

SOLID Principles

Nikhil Shah

Contents

- What is SOLID
- Single responsibility Principle
- Open/Closed Principle
- Liskov Substitution Principle
- Interface Segregation Principle
- Dependency Inversion Principle

What is SOLID?

S

SRP

Single
Responsibility
Principle

O

OCP

Open/Closed
Principle

L

LSP

Liskovs
Substitution
Principle

I

ISP

Interface
Segregation
Principle

D

DIP

Dependency
Inversion
Principle

Single Responsibility Principle

*“A class should have **one and only one** reason to change”*

Single Responsibility Principle

```
6 public class Employee
7 {
8     public double CalculatePay(Money money)
9     {
10         //business logic for payment here
11     }
12
13     public Employee Save(Employee employee)
14     {
15         //store employee here
16     }
17 }
```

Business logic

Persistence

There are **two** responsibilities



Single Responsibility Principle

How to solve this?



Single Responsibility Principle

```
21 public class Employee
22 {
23     public double CalculatePay(Money money)
24     {
25         //business logic for payment here
26     }
27 }
28
29 public class EmployeeRepository
30 {
31     public Employee Save(Employee employee)
32     {
33         //store employee here
34     }
35 }
```



Just create two different classes

Open/Closed Principle

*“Software entities should be
open for extension, but
closed for modification.”*

Open/Closed Principle

```
40 public enum PaymentType = { Cash, CreditCard };
41
42 public class PaymentManager
43 {
44     public PaymentType PaymentType { get; set; }
45
46     public void Pay(Money money)
47     {
48         if(PaymentType == PaymentType.Cash)
49         {
50             //some code here - pay with cash
51         }
52         else
53         {
54             //some code here - pay with credit card
55         }
56     }
57 }
```



Humm...and if I need to add a new payment type?

You need to modificate this class.

Open/Closed Principle

open for
extension

close for
modification

```
60 public class Payment
61 {
62     public virtual void Pay(Money money)
63     {
64         // from base
65     }
66 }
```



```
68 public class CashPayment : Payment
69 {
70     public override void Pay(Money money)
71     {
72         //some code here - pay with cash
73     }
74 }
```

```
76 public class CreditCardPayment : Payment
77 {
78     public override void Pay(Money money)
79     {
80         //some code here - pay with credit card
81     }
82 }
```

Liskov Substitution Principle

"Let $q(x)$ be a property provable about objects x of type T . Then $q(y)$ should be provable for objects y of type S where S is a subtype of T "

What do you say?



Liskov Substitution Principle

*“A subclass should **behave** in such a way that it will not cause problems when used instead of the superclass.”*

Liskov Substitution Principle

```
5 public class Employee
6 {
7     public virtual string GetProjectDetails(int employeeId)
8     {
9         Console.WriteLine("base project details");
10    }
11 }
```

```
13 public class CasualEmployee : Employee
14 {
15     public override string GetProjectDetails(int employeeId)
16     {
17         base.GetProjectDetails(employeeId);
18         Console.WriteLine("casual employee project details");
19     }
20 }
```



```
public class ContractualEmployee : Employee
{
    //broken your base class here
    public override string GetProjectDetails(int employeeId)
    {
        Console.WriteLine("contractual employee project details");
    }
}
```

Liskov Substitution Principle

```
5 public class Employee
6 {
7     public virtual string GetProjectDetails(int employeeId)
8     {
9         Console.WriteLine("base project details");
10    }
11 }
```

```
13 public class CasualEmployee : Employee
14 {
15     public override string GetProjectDetails(int employeeId)
16     {
17         base.GetProjectDetails(employeeId);
18         Console.WriteLine("casual employee project details");
19     }
20 }
```



Much better

```
public class ContractualEmployee : Employee
{
    public override string GetProjectDetails(int employeeId)
    {
        base.GetProjectDetails(employeeId);
        Console.WriteLine("contractual employee project details");
    }
}
```

Interface Segregation Principle

*“Clients should **not be forced** to depend upon interfaces that they don't use”*

Interface Segregation Principle

```
62 public interface IEmployee
63 {
64     string GetProjectDetails(int employeeId);
65
66     string GetEmployeeDetails(int employeeId);
67 }
68
```

Interface Segregation Principle

```
69 public class CasualEmployee : IEmployee
70 {
71     public string GetProjectDetails(int employeeId)
72     {
73         //code here - return base project details
74     }
75
76     public string GetEmployeeDetails(int employeeId)
77     {
78         //code here - specific casual employee details
79     }
```



WHY?????
I don't need you!!

```
82 public class ContractualEmployee : IEmployee
83 {
84     public string GetProjectDetails(int employeeId)
85     {
86         //code here - specific project details
87     }
88
89     public string GetEmployeeDetails(int employeeId)
90     {
91         throw new NotImplementedException();
92     }
93 }
```

Interface Segregation Principle

How to solve this?



Interface Segregation Principle

```
106 public interface IEmployee
107 {
108     string GetEmployeeDetails(int employeeId);
109 }
```



You need to create
two interfaces

```
111 public interface IProject
112 {
113     string GetProjectDetails(int employeeId);
114 }
```

Interface Segregation Principle

```
116 public class CasualEmployee : IEmployee, IProject
117 {
118     public string GetEmployeeDetails(int employeeId)
119     {
120         //code here - specific casual employee details
121     }
122
123     public string GetProjectDetails(int employeeId)
124     {
125         //code here - specific contractual employee details
126     }
127 }
```

```
129 public class ContractualEmployee : IProject
130 {
131     public string GetProjectDetails(int employeeId)
132     {
133         //code here - specific project details
134     }
135 }
```

Dependency Inversion Principle

“High-level modules should not depend on low-level modules. Both should depend on abstractions.”

“Abstractions should not depend upon details. Details should depend upon abstractions.”

Dependency Inversion Principle

```
175 public class Email
176 {
177     public void SendEmail()
178     {
179         // code to send mail
180     }
181 }
```

```
183 public class Notification
184 {
185     private Email _email;
186     public Notification()
187     {
188         _email = new Email();
189     }
190
191     public void PromotionalNotification()
192     {
193         _email.SendEmail();
194     }
195 }
```

And if I need to send a
notification by SMS?
You need to change this.



Dependency Inversion Principle

```
199 public interface IMessenger
200 {
201     void SendMessage();
202 }
```

So, I create an interface and now?

```
204 public class Email : IMessenger
205 {
206     public void SendMessage()
207     {
208         // code to send email
209     }
210 }
```

```
212 public class SMS : IMessenger
213 {
214     public void SendMessage()
215     {
216         // code to send SMS
217     }
218 }
```


Dependency Inversion Principle

```
220 public class Notification
221 {
222     private IMessenger _iMessenger;
223     public Notification()
224     {
225         _ iMessenger = new Email();
226     }
227     public void DoNotify()
228     {
229         _ iMessenger.SendMessage();
230     }
231 }
```



Dependency Inversion Principle

Constructor injection:

```
235 public class Notification
236 {
237     private IMessenger _iMessenger;
238     public Notification(IMessenger pMessenger)
239     {
240         _ iMessenger = pMessenger;
241     }
242     public void DoNotify()
243     {
244         _ iMessenger.SendMessage();
245     }
246 }
```

Dependency Inversion Principle

Property injection:

```
248 public class Notification
249 {
250     private IMessenger _iMessenger;
251
252     public IMessenger MessageService
253     {
254         private get;
255         set
256         {
257             _ iMessenger = value;
258         }
259     }
260
261     public void DoNotify()
262     {
263         _ iMessenger.SendMessage();
264     }
265 }
```

Dependency Inversion Principle

Method injection:

```
268 public class Notification
269 {
270     public void DoNotify(IMessenger pMessenger)
271     {
272         pMessenger.SendMessage();
273     }
274 }
```

Keep in MIND

DRY - Don't repeat yourself

+

SLAP - Single layer abstraction principle

+

SOLID

BEST DEVELOPER



Enjoy code and

Keep it simple!



Thank you