

Homework 8

Nikhil Unni (nunni2)

3. (a) Say that we run Kruskal's algorithm and we get the following added edges, in order (as in from least to greatest $c(e)$), and get the sequence $S = S_1, S_2, \dots, S_k$. We know for sure that this is a MST.

Suppose that this MST is not the spanning tree that minimizes the bottleneck weight. Because the edges are ordered in Kruskal's algorithm, we know for a fact that the bottleneck weight of the MST generated by Kruskal's will be $c(S_k)$.

If $c(S_k)$ is not the minimum possible bottleneck weight, then there must be another edge with weight less than $c(S_k)$ that is the true minimum, and we must remove S_k from the sequence altogether. But if this was the case, Kruskal's algorithm would have included such an edge, as it came before S_k in the order of sorted edges. The fact that it passed such an edge would mean that it would have introduced a cycle, and so it cannot possibly be part of the MST.

Therefore we have a contradiction, and so we know that the MST minimizes the bottleneck weight.

- (b) From:¹

```

Median ← CalculateMedian;
Partition graph into two subgraphs, grouping all edges ≤ Median in one
group, and all edges > Median in the other;
if the smaller grouping is connected then
    | return MinimumBottleneck(smaller subgraph)
end
else
    foreach component ∈ smaller grouping do
        | Combine all vertices of the component into a single node
    end
    return MinimumBottleneck(larger subgraph);
end

```

Algorithm 1: MinimumBottleneck(V,E)

Because, at each stage, we are reducing the problem size by $\frac{1}{2}$, the algorithm runs in $O(n) + O(\frac{1}{2}) + O(\frac{1}{4}) + \dots = O(n)$. This is assuming that our median algorithm is linear time in the input size, which is true in the case of median of medians.

¹<http://people.scs.carleton.ca/~maheshwa/courses/5703COMP/14Seminars/BST-Report.pdf>