

Nikhil Mehta, 190549.

Q-1 Constructive Terms:

$S_0 = \emptyset$

$S_{i+1} = \{ \text{true, false, 0} \} \cup \{ \text{succ } t_1, \text{pred } t_1, \text{iszero } t_1 \mid t_1 \in S_i \}$

$\cup \{ \text{if } t_1, \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \mid t_1, t_2, t_3 \in S_i \}$

Let us try to prove this by induction on values of  $i$ .

Base case  $i=0$  it is an empty set, so part of every set  $S_0 \in S_1$ .

For  $i > 0$ , let us assume  $S_{i-1} \subseteq S_i$ , we have to prove  $S_i \subseteq S_{i+1}$  which

means for every term  $t \in S_i$ , we have to show  $t$  also belongs to  $S_{i+1}$ .

For every  $t$  in  $S_i$ , we know that

$t$  belongs to any one of the three sets. Let us consider them as:

Set 1:  $\{ \text{true, false, 0} \}$

Set 2:  $\{ \text{succ } t_1, \text{pred } t_1, \text{iszero } t_1 \mid t_1 \in S_{i-1} \}$

Set 3:  $\{ \text{if } t_1, \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \mid t_1, t_2, t_3 \in S_{i-1} \}$



For every  $t$  in  $S_i$

(1) if  $t \in \text{Set 1}$ , it definitely belongs to  $S_{i+1}$  by the definition.

(2)  $t \in \text{Set 2}$  i.e.  $t \in \{\text{succ } t_1, \text{pred } t_1, \text{isucc}(t_1)\}$ , where  $t_1 \in S_{i-1}$ . By induction hypothesis  $t_1 \in S_i$ , & by definition of  $S_{i+1}$  i.e. it contains  $\text{succ } t_1, \text{pred } t_1, \text{isucc}(t_1)$  which is  $t$ .

(3)  $t \in \text{Set 3}$  if  $t_1$  then  $t_2$  due  $t_3$  |  $t_1, t_2, t_3 \in S_{i-1}$

By induction hypothesis  $t_1, t_2, t_3 \in S_i$ .

and by definition if  $t_1$  then  $t_2$  due  $t_3$  belongs to  $S_{i+1}$ , so  $t \in S_{i+1}$ .

Hence Proved



## Q-2 Uniqueness of One Step Evaluation ( $\rightarrow$ )

To Prove if  $t \rightarrow t'$  and  $t \rightarrow t''$  then  $t' = t''$

- Proof is based by induction using the evaluation properties.

ide At each step we will proceed by case analysis of smaller derivatives and have an induction on structure of  $t$ .

Rules

Rule 1) : if true then  $t_2$  else  $t_3 \rightarrow t_2$   
Rule 2) : if false then  $t_2$  else  $t_3 \rightarrow t_3$   
Rule 3) :  $t_1 \rightarrow t_1'$   
if  $t_1$  then  $t_2$  else  $t_3 \rightarrow$  if  $t_1'$  then  $t_2$  else  $t_3$

Case - 1: if last rule used in derivation of  $t \rightarrow t'$  is Rule 1.

We Now Know  $t$  has the form if  $t_1$  then  $t_2$  else  $t_3$   
And  $t_1 = \text{true}$ .

So for evaluation of  $t \rightarrow t''$ , Rule 2 can not be used as it will imply  $t_1 = \text{false}$  which is contradictory.

Rule 3 can not be used as it demands  $t_1$  to evaluate to something & true does not evaluate. So only Rule 1 is possible.  
So  $t' = t''$



Case-2 : Reversing the arguments of Case-1 for Rule-2 gives similar expression.

- if Rule-2 is used in evaluation of  $t \rightarrow t'$ , Rule-1 & Rule-3 can be used for  $t \rightarrow t''$  by similar arguments.

Case-3 : if Rule-3 is applied in derivation of  ~~$t \rightarrow t'$~~   $t \rightarrow t'$ . It means  $t$ , evaluated to  $t'$ . So  $t$ , cannot be true/false.

So only Rule that can be applied in derivation of  $t \rightarrow t''$  is Rule-3. ~~Thus~~, So  $t \rightarrow t''$ .

By induction hypothesis, as  $t'$  &  $t''$  are subderivatives of  $t \rightarrow t'$  &  $t \rightarrow t''$ , which gives  $t' = t''$  which in turn implies

$$t' = t''$$

Q=

Types:



## Q-4 Praxivation:

- if  $t : T$  and  $t \rightarrow t'$  then  $t' : T$

we will try to use a similar approach as in (8-2).

Proof By Induction: At any step we assume that the properties hold for subderivatives & we will try to make forward case-wise.

let me re-write rules for convenience.

- For Booleans  $T := \text{Bool}$

- |                       |  |
|-----------------------|--|
| 1) $T - \text{True}$  | $\text{true} : \text{Bool}$  |
| 2) $T - \text{False}$ | $\text{false} : \text{Bool}$   |
| 3) $T - \text{if}$    | $\frac{t_1 : \text{Bool} \quad t_2 : T \quad t_3 : T}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : T}$ |

- For Numbers

$t :: \text{Nat}$

$\text{Nat}$  denotes type of <sup>Natural</sup> ~~number~~ numbers

- |                      |   |
|----------------------|---|
| 1) $T - \text{Zero}$ | $0 : \text{Nat}$  |
| 2) $T - \text{Succ}$ | $\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\text{Succ } t_1 : \text{Nat}}$    |
| 3) $T - \text{Pred}$ | $\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\text{isZero } t_1 : \text{Bool}}$ |

P.T.O



Case-1  $t$  is any constant true/false, 0

- $t$  is already in normal form, so it does not make sense to evaluate to  $t'$ .

Case-2  $T - IF$  ~~to is~~

$t$  is of form  $if\ t_1\ then\ t_2\ else\ t_3$   
where  $t_1 : Bool$ ,  $t_2, t_3 : T$

~~3 if 3~~

similar

Then subcase ( $E - IF True$ ,  $E - IF False$ ,  $E - IF$ )

- $E - IF True$  ( $t_1 = true$ )  
 $t'$  is  $t_2$  which is of form  $T$ .
- $E - IF False$  ( $t_1 = false$ )  
 $t'$  is  $t_3$  which is from  $T$ .
- $E - IF$   $t_1 \rightarrow t_1'$  and  $t' = if\ t_1'\ then\ t_2\ else\ t_3$

In this case we apply induction hypothesis & conclude  $t_1'$  is of form  $t_1$  which is  $bool$ . Now  $t_2 : T$  &  $t_3 : T$  we can re-apply  $T - IF$  to conclude  $t' : T$ .



Case 3  $T = \text{Succ}$

$t = \text{Succ } t_1$

$T = \text{Nat}$

$t_1 : \text{Nat}$

By Rule:  $\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t_1'}{\text{Succ } t_1 \rightarrow \text{Succ } t_1'}$

~~As  $t_1$  belongs to  $\text{Nat}$ , by induction hypothesis on subderivations, we observe  $t_1' : \text{Nat}$ , which implies  $\text{Succ } t_1' : \text{Nat}$ , ~~so~~  $\text{Succ}(t_1) : \text{Nat}$  which ultimately says  $t : T$ .~~

As  $t_1$  belongs to  $\text{Nat}$ , by induction hypothesis on subderivations, we observe  $t_1' : \text{Nat}$ , also from which we say that  $\text{Succ } t_1' : \text{Nat}$ , so  $\text{Succ}(t_1) : \text{Nat}$  which says  $t : T$ .