

3.9: Common Table Expressions

```
1 WITH average_cte AS (  
2     SELECT  
3         A.customer_id,  
4         A.first_name,  
5         A.last_name,  
6         B.address,  
7         C.city,  
8         D.country,  
9         SUM(E.amount) AS total_amount_paid  
10    FROM customer A  
11   INNER JOIN address B ON A.address_id = B.address_id  
12   INNER JOIN city C ON B.city_id = C.city_id  
13   INNER JOIN country D ON C.country_id = D.country_id  
14   INNER JOIN payment E ON A.customer_id = E.customer_id  
15  WHERE city IN ('Aurora', 'Acua', 'Citrus Heights', 'Iwaki', 'Ambattur', 'Shanwei', 'So Leopoldo', 'Teboksary', 'Tianjin', 'Cianjur')  
16  GROUP BY A.customer_id, A.first_name, A.last_name, B.address, C.city, D.country  
17  ORDER BY total_amount_paid DESC  
18  LIMIT 5  
19 )  
20 SELECT  
21     AVG(total_amount_paid) AS avg_amount_paid  
22 FROM average_cte
```

	avg_amount_paid numeric
1	105.554

I basically took the already written inner query and built an CTE to define this query in a different way. I then queried the main statement by looking for the average of the paid amount of the top 5 customers. In the second task I proceeded similarly – I took the existing inner query and changed it to an CTE and used on the one hand to identify the top_customer_counts.

```
1 WITH top_5_customers_cte AS (  
2     SELECT  
3         A.customer_id,  
4         A.first_name,  
5         A.last_name,  
6         B.address,  
7         C.city,  
8         D.country,  
9         SUM(E.amount) AS total_amount_paid  
10    FROM customer A  
11   INNER JOIN address B ON A.address_id = B.address_id  
12   INNER JOIN city C ON B.city_id = C.city_id  
13   INNER JOIN country D ON C.country_id = D.country_id  
14   INNER JOIN payment E ON A.customer_id = E.customer_id  
15  WHERE city IN ('Aurora', 'Acua', 'Citrus Heights', 'Iwaki', 'Ambattur', 'Shanwei', 'So Leopoldo', 'Teboksary', 'Tianjin', 'Cianjur')  
16  GROUP BY A.customer_id, A.first_name, A.last_name, B.address, C.city, D.country  
17  ORDER BY total_amount_paid DESC  
18  LIMIT 5  
19 )  
20 SELECT  
21     D.country,  
22     COUNT(DISTINCT A.customer_id) AS all_customer_count,  
23     COUNT(DISTINCT top_5_customers_cte.customer_id) AS top_customer_count  
24 FROM customer A  
25  INNER JOIN address B ON A.address_id = B.address_id  
26  INNER JOIN city C ON B.city_id = C.city_id  
27  INNER JOIN country D ON C.country_id = D.country_id  
28  LEFT JOIN top_5_customers_cte ON A.customer_id = top_5_customers_cte.customer_id  
29  GROUP BY D.country  
30  ORDER BY all_customer_count DESC  
31  LIMIT 5;
```

	country character varying (50)	all_customer_count bigint	top_customer_count bigint
1	India	60	1
2	China	53	1
3	United States	36	1
4	Japan	31	1
5	Mexico	30	1

2. Compare the performance of your CTEs and subqueries.

1. Which approach do you think will perform better and why?









I think the CTE performs better if the amount of variables and the complexity of the query increases. Nevertheless, the readability of the code is much easier using CTEs.

Task 1

Subquery









Data Output	Messages	Notifications
QUERY PLAN text		
1	Aggregate (cost=64.45..64.46 rows=1 width=32)	
2	-> Limit (cost=64.37..64.39 rows=5 width=67)	
3	-> Sort (cost=64.37..64.98 rows=243 width=67)	
4	Sort Key: (sum(a.amount)) DESC	
5	-> HashAggregate (cost=57.30..60.34 rows=243 width=67)	

CTE

Data Output		Messages	Explain	×	Notifications
<div><div></div><div></div></div>					
	QUERY PLAN text				
1	Aggregate (cost=65.10..65.11 rows=1 width=32)				
2	-> Limit (cost=65.02..65.04 rows=5 width=87)				
3	-> Sort (cost=65.02..65.63 rows=244 width=87)				
4	Sort Key: (sum(e.amount)) DESC				
5	-> HashAggregate (cost=57.92..60.97 rows=244 width=8)				
6	Group Key: a.customer_id, b.address, c.city, d.country				
Total rows: 22 of 22		Query complete 00:00:00.081			

Task 2

Subquery

Data Output	Messages	Notifications
<div><div></div></div>		
	<div>QUERY PLAN</div> <div>text</div>	
1	Limit (cost=166.23..166.24 rows=5 width=25)	
2	-> Sort (cost=166.23..166.50 rows=109 width=25)	
3	Sort Key: (count(DISTINCT b.customer_id)) DESC	
4	-> GroupAggregate (cost=155.43..164.42 rows=109 width=25)	
5	Group Key: e.country	
6	-> Merge Left Join (cost=155.43..158.83 rows=599 width=17)	
<div>Total rows: 46 of 46 Query complete 00:00:00.658</div>		

CTE

Data Output	Messages	Notifications
QUERY PLAN text		
1	Limit (cost=166.23..166.24 rows=5 width=25)	
2	-> Sort (cost=166.23..166.50 rows=109 width=25)	
3	Sort Key: (count(DISTINCT b.customer_id)) DESC	
4	-> GroupAggregate (cost=155.43..164.42 rows=109 width=25)	
5	Group Key: e.country	
6	-> Merge Left Join (cost=155.43..158.83 rows=599 width=17)	

3. Write 1 to 2 paragraphs on the challenges you faced when replacing your subqueries with CTEs.

The first task was relatively easy and it was not too difficult to replace the inner query with the CTE. However, the second task became quite complex due to the requirement of a deeper understanding of the syntax and the functionalities of the JOIN Commands. Overall, it was the most difficult task so far.