Research Presentation Evaluation Form – Video Presentations

Your Name: Nikhil Raina

Speaker: Vincent Li   Topic: Smart Cities Traffic Congestion Monitoring and Control System using IoT and ML

Instructions

You should have access to the videos you have been assigned to review for the class.

Do not compare this presentation to any other presentation. Evaluate each one independently. As you watch each video, be prepared to rate it on four categories: **Visuals, Content, Organization,** and **Presentation Style.** You will also provide an **Overall Assessment.** In each category, you will enter a number from 0 to 100 that represents your opinion for the given component. You will also have a short section to give commentary for each category. Numerical ranges and suggested meanings are:

95 – 100	Excellent or outstanding
85 – 94	Very good (minor improvements needed)
75 – 84	Good (more significant improvements needed)
50 – 74	Fair (barely adequate; major improvements needed)
0 – 49	Extremely poor (unacceptable)

Under each of the four categories are sets of *descriptors*. Each has a scale of "Strongly Agree" to "Strongly Disagree"; replace the ☐ with an 'X' based on how well the performance adheres to the descriptor. Your selection for a descriptor should reinforce or inform your numerical rating of the category. You may also select 'N/A' if a descriptor does not apply to the current presentation. Generally, checking "Agree" or "Strongly Agree" would signify something positive, well done, or that added to the video; checking "Disagree" or "Strongly Disagree" would signify something negative, poorly done or distracted from the video; checking "No opinion" would indicate you were neutral with respect to that dimension of the talk. There is also a space in each section where you can leave feedback specific to that category. Under your overall assessment, there are additional questions to answer. Strive to make all of your feedback as helpful as possible.

Overall Assessment   Score __92__/100

Did the presentation reflect adequate preparation?   Yes   No
                                                            ☒   ☐

Visuals and Video

Score _97_ /100

Strongly Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	No Opinion	Somewhat Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	The Speaker's...
☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	visuals were relevant
☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	visuals were complete
☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	visuals were accurate
☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	visuals were well-integrated
☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	visuals well-designed
☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	visuals were easy to see
☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	video quality was not distracting
☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	audio quality was not distracting

Comments: I liked the use of different images on the slides. Made the presentation more engaging. However, I don't think that the images were well cited. Maybe following a kind of citation format would have helped. Also, there doesn't seem to be direct quotation from the paper itself. Would have made the presentation more appealing by using the exact words to exemplify the illustration.

Content

Score _89_ /100

Strongly Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	No Opinion	Somewhat Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	The presentation had...
☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	☐	sufficient content provided
☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	☐	appropriate explanations
☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	☐	sufficient examples provided
☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	the right scope for audience

Comments: There were several situations where the presentation read from the slides itself. I think the slides are meant to be pointers than scripts. It would have been better if the content on the slides were in smaller points and then allowed to elaborate on them. I think the examples, which were provided, were more pertaining to how the traffic system used to be, how its being used now and how its being optimized.

Organization

Score__90__/100

Comments: The points that were shown on the slides appeared to be more like a script than using bullet points and guiding attributes. There are parts in the presentation that show a good and normal use of a presentation, however the script like usage still did prevail.

The Speaker...

Strongly Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	No Opinion	Somewhat Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	
☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	gave an outline or agenda
☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	provided transitions between ideas
☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	organized their content logically
☐	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐	summarized their main points
☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	clearly introduced their topic
☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	made good use of time
☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	offered conclusions

Presentation Style

Score__92__/100

Comments: The presentation approach was good. There were quite a few additional articles that were being added during the entire speech, which showed a little ambiguity with the script that had already been written. I think a practice run once or twice would have made a better dialogue delivery to it entirely.

The Speaker...

Strongly Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	No Opinion	Somewhat Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	
☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	Introduced themself
☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	Dressed appropriately
☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	☐	Avoided distracting mannerisms & fillers
☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	☐	Appeared Enthusiastic
☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	Paced the talk appropriately
☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	☐	Used professional language
☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	☐	Appeared poised & confident
☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	☐	Avoided monotone delivery
☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	Spoke loud enough
☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	☐	Defined terms when needed

What did you like most about this presentation?
I liked how the presentation had images to illustrate the content that was being talked about. It was quite helpful in terms of understanding the reasons for improvement in the traffic system and the progression of the entire process as a whole. I think that the images were rather really accurately placed that gave precise explanation to the material at hand that made the presentation that much more engaging.

What is the most significant thing that this speaker could improve upon?
I believe that the speaker could add a citation page in the slide and follow a form of citing the images. While doing so, in order to make his case clear and crisp, using and quoting lines from the paper would have made the entire presentation "solid". In a way, it could give a sense of professionalism to the presentation.