

### UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PADOVA

### Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia "Galileo Galilei" Corso di Laurea in Fisica

	•	1.	T	
16	SI	aı	La	urea

D 1	1 .	1. 1	ı	1 1	• , •
Predictive	learning	annlied 1	to muon	chamber	monitoring
1 I Cuicuive	icai iiiig	applica i	oo madii	CHAILDCI	momorning

Relatore: Laureando:  $Prof.\ Marco\ Zanetti$  Nicolò Lai

To the strength, the courage, the perseverance, the passion, the love you infused in me.

To you.

### Abstract

Cose in inglese

#### Sommario

Ho fatto cose per 5 mesi e non funziona niente ma i grafici sono belli check them out!

## Contents

1	Introduction	1
<b>2</b>	The Algorithm	3
3	Experimental Setup and Datasets	5
4	Running the Algorithm on Low Level Features	7
5	Conclusions	9

### Introduction

Particle Physics, also known as High Energy Physics (HEP), is the branch of physics that studies the nature of the particles that constitute matter and radiation. Its development can be located starting from the second half of the 19<sup>th</sup> century and, since then, theoretical physicists have been working on models that can accurately predict and describe the outcome of experiments. The model that better describes the experimental results is the Standard Model (SM), although it is common knowledge among the HEP community that SM is not complete. As a matter of fact, it does not describe General Relativity in terms of quantum field theories, does not give specifics about neutrino masses and does not explain the existence of dark matter. These facts show that there are as yet undiscovered physical laws and that our understanding of the world at its most fundamental level is lacking.

In order to interrogate experimental data in the search for New Physics (NP), scientists have come to realize that employing a model-dependent approach (i.e. searching for specific NP models) has a critical disadvantage: a statistical test which is designed to be sensitive to one specific hypothesis is typically insensitive to data departures of a different nature from the one expected. This means that if new physics is present in the data, but not predicted by the specific NP model that is being tested, it would not be discovered. A modern approach that overcomes the difficulties underlined above is the so-called model-independent approach. We define a certain approach as "model-independent" if we are not testing any specific physical model against our data. The main reason for which we demand a model-independent approach is the advantage of sensitivity to a large variety of new physics scenarios.

In the realm of HEP, Machine Learning (ML) techniques have been used since early 2000 (traditionally known as Multivariate Analysis) in many crucial tasks, such as event classification, track reconstruction and particle identification. In recent years, a Deep Learning algorithm has been developed in order to search for New Physics beyond the Standard Model. Such algorithm outlines a model-independent approach by exploiting Neural Networks (NN) with multiple hidden layers.

Although the above algorithm (which we will call the "NPL algorithm") looks promising in finding discrepancies, hidden within our datasets, between collected data and SM predictions, the same approach can be embraced to perform data quality monitoring tasks. The main topic of this work is, in fact, the application of this Deep Learning algorithm to constantly check whether the detector is collecting High Quality data (i.e. the detector is working as expected) or data acquisition is compromised in some way. We would like to emphasize the importance of being able to accurately discriminate High-Quality (HQ) data from Low-Quality (LQ) data: while the former is our gold mine, in which we hope to find answers to the unresolved questions above, the latter is a complete waste of time. Lastly, we remind that our approach to Data Quality Monitoring is model-independent. Thus, in this field of application, we are not asked to make assumptions on how data could be affected if the detector stops collecting HQ data. We, therefore, find ourselves with a remarkable sensibility towards a large variety of LQ data acquisitions and, due to the methodology of the approach and the structure of the algorithm itself, no occurrences of type II errors.

# The Algorithm

# Experimental Setup and Datasets

Running the Algorithm on Low Level Features

## Conclusions

## **Bibliography**

```
[1] Searching for exotic particles in high-energy physics with deep learning
    P. Baldi, P. Sadowski & D. Whiteson, July 2, 2014
    https://arxiv.org/abs/1402.4735
 [2] Learning New Physics from a Machine
    Raffaele Tito D'Agnolo, Andrea Wulzer, June 8, 2018
    https://arxiv.org/abs/1806.02350
 [3] Asymptotic formulae for likelihood-based tests of new physics
    Glen Cowan, Kyle Cranmer, Eilam Gross, Ofer Vitells, July 20, 2013
    https://arxiv.org/pdf/1007.1727.pdf
 [4] Summaries of CMS cross section measurements.
    https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResultsCombined
 [5] Python 3 Documentation
    https://docs.python.org/3/
 [6] Keras Documentation
    https://keras.io/
 [7] TensorFlow Documentation
    https://www.tensorflow.org/guide
 [8] Cern Virtual Machine File System (CernVM-FS)
    https://cernvm.cern.ch/portal/filesystem
 [9] IBM Spectrum LSF V10.1 documentation
    https://www.ibm.com/support/knowledgecenter/en/SSWRJV_10.1.0/lsf_welcome/lsf_
    welcome.html
[10] MADGRAPH5
    https://cp3.irmp.ucl.ac.be/projects/madgraph/
[11] Deep Learning
    Ian Goodfellow and Yoshua Bengio and Aaron Courville, 2016, MIT Press
    http://www.deeplearningbook.org
[12] Universal Function Approximation by Deep Neural Nets with Bounded Width and ReLU Activa-
    Boris Hanin, December 20, 2017
    https://arxiv.org/pdf/1708.02691.pdf
[13] Improving neural networks by preventing co-adaptation of feature detectors
    https://arxiv.org/pdf/1207.0580.pdf
```

[14] Dropout: A Simple Way to Prevent Neural Networks from Overfitting

http://jmlr.org/papers/volume15/srivastava14a/srivastava14a.pdf

12 Bibliography

[15] Hands-On Machine Learning with Scikit-Learn & TensorFlow Aurélien Géron, 2017, Cambridge University Press.

- [16] Neural Networks and Deep Learning http://neuralnetworksanddeeplearning.com
- [17] Large Hadron Collider (LHC)
  https://home.cern/science/accelerators/large-hadron-collider
- [18] CMS experiment at CERN: detector description https://cms.cern/detector
- [19] Introduction to Elementary Particle Physics, 2nd edition Alessandro Bettini, 2014, Cambridge University Press
- [20] Decay of Z bosons https://atlas.physicsmasterclasses.org/en/zpath\_lhcphysics2.htm
- [21] Should you get excited by your data? Let the Look-Elsewhere Effect decide http://cms.web.cern.ch/news/should-you-get-excited-your-data-let-look-elsewhere-effect-decide