### Section 1

Decision and Estimation in Data Processing

### Section 2

Chapter III. Elements of Estimation Theory

### Subsection 1

II.1 Introduction

### What means "Estimation"?

- A sender transmits a signal  $s_{\Theta}(t)$  which depends on an **unknown** parameter  $\Theta$
- ▶ The signal is affected by noise, we receive  $r(t) = s_{\Theta}(t) + noise$
- We want to find out the correct value of the parameter
  - based on samples from the received signal, or the full continuous signal
  - available data is noisy => we "estimate" the parameter
- ▶ The found value is  $\hat{\Theta}$ , **the estimate** of  $\Theta$  ("estimatul", rom)
  - lacktriangle there will always be some estimation error  $\epsilon = \hat{\Theta} \Theta$
- Examples:
  - ▶ Unknown amplitude of constant signal: r(t) = A + noise, estimate A
  - Unknown phase of sine signal:  $r(t) = \cos(2\pi f t + \phi)$ , estimate  $\phi$
  - ► Record speech signal, estimate/decide what word is pronounced

### Estimation vs Decision

- ▶ Consider the following estimation: r(t) = A + noise, estimate A
- For detection, we have to choose between **two known values** of *A*:
  - ▶ i.e. A can be 0 or 5 (hypotheses  $H_0$  and  $H_1$ )
- ► For estimation, A can be anything => we choose between **infinite number of options** for A:
  - ightharpoonup A might be any value in  $\mathbb{R}$ , in general

#### Estimation vs Decision

- ▶ Detection = Estimation constrained to only a few discrete options
- ▶ Estimation = Detection with an infinite number of options available
- The statistical methods used are quite similar
  - In practice, distinction between Estimation and Detections is somewhat blurred
  - (e.g. when choosing between 1000 hypotheses, do we call it "Detection" or "Estimation"?)

### Available data

- ▶ The available data is the received signal r(t)
  - lacktriangle affected by noise, and depending on the unknown  $\Theta$
- ▶ We consider **N** samples from r(t), taken at some sample times  $t_i$

$$\mathbf{r} = [r_1, r_2, ... r_N]$$

- ightharpoonup Each sample  $r_i$  is a random variable that depends on  $\Theta$  (and the noise)
  - Each sample has a distribution that depends on Θ

$$w_i(r_i;\Theta)$$

- ▶ The whole sample vector  $\mathbf{r}$  is a N-dimensional random variable that depends on  $\Theta$  (and the noise)
  - $\triangleright$  It has a N-dimensional distribution that depends on  $\Theta$

$$w(\mathbf{r};\Theta)$$

# Types of estimation

- $\blacktriangleright$  We consider estimating a parameter  $\Theta$  under two circumstances:
- 1. No distribution is known about the parameter, except maybe some allowed range (e.g.  $\Theta > 0$ )
  - ▶ The parameter can be any value in the allowed range, equally likely
- 2. We know a distribution  $p(\Theta)$  for  $\Theta$ , which tells us the values of  $\Theta$  that are more likely than others
  - this is known as a priori (or prior) distribution (i.e. "known beforehand")

#### Subsection 2

### II.2 Maximum Likelihood estimation

### Maximum Likelihood definition

- When no distribution is known about the parameter, we use a method known as Maximum Likelihood estimation (MLE)
- ► The distribution of the received data,  $w(\mathbf{r}; \Theta)$ , is known as the **likelihood function** 
  - we know the vector **r** we received, so this is a constant
  - the unknown variable in this function is Θ

$$L(\Theta) = w(\mathbf{r}; \Theta)$$

### Maximum Likelihood definition

#### Maximum Likelihood (ML) Estimation:

- The estimate Ô is the value that maximizes the likelihood of the observed data
  - i.e. the value  $\Theta$  that maximizes  $L(\Theta) = w(\mathbf{r}; \Theta)$

$$\hat{\Theta} = \arg\max_{\Theta} L(\Theta) = \arg\max_{\Theta} w(\mathbf{r}; \Theta)$$

▶ If  $\Theta$  is allowed to live only in a certain range, restrict the maximization only to that range.

#### How to solve

- ► How to solve the maximization problem?
  - i.e. how to find the estimate  $\Theta$  which maximizes  $L(\Theta)$
- Find maximum by setting derivative to 0

$$\frac{dL(\Theta)}{d\Theta}=0$$

 We can also maximize natural logarithm of the likelihood function ("log-likelihood function")

$$\frac{d\ln\left(L(\Theta)\right)}{d\Theta}=0$$

# Solving procedure

#### Solving procedure:

1. Find the function

$$L(\Theta) = w(\mathbf{r}; \Theta)$$

2. Set the condition that derivative of  $L(\Theta)$  or  $ln((L(\Theta)))$  is 0

$$\frac{dL(\Theta)}{d\Theta} = 0$$
, or  $\frac{d\ln(L(\Theta))}{d\Theta} = 0$ 

- 3. Solve and find the value  $\hat{\Theta}$
- 4. Check that second derivative at point  $\hat{\Theta}$  is negative, to check that point is a maximum
  - because derivative = 0 for both maximum and minimum points

### Examples:

### Estimating a constant signal in gaussian noise:

- ► Find the ML estimate of a constant value A from 5 noisy measurements  $r_i = A + noise$  with values [5, 7, 8, 6.1, 5.3]. The noise is AWGN  $\mathcal{N}(\mu = 0, \sigma^2)$ .
- ► Solution: at whiteboard.
- ightharpoonup The estimate  $\hat{A}$  is the average value of the samples (not surprisingly)

## Numerical simulation

## Curve fitting

- ► Estimation = curve fitting
- From the previous graphical example:
  - we have some data r
  - ▶ we know the shape of the signal = a line (constant A)
  - we're fitting the best line through the data

- ▶ Consider that the true underlying signal is  $s_{\Theta}(t)$
- ► Consider AWGN noise  $\mathcal{N}(\mu = 0, \sigma^2)$ .
- ▶ The samples  $r_i$  are taken at sample moments  $t_i$
- ► The samples  $r_i$  have normal distribution with average  $s_{\Theta}(t_i)$  and variance  $\sigma^2$
- lacktriangle Overall likelihood function = product of likelihoods for each sample  $r_i$

$$L(\Theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(r_i - s_{\Theta}(t_i))^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{\sum (r_i - s_{\Theta}(t_i))^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

► The log-likelihood is

$$\ln(L(\Theta)) = \underbrace{\ln\left(\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)}_{constant} - \frac{\sum (r_i - s_{\Theta}(t_i))^2}{2\sigma^2}$$

▶ The maximum of the function = the minimum of the exponent

$$\hat{\Theta} = rg \max_{\Theta} w(r; \Theta) = rg \min \sum (r_i - s_{\Theta}(t_i))^2$$

▶ The term  $\sum (r_i - s_{\Theta}(t_i))^2$  is the **squared distance**  $d(\mathbf{r}, s_{\Theta})$ 

$$d(\mathbf{r}, s_{\Theta}) = \sqrt{\sum (r_i - s_{\Theta}(t_i))^2}$$

$$(d(\mathbf{r}, s_{\Theta}))^2 = \sum (r_i - s_{\Theta}(t_i))^2$$

ML estimation can be rewritten as:

$$\hat{\Theta} = \arg\max_{\Theta} w(r; \Theta) = \arg\min d(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}_{\Theta})^2$$

- ▶ ML estimate  $\hat{\Theta}$  = the value that makes  $s_{\Theta}(t_i)$  closest to the received values r
  - closer = more likely
  - closest = most likely = maximum likelihood
- ▶ ML estimation = minimization of distance
- True for all kinds of vector spaces
  - vectors with N elements, continous signals, etc
  - just change the definition of the distance function

Find maximum by setting derivative to 0

$$\frac{d\ln\left(L(\Theta)\right)}{d\Theta}=0$$

means

$$\sum (r_i - s_{\Theta}(t_i)) \frac{ds_{\Theta}(t_i)}{d\Theta} = 0$$

### Numerical simulation

### Estimating the frequency f of a cosine signal

- Find the Maximum Likelihood estimate of the frequency f of a cosine signal, from 10 noisy measurements  $r_i = cos(2\pi ft_i) + noise$  with values [...]. The noise is AWGN  $\mathcal{N}(\mu = 0, \sigma^2)$ . The sample times  $t_i = [0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9]$
- Solution: at whiteboard.



The likelihood function is:

## Numerical simulation

### ML Estimation and ML Detection

- ▶ In ML Estimation, the estimate  $\hat{\Theta}$  is the value that maximizes the likelihood function
- ▶ In ML Detection, the decision criterion  $\frac{w(r|H_1)}{w(r|H_0)} \stackrel{H_1}{\gtrless} 1$  means "choose the hypothesis that maximizes the likelihood function".
- ▶ Therefore it is the same principle, merely in a different context:
  - ▶ in Detection we are restricted to a few predefined options
  - ▶ in Estimation we are unrestricted => choose the maximizing value

### Loss function

- ► The distance  $d(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}_{\Theta})$  is known as the "loss function" in machine learning terminology
  - ▶ the Euclidean distance = the "Mean Squared Error" (MSE) loss function
- ► For a given **r**, the MSE loss =  $\frac{1}{N}d(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{s}_{\Theta})$
- Other loss functions are used in different scenarios

### Multiple parameters

- What if we have more than one parameter?
  - e.g. unknown parameters are the amplitude, frequency and the initial phase of a cosine:

$$s_{\uparrow}(t) = A\cos(2\pi f t + \phi)$$

ightharpoonup We can consider the parameter  $\Theta$  to be a vector:

$$\boldsymbol{\Theta} = [\Theta_1, \Theta_2, ... \Theta_M]$$

▶ e.g.  $\Theta = [\Theta_1, \Theta_2, \Theta_3] = [A, f, \phi]$ 

#### **Gradient Descent**

- ightharpoonup How to estimate the parameters  $\Theta$  in complicated cases?
  - e.g. in real life applications
  - usually there are many parameters ( $\Theta$  is a vector)
- Typically it is impossible to get the optimal values directly
- Improve them iteratively with Gradient Descent algorithm or its variations

## Gradient Descent procedure

- 1. Start with some random parameter values  $\Theta^{(0)}$
- 2. Repeat for each iteration k:
  - 2.1 Compute loss value  $L(\Theta^{(k)})$
  - 2.2 Compute derivative  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \Theta^{(k)}}$  for each  $\Theta_i$
  - 2.3 Update all values  $\Theta_i$  by subtracting the derivative

$$\Theta_i^{(k+1)} = \Theta_i^{(k)} - \mu \frac{\partial L}{\partial \Theta_i^{(k)}}$$

or, in vector form:

$$\mathbf{\Theta}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{\Theta}^k - \mu \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{\Theta}^{(k)}}$$

3. Until termination criterion (e.g. parameters don't change much)

## Gradient Descent explained

- Explanations at blackboard
- ► Simple example: logistic regression on 2D-data
  - maybe do example at blackboard

### **Neural Networks**

- ► The most prominent example is **Artificial Neural Networks** (a.k.a. Neural Networks, Deep Learning, etc.)
  - ► Can be regarded as ML estimation
  - Use loss function (typically not MSE, but others)
  - ▶ Use Gradient Descent to update parameters
  - State-of-the-art applications: image classification/recognition, automated driving etc.
- ▶ More info on neural networks / machine learning:
  - look up online courses, books (e.g. prof. Iulian Ciocoiu's book)
  - ▶ join the IASI AI Meetup

### Subsection 3

# II.3 Bayesian estimation

#### Prior distribution

- Suppose we know beforehand a distribution of  $\Theta$ ,  $w(\Theta)$ 
  - we know beforehand how likely it is to have a certain value
  - known as a priori distribution or prior distribution
- ▶ The estimation must take it into account
  - the estimate will be slightly "moved" towards more likely values
- Known as "Bayesian estimation"
  - ► Thomas Bayes = discovered the Bayes rule
  - Stuff related to Bayes rule are often named "Bayesian"

### Cost function

▶ The **estimation error** is the difference between the estimate  $\hat{\Theta}$  and the true value  $\Theta$ 

$$\epsilon = \hat{\Theta} = \Theta$$

- ▶ The **cost function**  $C(\epsilon)$  assigns a cost to each possible estimation error
  - when  $\epsilon = 0$ , the cost C(0) = 0
  - ightharpoonup small errors  $\epsilon$  have small costs
  - large errors  $\epsilon$  have large costs
- Usual types of cost functions:
  - Quadratic:  $C(\epsilon) = \epsilon^2 = (\hat{\Theta} \Theta)^2$
  - ▶ Uniform ("hit or miss"):  $C(\epsilon) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } |\epsilon| = |\hat{\Theta} \Theta| \leq E \\ 1, & \text{if } |\epsilon| = |\hat{\Theta} \Theta| > E \end{cases}$
  - ▶ Linear:  $C(\epsilon) = |\epsilon| = |\hat{\Theta} \Theta|$
  - draw them at whiteboard

# The Bayesian risk

- For each pair of values  $\mathbf{r}$  and  $\Theta$ ,  $w(\mathbf{r}; \Theta)$  tells us how likely it is to have them
- ▶ Multiplying with  $C(\epsilon \text{ gives us the cost, for each } \mathbf{r} \text{ and } \Theta$

$$C(\epsilon)w(\mathbf{r};\Theta)$$

ightharpoonup Integrating over  $\Theta$  gives the cost for a certain  ${f r}$ 

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} C(\epsilon) w(\mathbf{r};\Theta) d\Theta$$

ightharpoonup Further integrating also over  ${f r}$  gives the global cost for all  ${f r}$  and all  $\Theta$ 

$$R = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} C(\epsilon) w(\mathbf{r}; \Theta) d\Theta d\mathbf{r}$$

# Minimizing the risk

- We want to minimize the risk R
- ▶ Bayes rule:  $w(\mathbf{r}; \Theta) = w(\Theta|\mathbf{r})w(\mathbf{r})$
- Replacing in R, we obtain

$$R = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} C(\epsilon) w(\Theta | \mathbf{r}) w(\mathbf{r}) d\Theta d\mathbf{r}$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(\mathbf{r}) \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} C(\epsilon) w(\Theta | \mathbf{r}) d\Theta \right] d\mathbf{r}$$

▶ Since  $w(\mathbf{r}) \ge 0$ , minimizing the inner integral will minimize R

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} C(\epsilon) w(\Theta | \mathbf{r}) d\Theta$$

- Next, we'll replace  $C(\epsilon)$  with its definition and derivate over  $\hat{\Theta}$ 
  - ► Attention:  $\hat{\Theta}$ , not  $\Theta$ !

## MMSE estimator

• When the cost function is quadratic  $C(\epsilon) = \epsilon^2 = (\hat{\Theta} - \Theta)^2$ 

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\hat{\Theta} - \Theta)^2 w(\Theta | \mathbf{r}) d\Theta$$

▶ We want the  $\hat{\Theta}$  that minimizes I, so we derivate

$$\frac{dI}{d\hat{\Theta}} = 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\hat{\Theta} - \Theta) w(\Theta | \mathbf{r}) d\Theta = 0$$

Equivalent to

$$\hat{\Theta} \underbrace{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(\Theta | \mathbf{r})}_{1} d\Theta = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Theta w(\Theta | \mathbf{r}) d\Theta$$

▶ The Minimum Mean Squared Error (MMSE) estimator is

$$\hat{\Theta} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Theta \cdot w(\Theta|\mathbf{r}) d\Theta$$

## Interpretation

- $\mathbf{w}(\Theta|\mathbf{r})$  is the **posterior** ( or a **posteriori**) distribution
  - $\triangleright$  it is the distribution of  $\Theta$  after we know the data we received
  - ightharpoonup the prior distribution  $w(\Theta)$  is the one before knowing any data
- ► The MMSE estimation is the average value of the posterior distribution

### The MAP estimator

▶ When the cost function is uniform

$$C(\epsilon) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } |\epsilon| = |\hat{\Theta} - \Theta| \le E \\ 1, & \text{if } |\epsilon| = |\hat{\Theta} - \Theta| > E \end{cases}$$
\$\$\text{begin}\{\text{split}\}

- Keep in mind that  $\Theta = \hat{\Theta} \epsilon$
- ► We obtain

$$egin{aligned} I &= \int_{-\infty}^{\hat{\Theta}-E} w(\Theta|\mathbf{r})d\Theta + \int_{T\hat{he}ta+E}^{\infty} w(\Theta|\mathbf{r})d\Theta \ I &= 1 - \int_{\hat{\Theta}-E}^{\hat{\Theta}+E} w(\Theta|\mathbf{r})d\Theta \end{aligned}$$

### The MAP estimator

- ► To minimize I, we must maximize  $\int_{\hat{\Theta}-E}^{\hat{\Theta}+E} w(\Theta|\mathbf{r})d\Theta$ , the integral around point  $\hat{\Theta}$
- ▶ For E a very small, the function  $w(\Theta|\mathbf{r})$  is approximately constant, so we pick the point where the function is maximum
- ► The Maximum A Posteriori (MAP) estimator is

$$\hat{\Theta} = \arg\max w(\Theta|\mathbf{r})$$

- arg max = "the value which maximizes the function"
  - ightharpoonup max f(x) = the maximum value of a function
  - ightharpoonup arg max f(x) =the x for which the function reaches its maximum

### Interpretation

- The MAP estimator chooses Θ as the value where the posterior distribution is maximum
- The MMSE estimator chooses Θ as average value of the posterior distribution




Figure 1: MAP vs MMSE estimators

# Finding the posterior distribution

- ▶ That's cool, but how do we find this posterior distribution  $w(\Theta|\mathbf{r})$ ?
- Use the Bayes rule

$$w(\Theta|\mathbf{r}) = \frac{w(\mathbf{r};\Theta)}{w(\mathbf{r})} = \frac{w(\mathbf{r}|\Theta) \cdot w(\Theta)}{w(\mathbf{r})}$$

Since  $w(\mathbf{r})$  is constant for a given  $\mathbf{r}$  the MAP estimator is

$$\hat{\Theta} = \operatorname{arg\,max} w(\Theta|\mathbf{r}) = \operatorname{arg\,max} w(\mathbf{r}|\Theta)w(\Theta)$$

- ► The MAP estimator is the one which **maximizes** the likelihood of the observed data, **but multiplying with the prior distribution**  $w(\Theta)$
- ▶ The MMSE estimator is the average of the same thing

### Relation with Maximum Likelihood Estimator

- ▶ The ML estimator was just arg max  $w(\mathbf{r}|\Theta)$
- ► The MAP estimator = like the ML estimator but multiplied with the prior distribution  $w(\Theta)$
- ▶ If  $w(\Theta)$  is a constant, the MAP estimator reduces to ML
  - $\triangleright$   $w(\Theta) = \text{constant means all values } \Theta \text{ are equally likely}$
  - ightharpoonup i.e. we don't have a clue where the real  $\Theta$  might be
- ► The MMSE estimator = like MAP, but don't take the *argmax* of the function, but its average value

### Relation with Detection

- ▶ The minimum probability of error criterion  $\frac{w(r|H_1)}{w(r|H_0)} \stackrel{H_1}{\gtrless} \frac{P(H_0)}{P(H_1)}$
- ▶ It can be rewritten as  $w(r|H_1) \cdot P(H_1) \stackrel{H_1}{\underset{H_0}{\gtrless}} w(r|H_0)P(H_0)$ 
  - ▶ i.e. choose the hypothesis where  $w(r|H) \cdot P(H)$  is maximum
  - $\triangleright$   $w(r|H_1)$ ,  $w(r|H_0)$  are the likelihood of observed data
  - $\triangleright$   $P(H_1)$ ,  $P(H_0)$  are the prior probabilities (known beforehand)
- ▶ The MAP estimator is where  $w(\mathbf{r}|\Theta)w(\Theta)$  is maximum
  - $\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{r}|\Theta)$  is the likelihood of observed data
  - $\blacktriangleright$   $w(\Theta)$  is the prior distribution (known beforehand)
- Therefore it is the same principle, merely in a different context:
  - ▶ in Detection we are restricted to a few predefined options
  - in Estimation we are unrestricted => choose the maximizing value of the whole function

### Exercise

Exercise: constant value, 3 measurement, Gaussian same  $\sigma$ 

- ▶ We want to estimate today's temperature in Sahara
- Our thermometer reads 40 degrees, but the value was affected by Gaussian noise  $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 = 2)$  (crappy thermometer)
- We know that this time of the year, the temperature is around 35 degrees, with a Gaussian distribution  $\mathcal{N}(35, \sigma^2 = 2)$ .
- Estimate the true temperature using ML, MAP and MMSE estimators

### Exercise

Exercise: constant value, 3 measurements, Gaussian same  $\sigma$ 

▶ What if he have three thermometers, showing 40, 38, 41 degrees

Exercise: constant value, 3 measurements, Gaussian different  $\sigma$ 

- What if the temperature this time of the year has Gaussian distribution  $\mathcal{N}(35, \sigma_2^2 = 3)$ 
  - ▶ different variance,  $\sigma_2 \neq \sigma$

# General signal in AWGN

- ▶ Consider that the true underlying signal is  $s_{\Theta}(t)$
- ► Consider AWGN noise  $\mathcal{N}(\mu = 0, \sigma^2)$ .
- As in Maximum Likelihood function, overall likelihood function

$$w(\mathbf{r}|\Theta) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{\sum(r_i - s_\Theta(t_i))^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

▶ But now this function is also **multiplied with**  $w(\Theta)$ 

$$w(\mathbf{r}|\Theta) \cdot w(\Theta)$$

# General signal in AWGN

► MAP estimator is the argument that maximizes this product

$$\hat{\Theta}_{MAP} = \arg\max w(\mathbf{r}|\Theta)w(\Theta)$$

Taking logarithm

$$\begin{split} \hat{\Theta}_{MAP} &= \arg\max \ln \left( w(\mathbf{r}|\Theta) \right) + \ln \left( w(\Theta) \right) \\ &= \arg\max - \frac{\sum (r_i - s_{\Theta}(t_i))^2}{2\sigma^2} + \ln \left( w(\Theta) \right) \end{split}$$

## Gaussian prior

▶ If the prior distribution is also Gaussian  $\mathcal{N}(\mu_{\Theta}, \sigma_{\Theta}^2)$ 

$$\ln(w(\Theta)) = -\frac{\sum(\Theta - \mu_{\Theta})^2}{2\sigma_{\Theta}^2}$$

MAP estimation becomes

$$\hat{\Theta}_{MAP} = \arg\min \frac{\sum (r_i - s_{\Theta}(t_i))^2}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{\sum (\Theta - \mu_{\Theta})^2}{2\sigma_{\Theta}^2}$$

Can be rewritten as

$$\hat{\Theta}_{MAP} = \arg\min d(\mathbf{r}, s_{\Theta})^2 + \underbrace{\frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma_{\Theta}^2}}_{\bullet} \cdot d(\Theta, \mu_{\Theta})^2$$

## Interpretation

MAP estimator with Gaussian noise and Gaussian prior

$$\hat{\Theta}_{MAP} = \arg\min d(\mathbf{r}, s_{\Theta})^2 + \underbrace{\frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma_{\Theta}^2}}_{\lambda} \cdot d(\Theta, \mu_{\Theta})^2$$

- $\hat{\Theta}_{MAP}$  is close to its expected value  $\mu_{\Theta}$  and it makes the true signal close to received data  ${\bf r}$ 
  - Example: "search for a house that is close to job and close to the Mall"
  - $ightharpoonup \lambda$  controls the relative importance of the two terms
- Particular cases
  - $\sigma_{\Theta}$  very small = the prior is very specific (narrow) =  $\lambda$  large = second term very important =  $\hat{\Theta}_{MAP}$  close to  $\mu_{\Theta}$
  - $\sigma_{\Theta}$  very large = the prior is very unspecific =  $\lambda$  small = first term very important =  $\hat{\Theta}_{MAP}$  close to ML estimation

## **Applications**

- ► In general, practical applications:
  - can use various prior distributions
  - estimate multiple parameters ( a vector of parameters)
- Applications
  - denoising of signals
  - signal restoration
  - signal compression

### Estimator bias

- ► How good is an estimator?
  - Many ways to characterize
- ightharpoonup An estimator  $\hat{\Theta}$  is a **random variable** 
  - can have different values, because it is computed based on the received samples, which depend on noise
  - example: in lab, try on multiple computers => slightly different results
- As a random variable, it has:
  - ightharpoonup an average value (expected value):  $E\left\{\hat{\Theta}\right\}$
  - ▶ a variance:  $E\left\{(\hat{\Theta} \Theta)^2\right\}$

### Estimator bias

▶ **Unbiased** estimator = if the average value of the estimator is the true value of  $\Theta$ 

$$E\left\{ \hat{\Theta}\right\} =\Theta$$

- ▶ Biased estimator = if the average value of the estimator is different from the true value  $\Theta$ 
  - ▶ the difference  $E\left\{\hat{\Theta}\right\} \Theta$  is called **the bias** of the estimator

### Estimator bias

- Example: for constant signal A with AWGN noise (zero-mean), ML estimator is  $\hat{A}_{ML} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} r_{i}$
- ► Then:

$$E\left\{\hat{A}_{ML}\right\} = \frac{1}{N}E\left\{\sum_{i} r_{i}\right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}E\left\{r_{i}\right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}E\left\{A + noise\right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}A$$

$$= A$$

This estimator in unbiased

### Estimator variance

- ▶ Unbiased estimators are good, but if the **variance** of the estimator is large, then estimated values can be far from the true value
- ► We prefer estimators with **small variance**, even if maybe slightly biased