

Summary of Last Lecture

- Normalization

2NF

- a. should be in 1NF
- b. No partial dependency

3NF

- a. should be in 2NF
- b. No transitive dependency

Boyce Code Normal Form (BCNF)

BCNF is an extension of Third Normal Form on strict terms.

BCNF states that :

- The relation should be in 3NF
- For any functional dependency, $X \rightarrow A$, X must be a super-key.

Boyce Code Normal Form (BCNF)

$R = \{ \text{emp_id}, \text{emp_dept}, \text{nationality}, \text{dept_type}, \text{dept_no} \}$

$\text{Key} = \{ \text{emp_id} \}$

$F = \{ \text{emp_id} \rightarrow \text{emp_dept},$
 $\text{emp_id} \rightarrow \text{nationality},$
 $\text{emp_dept} \rightarrow \text{dept_type},$
 $\text{emp_dept} \rightarrow \text{dept_no} \}$

Is the relation in BCNF?

$R1 = \{ \text{emp_id}, \text{emp_dept}, \text{nationality} \}$

$R2 = \{ \text{emp_dept}, \text{dept_type}, \text{dept_no} \}$

Boyce Code Normal Form (BCNF)

Example

$R = \{ \text{author, nationality, book_title, category, no_of_pages} \}$

$\text{Key} = \{ \text{author} \}$

$F = \{ \text{author} \rightarrow \text{nationality},$
 $\text{author} \rightarrow \text{book_title},$
 $\text{book_title} \rightarrow \text{category},$
 $\text{book_title} \rightarrow \text{no_of_pages} \}$

Is the above relation in BCNF?

$R1 = \{ \text{author, nationality, book_title} \}$

$R2 = \{ \text{book_title, category, no_of_pages} \}$

Decomposition Algorithm

These are two important properties associated with decomposition.

- Lossless Join
- Dependency Preservation

Decomposition Algorithm

Lossless Join -

A decomposition of a relation R into schemes R_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) is said to be a **lossless join decomposition** or simply **lossless** if for every relation (R) the **natural join** of the projections of R gives the original relation R; i.e.,

$$R = R_1 \bowtie R_2 \bowtie \dots \bowtie R_n$$

If $R \subsetneq R_1 \bowtie R_2 \bowtie \dots \bowtie R_n$ then the decomposition is called **lossy**.

Decomposition Algorithm

Example: R

Model Name	Price	Category
a11	100	Canon
s20	200	Nikon
a70	150	Canon

Model Name	Category
a11	Canon
s20	Nikon
a70	Canon

Price	Category
100	Canon
200	Nikon
150	Canon

Decomposition Algorithm

R1 ⋈ R2

Model Name	Price	Category
a11	100	Canon
a11	150	Canon
s20	200	Nikon
a70	100	Canon
a70	150	Canon

R

Model Name	Price	Category
a11	100	Canon
s20	200	Nikon
a70	150	Canon

Decomposition Algorithm

Dependency Preserving

Given a relation R where F is a set of functional dependencies,

R is decompose into the relations

R_1, R_2, \dots, R_n

with the functional dependencies

F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n

Then this decomposition of R is **dependency preserving** if the

closure of $F_1 \cup F_2 \cup \dots \cup F_n$ is identical to F^+

Decomposition Theorem

A decomposition of relation $R\langle(x,y,z),F\rangle$ into $R1\langle(x,y),F1\rangle$ and $R2\langle(x,z),F2\rangle$ is:

- a) dependency preserving if every functional dependency in R can be logically derives from the functional dependencies of $R1$ and $R2$ i.e. $(F1 \cup F2)^+ = F^+$
- b) is lossless if the common attribute x of $R1$ and $R2$ form a **key** of at least one of these i.e. $x \rightarrow y$ or $x \rightarrow z$

Decomposition Theorem

Example -

Let $R(a,b,c)$ and $F = \{a \rightarrow b\}$

Check whether above relation is lossless and dependency preserving if decompose as

a. $R_1(a,b)$ and $R_2(a,c)$:

lossless, dependency preserving

b. $R_1(a,b)$ and $R_2(b,c)$:

lossy, dependency preserving

Decomposition Theorem

Example -

Let $R(a,b,c,d)$ and $F = \{a \rightarrow b, a \rightarrow c, c \rightarrow d\}$

Check whether above relation is lossless and dependency preserving if decompose as

a. $R_1(a,b,d)$ and $R_2(b,c)$:

lossy, no dependency preserving

b. $R_1(a,b,c)$ and $R_2(c,d)$:

lossless, dependency preserving



END OF UNIT III