```
data Var = U | V | W | X | Y | Z deriving (Eq, Show)
data Fun = A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J deriving (Eq, Show)
data Gtree = Gnode Fun [Gtree] | Leafv Var deriving (Eq, Show)
type Term = Gtree
inTree :: Var -> Term -> Bool
inTree a (Leafv b) = a == b
inTree var (Gnode fun xs) = any (inTree var) xs
singlefdouble :: [[a]] -> [a]
singlefdouble = foldl (++) []
unify ::(Term, Term) -> [(Var, Term)]
unify (Leafv a, lf@(Leafv v2)) = (a, lf):[]
unify (Leafv a, gn@(Gnode fun xs)) =
   if a `inTree` gn
   then error "Infinite MGU"
  else (a, gn):[]
unify (gn@(Gnode fun xs), lf@(Leafv v1)) = unify (lf, gn)
unify (Gnode fun1 xs1, Gnode fun2 xs2)
 | fun1 /= fun2 = error "MGU not possible"
 | (length xs1) /= (length xs2) = error "MGU not possible"
 | otherwise = reverse (singlefdouble (map unify (zip xs1 xs2)))
```

## Q2

a) YES, protocol satisfies mutual exclusion.

Proof by contradiction: Assume mutual exclusion is not satisfied

Observation from protocol code that the following happens before holding any relationships, also assuming that the thread A is the last one thread which writes to turn without any problem

$$write_A(turn=A) \rightarrow write_A(turn=B) \rightarrow Critical Section A,$$
  
 $write_B(turn=B) \rightarrow write_A(turn=A) \rightarrow Critical Section B$ 

As by assumption thread A is the last thread to write to turn we get:

$$write_B(turn=B) \rightarrow write_A(turn=A)$$

From above we can conclude that A does not complete its outer waiting loop which is contrary to our assumption hence our assumption was wrong, it should satisfy mutual exclusion.

**b)** No, protocol is not starvation free

Starvation ultimately leads to deadlock, so if it is not deadlock free, it is not protocol free for which we provide a counterexample in the next part.

c) No, protocol is not deadlock free

EG: Sequence leading to deadlock:

$$write_A(turn=A) \rightarrow read_A(busy=false) \rightarrow write_B(turn=B)$$