CSCI-471 Professional Communications

Research Presentation Evaluation Form – Video Presentations

Your Name: Nikhil Raina

Speaker: Jennifer Pimm Topic: Artificial Intelligence in Relation to Prosthetics

Instructions

You should have access to the videos you have been assigned to review for the class.

Do not compare this presentation to any other presentation. Evaluate each one independently. As you watch each video, be prepared to rate it on four categories: **Visuals, Content, Organization,** and **Presentation Style.** You will also provide an **Overall Assessment.** In each category, you will enter a number from 0 to 100 that represents your opinion for the given component. You will also have a short section to give commentary for each category. Numerical ranges and suggested meanings are:

95 - 100	Excellent or outstanding
85 - 94	Very good (minor improvements needed)
75 - 84	Good (more significant improvements needed)
50 - 74	Fair (barely adequate; major improvements needed)
0 - 49	Extremely poor (unacceptable)

Under each of the four categories are sets of *descriptors*. Each has a scale of "Strongly Agree" to "Strongly Disagree"; replace the \Box with an 'X' based on how well the performance adheres to the descriptor. Your selection for a descriptor should reinforce or inform your numerical rating of the category. You may also select 'n/a' if a descriptor does not apply to the current presentation. Generally, checking "Agree" or "Strongly Agree" would signify something positive, well done, or that added to the video; checking "Disagree" or "Strongly Disagree" would signify something neutral; checking "No opinion" would indicate you were neutral with respect to that dimension of the talk. There is also a space in each section where you can leave feedback specific to that category. Under your overall assessment, there are additional questions to answer. Strive to make all of your feedback as helpful as possible.

Overall Assessment	Score _	Score <u>87</u> /100		
Did the presentation reflect adequate preparation?	Yes	No		
	\boxtimes			

Visu	als ar	nd V	/ideo		S	Score_ <u>91</u> _/100
Strongly Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	No Opinion	Somewhat Agree	Strongly Agree N/A	The Speaker's	Comments: Her explanaiton regarding the mechanial components could have a good visual component. This would help her to illustrate her exmples clearly and allow the user to get a more focused view of the imagination.
			\boxtimes		visuals were relevant	
					visuals were complete	
				\boxtimes	visuals were accurate	
					visuals were well integrated	
			\boxtimes		visuals well-designed	
			\boxtimes		visuals were easy to see	
					video quality was not distract	ing
					audio quality was not distract	ing
Cont	ont					Score_87_/100
Strongly Disagree	¥	No Opinion	Somewhat Agree	Strongly Agree N/A	The presentation had	Comments: Mechanical Component had to much data in them. I think she could have divided that slide into two where one she discusses the theoretical approach to implement the Prosthetics in real life that is with the 'sound limb' system. The other could be the working of the prosthetics, that is how it works and then continue to give the
					sufficient content provided	examples that she gave.
			\boxtimes		appropriate explanations	
				\boxtimes	sufficient examples provided	
			\boxtimes		the right scope for audience	

Orga	ınizat	ion		Score_83_/100						
Strongly Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	No Opinion	Somewhat Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	The Speaker	Comments: The content was well spoken but it didn't seem to be well shown. There were multiple situations where she could have divided the slides to allow more room for explanation and a better way for the user to keep track of the things being explained. Other than this, unfortunately the presentation was too small. It was grabbing a sufficient amount of interest, but I would have liked had it been a bit longer.			
				Χ		gave an outline or agenda				
			\boxtimes			provided transitions between ideas				
	\boxtimes					organized their content logically				
			\boxtimes			summarized their main points				
				\boxtimes		clearly introduced their topic				
	\boxtimes					made good use of time				
					П	offered conclusions				
Ш		ш		Ц	Ш					
					Ш					
			Style				s 87_/100			
	entat			· :			2.87_/100 Comments: Her examples didn't seem like they were practiced well enough. She used the word 'so' too often that it eventually became a bit too distracting. It was a little difficult to maintain focus on the content.			
Pres	entat	ion	Style	<u> </u>		Score	Comments: Her examples didn't seem like they were practiced well enough. She used the word 'so' too often that it eventually became a bit too distracting. It was a little difficult to maintain			
Strongly A Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	No Opinion	Somewhat Agree	Strongly Agree		Score The Speaker	Comments: Her examples didn't seem like they were practiced well enough. She used the word 'so' too often that it eventually became a bit too distracting. It was a little difficult to maintain			
Strongly □ Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	ion No Opinion	Somewhat Somewhat Agree	X Strongly Agree	X X	The Speaker Introduced themself	Comments: Her examples didn't seem like they were practiced well enough. She used the word 'so' too often that it eventually became a bit too distracting. It was a little difficult to maintain			
Pres Strongly □ □ Disagree	□ Somewhat Disagree	ion No Opinion	Somewhat Agree	□ x Strongly Agree	X X	The Speaker Introduced themself Dressed appropriately	Comments: Her examples didn't seem like they were practiced well enough. She used the word 'so' too often that it eventually became a bit too distracting. It was a little difficult to maintain			
Pres Strongly □ □ □ Disagree	□ □ Somewhat □ □ Disagree	ion uoinido oN 🗆 🗆	Style Somewhat Agree	X X Strongly Agree) X 	The Speaker Introduced themself Dressed appropriately Avoided distracting mannerisms & fillers	Comments: Her examples didn't seem like they were practiced well enough. She used the word 'so' too often that it eventually became a bit too distracting. It was a little difficult to maintain			
Pres Strongly Disagree	Bomewhat Somewhat Disagree	ion No Opinion	Somewhat X	□ X Strongly Aaree		The Speaker Introduced themself Dressed appropriately Avoided distracting mannerisms & fillers Appeared Enthusiastic Paced the talk appropriately Used professional language	Comments: Her examples didn't seem like they were practiced well enough. She used the word 'so' too often that it eventually became a bit too distracting. It was a little difficult to maintain			
Pres Strongly Disagree	□ □ □ Somewhat □ □ □ Disagree	ion No Opinion	Style Somewhat Agree	X		The Speaker Introduced themself Dressed appropriately Avoided distracting mannerisms & fillers Appeared Enthusiastic Paced the talk appropriately	Comments: Her examples didn't seem like they were practiced well enough. She used the word 'so' too often that it eventually became a bit too distracting. It was a little difficult to maintain			

		Χ	☐ Spoke loud enough
		Χ	□ Defined terms when needed

What did you like most about this presentation	n?
--	----

I liked how straightforward the presentation was. By the examples that were being given by the presenter, it definitely looked like the presenter was thoroughly versed in the paper that she was able to grab the main points and not beat around the bush.

What is the most significant thing that this speaker could improve upon?

Her examples were well addressed but they could be better illustrated by using more visual aid. Her way of describing her examples lacked an amount of professionalism which did sway away from the intended purpose of the presentation. To add on more, that is to be able to use the visuals better, it would have been a good idea to divide a few slides, especially the Mechanical Component and the Artificial Intelligence slides as they had more content being talked about. Due to the amount of content, it would have been a good idea to divide them into two and use more visuals like gifs or images to illustrate the presenter's point.