Refinement Types & & Function Extensionality

Work in Progress Niki Vazou, IMDEA IFIP WG 2.1

```
plus1R :: x:Int \rightarrow {v:Int | x < v}
plus1R x = x + 1
```

```
plus1R :: x:Int \rightarrow \{v:Int \mid x < v\}
    plus1R x = x + 1
     ..., x: Int, v: Int; v = x + 1 \vdash x < v
   \Gamma, x: Int \vdash x + 1 :: \{v: Int \mid x < v\}
\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.x + 1 :: x:Int \rightarrow \{v:Int \mid x < v\}
```

```
plus1R :: x:Int \rightarrow {v:Int | x < v}
    plus1R x = x + 1
     ..., x: Int, v: Int; v = x + 1 \vdash x < v
   \Gamma, x: Int \vdash x + 1 :: \{v: Int \mid x < v\}
\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.x + 1 :: x:Int \rightarrow \{v:Int \mid x < v\}
                          e :: t
```

Γ ⊢ e :: t Refinement Typing

```
plus1R :: x:Int \rightarrow {v:Int | x < v}
plus1R x = x + 1
```

 $\Sigma;\Phi \vdash p$

SMT

Γ ⊢ e :: t Refinement Typing

plus1L
$$x = 1 + x$$

plus1R $x = x + 1$

We want to show that plus1R == plus1L

```
lemma :: x:Int \rightarrow { plus1L x == plus1R x } lemma x = ()
```

plus1L
$$x = 1 + x$$

plus1R $x = x + 1$

We want to show that plus1R == plus1L

```
..., x:Int; \emptyset \vdash plus1R x == plus1L x
```

```
\Gamma, x:Int \vdash () :: \{plus1R x == plus1L x\}
```

$$\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.() :: x:Int \rightarrow \{plus1R \ x == plus1L \ x\}$$

plus1L
$$x = 1 + x$$

plus1R $x = x + 1$

..., x:Int;
$$\varnothing \vdash plus1R \ x == plus1L \ x$$

$$\Gamma,x:Int \vdash () :: \{plus1R x == plus1L x\}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.() :: x:Int \rightarrow \{plus1R \ x == plus1L \ x\}$$

$$F = \begin{cases} plus1L & x = 1 + x \\ plus1R & x = x + 1 \end{cases} \Phi =$$

$$F;...,x:Int;\emptyset \vdash plus1R x == plus1L x;\Phi$$

$$F = \begin{cases} plus1L & x = 1 + x \\ plus1R & x = x + 1 \end{cases} \quad \Phi = plus1R \quad x = x + 1$$

$$F = \begin{cases} plus1L & x = 1 + x \\ plus1R & x = x + 1 \end{cases} \quad \phi = \begin{cases} plus1R & x = x + 1 \\ plus1L & x = 1 + x \end{cases}$$

$$F = \begin{cases} plus1L & x = 1 + x \\ plus1R & x = x + 1 \end{cases} \quad \Phi = \begin{cases} plus1R & x = x + 1 \\ plus1L & x = 1 + x \end{cases}$$

```
..., x:Int; \phi \vdash \text{plus1R } x == \text{plus1L } x
```

 $F;...,x:Int;\varnothing \vdash plus1R x == plus1L x;\Phi$

 $\Gamma, x:Int \vdash () :: \{plus1R \ x == plus1L \ x\}$

 $\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.() :: x:Int \rightarrow \{plus1R \ x == plus1L \ x\}$

$$F = \begin{cases} plus1L & x = 1 + x \\ plus1R & x = x + 1 \end{cases} \quad \Phi = \begin{cases} plus1R & x = x + 1 \\ plus1L & x = 1 + x \end{cases}$$

 $\Sigma; \Phi \vdash p$

SMT

 $F;...,x:Int;\varnothing \vdash plus1R x == plus1L x;\Phi$

Г ⊢ e :: t

Refinement Typing

Unfolds functions to strengthen SMT environment

- √ terminating
 - √ complete
 - ✓ sound

$$\Sigma;\Phi \vdash p$$

SMT

$$\mathbf{F}; \Sigma; \Phi \vdash p; \Phi$$

PLE

T ⊢ e :: t Refinement Typing

```
plus1L x = 1 + x
plus1R x = x + 1
```

We can now show that plus1R == plus1L

```
lemma :: x:Int \rightarrow { plus1L x == plus1R x } lemma x = ()
```

How about:

```
thm :: { plus1L == plus1R }
thm = ()
```

```
plus1L x = 1 + x
plus1R x = x + 1

thm :: { plus1L == plus1R }
thm = ()
```

```
\Gamma \vdash () :: \{plus1R == plus1L\}
```

$$F = \begin{cases} plus1L & x = 1 + x \\ plus1R & x = x + 1 \end{cases} \qquad \Phi = \emptyset$$

$$F; ...; \varnothing \vdash plus1R == plus1L; \Phi$$

$$\Gamma \vdash () :: \{plus1R == plus1L\}$$

$$F = \begin{cases} plus1L & x = 1 + x \\ plus1R & x = x + 1 \end{cases} \qquad \Phi = \emptyset$$

```
...;\varnothing \vdash plus1R == plus1L
```

$$F; ...; \varnothing \vdash plus1R == plus1L; \Phi$$

$$\Gamma \vdash () :: \{plus1R == plus1L\}$$

Proof By Logical Evaluation (PLE) fails on unsaturated functions

$$F = \begin{cases} plus1L & x = 1 + x \\ plus1R & x = x + 1 \end{cases} \qquad \Phi = \emptyset$$

$$F; ...; \varnothing \vdash plus1R == plus1L; \Phi$$

$$\Gamma \vdash () :: \{plus1R == plus1L\}$$

Proof By Logical Evaluation (PLE) fails on unsaturated functions

```
lemma :: x:Int \rightarrow { plus1L x == plus1R x } lemma x = ()
```

Link: Function Extensionality

```
thm :: { plus1L == plus1R }
thm = ()
```



Refinement Types & Function Extensionality

Approach I: Use extensionality as an axiom

Extensionality as an Axiom

```
extensionality :: f:(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow g:(a \rightarrow b)

\rightarrow (x:a \rightarrow \{f \ x == g \ x\})

\rightarrow \{f == g\}
```

Extensionality as an Axiom

```
extensionality :: f:(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow g:(a \rightarrow b)

\rightarrow (x:a \rightarrow \{f \ x == g \ x\})

\rightarrow \{f == g\}

lemma :: x:Int -> { plus1L x == plus1R x }

lemma x = ()

thm :: { plus1L == plus1R }

thm = extensionality plus1L plus1R lemma
```

Refinement Types & Function Extensionality

Approach I: Use extensionality as an axiom

- + the standard route (Coq, Agda, Dafny, F*) + no system modification
 - requires explicit FO proofs
 - it can be unsound!!!

```
extensionality @{v:Int|d} @{v:Int|r} ::
    f:({v:Int|d} → {v:Int|r})
    → g:({v:Int|d} → {v:Int|r})
    → (x:{v:Int|d} → {f x == g x})
    → {f == g}
```

```
extensionality @{v:Int|d} @{v:Int|r} ::
    f:({v:Int|d} → {v:Int|r})
    → g:({v:Int|d} → {v:Int|r})
    → (x:{v:Int|d} → {f x == g x})
    → {f == g}
```

```
plus1L :: {v:Int|d} → {v:Int|r}
```

```
plus1L :: {v:Int| domain plus1L}
      → {v:Int| range plus1L }
```

```
plus1L :: \{v:Int|d\} \rightarrow \{v:Int|r\}
```

Functional Subtyping

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t'_{x} <: t_{x}}{\Gamma \vdash x : t_{x} \rightarrow t <: x : t_{x}' \rightarrow t'} TSub$$

plus1L :: $\{v:Int|d\} \rightarrow \{v:Int|r\}$

Functional Subtyping

plus1L :: $\{v:Int|d\} \rightarrow \{v:Int|r\}$

```
extensionality @{v:Int|d} @{v:Int|r}
      plus1L ::
      g:(\{v:Int|d\} \rightarrow \{v:Int|r\})
  \rightarrow (x:{v:Int|d} \rightarrow {plus1L x == q x}
   \rightarrow {plus1L == q}
d \Rightarrow domain plus lL
                                     d \wedge range pluslL \Rightarrow r
d \Rightarrow domain plus 1R
                                    d \wedge range pluslR \Rightarrow r
        plus1R :: \{v:Int|d\} \rightarrow \{v:Int|r\}
```

```
extensionality @{v:Int|d} @{v:Int|r}
    plus1L
    plus1R ::
    (x:{v:Int|d} → {plus1L x == plus1R x}
    → {plus1L == plus1R}

d ⇒ domain plus1L
    d ∧ range plus1L ⇒ r
    d ⇒ domain plus1R
```

```
extensionality @{v:Int|d} @{v:Int|r}

plus1L

plus1R ::

(x:{v:Int|d} → {plus1L x == plus1R x}

→ {plus1L == plus1R}
```

```
\begin{array}{ll} d \Rightarrow domain \ pluslL \\ d \Rightarrow domain \ pluslR \\ d \Rightarrow domain \ lemma \\ \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ll} d \wedge \ range \ pluslR \Rightarrow r \\ d \wedge range \ lemma \Rightarrow \\ pluslL \ x == pluslR \ x \\ \end{array}
```

```
lemma :: x:\{v:Int|d\} \rightarrow \{plus1L \ x == plus1R \ x\}
```

```
extensionality @{v:Int|d} @{v:Int|r}
   plus1L plus1R lemma :: {plus1L == plus1R}
```

```
d \Rightarrow domain pluslL \Rightarrow d \land range pluslL \Rightarrow r
```

$$d \Rightarrow domain plus 1R$$
 $d \land range plus 1R \Rightarrow r$

$$d \Rightarrow domain lemma$$
 $d \land range lemma \Rightarrow$

Unsoundness of Function Extensionality

```
extensionality @{v:Int|d} @{v:Int|r}
   plus1L plus1R lemma :: {plus1L == plus1R}
```

```
\begin{array}{ll} d \Rightarrow domain \ pluslL \\ d \Rightarrow domain \ pluslR \\ d \Rightarrow domain \ lemma \\ \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ll} d \wedge \ range \ pluslR \Rightarrow r \\ d \wedge range \ lemma \Rightarrow \\ pluslL \ x == pluslR \ x \\ \end{array}
```

Note: d only appears in assumptions! So, if d := false extensionality proofs **ANYTHING**!

Unsoundness of Function Extensionality

```
extensionality @{v:Int|d} @{v:Int|r}

plus1L plus1R lemma :: {plus1L == plus2 }

d ⇒ domain plus1L

d ∧ range plus1L ⇒ r

d ⇒ domain plus1R

d ∧ range plus1R ⇒ r

d ⇒ domain lemma

d ∧ range lemma ⇒

plus1L x == plus2 x
```

Note: d only appears in assumptions!

So, if d := false extensionality proofs **ANYTHING**!

Cure: default d to true

Cure of Unsoundness of Function Extensionality

extensionality ::
$$f:(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow g:(a \rightarrow b)$$

 $\rightarrow (x:a \rightarrow \{f \ x == g \ x\})$
 $\rightarrow \{f == g\}$

$$a := \{v:Int|d\}$$

Note: d only appears in assumptions! because a only appears positively

Cure: default d to true

Cure of Unsoundness of Function Extensionality

```
extensionality :: f:(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow g:(a \rightarrow b)

\rightarrow (x:a \rightarrow \{f \ x == g \ x\})

\rightarrow \{f \ @(a \rightarrow b) == g \ @(a \rightarrow b)\}

a := \{v:Int|true\}
```

Note: d only appears in assumptions!
because a only appears positively
Cure: instantiate positive variables with true

Refinement Types & Function Extensionality

Approach I: Use extensionality as an axiom

- + the standard route (Coq, Agda, Dafny, F*) + no system modification
 - requires explicit FO proofs
 - it can be unsound!!!

Refinement Types & Function Extensionality

Approach I: Use extensionality as an axiom

Approach II: Define an extensionality "layer"

```
thm :: { plus1L == plus1R }
thm = ()
```

$$...; \varnothing \vdash plus1R == plus2L$$

$$F;...; \varnothing \vdash plus1R == plus2L; \varnothing$$

$$\Gamma \vdash () :: \{plus1R == plus1L\}$$

```
thm :: { plus1L == plus1R }
thm = ()
```

SMT

PLE

$$\Gamma \vdash () :: \{plus1R == plus1L\}$$

```
thm :: { plus1L == plus1R }
thm = ()
```

SMT

PLE

Function Extensinality

 $\Gamma \vdash () :: \{plus1R == plus1L\}$

```
thm :: { plus1L == plus1R }
thm = ()
```

SMT

PLE

```
...,x:Int;∅ ⊢ plus1R x == plus1L x
...;∅ ⊢ plus1R == plus1L
```

$$\Gamma \vdash () :: \{plus1R == plus1L\}$$

SMT

```
F;...,x:Int;\varnothing \vdash plus1R x == plus1L x;\Phi
```

$$\Gamma \vdash () :: \{plus1R == plus1L\}$$

 $F;...,x:Int;\varnothing \vdash plus1R x == plus1L x;\Phi$

...,x:Int; $\varnothing \vdash plus1R x == plus1L x$...; $\varnothing \vdash plus1R == plus1L$

 $\Gamma \vdash () :: \{plus1R == plus1L\}$

```
thm :: { plus1L == plus1R }
thm = ()
```

SMT

PLE

Function Extensionality

Refinement Typing

$$\Sigma,x:a;\Phi \vdash f x == g x \qquad \Sigma \vdash f,g :: a \rightarrow b$$

$$\Sigma;\Phi \vdash f == g$$

How to "uncover" equalities?

How to "uncover" equalities?

thm ::
$$f:(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow g:(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow \{ id f == id g \Rightarrow f == g \}$$

thm = ()

$$\frac{\Sigma,x:a;\Phi,id\ f\ x\ ==\ id\ g\ x\ \vdash\ f\ x\ ==\ g\ x}{\Sigma,x:a;\Phi,id\ f\ ==\ id\ g\ \vdash\ f\ x\ ==\ g\ x}=L$$

$$\frac{\Sigma,x:a;\Phi,id\ f\ ==\ id\ g\ \vdash\ f\ x\ ==\ g\ x}{\Sigma;\Phi,id\ f\ ==\ id\ g\ \vdash\ f\ ==\ g}\Rightarrow R$$

$$\Sigma;\Phi\ \vdash\ id\ f\ ==\ id\ g\ \Rightarrow\ f\ ==\ g$$

Algorithm

Step I: \Rightarrow , \land normalization

Step II: =R

Step III: =L

Algorithm

Step I: \Rightarrow , \land normalization

Step II: =R

Step III: =L

Properties

- Soundness
- Termination
- Completeness

Incompleteness in Presence of ADTs

thm ::
$$f:(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow g:(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow \{first f == first g \Rightarrow f == g\}$$

first $f(x,y) = (f x,y)$

!!no pair available!!

$$\Sigma,x:a;\Phi$$
, first $f == first g \vdash f x == g x$

$$\Sigma;\Phi, first f == first g \vdash f == g$$

$$\Sigma;\Phi \vdash first f == first g \Rightarrow f == g$$

Incompleteness in Presence of ADTs

```
thm :: f:(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow g:(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow \{first f == first g \Rightarrow f == g\}
first f(x,y) = (f x,y)
     \Sigma, x:a,y:b;\emptyset, first f (x,y) == first g(x,y)
                          \vdash f x == g x
         \Sigma, x:a; \emptyset, first f == first g \vdash f x == g x
                \Sigma; \phi, first f == first <math>g \vdash f == g
              \Sigma; \Phi \vdash \text{first } f == \text{first } g \Rightarrow f == g
```

Function Extensionality + ADTs

Soundness (all types has inhabitant)

Termination (no recursive ADTS)

? Completeness

Refinement Types & Function Extensionality

PLE automates **saturated** function equality For saturation we need extendionality

Approach I: Use extensionality as an axiom

- requires explicit FO proofs
 - can be unsound

Approach II: Define an extensionality "layer"

- + smooth interaction with PLE
 - + increases automation

? completeness

Thanks!