

## Syntax

<b>Value</b>	$v ::= c \mid \lambda x.e$
<b>Expressions</b>	$e ::= v \mid x \mid e e$
<b>Basic Types</b>	$b ::= \text{int} \mid \text{bool}$
<b>Types</b>	$\tau ::= \{v:b \mid e\} \mid x:\tau \rightarrow \tau$
<b>Environment</b>	$\Gamma ::= \emptyset \mid x:\tau, \Gamma$

## Erasing

$$\begin{aligned} \lfloor \{v:b \mid e\} \rfloor &= b \\ \lfloor x:\tau_x \rightarrow \tau \rfloor &= \lfloor \tau_x \rfloor \rightarrow \lfloor \tau \rfloor \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lfloor \emptyset \rfloor &= \emptyset \\ \lfloor x:\tau, \Gamma \rfloor &= x:\lfloor \tau \rfloor, \lfloor \Gamma \rfloor \end{aligned}$$

## Substitutions

$$\begin{aligned} (\{v:b \mid e\})[e_y/y] &= \{v:b \mid e[e_y/y]\} \\ (x:\tau_x \rightarrow \tau)[e_y/y] &= x:(\tau_x[e_y/y] \rightarrow \tau[e_y/y]) \end{aligned}$$

## Interpretations

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \{v:b \mid e_v\} \rrbracket &= \{e \mid e:b \wedge (\forall i. \text{Fin}_i(e) \Rightarrow \text{Valid}_i(e_v[e/v]))\} \\ \llbracket x:\tau_x \rightarrow \tau \rrbracket &= \{e \mid e:\lfloor \tau_x \rfloor \rightarrow \lfloor \tau \rfloor \wedge \forall e_x \in \llbracket \lfloor \tau_x \rfloor \rrbracket. e e_x \in \llbracket \lfloor \tau \rfloor[e_x/x] \rrbracket\} \end{aligned}$$

## Typing

$$\begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash e:\tau \\[10pt] \frac{\Gamma \vdash e:\{v:b \mid e'\}}{\Gamma \vdash e:\{v:b \mid v =_b e\}} \quad \text{T-EX} \\[10pt] \frac{(x, \{v:b \mid e\}) \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x:\{v:b \mid v =_b x\}} \quad \text{T-VAR-BASE} \quad \frac{(x, \tau) \in \Gamma \quad \tau \equiv x':\tau'_x \rightarrow \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash x:\tau} \quad \text{T-VAR} \\[10pt] \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash c:\text{ty}(c)} \quad \text{T-CONST} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e:\tau' \quad \Gamma \vdash \tau' \preceq \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash \tau}{\Gamma \vdash e:\tau} \quad \text{T-SUB} \\[10pt] \frac{\Gamma, x:\tau_x \vdash e:\tau \quad \Gamma \vdash \tau_x}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.e:(x:\tau_x \rightarrow \tau)} \quad \text{T-FUN} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1:(x:\tau_x \rightarrow \tau) \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2:\tau_x}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 e_2:\tau[e_2/x]} \quad \text{T-APP} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\Gamma \vdash \tau \\
\\
\frac{[\Gamma], v:b \vdash_B e:\text{bool}}{\Gamma \vdash \{v:b \mid e\}} \text{WF-BASE} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \tau_x \quad \Gamma, x:\tau_x \vdash \tau}{\Gamma \vdash x:\tau_x \rightarrow \tau} \text{WF-FUN} \\
\\
\Gamma \vdash \tau \preceq \tau \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma, v:b \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow e_2}{\Gamma \vdash \{v:b \mid e_1\} \preceq \{v:b \mid e_2\}} \preceq\text{-BASE} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \tau'_x \preceq \tau_x \quad \Gamma, x:\tau'_x \vdash \tau \preceq \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash x:\tau_x \rightarrow \tau \preceq x:\tau'_x \rightarrow \tau'} \preceq\text{-FUN} \\
\\
\Gamma \vdash e \Rightarrow e \\
\\
\frac{\forall \theta. \Gamma \vdash \theta \wedge \forall i. \text{Valid}_i(\theta \ e_1) \Rightarrow \text{Valid}_i(\theta \ e_2)}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow e_2} \Rightarrow\text{-BASE} \\
\\
\vdash \Gamma \\
\\
\frac{\vdash \Gamma \quad \Gamma \vdash \tau}{\vdash x:\tau, \Gamma} \quad \frac{}{\vdash \emptyset} \\
\\
\Gamma \vdash \theta \\
\\
\frac{\forall x \in \text{Dom}(\Gamma). \theta(x) \in [\![\theta \ \Gamma(x)]\!]}{\Gamma \vdash \theta}
\end{array}$$

## Constants

**Definition 1.** For each constant  $c$ ,

1.  $\emptyset \vdash c:ty(c)$
2. If  $ty(c) = x:\tau_x \rightarrow \tau$ , then for each  $v$  such that  $\emptyset \vdash v:\tau_x$   $[[c]](v)$  is defined and  $\vdash [[c]](v):\tau[v/x]$
3. If  $ty(c) = \{v:b \mid e\}$ , then  $(\forall i. \text{Fin}_i(c) \Rightarrow \text{Valid}_i(e[c/v]))$  and  $\forall c' \ c' \neq c. \neg(\forall i. \text{Fin}_i(c) \Rightarrow \text{Valid}_i(e[c'/v]))$

Moreover, for any base type  $b = b$  is a constant and

- For any expression  $e$  we have

$$\forall i. \text{Valid}_i(e =_b e)$$

- For any base type  $b$

$$ty(=_b) \equiv x:b \rightarrow y:b \rightarrow \text{bool}$$

## Semantic Typing

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma \vdash e \in \tau &\doteq \forall \theta. \Gamma \vdash \theta \Rightarrow \theta \ e \in [\![\theta \ \tau]\!] \\
\Gamma \vdash \tau_1 \subseteq \tau_2 &\doteq \forall \theta. \Gamma \vdash \theta \Rightarrow [\![\theta \ \tau_1]\!] \subseteq [\![\theta \ \tau_2]\!]
\end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 1.** .

1. If  $\Gamma \vdash \tau_1 \preceq \tau_2$  then  $\Gamma \vdash \tau_1 \subseteq \tau_2$

2. If  $\Gamma \vdash e:\tau$  then  $\Gamma \vdash e \in \tau$

*Proof.* 1. Assume  $\Gamma \vdash \tau_1 \preceq \tau_2$  We will prove it by induction on the derivation tree:

- $\preceq$ -BASE. We have

$$\Gamma \vdash \{v:b \mid e_1\} \preceq \{v:b \mid e_2\}$$

By inversion we get

$$\Gamma, v:b \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow e_2$$

By inversion of  $\Rightarrow$ -BASE we have

$$\forall \theta. \Gamma, v:b \vdash \theta \wedge \forall i. \text{Valid}_i (\theta \ e_1) \Rightarrow \text{Valid}_i (\theta \ e_2) (1)$$

We want to prove

$$\Gamma \vdash \{v:b \mid e_1\} \subseteq \{v:b \mid e_2\}$$

Equivalently

$$\forall \theta. \Gamma \vdash \theta \Rightarrow [[\theta \ \{v:b \mid e_1\}]] \subseteq [[\theta \ \{v:b \mid e_2\}]]$$

Equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \theta. \Gamma \vdash \theta &\Rightarrow \{e \mid e:b \wedge (\forall i. \text{Fin}_i (e) \Rightarrow \text{Valid}_i (\theta \ e_1 [e/v]))\} \\ &\subseteq \{e \mid e:b \wedge (\forall i. \text{Fin}_i (e) \Rightarrow \text{Valid}_i (\theta \ e_2 [e/v]))\} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $e \in [[b]]$ , we have  $\Gamma, v:b \vdash \theta, [e/v]$ . So, from (1) for  $\theta := \theta, [e/v]$  we have

$$\forall i. \text{Valid}_i (\theta \ e_1 [e/v]) \Rightarrow \text{Valid}_i (\theta \ e_2 [e/v])$$

- $\preceq$ -FUN Assume

$$\Gamma \vdash x : \tau_x \rightarrow \tau \preceq x : \tau'_x \rightarrow \tau'$$

By inversion we have

$$\Gamma \vdash \tau'_x \preceq \tau_x \quad \Gamma, x:\tau'_x \vdash \tau \preceq \tau'$$

By IH

$$\Gamma \vdash \tau'_x \subseteq \tau_x (1) \quad \Gamma, x:\tau'_x \vdash \tau \subseteq \tau' (2)$$

We want to show that

$$\Gamma \vdash x : \tau_x \rightarrow \tau \subseteq x : \tau'_x \rightarrow \tau'$$

Equivalently

$$\forall \theta. \Gamma \vdash \theta \Rightarrow [[\theta \ x : \tau_x \rightarrow \tau]] \subseteq [[\theta \ x : \tau'_x \rightarrow \tau']]$$

Equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \theta. \Gamma \vdash \theta \\ \Rightarrow \{e \mid e : [\tau_x] \rightarrow [\tau] \wedge \forall e_x \in [[\tau_x]]. e \ e_x \in [[\tau [e_x/x]]]\} \\ \subseteq \{e \mid e : [\tau'_x] \rightarrow [\tau'] \wedge \forall e_x \in [[\tau'_x]]. e \ e_x \in [[\tau' [e_x/x]]]\} \end{aligned}$$

The above holds, as for any  $e, e_x$  if  $e_x \in [[\tau']]$  then by (1)  $e_x \in [[\tau]]$ . Also, by (2) if  $e \ e_x \in [[\tau [e_x/x]]]$  then  $e \ e_x \in [[\tau' [e_x/x]]]$ .

2. Assume  $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$ . We will prove it by induction on the derivation tree.

- T-EX Assume

$$\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$$

where  $\tau \equiv \{v:b \mid v =_b e\}$ . By inversion we have

$$\Gamma \vdash e : \{v:b \mid e'\}$$

We need to show that

$$\forall \theta. \Gamma \vdash \theta \Rightarrow \theta \ e \in [[\theta \ \tau]]$$

Which holds, as by definition of  $=_b \ \forall i. \text{Valid}_i ((v =_b \theta \ e) [\theta \ e/v])$

- T-VAR Assume

$$\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$$

where  $e \equiv x$  By inversion we have

$$(x, \tau) \in \Gamma$$

We need to show that

$$\forall \theta. \Gamma \vdash \theta \Rightarrow \theta \ x \in [[\theta \ \tau]]$$

Which holds by the definition of well-formed substitutions

- T-VAR-BASE Assume

$$\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$$

where  $e \equiv x$  and  $\tau \equiv \{v:b \mid v =_b x\}$ . By inversion

$$(x, \{v:b \mid e_r\}) \in \Gamma$$

We need to show that

$$\forall \theta. \Gamma \vdash \theta \Rightarrow \theta \ x \in [[\theta \ \tau]]$$

Equivalently that

$$\forall e. e \in [[\{v:b \mid e_r\}]] \Rightarrow e \in [[\{v:b \mid v =_b e\}]]$$

which holds, as by the definition of  $=_b$

$$\forall i. \text{Valid}_i (e =_b e)$$

- T-CONST Assume

$$\Gamma \vdash e:\tau$$

where  $e \equiv c$  and  $\tau \equiv \text{ty}(c)$ . Then  $\Gamma \vdash e \in \tau$  holds by the definition of constants.

- T-SUB Assume

$$\Gamma \vdash e:\tau$$

By inversion

$$\Gamma \vdash e:\tau' \quad (1) \quad \Gamma \vdash \tau' \preceq \tau \quad (2) \quad \Gamma \vdash \tau \quad (3)$$

By IH on (1) we have

$$\Gamma \vdash e \in \tau' \quad (4)$$

By 1 on (2) we have

$$\Gamma \vdash \tau' \subseteq \tau \quad (5)$$

By (4) and (5) we get

$$\Gamma \vdash e \in \tau$$

- T-FUN Assume

$$\Gamma \vdash e:\tau$$

where  $e \equiv \lambda x.e'$  and  $\tau \equiv x:\tau'_x \rightarrow \tau'$ . By inversion we get

$$\Gamma, x:\tau'_x \vdash e':\tau' \quad (1) \quad \Gamma \vdash \tau'_x \quad (2)$$

By IH on (1) we have

$$\Gamma, x:\tau'_x \vdash e' \in \tau' \quad (3)$$

Equivalently

$$\forall \theta. (\Gamma, x:\tau'_x) \vdash (\theta[e_x/x]) \Rightarrow (\theta[e_x/x]) e' \in [(\theta[e_x/x]) \tau']$$

Or

$$\forall \theta. \Gamma \vdash \theta \Rightarrow \forall e_x. e_x \in [\tau'_x] \Rightarrow \theta e e_x \in [\theta (\tau' [e_x/x])]$$

Moreover,  $e \vdash [\tau'_x] \rightarrow [\tau]$ . So,

$$\forall \theta. \Gamma \vdash \theta \theta e \in [\theta \tau]$$

Or,

$$\Gamma \vdash e \in \tau$$

- T-APP Assume

$$\Gamma \vdash e:\tau$$

where  $e \equiv e_1 e_2$  and  $\tau \equiv \tau' [e_2/x]$ . By inversion:

$$\Gamma \vdash e_1:(x:\tau'_x \rightarrow \tau') \quad (1) \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2:\tau'_x \quad (2)$$

By IH we get

$$\Gamma \vdash e_1 \in (x:\tau'_x \rightarrow \tau') \quad (3) \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 \in \tau'_x \quad (4)$$

So

$$\forall \theta. \Gamma \vdash \theta \Rightarrow \forall e_x \in [[\theta \ \tau'_x]] \Rightarrow (\theta e_1) \ e_x \in [[\theta \ \tau' [e_x/x]]] \quad (5)$$

and

$$\forall \theta. \Gamma \vdash \theta \Rightarrow \theta \ e_2 \in [[\theta \ \tau'_x]] \quad (6)$$

From (5) and (6), we get

$$\forall \theta. \Gamma \vdash \theta \Rightarrow \theta \ e \in [[\theta \ \tau]]$$

Or

$$\Gamma \vdash e \in \tau$$

□

**Lemma 2** (Substitution). *If  $\Gamma \vdash e_x : \tau_x$  and  $\vdash \Gamma, x : \tau_x, \Gamma'$ , then*

1. *If  $\Gamma, x : \tau_x, \Gamma' \vdash \tau_1 \preceq \tau_2$  then  $\Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma' \vdash [e_x/x] \tau_1 \preceq [e_x/x] \tau_2$*
2. *If  $\Gamma, x : \tau_x, \Gamma' \vdash e : \tau$  then  $\Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma' \vdash [e_x/x] e : [e_x/x] \tau$*
3. *If  $\Gamma, x : \tau_x, \Gamma' \vdash \tau$  then  $\Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma' \vdash [e_x/x] \tau$*

*Proof.* If  $\Gamma \vdash e_x : \tau_x$  and  $\Gamma, x : \tau_x, \Gamma' \vdash$ , then

1. Assume

$$\Gamma, x : \tau_x, \Gamma' \vdash \tau_1 \preceq \tau_2$$

We will prove the lemma by induction on the derivation tree.

- $\preceq$ -BASE Assume

$$\Gamma, x : \tau_x, \Gamma' \vdash \tau_1 \preceq \tau_2$$

where  $\tau_1 \equiv \{v : b \mid e_1\}$  and  $\tau_2 \equiv \{v : b \mid e_2\}$  By inversion

$$\Gamma, x : \tau_x, \Gamma', v : b \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow e_2$$

By inversion

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall \theta, e'_x, \theta', e. \Gamma, x : \tau_x, \Gamma', v : b \vdash \theta [e'_x/x] \theta' [e/v] \\ & \Rightarrow \forall i. \text{Valid}_i ((\theta [e'_x/x] \theta' [e/v]) e_1) \Rightarrow \text{Valid}_i ((\theta [e'_x/x] \theta' [e/v]) e_2) \end{aligned}$$

But  $\Gamma \vdash e_x : \tau_x$ , so  $\Gamma \vdash e_x \in \tau_x$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall \theta, \theta', e. \Gamma, x : \tau_x, \Gamma', v : b \vdash \theta [e_x/x] \theta' [e/v] \\ & \Rightarrow \forall i. \text{Valid}_i ((\theta [e_x/x] \theta' [e/v]) e_1) \Rightarrow \text{Valid}_i ((\theta [e_x/x] \theta' [e/v]) e_2) \end{aligned}$$

Or  $\Gamma \vdash e_x : \tau_x$ , so  $\Gamma \vdash e_x \in \tau_x$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall \theta, \theta', e. \Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma', v : b \vdash \theta \theta' [e/v] \\ & \Rightarrow \forall i. \text{Valid}_i ((\theta \theta' [e/v]) (e_1 [e_x/x])) \Rightarrow \text{Valid}_i ((\theta \theta' [e/v]) (e_2 [e_x/x])) \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma', v : b \vdash e_1 [e_x/x] \Rightarrow e_2 [e_x/x]$$

And

$$\Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma', v : b \vdash t_1 [e_x/x] \preceq t_2 [e_x/x]$$

- $\preceq$ -FUN Assume

$$\Gamma, x:\tau_x, \Gamma' \vdash \tau_1 \preceq \tau_2$$

where  $\tau_1 \equiv y:\tau_y \rightarrow \tau$  and  $\tau_2 \equiv y:\tau'_y \rightarrow \tau'$  By inversion

$$\Gamma, x:\tau_x, \Gamma' \vdash \tau'_y \preceq \tau_y \quad (1) \quad \Gamma, x:\tau_x, \Gamma', y:\tau'_y \vdash \tau \preceq \tau' \quad (2)$$

By IH

$$\Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma' \vdash \tau'_y [e_x/x] \preceq \tau_y [e_x/x] \quad \Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma', y:\tau'_y [e_x/x] \vdash \tau [e_x/x] \preceq \tau' [e_x/x]$$

By rule  $\preceq$ -FUN

$$\Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma' \vdash \tau_1 [e_x/x] \preceq \tau_2 [e_x/x]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, v:b \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow e_2}{\Gamma \vdash \{v:b \mid e_1\} \preceq \{v:b \mid e_2\}} \preceq\text{-BASE} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \tau'_x \preceq \tau_x \quad \Gamma, x:\tau'_x \vdash \tau \preceq \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash x:\tau_x \rightarrow \tau \preceq x:\tau'_x \rightarrow \tau'} \preceq\text{-FUN}$$

then  $\Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma' \vdash [e_x/x] \tau_1 \preceq [e_x/x] \tau_2$

2. Assume  $\Gamma, x:\tau_x, \Gamma' \vdash e:\tau$ . We will prove the lemma by induction on the derivation tree.

- T-EX Assume

$$\Gamma, x:\tau_x, \Gamma' \vdash e:\tau$$

where  $\tau \equiv \{v:b \mid v =_b e\}$ . By inversion we get

$$\Gamma, x:\tau_x, \Gamma' \vdash e:\{v:b \mid e'\}$$

By IH

$$\Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma' \vdash e [e_x/x]:\{v:b \mid e' [e_x/x]\}$$

By rule T-EX

$$\Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma' \vdash e [e_x/x]:\{v:b \mid v =_b [e_x/x]\}$$

Or

$$\Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma' \vdash e [e_x/x]:\tau [e_x/x]$$

- T-VAR Assume

$$\Gamma, x:\tau_x, \Gamma' \vdash e:\tau$$

where  $e \equiv y$ . By inversion

$$(y, \tau) \in \Gamma, x:\tau_x, \Gamma'$$

Assume

$$(y, \tau) \in \Gamma$$

By rule T-VAR

$$\Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma' \vdash e:\tau$$

Since  $\vdash \Gamma$ ,  $x$  cannot appear in  $\tau$  so  $\tau[e_x/x] \equiv \tau$ . Also,  $x \neq y$ , so  $e[e_x/x] \equiv e$ . So,

$$\Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma' \vdash e[e_x/x] : \tau[e_x/x]$$

Assume

$$(y, \tau) \equiv (x, \tau_x)$$

By lemma's assumption

$$\Gamma \vdash e_x : \tau_x$$

so

$$\Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma' \vdash e_x : \tau_x$$

Since  $x = y$ ,  $e[e_x/x] \equiv e_x$ . Also, since  $x \notin \text{Dom}(\Gamma)$  it cannot appear in  $\tau$ , so  $\tau[e_x/x] \equiv \tau \equiv \tau_x$ . So,

$$\Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma' \vdash e[e_x/x] : \tau[e_x/x]$$

Otherwise, assume

$$(y, \tau) \in \Gamma'$$

So,

$$(y, [e_x/x] \tau) \in [e_x/x] \Gamma'$$

Also,  $e[e_x/x] \equiv e \equiv y$ . By which and rule T-VAR, we get

$$\Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma' \vdash e[e_x/x] : \tau[e_x/x]$$

- T-VAR-BASE Assume

$$\Gamma, x : \tau_x, \Gamma' \vdash e : \tau$$

where  $e \equiv y$  and  $\tau \equiv \{v:b \mid v =_b y\}$ . By inversion

$$(y, \{v:b \mid e'\}) \in \Gamma, x : \tau_x, \Gamma'$$

Assume

$$(y, \tau) \in \Gamma$$

By rule T-VAR-BASE

$$\Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma' \vdash e : \tau$$

Since  $\vdash \Gamma$ ,  $x$  cannot appear in  $\tau$  so  $\tau[e_x/x] \equiv \tau$ . Also,  $x \neq y$ , so  $e[e_x/x] \equiv e$ . So,

$$\Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma' \vdash e[e_x/x] : \tau[e_x/x]$$

Assume

$$y \equiv x$$

By lemma's assumption

$$\Gamma \vdash e_x : \tau_x$$

and since each expression has at most one unrefined type

$$\Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma' \vdash e_x : \{v:b \mid e''\}$$



By rule T-EX we get

$$\Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma' \vdash e_x : \{v:b \mid v =_b e_x\}$$

Since  $x = y$ ,  $e [e_x/x] \equiv e_x$ . Also,  $\{v:b \mid v = y\} [e_x/x] = \{v:b \mid v =_b e_x\}$   
So,

$$\Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma' \vdash e [e_x/x] : \tau [e_x/x]$$

Otherwise, assume

$$(y, \tau) \in \Gamma'$$

So,

$$(y, [e_x/x] \tau) \in [e_x/x] \Gamma'$$

Also,  $e [e_x/x] \equiv e \equiv y$  and  $\tau [e_x/x] = \tau$ . By which and rule T-VAR, we get

$$\Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma' \vdash e [e_x/x] : \tau [e_x/x]$$

- T-CONST Assume

$$\Gamma, x:\tau_x, \Gamma' \vdash e:\tau$$

where  $e \equiv c$  and  $\tau \equiv \text{ty}(c)$ . Since  $e [e_x/x] \equiv e$  and  $\tau [e_x/x] \equiv \tau$

$$\Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma' \vdash e [e_x/x] : \tau [e_x/x]$$

- T-SUB Assume

$$\Gamma, x:\tau_x, \Gamma' \vdash e:\tau$$

By inversion

$$\Gamma, x:\tau_x, \Gamma' \vdash e:\tau' \quad (1) \quad \Gamma, x:\tau_x, \Gamma' \vdash \tau' \preceq \tau \quad (2) \quad \Gamma, x:\tau_x, \Gamma' \vdash \tau \quad (3)$$

By IH, 1 and 3

$$\Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma' \vdash [e_x/x] e : [e_x/x] \tau' \quad \Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma' \vdash [e_x/x] \tau' \preceq [e_x/x] \tau$$

$$\Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma' \vdash [e_x/x] \tau$$

By rule T-SUB

$$\Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma' \vdash e [e_x/x] : \tau [e_x/x]$$

- T-FUN Assume

$$\Gamma, x:\tau_x, \Gamma' \vdash e:\tau$$

where  $e \equiv \lambda y. e'$  and  $\tau \equiv y:\tau'_y \rightarrow \tau'$ . By inversion

$$\Gamma, x:\tau_x, \Gamma', y:\tau'_y \vdash e':\tau' \quad (1) \quad \Gamma, x:\tau_x, \Gamma' \vdash \tau'_y \quad (2)$$

By IH and 3

$$\Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma', y:[e_x/x] \tau'_y \vdash [e_x/x] e' : [e_x/x] \tau' \quad \Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma' \vdash [e_x/x] \tau'_y$$

By rule T-FUN

$$\Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma' \vdash [e_x/x] e : [e_x/x] \tau$$

- T-APP Assume

$$\Gamma, x:\tau_x, \Gamma' \vdash e:\tau$$

where  $e \equiv e_1 \ e_2$  and  $\tau \equiv \tau' [e_2/y]$ . By inversion

$$\Gamma, x:\tau_x, \Gamma' \vdash e_1:y:\tau'_y \rightarrow \tau' \quad (1) \quad \Gamma, x:\tau_x, \Gamma' \vdash e_2:\tau'_y \quad (2)$$

By IH

$$\Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma' \vdash [e_x/x] e_1: [e_x/x] y:\tau'_y \rightarrow \tau' \quad \Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma' \vdash [e_x/x] e_2: [e_x/x] \tau'_y$$

By rule T-APP

$$\Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma' \vdash [e_x/x] e: [e_x/x] \tau$$

3. Assume  $\Gamma, x:\tau_x, \Gamma' \vdash \tau$ . We will prove it by induction on the derivation.

- WF-BASE Assume

$$\Gamma, x:\tau_x, \Gamma' \vdash \tau$$

where  $\tau \equiv \{v:b \mid e\}$ . By inversion

$$[\Gamma, x:\tau_x, \Gamma'], v:b \vdash_B e:\text{bool}$$

So,

$$[\Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma'], v:b \vdash_B e [e_x/x]:\text{bool}$$

By rule WF-BASE

$$\Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma' \vdash \{v:b \mid e [e_x/x]\}$$

Or

$$\Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma' \vdash \tau [e_x/x]$$

- WF-FUN Assume

$$\Gamma, x:\tau_x, \Gamma' \vdash \tau$$

where  $\tau \equiv y:\tau'_y \rightarrow \tau'$ . By inversion, we get

$$\Gamma, x:\tau_x, \Gamma' \vdash \tau_x \quad \Gamma, x:\tau_x, \Gamma', y:\tau'_y \vdash \tau'$$

By IH

$$\Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma' \vdash \tau_x [e_x/x] \quad \Gamma, [e_x/x] (\Gamma', y:\tau'_y) \vdash \tau' [e_x/x]$$

Due to  $\alpha$ -renaming,  $x \neq y$ , so

$$\Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma' \vdash \tau'_y [e_x/x] \quad \Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma', y:[e_x/x] \tau'_y \vdash \tau' [e_x/x]$$

By WF-FUN

$$\Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma' \vdash y:\tau'_y [e_x/x] \rightarrow \tau' [e_x/x]$$

Or

$$\Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma' \vdash \tau [e_x/x]$$

then  $\Gamma, [e_x/x] \Gamma' \vdash [e_x/x] \tau$

□

**Lemma 3.** *If  $e \hookrightarrow e'$  then  $\Gamma \vdash \tau[e'/x] \preceq \tau[e/x]$ .*

**Lemma 4.** *If  $\Gamma \vdash e:\tau$  then  $[\Gamma] \vdash_B e:[\tau]$ .*

**Lemma 5.** *If  $\vdash \Gamma$  and  $\Gamma \vdash e:\tau$  then  $\Gamma \vdash \tau$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $\vdash \Gamma$  and  $\Gamma \vdash e:\tau$ . We will prove the Lemma by induction on the derivation tree.

- Case T-EX. Assume

$$\Gamma \vdash e:\tau$$

where  $\tau \equiv \{v:b \mid v = e\}$ . By inversion

$$\Gamma \vdash e:\{v:b \mid e'\}$$

By Lemma 4

$$[\Gamma] \vdash_B e:b$$

By Definition 1

$$[\Gamma], v:b \vdash_B v = e:\text{bool}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash e:\{v:b \mid v = e\}} \text{ T-EX} \\ \frac{(x, \{v:b \mid e\}) \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x:\{v:b \mid v = x\}} \text{ T-VAR-BASE} \quad \frac{(x, \tau) \in \Gamma \quad \tau \equiv x':\tau'_x \rightarrow \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash x:\tau} \text{ T-VAR} \\ \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash c:\text{ty}(c)} \text{ T-CONST} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e:\tau' \quad \Gamma \vdash \tau' \preceq \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash \tau}{\Gamma \vdash e:\tau} \text{ T-SUB} \\ \frac{\Gamma, x:\tau_x \vdash e:\tau \quad \Gamma \vdash \tau_x}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.e:(x:\tau_x \rightarrow \tau)} \text{ T-FUN} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1:(x:\tau_x \rightarrow \tau) \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2:\tau_x}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 e_2:\tau[e_2/x]} \text{ T-APP} \end{array}$$

then  $\Gamma \vdash \tau$ . □

**Lemma 6 (Preservation).** *If  $\emptyset \vdash e:\tau$  and  $e \hookrightarrow e'$  then  $\emptyset \vdash e':\tau$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $\emptyset \vdash e:\tau$  and  $e \hookrightarrow e'$ . We will prove the lemma by induction on the derivation tree.

- Case T-EX. Assume

$$\emptyset \vdash e:\tau \quad (1)$$

where  $\tau \equiv \{v:b \mid v =_b e\}$ .

By inversion

$$\emptyset \vdash e:\{v:b \mid e_v\}$$

By IH

$$\emptyset \vdash e':\{v:b \mid e_v\}$$

By rule T-EX

$$\emptyset \vdash e':\{v:b \mid v =_b e'\} \quad (2)$$

By Lemma 3

$$\emptyset \vdash \{v:b \mid v =_b e'\} \preceq \{v:b \mid v =_b e\} \quad (3)$$

By Lemma 5 on (1) (since  $\vdash \emptyset$ )

$$\emptyset \vdash \{v:b \mid v =_b e\} \quad (4)$$

By (2), (3), (4) and rule T-SUB:

$$\emptyset \vdash e': \{v:b \mid v =_b e\}$$

- Cases T-VAR-BASE, T-VAR, T-CONST and T-FUN trivially hold as there is no  $e'$  such that  $e \hookrightarrow e'$ .

- Case T-SUB. Assume

$$\emptyset \vdash e:\tau$$

By inversion

$$\emptyset \vdash e:\tau' \quad (1) \quad \emptyset \vdash \tau' \preceq \tau \quad (2) \quad \emptyset \vdash \tau \quad (3)$$

By IH on (1)

$$\emptyset \vdash e':\tau'$$

By which, (2), (3) and T-SUB

$$\emptyset \vdash e':\tau$$

- Case T-APP. Assume

$$\emptyset \vdash e:\tau \quad (1)$$

where  $e \equiv e_1 \ e_2$ , and  $\tau \equiv \tau' [e_2/x]$

By inversion

$$\emptyset \vdash e_1:(x:\tau_x \rightarrow \tau') \quad (2) \quad \emptyset \vdash e_2:\tau_x \quad (3)$$

We split cases on the structure of  $e$ .

- $e \equiv c \ v_2$ . Then,  $e' \equiv [[c]](v_2)$ . By Definition 1,

$$\emptyset \vdash e':\tau$$

- $e \equiv c \ e_2$  where  $e_2$  is not a value, Then, by (3) and Lemma 7,  $e_2 \hookrightarrow e'_2$ , and  $e' \equiv e_1 \ e'_2$  By IH on (2)

$$\emptyset \vdash e'_2:\tau_x$$

By which, (1) and rule T-APP we get

$$\emptyset \vdash e':\tau' [e'_2/x] \quad (4)$$

By Lemma 3

$$\emptyset \vdash \tau' [e'_2/x] \preceq \tau' [e_2/x] \quad (5)$$

By (1) and Lemma 5, since  $\vdash \emptyset$

$$\emptyset \vdash \tau' [e_2/x] \quad (6)$$

By (4), (5), (6) and rule T-SUB

$$\emptyset \vdash e':\tau$$

–  $e \equiv \lambda x. e_x e_2$ . Then,  $e' \equiv e_x [e_2/x]$ .

By inversion on (2)

$$x:\tau_x \vdash e_x:\tau'$$

By which, (3) and Lemma 2 (since  $\vdash x:\tau_x$ )

$$\emptyset \vdash e':\tau'$$

–  $e \equiv e_1 e_2$ , where  $e_1$  is not a value. Then, by (2) and Lemma 7,  $e_1 \hookrightarrow e'_1$  and  $e' \equiv e'_1 e_2$  By IH on (2)

$$\emptyset \vdash e'_1:(x:\tau_x \rightarrow \tau')$$

By which, (3) and rule T-APP we get

$$\emptyset \vdash e':\tau$$

□

**Lemma 7** (Progress). *If  $\emptyset \vdash e:\tau$  and  $e \neq v$  then there exists an  $e'$  such that  $e \hookrightarrow e'$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $\emptyset \vdash e:\tau$ . We will prove the Lemma by induction on the derivation tree.

- Case T-EX. Assume

$$\emptyset \vdash e:\{v:b \mid v =_b e\}$$

where  $\tau \equiv \{v:b \mid v =_b e\}$ . By inversion

$$\emptyset \vdash e:\{v:b \mid e'\}$$

By IH either  $e \equiv v$  or there exists an  $e'$  such that  $e \hookrightarrow e'$ .

- Cases T-VAR-BASE, T-VAR cannot occur, as  $\Gamma = \emptyset$
- Cases T-CONST and T-FUN are trivial, as  $e$  is a value
- Case T-SUB. Assume

$$\emptyset \vdash e:\tau$$

By inversion

$$\emptyset \vdash e:\tau'$$

By IH either  $e \equiv v$  or there exists an  $e'$  such that  $e \hookrightarrow e'$ .

- Case T-APP. Assume

$$\emptyset \vdash e:\tau \quad (1)$$

where  $e \equiv e_1 e_2$  and  $\tau \equiv \tau' [e_2/x]$  By inversion

$$\emptyset \vdash e_1:(x:\tau_x \rightarrow \tau) \quad (2) \quad \emptyset \vdash e_2:\tau_x \quad (3)$$

We split cases on the structure of  $e$ .

- $e \equiv c v_2$ . Then,  $e' \equiv [c](v_2)$ .
- $e \equiv c e_2$  where  $e_2$  is not a value, By IH on (3)  $e_2 \hookrightarrow e'_2$  and  $e' \equiv e_1 e'_2$
- $e \equiv \lambda x. e_x e_2$ . Then,  $e' \equiv e_x [e_2/x]$ .
- $e \equiv e_1 e_2$ , where  $e_1$  is not a value. Then, by IH on (2)  $e_1 \hookrightarrow e'_1$  and  $e' \equiv e'_1 e_2$ .

□

## Interpretations

$$\text{Fin}(e) \doteq \exists v. e \hookrightarrow^* v$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \llbracket x \rrbracket = x & \llbracket \lambda x. e \rrbracket = f \\ \llbracket c \rrbracket = c & \llbracket e_1 \ e_2 \rrbracket = \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket (\llbracket e_2 \rrbracket) \end{array}$$

## Operational Semantic

$$\begin{array}{ll} e_1 \ e_2 \hookrightarrow e'_1 \ e_2 & \text{if } e_1 \hookrightarrow e'_1 \\ \lambda x. e \ e_x \hookrightarrow e[e_x/x] & \\ c \ e \hookrightarrow c \ e' & \text{if } e \hookrightarrow e' \\ c \ v \hookrightarrow \llbracket c \rrbracket(v) & \end{array}$$

## Interpretations

$$\text{Valid}(e) \Leftrightarrow e \hookrightarrow^* v \Rightarrow e \hookrightarrow^* \text{true}$$

**Claim 1.**

$$\left\{ \bigwedge_{(x, \{b:v|e\}) \in \Gamma} (\text{Fin}(x) \Rightarrow \llbracket e[x/v] \rrbracket) \Rightarrow \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket \Rightarrow \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket \right\} \Rightarrow \{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow e_2\}$$