bottom) [2].

There are 75,848 within the ECAL, and are arranged into a barrel section (EB), covering a pseudorapidity range of $|\eta| < 1.4442$, which is then surrounded by endcaps and thus extending the pseudorapidity range to $|\eta| < 3.0$. The length of the crystals within the barrel are 230 mm and 220 mm in the endcap regions, which corresponds to ~ 26 (EB) and ~ 25 (EE) radiation lengths. The crystals are projective and also slightly off-pointing in position, $\sim 3^\circ$ with respect to the IP. This configuration provides a full coverage and ensures that there are no cracks in the calorimetry that are aligned with particle trajectories. Within the barrel there is no longitudinal segmentation, and therefore the angle at which a photon is measured relies on the reconstructed PV from the silicon tracker. EB crystals are $2.2 \times 2.2 \text{ cm}^2$ on the front face, and $2.86 \times 2.86 \text{ cm}^2$ in the endcaps, giving rise to a total crystal volume of 11 m^3 and a weight of 92 t.

The barrel crystals are arranged into 36 supermodules (or superclusters), each containing 1,700 crystals, whereas the endcaps are arranged into two D-shaped segments comprising 3,662 crystals each. The final section of the ECAL is the pre-shower detector system (ES)




Figure 2.9: Geometric view of one quarter of the ECAL (top). Layout of the CMS electromagnetic calorimeter presenting the arrangement of crystal modules, supermodules, endcaps and the preshower in front (bottom) [2].

placed directly in front of the endcaps at $1.65 < |\eta| < 2.6$. The ES is composed of 4,288 sensors, 137,216 silicon strip sensors, each $1.90 \times 61 \text{ mm}^2$ with x-y view, and has a total of ~ 3 radiation lengths. The purpose of the ES is provide improved separation of photons to π^0 s.

2.5 Hadron Calorimeter

2.6 Superconducting Solenoid

Powerful magnet required to bend muons aka why it sucks. 13 m long, 6 m inner diameter superconducting solenoid

2.7 Muon System

2.8 Trigger

At design energy, the total proton-proton cross-section is expected to be approximately 100 mb, and should therefore observe around 10^9 events/s. This extremely high rate of events leads to numerous experimental and technological challenges, namely the read-out




Figure 2.10: General artistic view of the 5 modules composing the cold mass inside the cryostat, with details of the supporting system (vertical, radial and longitudinal tie rods) [2].

and triggering systems. There will be roughly 20 inelastic events that will be superimposed onto events that are triggered on, known as pile-up (PU).




Figure 2.11: Layout of one quadrant of CMS. The figure shows the four DT stations in the barrel (MB1-MB4, yellow), the four CSC stations in the endcap (ME1-ME4, green), and the RPC stations (RB1-RB4 and RE1-RE3) [2].

2.9 Particle Reconstruction

2.9.1 Electron identification

2.9.2 Muon reconstruction

2.9.3 Jet reconstruction

Jet energy corrections

Particle flow jet identification


2.10 Computing

2.10.1 Event Data Model

2.10.2 Analysis Software

2.11 Monte Carlo Simulation

2.11.1 Monte Carlo event generators



$t\bar{t}(Leptonic)$	/TTJets_FullLeptMGDecays_8TeV-madgraph/Summer12_DR53X-	245.8	12119013
$t\bar{t}(Hadronic)$	PU_S10_START53_V7A-v2/AODSIM	245.8	31223821
	/TTJets_HadronicMGDecays_8TeV-madgraph/Summer12_DR53X-		
	PU_S10_START53_V7A_ext-v1/AODSIM		
$t\bar{t}(Semileptonic)$	/TTJets_SemiLeptMGDecays_8TeV-madgraph/Summer12_DR53X-	245.8	25424818
	PU_S10_START53_V7A_ext-v1/AODSIM		
$t\bar{t}(Inclusive)$	/TTJets_MassiveBinDECAY_TuneZ2star_8TeV-madgraph-	245.8	6923652
	tauola/Summer12_DR53X-PU_S10_START53_V7C-v1/AODSIM		
Drell-Yann, $10 < m_{ll} < 50$	/DYJetsToLL_M-10To50_TuneZ2Star_8TeV-madgraph/Summer12_DR53X-	11050.0	37835275
Drell-Yann, $m_{ll} > 50$	PU_S10_START53_V7A-v1/AODSIM	3350.0	30459503
	/DYJetsToLL_M-50_TuneZ2Star_8TeV-madgraph-		
	tarball/Summer12_DR53X-PU_S10_START53_V7A-v1/AODSIM		
Single Top tW	/T_tW-channel-DR_TuneZ2star_8TeV-powheg-tauola/Summer12_DR53X-	11.1	497658
	PU_S10_START53_V7A-v1/AODSIM		
Single TopBar tW \bar{t}	/Tbar_tW-channel-DR_TuneZ2star_8TeV-powheg-	11.1	493460
	tauola/Summer12_DR53X-PU_S10_START53_V7A-v1/AODSIM		
Single Top t	/T_t-channel_TuneZ2star_8TeV-powheg-tauola/Summer12_DR53X-	56.4	99876
	PU_S10_START53_V7A-v3/AODSIM		
Single TopBar t	/Tbar_t-channel_TuneZ2star_8TeV-powheg-tauola/Summer12_DR53X-	30.7	1935072
	PU_S10_START53_V7A-v1/AODSIM		
Single Top s	/T_s-channel_TuneZ2star_8TeV-powheg-tauola/Summer12_DR53X-	3.79	259961
	PU_S10_START53_V7A-v1/AODSIM		
Single TopBar s	/Tbar_s-channel_TuneZ2star_8TeV-powheg-tauola/Summer12_DR53X-	1.76	139974
	PU_S10_START53_V7A-v2/AODSIM		
W+Jets	/WJetsToLNu_TuneZ2Star_8TeV-madgraph-tarball/Summer12_DR53X-	36257.2	57709905
Diboson WW	/WW_TuneZ2star_8TeV_pythia6_tauola/Summer12_DR53X-	56.0	10000431
	PU_S10_START53_V7A-v1/AODSIM		

Chapter 3

Measurement of the inclusive $t\bar{t} + \gamma$ cross-section

3.1 Signal Definition and Background Processes

3.1.1 Signal definition

3.1.2 Background processes

3.2 $t\bar{t} + \gamma$ Signal Simulation

WHIZARD

then

MADGRAPH

Factorised matrix element

3.3 Phase Space Overlap Removal

3.4 Event Selection




Figure 3.1: Flow chart showing each stage of the analysis. The box numbers represent the outlined analysis steps.




Figure 3.2: Three different methods to define the signal process [4].

Chapter 4

Measurement of the anomalous couplings of the photon to the top quark

Chapter 5

Electron Conversion Veto

DRAFT

Conclusions

DRAFT

Appendices

DRAFT

Bibliography

- [1] Alemany-Fernandez et al. Operation and configuration of the lhc in run 1. *CERN Internal Note*, 25 Nov 2013.
- [2] CMS Collaboration. The cms experiment at the cern lhc. *JINST*, 3:S08004, 2008.
- [3] CMS Collaboration. Tracking results. (2012).
- [4] H. J. A. Tholen and A. Stah. Study of the inclusive $t\bar{t} + \gamma$ cross-section with the cms experiment. <https://cds.cern.ch/record/1505276>, pages no. CERN-THESIS-2012-222, 2012.
- [5] Oliver Sim et al. Brning. Lhc design report. *Geneva : CERN, v.1 : the LHC Main Ring:548*, 2004.
- [6] John P. Blewett. 200-gev intersecting storage accelerators. *Proceedings of The 8th International Conference on High-Energy Accelerators. CERN. Geneva, Switzerland*, 1971.
- [7] ATLAS Collaboration. Observation of a new particle in the search for the standard model higgs boson with the atlas detector at the lhc. *Phys. Lett. B*, 716, 2012.
- [8] CMS Collaboration. Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 gev with the cms experiment at the lhc. *Phys. Lett. B*, 716, 2012.
- [9] L. Borrello et al. Sensor design for the cms silicon strip tracker. *CMS-NOTE-2003-020*.
- [10] J.L. Agram et al. The silicon sensors for the compact muon solenoid tracker: design and qualification procedure. *Nucl. Instrum. Meth.*, A 517:77, (2004).
- [11] V. Vespremi. Operation and performance of the cms tracker. *Journal of Instrumentation*, 9(03):C03005, 2014.
- [12] L. Rossi et al. Pixel detectors. *Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg Germany*, (2006).

- [13] CMS Collaboration. The e.m. calorimeter project: Technical design report. *CERN-LHCC-97-33*, 1997.

DRAFT