Research Presentation Evaluation Form – Video Presentations

Your Name: Nikhil Raina

Speaker: Nick Resca   Topic: Deep Learning Thermal Image Translation for Night Vision Perception

Instructions

You should have access to the videos you have been assigned to review for the class.

Do not compare this presentation to any other presentation. Evaluate each one indepesndently. As you watch each video, be prepared to rate it on four categories: **Visuals, Content, Organization,** and **Presentation Style.** You will also provide an **Overall Assessment.** In each category, you will enter a number from 0 to 100 that represents your opinion for the given component. You will also have a short section to give commentary for each category. Numerical ranges and suggested meanings are:

95 – 100	Excellent or outstanding
85 – 94	Very good (minor improvements needed)
75 – 84	Good (more significant improvements needed)
50 – 74	Fair (barely adequate; major improvements needed)
0 – 49	Extremely poor (unacceptable)

Under each of the four categories are sets of *descriptors*. Each has a scale of "Strongly Agree" to "Strongly Disagree"; replace the ☐ with an 'X' based on how well the performance adheres to the descriptor. Your selection for a descriptor should reinforce or inform your numerical rating of the category. You may also select 'n/a' if a descriptor does not apply to the current presentation. Generally, checking "Agree" or "Strongly Agree" would signify something positive, well done, or that added to the video; checking "Disagree" or "Strongly Disagree" would signify something neutral; checking "No opinion" would indicate you were neutral with respect to that dimension of the talk. There is also a space in each section where you can leave feedback specific to that category. Under your overall assessment, there are additional questions to answer. Strive to make all of your feedback as helpful as possible.

Overall Assessment   Score __91__/100

Did the presentation reflect adequate preparation?   Yes   No

                                                          ☒   ☐

Visuals and Video

Score __89__ /100

Comments: I think adding more visuals, given that the presentation primarily talks about thermal images for night vision, would have allowed the user to remain more engaged.

The Speaker's...

Strongly Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	No Opinion	Somewhat Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	
☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	☐	visuals were relevant
☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	visuals were complete
☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	visuals were accurate
☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	visuals were well integrated
☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	☐	visuals well-designed
☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	visuals were easy to see
☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	video quality was not distracting
☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	audio quality was not distracting

Content

Score __97__ /100

Comments: The content was crisp and to the point. There didn't seem much, if not any, leak of the content that was reflecting a point. It seemed that the bullet points were perfect starting points or summarizing elements for the content being spoken.

The presentation had...

Strongly Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	No Opinion	Somewhat Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	
☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	sufficient content provided
☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	appropriate explanations
☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	sufficient examples provided
☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	☐	the right scope for audience

Organization

Score_90_/100

Comments: I think it is safe to say that the organization of the content lacked visual elements for a project that was primarily based on imaging and ways of imaging. The introduction to the problems could have been a good point for the presenter to have some visuals laid out for the user to get a linear thinking than just allowing their imagination to take the stage.

Strongly Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	No Opinion	Somewhat Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	The Speaker...
☐	☐	☐	☐	X	☐	gave an outline or agenda
☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	provided transitions between ideas
☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	☐	organized their content logically
☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	summarized their main points
☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	clearly introduced their topic
☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	made good use of time
☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	offered conclusions

Presentation Style

Score_89_/100

Comments: The presenter sounded a little tired. Maybe it was just the way he speaks, but due to that, it appeared a little monotonic at certain areas. The clarity of the content, that was being spoken, was clear as it could get. The content, during the presentation, was comprehensive.

Strongly Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	No Opinion	Somewhat Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A	The Speaker...
☐	☐	☐	☐	X	☐	Introduced themself
☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	X	Dressed appropriately
☐	☐	☐	☐	X	☐	Avoided distracting mannerisms & fillers
☐	☐	☐	X	☐	☐	Appeared Enthusiastic
☐	☐	☐	☐	X	☐	Paced the talk appropriately
☐	☐	☐	☐	X	☐	Used professional language
☐	☐	☐	☐	X	☐	Appeared poised & confident
☐	☐	☐	X	☐	☐	Avoided monotone delivery?
☐	☐	☐	☐	X	☐	Spoke loud enough

☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ X ☐ Defined terms when needed

What did you like most about this presentation?
I truly like how the crisp and to the point the presentation is. Each slide doesn't have any "leak". The content information that is provided doesn't overflow from the bullet points that are mentioned on the slides. It looks like the speaker did thorough research of the paper and picked the exact required material. There doesn't seem like any extra information is being said or information is being lacked.

What is the most significant thing that this speaker could improve upon?
Since the presentation is about thermal images and night vision, I really think it would be a good idea to add more visual content like images or gifs. By doing this, it would make the whole explanation of the content that much more linear to what the speaker is saying than letting the imagination run wild for the viewer. The visual content that is provided don't do enough justice and that shows the evaluation upon the grayscale and the testing of the texture-net give an insight of the outcomes, but it doesn't give insight to the user about the previous problems faced other than just mentioning some relevant text on it.