

# Refinement Reflection: Complete Verification with SMT

**Niki Vazou**<sup>1</sup>, Anish Tondwalkar<sup>2</sup>,  
Vikraman Choudhury<sup>3</sup>, Ryan Scott<sup>3</sup>, Ryan Newton<sup>3</sup>,  
Philip Wadler<sup>4</sup>, and Ranjit Jhala<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Maryland

<sup>2</sup>UC San Diego

<sup>3</sup>Indiana University

<sup>4</sup>University of Edinburgh

# Verification with SMT

```
fib :: i:{Int|0≤i} -> {v:Int|0<v∧i≤v}
fib i
| i≤1      = 1
| otherwise = fib (i-1) + fib (i-2)
```

# Verification with SMT

```
fib :: i:{Int|0≤i} -> {v:Int|0<v∧i≤v}
fib i
| i≤1      = 1 ✓
| otherwise = fib (i-1) + fib (i-2)
```

$$i \leq 1 \wedge v = 1 \Rightarrow 0 < v \wedge i \leq v$$

SMT-Valid

# Verification with SMT

```
fib :: i:{Int|0≤i} -> {v:Int|0<v∧i≤v}
fib i
| i≤1      = 1 ✓
| otherwise = fib (i-1) + fib (i-2) ✓
```

not i≤1  
 $0 < v_{i-1} \wedge i-1 \leq v_{i-1}$   
 $0 < v_{i-2} \wedge i-2 \leq v_{i-2}$   
 $v = v_{i-1} + v_{i-2}$   
 $\Rightarrow 0 < v \wedge i \leq v$

SMT-Valid

# Verification with SMT

```
fib :: i:{Int|0≤i} -> {v:Int|0<v∧i≤v}
fib i
| i≤1      = 1 ✓
| otherwise = fib (i-1) + fib (i-2) ✓
```

Verified

# Verification with SMT

To be **Practical**, SMT queries are **Decidable**

Can verification be Complete?

# Complete Verification with SMT

```
fib :: i:{Int|0≤i} -> {v:Int|0<v∧i≤v}
fib i
| i≤1      = 1
| otherwise = fib (i-1) + fib (i-2)
```

How to express **theorems** about functions?

```
\forall i. 0 ≤ i => fib i ≤ fib (i+1)
```

How to express **theorems** about functions?

## Step 1: Definition

In SMT `fib` is “Uninterpreted Function”

```
\forall i j. i = j => fib i = fib j
```

How to connect logic `fib` with target `fib`?



How to connect logic `fib` with target `fib`?

```
fib :: i:{Int|0≤i} → {v:Int|0<v∧i≤v}
fib i
| i≤1      = 1
| otherwise = fib (i-1) + fib (i-2)
```

SMT Axiom

```
\forall i.
  if i≤1 then fib i = 1
  else fib i = fib (i-1) + fib (i-2)
```

How to connect logic `fib` with target `fib`?

```
fib :: i:{Int|0≤i} -> {v:Int|0<v∧i≤v}
fib i
| i≤1      = 1
| otherwise = fib (i-1) + fib (i-2)
```

## Refinement Reflection

```
fib :: i:{Int|0≤i} -> {v:Int| v=fib i ∧
  if i≤1 then fib i = 1
  else fib i = fib (i-1) + fib (i-2)
}
```

# Refinement Reflection

**Step 1:** Definition

**Step 2:** Reflection

```
fib :: i:{Int|0≤i} -> {v:Int| v=fib i ∧  
  if i≤1 then fib i = 1  
  else fib i = fib (i-1) + fib (i-2)  
}
```

# Refinement Reflection

## Step 1: Definition

## Step 2: Reflection

```
fib :: i:{Int|0≤i} -> {v:Int| v=fib i ∧  
  if i≤1 then fib i = 1  
  else fib i = fib (i-1) + fib (i-2)  
}
```

## Step 3: Application

```
fib 1 :: {v:Int| v=fib 1 ∧ fib 1 = 1}
```

# Application is Type Level Computation

`fib 1`

`fib 1 = 1`

# Application

# Type Level Computation

`fib 1`

`fib 0`

`fib 2`

`fib i`

`fib 1 = 1`

`fib 0 = 1`

`fib 2 = fib 1 + fib 0`

?

? `if i ≤ 1 then fib i = 1`  
`else fib i = fib (i-1) + fib (i-2)`

# Application

# Type Level Computation

`fib 1`

`fib 1 = 1`

`fib 0`

`fib 0 = 1`

`fib 2`

`fib 2 = fib 1 + fib 0`

`if 1 < i then`

`fib i`

`fib i = fib (i-1) + fib (i-2)`

`fib (i+1)`

`fib (i+1) = fib i + fib (i-1)`

# Theorem Proving

```
fibUp :: i:Nat -> {v:() | fib i ≤ fib (i+1)}  
fibUp i  
| i == 0  
=    fib 0 <= fib 1  
*** QED  
| i == 1  
=    fib 1 <= fib 1 + fib 0 <= fib 2  
*** QED  
| otherwise  
=    fib i  
<= fib i + fib (i-1)  
<= fib (i+1)  
*** QED
```



# Reflection for Theorem Proving

**Theorems** are refinement types.

**Proofs** are functions.

**Check** that functions prove theorems.

**Proofs** are functions.

`fibUp :: i:Nat -> {fib i ≤ fib (i+1)}`

**Proofs** are functions.

`fibUp :: i:Nat -> {fib i ≤ fib (i+1)}`

Let's call them!

`fibUp 4 :: {fib 4 ≤ fib 5}`

`fibUp i :: {fib i ≤ fib (i+1)}`

`fibUp (j-1) :: {fib (j-1) ≤ fib j}`

# Proofs are functions. Let's call them!

```
fibMono :: i:Nat -> j:{Nat | i < j}
         -> {fib i ≤ fib j}
```

```
fibMono i j
| i + 1 == j
=      fib i
<=.   fib (i+1) ? fibUp i
==.   fib j
*** QED
| otherwise
=      fib i
<=.   fib (j-1) ? fibMono i (j-1)
<=.   fib j      ? fibUp (j-1)
*** QED
```

# Proofs are functions. Let's call them!

```
fibMono :: i:Nat -> j:{Nat | i < j}
         -> {fib i ≤ fib j}
```

```
fibMono i j
| i + 1 == j
=      fib i
<=.   fib (i+1) ? fibUp i
==.   fib j
*** QED
| otherwise
=      fib i
<=.   fib (j-1) ? fibMono i (j-1)
<=.   fib j      ? fibUp (j-1)
*** QED
```

# Proofs are functions. Let's abstract them!

```
fibMono :: i:Nat -> j:{Nat | i < j}
         -> fib:(Nat -> Int)
         -> (k:Nat -> {fib k ≤ fib (k+1)})
         -> {fib i ≤ fib j}
```

```
fibMono i j fib fibUp
| i + 1 == j
=    fib i
<=. fib (i+1) ? fibUp i
==. fib j
*** QED
| otherwise
=    fib i
<=. fib (j-1) ? fibMono i (j-1)
<=. fib j      ? fibUp (j-1)
*** QED
```

# Reflection for Theorem Proving

**Theorems** are refinement types.

**Proofs** are functions.

**Check** that functions prove theorems.

Implementation in



# Proof by Logical Evaluation (PLE)

**Idea:** Unfold in you can



# Proof by Logical Evaluation (PLE)

**Idea:** Unfold in you can

`fibUp :: i:Nat -> {fib i ≤ fib (i+1)}`

# Proof by Logical Evaluation (PLE)

**Idea:** Unfold in you can

fib i = ~~X~~  
fib (i+1) = ~~X~~

# Proof by Logical Evaluation (PLE)

**Idea:** Unfold in you can, using context.

if  $i=0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{fib } i &= 1 \\ \text{fib } (i+1) &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

# Proof by Logical Evaluation (PLE)

**Idea:** Unfold in you can, using context.

if  $i=1$ , then

$$\text{fib } i = 1$$

$$\text{fib } (i+1) = \text{fib } 1 + \text{fib } 0$$

# Proof by Logical Evaluation (PLE)

**Idea:** Unfold in you can, using context.

if  $i=1$ , then

$$\text{fib } i = 1$$

$$\text{fib } (i+1) = \text{fib } 1 + \text{fib } 0$$

$$\text{fib } 1 = 1$$

$$\text{fib } 0 = 1$$

# Proof by Logical Evaluation (PLE)

**Idea:** Unfold in you can, using context.

if  $i > 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{fib } i &= \text{fib } (i-1) + \text{fib } (i-2) \\ \text{fib } (i+1) &= \text{fib } i + \text{fib } (i-1) \end{aligned}$$

# Proof by Logical Evaluation (PLE)

**Idea:** Unfold in you can, using context.

if  $i > 1$ , then

$$\text{fib } i = \text{fib } (i-1) + \text{fib } (i-2)$$

$$\text{fib } (i+1) = \text{fib } i + \text{fib } (i-1)$$

$$\text{fib } (i-1) = \text{X}$$

$$\text{fib } (i-2) = \text{X}$$

# Proof by Logical Evaluation (PLE)

```
fibUp :: i:Nat -> {v:() | fib i ≤ fib (i+1)}
```

```
fibUp i
```

```
| i == 0
```

```
= ()
```

```
| i == 1
```

```
= ()
```

```
| otherwise
```

```
= ()
```



# Proof by Logical Evaluation (PLE)

**Idea:** Unfold in you can, using context.

**Prop I:** Termination.

**Prop II:** Completeness.

**Application:** Proof Simplification.

## Proof Simplification.

$$(\text{Spec} + \text{Proof}) / \text{Impl} = \begin{cases} \text{x2.4, without PLE} \\ \text{x1.6, with PLE} \end{cases}$$

# Evaluation

(**Spec** + **Proof**) / **Impl**

x2.4

x1.6

Benchmark	Common		Without PLE Search			With PLE Search		
	Impl (l)	Spec (l)	Proof (l)	Time (s)	SMT (q)	Proof (l)	Time (s)	SMT (q)
Arithmetic								
Fibonacci	7	10	38	2.74	129	16	1.92	79
Ackermann	20	73	196	5.40	566	119	13.80	846
Class Laws								
Monoid	33	50	x4			x2		
Functor	48	44						
Applicative	62	110						
Monad	63	42						
Higher-Order Properties								
Logical Properties	0	20	33	2.71	32	33	2.74	32
Fold Universal	10	44	43	2.17	24	14	1.46	48
Functional Correctness								
SAT-solver	92	34	0	50.00	50	0	50.00	50
Unification	51	60	85	4.77	195	21	5.64	422
Deterministic Parallelism								
Conc. Sets	597	329	x1.7			x1.3		
n-body	163	251						
Par. Reducers	30	212						
Total	1176	1279	1524	148.76	1626	638	150.88	4068

# Complete Verification with SMT

## **Refinement Reflection**

Reflect function definition in result type  
Function application gives type level computation

## **Proof by Logical Evaluation**

Unfolds function definitions, if it can



Thanks!