**Sunflower conjecture:** We have some family of sets of size r. Let's call (k, r) sunflower the following thing: k sets, which have common intersection (core), and other parts for every 2 sets (petails) are disjoint. Famous conjecture says that size of family without sunflowers can'e be no more than  $c_k^r$  for some constant  $c_k$  depending only on k.

Let k be fixed now (k = 3, in fact is doesn't matter much).

Old result of Erdos says that size of sunflower-free set is no more than  $w^{O(w)}$ . In the work is proven that size of sunflower-free set is no more than  $(logw)^{O(w)}$ . Brief idea:

1) We will make definion of sunflower more flexible. So definition:

Set system F is  $(\alpha, \beta)$  satisfying, if  $Pr_Y(\exists S \in F, S \subset Y) > 1 - \beta$ .

**Robust sunflower** - Let F be set system, K - common intersection, K not if F. F is  $(\alpha, \beta)$  sunflower, if  $F_K$  is  $\alpha, \beta$  satisfying, where  $F_K$  is the following - we consider only sets which contain K, and  $F_K$  is image of this sets after cutting K.

Why it is useful -  $(\frac{1}{r}, \frac{1}{r})$  robust sunflower contains usual r-sunflower. Proof is simple randomness argument.

Now we want to find big robust sunflower. Our idea is consider elements with weights. Let's define **weight profile** - vector  $s = (1 \ge s_0 \ge s_1 \ge ... \ge s_k)$  of rational numbers. Then we define **weighted set system (WSS)** as set of sets with some weights.

 $o(F) = \sum_{F' \subset F} w(F')$ . WSS is s-bounded, if  $o(F) \geq s_0$ ,  $O(F') \leq s_{|W'|}$  for all smaller sets. Finally, weight profine is  $\alpha, \beta$  satisfying, if any s-bounded set system is  $(\alpha, \beta)$  satisfying.

Then if weight profile  $(1, k, k^2, ..., k^l)$  is  $(\alpha, \beta)$  satisfying for every l less the w, then any w-set system of size  $k^{-w}$  is  $\alpha, \beta$  satisfying.

In work is proven that for  $k = logw(\frac{loglogwlog(\frac{1}{\beta})}{\alpha})^{O(1)}$  this weight profile is  $(\alpha, \beta)$  satisfying. Proof is complicated induction with rebuilding weights. Then we use it with  $\alpha = \beta = \frac{1}{r}$