

CSCI-471 Professional Communications

Research Presentation Evaluation Form – Video Presentations

Your Name: Nikhil Raina

Speaker: Will Andrews

Topic: Support Augmented Reality: Looking Beyond Performance

Instructions

You should have access to the videos you have been assigned to review for the class.

Do not compare this presentation to any other presentation. Evaluate each one independently. As you watch each video, be prepared to rate it on four categories: **Visuals**, **Content**, **Organization**, and **Presentation Style**. You will also provide an **Overall Assessment**. In each category, you will enter a number from 0 to 100 that represents your opinion for the given component. You will also have a short section to give commentary for each category. Numerical ranges and suggested meanings are:

95 – 100	Excellent or outstanding
85 – 94	Very good (minor improvements needed)
75 – 84	Good (more significant improvements needed)
50 – 74	Fair (barely adequate; major improvements needed)
0 – 49	Extremely poor (unacceptable)

Under each of the four categories are sets of *descriptors*. Each has a scale of “Strongly Agree” to “Strongly Disagree”; replace the ☐ with an ‘X’ based on how well the performance adheres to the descriptor. Your selection for a descriptor should reinforce or inform your numerical rating of the category. You may also select ‘n/a’ if a descriptor does not apply to the current presentation. Generally, checking “Agree” or “Strongly Agree” would signify something positive, well done, or that added to the video; checking “Disagree” or “Strongly Disagree” would signify something neutral; checking “No opinion” would indicate you were neutral with respect to that dimension of the talk. There is also a space in each section where you can leave feedback specific to that category. Under your overall assessment, there are additional questions to answer. Strive to make all of your feedback as helpful as possible.

Overall Assessment

Did the presentation reflect adequate preparation?

Score 89.25/100

Yes

No

☒

☐

Visuals and Video

Score 94 /100

Comments: The usage of the visuals, that is the images was done really well. Towards the end of the paper, there seems to be some audio disruption though. Doesn't have too much of an issue, but can get annoying.

Strongly Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	No Opinion	Somewhat Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The Speaker's... visuals were relevant
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	visuals were complete
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	visuals were accurate
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	visuals were well integrated
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	visuals well-designed
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	visuals were easy to see
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	video quality was not distracting
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	audio quality was not distracting

Content

Score 94 /100

Comments: His explanation and the example he used was thorough and well structured. It was in-depth and gave a great insight with the significant use of the technology that is being talked about.

Strongly Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	No Opinion	Somewhat Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The presentation had... sufficient content provided
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	appropriate explanations
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	sufficient examples provided
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	the right scope for audience

Organization

Score 85 /100

Comments: The points did seem summarized, however, there was a significant amount of “reading from the slides” than having just simple points to guide the explanation that would be done by the presenter. I think putting some pointers to hint on the context on the slides would have been a better approach than just reading of the slide. Otherwise, a pretty good layout of the content.

Strongly Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	No Opinion	Somewhat Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The Speaker... gave an outline or agenda
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	provided transitions between ideas
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	organized their content logically
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	summarized their main points
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	clearly introduced their topic
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	made good use of time
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	offered conclusions

Presentation Style

Score 92 /100

Comments: Presentation was done well by introducing a wide number of images to illustrate the points. There were a few ‘hiccups’ here and there, but I think that adds a human element to the presentation. I think there could be a better way to show the citation for the images though. Few images had hyperlinks. There should be a better format to follow in order to get this done.

Strongly Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	No Opinion	Somewhat Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The Speaker... Introduced themselves
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Dressed appropriately
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Avoided distracting mannerisms & fillers
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Appeared Enthusiastic
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Paced the talk appropriately
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Used professional language
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Appeared poised & confident
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Avoided monotone delivery?

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	<input type="checkbox"/> Spoke loud enough
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	<input type="checkbox"/> Defined terms when needed

What did you like most about this presentation?

I thoroughly enjoyed the example that was mentioned in order to illustrate the use of AR and how it can be very helpful. The use of a near real world scenario was helpful to show not only the use of AR but to also show the degree to which it can be used and how helpful it can actually be. Given that the technology around us is already advancing allowing us to be able to similar tasks, it was good to restate this extent to show the breadth of it use.

What is the most significant thing that this speaker could improve upon?

The presentation was well structured, However, articulating the points on the slides, thus allowing the presenter to explain them instead of just read from the slide is something that should be worked on. There were to many opportunities for the presenter to summarize the sentences on the slides to just simple bullet points. That would have been a good idea to talk about the entire presentation.