Grade received 100% To pass 75% or higher

1. In the previous lecture we saw the Einstein summation convention, in which we sum over any indices which are repeated. In traditional notation we might write, for example, $\sum_{j=1}^3 A_{ij}v_j=A_{i1}v_1+A_{i2}v_2+A_{i3}v_3$. With the Einstein summation convention we can avoid the big sigma and write this as $A_{ij}v_j$. We know that we sum over \boldsymbol{j} because it appears twice.

We saw that thinking about this type of notation helps us to multiply non-square matrices together. For example, consider the matrices

$$A=egin{bmatrix}1&2&3\\4&0&1\end{bmatrix}$$
 and $B=egin{bmatrix}1&1&0\\0&1&1\\1&0&1\end{bmatrix}$,

multiply square matrices together.

and remember that in the A_{ij} notation the first index i represents the row number and the second index jrepresents the column number. For example, $A_{12}=2$.

Let's define the matrix C=AB. Then in Einstein summation convention notation $C_{mn}=A_{mj}B_{jn}$.

Using the Einstein summation convention, calculate $C_{21}=A_{2j}B_{j1}$.

- $C_{21} = 3$
- $\bigcirc C_{21} = 4$
- \bigcirc $C_{21} = 5$
- $O_{21} = 6$
- **⊘** Correct

2. We can use the same method to calculate every element of C=AB. Doing so we see that we are multiplying A's rows with B's columns in exactly the same way as we would for square matrices.

In fact, we can multiply any matrices together as long as the terms which we sum over have the same number of elements. For example, there are the same number of values for j in $C_{mn}=A_{mj}B_{jn}$. The resulting matrix C

Did you calculate this by considering each element $C_{mn}=A_{mj}B_{jn}$ or by multiplying row by column?

Writing out the summation we see that $C_{21}=A_{2j}B_{j1}=A_{21}B_{11}+A_{22}B_{21}+A_{23}B_{31}.$ We can get

the same answer as acting the 2nd row of A on the 1st column of B , which is exactly how we would

will have as many rows as A and as many columns as B. Using the same matrices as before, $A=\begin{bmatrix}1&2&3\\4&0&1\end{bmatrix}$ and $B=\begin{bmatrix}1&1&0\\0&1&1\\1&0&1\end{bmatrix}$, what is C=AB?

- $C = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 5 & 3 \\ 5 & 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$
- $C = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 5 \\ 3 & 4 \\ 5 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
- $\begin{array}{ccc}
 C & C = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 5 & 3 \end{bmatrix}
 \end{array}$
- $C = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 3 & 5 \\ 5 & 4 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

⊘ Correct

3. Let's practice multiplying together a few more matrices which are not square.

Make sure you understand why they give the same answer!

Calculate the product:

- O 29
- 30 O 31
- O 32
- **⊘** Correct This is another way to define the dot product of two vectors!

 $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

4. Calculate the product:

- 3 2 1 $[4 \ 5 \ 6]$
- 12 18 12 10 O 32
- 12 15 18 10 12 O 30

Well done.

⊘ Correct

5. Calculate the product:

Well done!

⊘ Correct

Let D=ABC where A is a 5 imes 3 matrix, B is a 3 imes 7 matrix and C is a 7 imes 4 matrix. What are the dimensions of the matrix D?

6. We have seen that we can multiply an m imes n matrix with an n imes k matrix, and the resultant matrix will be an

lacktriangledown D is a 5 imes 4 matrix \bigcirc A, B and C cannot be multiplied together because they have the wrong dimensions.

m imes k matrix. You can check this is consistent with your previous answers.

- $\bigcirc D$ is a 4 imes 5 matrix $\bigcirc D$ is a 5 imes 7 matrix
- $\bigcirc \ D \text{ is a } 3 \times 7 \text{ matrix}$
- Correct We can use the rule about multiplying non square matrices to more than two matrices.

7. Calculate the product:

- **⊘** Correct
- We can see that the identity matrix $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ doesn't change $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$ even though the second matrix is not square.

8. Let \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} be vectors with n elements. Which of the following are equal to the dot product of these two vectors? $\sum_{i=1}^n u_i v_i$

⊘ Correct This is the dot product with the full sum written out.

This is the dot product in Einstein summation convention notation. It doesn't matter which letter we use

- **⊘** Correct
- $\checkmark u_i v_i$ Correct

This gives the same result as the dot product, like in question 3.

for our indices as long as they are the same. ✓ u·v

 $u_i v_j$

- Correct
- This is the typically how we write the dot product.

1/1 point

1 / 1 point

1/1 point

1/1 point

1/1 point

1/1 point

1/1 point

1/1 point