

Q-1

a)  $L_1 = \{ \langle M, N \rangle \mid M, N \text{ are two TMs \& } M \text{ takes fewer steps than } N \text{ on input } \epsilon \}$

• Undecidable

• We will try to prove  $L_1$  is undecidable using reduction.

• let  $L_{\epsilon TM} = \{ \langle N \rangle \mid N \text{ is a TM accepting } \epsilon \}$

•  $L_{\epsilon TM}$  is undecidable (Class Lecture & Notes)

Claim:  ~~$L_1 \leq L_{\epsilon TM}$~~   $L_1 \leq L_{\epsilon TM}$

We will justify our claim by constructing a function  $f$  which takes  $\langle N \rangle$  as input & produces output  $\langle M_1, N_2 \rangle$ , s.t.  ~~$\epsilon \in L_1$~~   
 $\epsilon \in L_1 \iff \epsilon \in L_{\epsilon TM} \iff N_1 \text{ takes fewer steps than } N_2 \text{ on } \epsilon$

$f:$

(i) TM  $N_1$  is constructed such that on every input.

• simulate  $N$  on  $\epsilon$

~~• Accept if~~

• Accept if  $N$  accepts & if  $N$  rejects  $\epsilon$  go into infinite loop.

(ii) Construct another TM  $N_2$  which goes into infinite loop on all input.

Camlin

$\therefore N_2$  takes  $\infty$  steps on  $\epsilon$ .

~~$\epsilon \in G(N)$~~

~~$\epsilon \in G(N) \Rightarrow N_1$  takes  $\infty$  steps~~

$\epsilon \in G(N) \Rightarrow N_1$  takes finite &  $N_2$   $\infty$  steps

$\epsilon \notin G(N) \Rightarrow N_1$  &  $N_2$  both takes  $\infty$  steps

$$G \in \leq_m L_1$$

$L_1$  is undecidable.



(b)  $L_2 = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ takes at most } 2^{340} \text{ steps on some input.} \}$

[Decidable]

Observation:

Only  $2^{340}$  bits of input are relevant because it will be accepted within at most  $2^{340}$  steps according to definition.

TM

Algorithm for TM: for input  $\langle M \rangle$ .

- $M$  is run for at most  $2^{340}$  steps for all input length of at most  $2^{340}$ .
- If input is accepted by  $M$  within these steps, accept it otherwise reject it.



(C)  $L_3 = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid \text{Here are infinitely many TMs equivalent to } M \}$

• Decidable

• Fact: Every TM has infinitely many equivalent TMs.

It is infnly an unreachable states can be added to any TM not changing the accepting language.

So Accept input if it is a TM otherwise reject.

(d)  $L_4 = \{ \langle M, N \rangle \mid L(M) \cap L(N) \text{ is infinite} \}$

• Undecidable

• We try to design a computable function  $f$  s.t. it takes  $\langle M, w \rangle$  as input giving  $\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle$  as output :-

$w \in L(M) \Leftrightarrow L(M_1) \cap L(M_2) \text{ is infinite}$

We claim that  $A_{TM} \leq L_4$ .

$\therefore$  on input  $\langle M, w \rangle$  output  $\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle$ .

•  $M_1$  is designed s.t.  $\forall$  input

(a) simulate  $M$  on  $w$ .

(b) Accept if  $M$  accepts  $w$ , reject if  $M$  rejects  $w$ .

• Also design  $M_2 = M_1$

P.T.O

Camlin



Proof

(i)  $w \in L(M) :-$

- (a)  $M_1$  accepts every string  $\Rightarrow L(M_2)$  is infinite
- (b)  $L(M_1) \cap L(M_2)$  is infinite as  $M_1 = M_2$ .

(ii)  $w \notin L(M) :-$

- $\rightarrow M_1$  is finite as it rejects every string.
- $\Rightarrow L(M_1) \cap L(M_2)$  is  $\phi$ .

~~Then~~ Ans

$\therefore w \in L(M) \Rightarrow L(M) \cap L(M_2)$  is infinite.

Thus  $L_4$  is undecidable.



## Question - 2

$$L' = \{0w \mid w \in L\} \cup \{1w \mid w \notin L\}$$

Given:  $L$  is TR &  $\bar{L}$  is non-TR.

- We will try to prove that  $\bar{L} \leq_m L'$   $\Rightarrow L'$  is undecidable.

- We will construct a computable function  $f$  such that  $x \in \bar{L} \Leftrightarrow f(x) \in L'$ .

- For computational function  $f$ : & input string  $x$ :  
 $\Rightarrow$  Add 1 in front of  $x$  if  $x \in L$ .

Output:  $1x$

Proof:

- $x \in \bar{L} \Rightarrow x \notin L$  s.t.  $x \in L' \Rightarrow f(x) \in L'$

- $x \notin \bar{L} \Rightarrow x \in L$  s.t.  $x \notin L' \Rightarrow f(x) \notin L'$

~~$\Rightarrow$  Thus,  $x \in \bar{L} \Leftrightarrow f(x) \in L'$  for all input  $x$~~   
 $\Rightarrow$  Thus,  $x \in \bar{L} \Leftrightarrow f(x) \in L'$   $\forall$  input  $x$

$$\bar{L} \leq_m L'$$

$L'$  is not-TR



### Question-3

(a)  $\text{Infinte}_{TM} = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM \& } L(M) \text{ is an infinite language} \}$

• We will try to prove that  $A_{TM} \leq_m \text{Infinte}_{TM}$ .

Make a computational function  $f$  that takes input  $\langle M, w \rangle$  producing output  $\langle M, w \rangle \mid w \in L(M) \Leftrightarrow L(M) \text{ is infinite}$ .

let  $\langle M, w \rangle$  be input for computational function  $f$  producing output  $\langle M, w \rangle$  as...

• TM  $M_1$  is constructed with following things for every input string  $y$ .

(i) Simulate  $M$  on  $w$ .

(ii) Accept  $w$  if it is accepted by  $M$ , ~~otherwise reject~~.  
& reject if rejected by  $M$ .

Proof:

$w \in L(M) \Rightarrow$  Every string is accepted by  $M_1 \Rightarrow L(M_1)$  is infinite

$w \notin L(M) \Rightarrow M_1$  do not accept any string  $\Rightarrow L(M_1)$  is finite

i.e.  $q$

$\therefore A_{TM} \leq_m \text{Infinte}_{TM}$

$\therefore \text{Infinte}_{TM}$  is undecidable



(b)  $ALL_{TM} = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM} \wedge L(M) = \Sigma^* \}$

• We will try to prove that  $A_{TM} \leq_m ALL_{TM}$ .

~~Make a computable function  $f$  that takes input  $\langle M, w \rangle$~~

Let  $\langle M, w \rangle$  be input & construct  $TM M'$  with following on input string  $y$ :

(i) Simulate  $M$  on  $w$ .

(ii) Accept it if accepted by  $M$ .

•  $L(M') = \Sigma^*$  if  $M$  accept  $w$ .

•  $L(M') = \emptyset$  if  $M$  does not accept  $w$ .

Proof

$w \in L(M) \Rightarrow M'$  accept all string  $\in \Sigma^* \Rightarrow L(M') = \Sigma^*$

$w \notin L(M) \Rightarrow M'$  do not accept any string  $\Rightarrow L(M') = \emptyset$

~~$A_{TM} \leq_m ALL_{TM}$~~   $A_{TM} \leq_m ALL_{TM}$

∴  $ALL_{TM}$  is unpredictable