

Understanding Calculus for Beginners

Nikolai Merritt

Contents

1	Know your Limits	3
2	Deriving the Derivative	6
3	The Integral: an integral part of Calculus	10

1 Know your Limits

Imagine that you're watching a live game of basketball, on your TV. Your favourite team's been playing well, but there's only half a minute left, and they're just one point behind. Their lead player gets the ball, spotting a clear path to the opposition's net. He throws the ball towards it as you sit on the edge of your seat, staring intently at the TV screen. Halfway as the ball curves through the air, the connection stutters for a split second, but when it resumes the ball has fallen through the net, and your favourite team's cheering.

Now, even though you never actually saw what happened in those last few seconds of the game, it doesn't take a genius to figure it out. Just before the connection stuttered, it was looking like the ball was going to fall through the hoop. And, immediately after the connection stuttered, it looked like the ball fell through the hoop too. In fact, if you replayed the last thirty seconds of the match in slow motion, you'd observe that as the time gets closer and closer to the bit where the connection was lost, the ball gets closer and closer to falling into the hoop. And, the closer and closer we get to immediately after the connection was lost, the clearer and clearer it is that the ball fell through the hoop too. So, while you haven't seen for your own eyes what happened at that time, you can still have an incredibly accurate idea of what went on.

This very intuitive way of thinking can be applied not only to everyday life, but to great effect in mathematics too. Imagine that Jim is in charge of monitoring the daily water level at the river Thames, and it so happens that the rise of millimetres of water height, in terms of times passed in hours, is

$$\text{rise in water level} = \frac{(t + 5)(t - 12)}{t - 12}.$$

If Jim called us 8 hours in and asked us how what the rise in water level was, we could simply put 8 into our formula to get:

$$\text{rise in water level} = \frac{(8 + 5)(8 - 12)}{8 - 12} = \frac{13 \times -4}{-4} = 13 \text{ mm}.$$

But here's the problem: what if Jim then wanted us to check the rise 12 hours in? Simply putting 12 into our formula would give us:

$$\text{rise in water level} = \frac{(12 + 5)(12 - 12)}{12 - 12} = \frac{17 \times 0}{0}.$$

And we don't know the answer to this question. No one knows what anything divided by zero is.

However, we can still offer Jim an incredibly good estimate to how much the Thames' water level has risen. Like in our earlier basketball scenario, we can look at what happens when the time passed gets closer and closer to 12 and make a guess from that. $t = 11.5$ seems to be as good a start as any:

$$\text{rise in water level} = \frac{(11.5 + 5)(11.5 - 12)}{11.5 - 12} = \frac{16.5 \times -0.5}{-0.5} = 16.5 \text{ mm}.$$

Now let's get closer. $t = 11.9$ will give us a more accurate guess:

$$\text{rise in water level} = \frac{(11.9 + 5)(11.9 - 12)}{11.9 - 12} = \frac{16.9 \times -0.1}{-0.1} = 16.9 \text{ mm}.$$

And if we wanted to get even more accurate still, when $t = 11.999$:

$$\text{rise in water level} = \frac{(11.999 + 5)(11.999 - 12)}{11.999 - 12} = \frac{16.999 \times -0.0001}{-0.0001} = 16.999 \text{ mm}.$$

Just to be sure, let's check the water level rise immediately after 12 minutes. If everything goes well, this should give us an answer very close to 17 too:

$$\text{rise in water level} = \frac{(12.0001 + 5)(12.0001 - 12)}{12.0001 - 12} = \frac{17.0001 \times 0.0001}{0.0001} = 17.0001 \text{ mm.}$$

So we can safely say that, as the time passed gets closer and closer to 12 minutes, the Thames' water level has risen by 17 mm. In other words, the approaching value of the water level rise is 17, as t approaches 12. Mathematicians have named approaching values like these with the word "limit", saying that the limit of the rise is 17, as t goes to 12.

Because the idea of finding a limit is such a useful tool, mathematicians have also invented their own notation for it. Instead of writing the previous sentence, they would write:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 12} (\text{rise in water level}) = 17 \quad \text{or,} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 12} \frac{(t + 5)(t - 12)}{t - 12} = 17.$$

Now that we've got the jargon out the way, you may be wondering how can we be so sure our limit is 17 *exactly*? What if our rise actually approaches, say, 16.9998, 17.0002, or $17 \frac{2}{8653}$? While our answer of "some number pretty darn close to 17" should do just fine for this simple scenario, knowing if some function limits to, say, π , with all its uses and shortcuts, instead of 3.1416, can be crucial for more important ones. This is why most limits are solved algebraically, instead of plugging in numbers and watching what happens. Which allows for far more precision and rigour.

Thankfully, just like how fundamentally simple the idea of a limit is, so are the ways in which it can be used. Unlike a concrete function like $\sin x$, a limit is more like a concept, and so is a bit more malleable. This allows us to fiddle around with functions we want to find a limit for, until they're easy enough to solve intuitively. For example, the limit of two functions added together is the same as the sum of the individual limits of those two functions. Or, more mathematically written,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c} [f(x) + g(x)] \equiv \lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) + \lim_{x \rightarrow c} g(x) \quad \text{for any value } c.$$

And that makes intuitive sense if you think about it: the combined value two functions approach is going to be the same whether you combine them at the start or at the end.

Similarly, the limit of two functions multiplied together is the same as the product of their individual limits. Or, in mathematical notation,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow c} [f(x) \times g(x)] \equiv \lim_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) \times \lim_{x \rightarrow c} g(x) \quad \text{for any value } c.$$

With these two properties made clear, we can easily start to demonstrate how we could work out the limit for our water level equation algebraically:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 12} \frac{(t + 5)(t - 12)}{t - 12} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 12} \left[\frac{t - 12}{t - 12} (t + 5) \right] \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 12} \frac{t - 12}{t - 12} \times \lim_{t \rightarrow 12} (t + 5). \end{aligned}$$

Note that we're taking the limit as t approaches 12. Similar to our estimation in the mystery connection loss in

our basketball scenario, we see what happens as t gets closer and closer to 12, but stopping before it arrives there. So, cancelling both sides of the fraction won't be dividing by zero. This means:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 12} \frac{(t+5)(t-12)}{t-12} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 12} 1 \times \lim_{t \rightarrow 12} (t+5).$$

It's common sense that the value of our first limit on the right hand side will be 1, no matter what value we make t . And it's equally clear that, as t gets closer and closer to 12, $t+5$ will get closer and closer to 17. So we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 12} \frac{(t+5)(t-12)}{t-12} &= 1 \times 17 \\ &= 17. \end{aligned}$$

So, now we can say with almost 100% certainty that, despite the nasty division by zero, the increase in the water level at 12 minutes in is exactly 17. Of course, this is only a humble little demonstration of the power of limits, but hopefully you can imagine how limits can be used to find incredibly accurate estimates for otherwise unknowable values. In fact, limits form the bedrock of Calculus, another extremely powerful and versatile tool in mathematics. In the next section I will explain how the derivative is simply an application of a limit. However, it is highly recommended that you first familiarise yourself with limits as much as possible. As it is not the purpose of this book to provide practice questions, I advise that you look for limit problems online, and solve enough of them that you feel as comfortable with the idea of a limit as possible.

2 Deriving the Derivative

It's often very useful to know the rate of change of something. An obvious example is speed; without being able to know how fast an object is going – or, in other words, the rate of change of its distance vs time – we'd all die in car crashes on our first day of driving. And there are many more examples: how fast diseases spread, the ebb and flow of the stock market, and the growth of populations, to name a few. However, the first example is probably the simplest.

Let's imagine that the Leibniz family is driving from Cologne to Berlin. Their distance in metres, y , in terms of time in seconds, x , is

$$y = x^2$$

to take a very simple example.

Let's say we wanted to find the family's average speed from 10 seconds to 60 seconds into their journey. Since

$$\text{avg. speed} = \frac{\text{change in distance}}{\text{change in time}}$$

we take the change in distance, Δy , and divide it by the change in time, Δx . This gives us

$$\begin{aligned}\text{avg. speed} &= \frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x} \\ &= \frac{\text{new } x^2 - \text{old } x^2}{\text{new } x - \text{old } x} \\ &= \frac{60^2 - 10^2}{60 - 10} \\ &= \frac{3600 - 100}{50} \\ &= 70 \text{ m/s.}\end{aligned}$$

To find their speed between 59 seconds and 60 seconds into their journey, we use the same process:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{avg. speed} &= \frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x} \\ &= \frac{60^2 - 59^2}{60 - 59} \\ &= 119 \text{ m/s.}\end{aligned}$$

But here's the thing: usually we rarely care about someone's average speed between two points. Ideally we'd want to know a general method for working out their speed **right now**, at a single point in time. In other words, when there is no change in time – when Δx is 0.

There's an obvious issue here. To do that would involve dividing by 0. So surely such a method would be impossible?

However, we can get around that problem using – you guessed it – the power of limits. Let's see what happens when we let Δx approach 0. To make things easier, we can refer to this incredibly tiny (but not zero!) value of Δx as dx . And, let's refer to the resultant incredibly tiny value of Δy as dy .

Now, we want to find the value of $\frac{dy}{dx}$. Using our limit definition, we have:

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x}$$

Remember that here, $y = x^2$ and so $\Delta y = \Delta(x^2)$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \frac{dy}{dx} &= \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta(x^2)}{\Delta x} \\ &= \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{(x + \Delta x)^2 - x^2}{(x + \Delta x) - x} \\ &= \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{(x + \Delta x)^2 - x^2}{\Delta x} \\ &= \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x^2 + 2x \Delta x + (\Delta x)^2 - x^2}{\Delta x} \\ &= \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{2x \Delta x + (\Delta x)^2}{\Delta x}. \end{aligned}$$

Again, because Δx *approaches* 0, not *equals* 0, we can divide both sides by Δx just fine. So we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dy}{dx} &= \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} (2x + \Delta x) \\ &= 2x + 0 \text{ or simply, } 2x. \end{aligned}$$

And there we have it. A general-use formula for the rate of change at a single point, when our function is $y = x^2$. In this scenario, y represents the Leibniz family's distance from Cologne to Berlin, so $\frac{dy}{dx}$ is their speed along their journey at any one point in time. But, of course, $y = x^2$ could refer to whatever you wanted.

And this method of finding the rate of change doesn't just work for $y = x^2$ too. You could work out a $\frac{dy}{dx}$ for whatever ¹ function you like.

Now for some jargon: instead of having to clunkily write $\frac{dy}{dx}$ every time, mathematicians refer to this as a **derivative**. When talking about this scenario, we'd read it as "the derivative of y with respect to x ", or, "the derivative of x^2 with respect to x ". And, since dy and dx are the minuscule differences in y and x , they are called the **differentials** of y and x .

Now that we've just found out that all functions have a derivative, let's investigate them further. Patterns come up in surprising places in maths, and fortunately, there's a pattern for the derivative of power functions. To observe

¹Strictly speaking, only all functions that are continuous (that is, don't have any holes or suddenly cut from one value to another) can have a derivative that will apply across all its points. But any function that you can write at this stage will be like that.

this, let's first find out the derivative of x^3 :

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d(x^3)}{dx} &= \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta(x^3)}{\Delta x} \\
&= \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{(x + \Delta x)^3 - x^3}{(x + \Delta x) - x} \\
&= \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{(x + \Delta x)^3 - x^3}{\Delta x} \\
&= \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x^3 + 3x^2 \Delta x + 3x (\Delta x)^2 + (\Delta x)^3 - x^3}{\Delta x} \\
&= \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{3x^2 \Delta x + 3x (\Delta x)^2 + (\Delta x)^3}{\Delta x} \\
&= \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} [3x^2 + 3x \Delta x + (\Delta x)^2].
\end{aligned}$$

Now we have reduced the limit to a “common sense” level. As Δx gets closer and closer to 0, the $3x \Delta x$ and $(\Delta x)^2$ will get closer and closer to 0 too. Or, if we wanted to be as rigorous and clear as possible, we could split the limit up and have:

$$\frac{d(x^3)}{dx} = \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} (3x^2) + \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} (3x) \times \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} (\Delta x) + \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} [(\Delta x)^2].$$

Which would make it even more clear that

$$\frac{d(x^3)}{dx} = 3x^2.$$

To give us another example to work with, we can use the same process (which I have hidden for brevity) to arrive at

$$\frac{d(x^4)}{dx} = 4x^3.$$

If we organise these findings to observe this pattern as easily as possible, we get:

y	x^2	x^3	x^4
$\frac{dy}{dx}$	$2x^1$	$3x^2$	$4x^3$

We can now notice how the derivative always is the original function multiplied by its power, with its power then reduced by 1. Or, in mathematical notation,

$$\frac{d(x^n)}{dx} \equiv nx^{n-1} \text{ for any real } n.$$

This pattern can be proved fairly easily for any natural power n using the binomial theorem, and is left as an exercise to the reader. Unfortunately, actually proving this pattern (known as the “power rule”) for any real power n will only be possible later on in this book.

Finally, what if we want to sum two functions together? Say if we had $y = x^4 + x^3$, for example. Well, using our definition of a limit to find a general solution. For any two functions $f(x)$ and $g(x)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d[f(x) + g(x)]}{dx} &= \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x + \Delta x) - f(x) + g(x + \Delta x) - g(x)}{\Delta x} \\ &= \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{f(x + \Delta x) - f(x)}{\Delta x} + \frac{g(x + \Delta x) - g(x)}{\Delta x} \right] \\ &= \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{f(x + \Delta x) - f(x)}{\Delta x} \right] + \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{g(x + \Delta x) - g(x)}{\Delta x} \right] \\ &= \frac{d[f(x)]}{dx} + \frac{d[g(x)]}{dx} \text{ or, as most mathematicians write, } \frac{df}{dx} + \frac{dg}{dx}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Thus, } \frac{dy}{dx} &= \frac{d(x^4)}{dx} + \frac{d(x^3)}{dx} \\ &= 4x^3 + 3x^2.\end{aligned}$$

Given this, it is trivial to prove that

$$\frac{d[k \times f(x)]}{dx} \equiv k \times \frac{df}{dx}$$

for any constant k , and is also left as an exercise.

This brings us to a close on the fundamental idea of the derivative and the differential. As with last section, I would highly recommend solving practice problems on these derivative properties until you are completely familiar with them, before moving on to our next topic.

3 The Integral: an integral part of Calculus

Previously, we established how to find the rate of change of any polynomial equation. But what if we were given the rate of change, and wanted to get back to the original? For instance, imagine that the police caught someone speeding, and from their analysis, they worked out their velocity, v at any point in time along their journey as

$$v(t) = 12t.$$

Could they then work backwards to find an equation for the criminal's distance?