

Apache Kafka VS AWS Kinesis

Kinesis

- o Fully managed alternative. No infrastructure maintenance
- Stores only 24 hours of data by default. This limit can be increased to 365 days for a cost.
- Can create multiple shards. Each shard has a throughput limit of 1 MB/sec or 1000 PUT messages/sec.
- Highly available with data replication to multiple availability zones
- Much easier to set up and pay per read/write operations. No upfront infrastructure setup costs

Kafka

- Can persist data indefinitely, only limited by disk size
- High throughputs available
- Much more flexible than kinesis
- Need to manage the clusters and infrastructure
- Costs involved in setting up infrastructure

AWS S3 vs HDFS

AWS S3

- o Fully managed, scales vertically automatically. No limits on storage space
- Extremely high data durability and persistence at a very cheap cost.
- File size limited to 5 GB
- o Low performance as latency is higher and data throughput is lower.

HDFS

- Scales horizontally but need to add more nodes manually which can be complicated and costly.
- No limitations on file size and storage formats
- Excellent performance. Extremely fast access and processing speeds as data is stored and processed on the same machines
- No persistence when EC2 or EMR shuts off.
- o High costs as HDFS stores 3 copies of data as a backup by default

AWS S3 is our data lake in the architectural diagram

AWS Glue

- A fully managed ETL process that extracts, transforms, and load the data
- Glue has a schema inference feature that discovers data types and the schemas in the data using data crawlers
- Glue can also automatically generate the scripts required to move the data from s3 to managed warehouse
- Limited flexibility as it is specifically made to work with AWS services. Need to move data to these services (like S3) for the glue to function

AWS EMR

- A platform for processing large amounts of data using apache spark
- Faster than traditional apache spark
- Ability to autoscale compute and storage clusters automatically based on utilization
- Fully managed. No need to manage/ manually scale infrastructure

ETL vs ELT

• ETL

- Transforming data as its moving
- Advantage of landing data in its transformed state, which makes it possible to handle real-time scenarios
- If transformation logic becomes complicated and/or the volume of data increases, ETL becomes very slow and not real-time
- Hard to automate. A custom ETL process is required for each use case and every change in database and warehouse would require changing the ETL process.

• ELT

- o Transforming data after its moved
- Raw data is loaded into DB. Then the target DB's powerful processing is leveraged using SQLs to do the transformations.
- Allows us to handle a higher volume of data and perform more complex operations
- Newer warehouses like snowflake can perform these transformations very quickly.

Snowflake vs Redshift

Snowflake

- Better support for JSON based queries
- o Pricing model based on usage patterns
- o Fully managed, no manual infrastructure maintenance required
- o Offers instant auto-scaling

Redshift

- o Requires manual maintenance
- Scaling takes minutes to add new nodes
- Good integration with other AWS services
- o Offers better discounts for long term engagements