

# Inf2A 2017–18: Assignment 1

## The Language Processing Pipeline for Micro-Haskell

Issued 13 October 2017

The deadline for this assignment is **4pm, Tuesday 31 October 2017** (Hallowe'en).

### Overview

The objective of this practical is to illustrate the language processing pipeline in the case of a small but interesting fragment of the Haskell programming language, which we shall call *Micro-Haskell* (or MH). The practical is self-contained, and prior knowledge of Haskell itself is not assumed; however, those with no previous experience of Haskell may need to invest a little more time to understand what is required. The brief introduction to Micro-Haskell to be given in Lecture 14 may also be helpful.

The practical illustrates all stages of the language processing pipeline for programming languages, taking us from a source file, written in MH, to execution of the program. In our case, the pipeline has four stages: lexing, parsing, typechecking and evaluation. Your task is to provide language-specific material to assist with the first three stages: *lexing*, covered in Part A of the practical; *parsing*, covered in Part B; and *typechecking*, covered in Part C. A simple *evaluator* is provided for you.

The code implementing all four stages will itself be written in Java. (So you are warned at the outset that you'll need to think in two languages at once!) Several general-purpose components are provided; your task is to supply the parts specific to MH, by applying your understanding of the course material. Once you have finished, you'll be able to hook up your implementations to the given evaluator to obtain a complete working implementation of MH.

Of course, many libraries of lexing and parsing tools in Java are available on the Internet, but the point of this practical is to build things up from first principles in order to understand how they work. You should therefore not attempt to use any lexer or parser utilities you may find online, nor any tools such as `StringTokenizer` or `StreamTokenizer` that might otherwise appear tempting.

Besides writing your own code, you are advised to spend some time understanding what the provided parts of the code are doing, at least in broad terms. This will help

you to understand what you need to implement, and also to see how the various stages of the pipeline all fit together.

The version of Java for this practical is **1.8.0\_144** (the version currently installed on the DICE machines). If you have another version installed on a machine of your own, you may still be able to complete the practical with this, but it's your responsibility to check that the final version of your code compiles and runs under the above version before you submit it.

## Instructions

To begin, download the code file `Inf2A_Prac1_Files.zip` from the Informatics 2A Assignments webpage. On a DICE machine, this can be unpacked using

```
unzip Inf2A_Prac1_Files.zip
```

This will build a subdirectory `Inf2A_Prac1_Files` inside your working directory (folder), within which you will find all source files needed for the assignment. Look first at the file `MH_example.txt`, which provides a small sample of Micro-Haskell.

The assignment comprises four parts: A–D. In each of Parts A–C, you have to complete a template Java file that is provided for you. In doing this, fill in only the parts of code that you are instructed to write. **Do not make any other alterations to the existing code — this will invalidate your solution!** You are required to submit your solutions **from a DICE machine**, using the `submit` command, as specified below.

| Part | Submit command                                    | Marks |
|------|---|-------|
| A    | <code>submit inf2a cw1 MH_Lexer.java</code>       | 35    |
| B    | <code>submit inf2a cw1 MH_Parser.java</code>      | 30    |
| C    | <code>submit inf2a cw1 MH_Typechecker.java</code> | 25    |
| D    | no further submission required                    | 10    |

It is also possible to submit your solutions to parts A–C simultaneously:

```
submit inf2a cw1 MH_Lexer.java MH_Parser.java MH_Typechecker.java
```

Part D combines Parts A–C with the evaluator to create a full working implementation of MH; it does not require any submission of its own.

## Part A: Lexing in Micro-Haskell [35 marks]

In this part, we construct a lexical analyser for MH. A general-purpose longest-match lexer is already provided. Your task is to supply deterministic finite-state machines that serve as recognizers for the various lexical classes in the language.

Look at the provided file `GenLexer.java`. It begins with some Java functions that define certain useful classes of characters: *letter*, *small*, *large*, *digit*, *symbolic*, *whitespace*, *newline*. Next comes a Java interface `DFA` which defines the functionality that any finite state machine has to provide. Some of this is provided in the class `Acceptor` which follows, but notice that this class contains stubs for five ‘abstract’ methods whose implementation will be specific to the particular DFA in question. There then follow three examples of how to construct implementations of particular DFAs: `EvenLetterAcceptor`, `AndAcceptor` and `SpaceAcceptor`. Later in the file, the class `DemoLexer` illustrates how these DFAs may be combined to yield a lexer for a simple (and silly) language, and the class `LexerDemo` gives you a simple way of trying this out (the comments in the source file explain how).

Notice that states are represented by integers, with 0 as the initial state. Besides the transition operation and the set of accepting states, our DFAs here must also be equipped with a designated *dead* state: that is, a non-accepting state from which no sequence of transitions can lead to an accepting state. Note also that our DFAs must include the method `String lexClass()`, which provides the name of the lexical class they are associated with. This is done because we wish our lexer to output a stream of tokens each tagged with their lexical class.

Your objective in Part A is to implement DFAs in the same style corresponding to the lexical classes of Micro-Haskell. This is to be done in the file `MH_Lexer.java`, which currently provides a template containing some gaps for you to fill in. For the first six of these gaps, follow the pattern of the examples in `GenLexer.java` to construct DFAs for the following lexical classes defined by regular expressions. (These correspond closely to lexical classes of actual Haskell, except that we’ve chosen a slightly different definition of the class `NUM`.)

- A class `VAR` of *variables*, defined by

$$\textit{small} (\textit{small} + \textit{large} + \textit{digit} + ')*$$

- A class `NUM` of *numeric literals*, defined by

$$0 + \textit{nonZeroDigit digit}^*$$

where *nonZeroDigit* means what you think it does.

- A class `BOOLEAN` of *boolean literals*, defined by

`True + False`

- A class `SYM` of *symbolic tokens*, defined by

`symbolic symbolic*`

- A class of *whitespace elements*, defined by

`whitespace whitespace*`

- A class of *comments*, defined by

`--* (nonSymbolNewline nonNewline* + ε)`

where *nonSymbolNewline* is the set of all characters except those of *symbolic* or *newline*, and *nonNewline* is the set of all characters except those of *newline*. Note that `--*` effectively means ‘two or more dashes’.

The names of the last two classes, implemented by the `lexClass()` method, should both be the empty string. This will notify the lexer that tokens of these classes should be discarded.

In addition to these classes, keywords such as `if` and special symbols such as `;` will require ‘singleton’ (i.e. one-element) lexical classes all to themselves. For this purpose, we will provide a class `tokAcceptor` which, for any string `tok` that we supply, can create a special DFA that accepts the string `tok` and no other strings. For instance, the constructor call `tokAcceptor("if")` should create a DFA that accepts only the string `"if"`. Fill in the gap in the implementation of this class so as to achieve this behaviour. (Note that this is quite different from the other classes above, in that we will be able to create several objects of class `tokAcceptor` each implementing a different DFA.) Here the name of the lexical class should be identical to the string itself — this will serve to make the specific token we are dealing with visible to the parser.

The lexical classes we require for MH are now the six lexical classes listed above, together with singleton classes for the five keywords `Integer`, `Bool`, `if`, `then`, `else` and for the three special symbols `(`, `)`, `;`.

Following the example of class `DemoLexer` in the file `GenLexer.java`, add a few lines of code to construct acceptors for these fourteen classes, and put these together in an array called `MH_acceptors`. The acceptors should be listed in order of priority,

with highest priority first, which should be sensibly chosen so that keywords like `if` are assigned an appropriate lexical class (so as to emulate the behaviour of an actual Haskell implementation).

The `MH_acceptors` array is fed to a general-purpose routine that performs longest-match lexing (also known as *maximal munch*) using the method described in Lecture 6. Take a brief look at the code for this in `GenLexer.java`, and check that you broadly understand what it is doing.

You should now be able to compile `GenLexer.java` and your file `MH_Lexer.java` to create a lexer for MH. To test your lexer, you might wish to adapt the `LexerDemo` code in `GenLexer.java`; this will allow you to create a simple command-line driven lexical analyser for MH. You are not required to submit this test code, however.

Before leaving the topic of lexing, take a quick glance at the code provided in `CheckedSymbolLexer.java`. This performs some mild post-processing on the stream of lexical tokens: symbolic tokens are checked to ensure that they are among the tokens that feature in MH:

`::    ->    =    ==    <=    +    -`

If they are, then the lexical classname `SYM` is replaced with the token itself, just as for keywords and `(, ), ;`.

**Submission:** Submit your answer to part A, from a DICE machine, using the command: `submit inf2a cw1 MH_Lexer.java`

## Part B: An LL(1) parser for Micro-Haskell [30 marks]

Take a look at the provided file `GenParser.java`. This begins with an interface `TREE` and a class `STree` for representing syntax trees (for any context-free grammar). The class `GenParser` then provides an implementation of the general LL(1) parsing algorithm as described in lectures (again, check that you broadly understand it).

In order to complete this and obtain a working parser, some grammar-specific ingredients must be provided: a parse table and a choice of start symbol. The class `EvenAndParser` gives a simple example of how to do this, for an artificial language that uses the lexical classes defined in `GenLexer.java`. Note in particular the convention that the names of nonterminals are identified by adding the symbol `#` (we can get away with this because `#` doesn't itself feature in any lexical tokens of MH). You can try out this parser on the sample input file `EvenAnd_example.txt`, by compiling `GenParser.java` and then typing

$$\begin{aligned}
Prog &\rightarrow \epsilon \mid Decl\ Prog \\
Decl &\rightarrow TypeDecl\ TermDecl \\
TypeDecl &\rightarrow \text{VAR} :: Type\ ; \\
Type &\rightarrow Type0\ TypeRest \\
TypeRest &\rightarrow \epsilon \mid \rightarrow Type \\
Type0 &\rightarrow Integer \mid Bool \mid ( Type ) \\
TermDecl &\rightarrow \text{VAR} Args = Exp\ ; \\
Args &\rightarrow \epsilon \mid \text{VAR} Args \\
Exp &\rightarrow Exp0 \mid \text{if } Exp \text{ then } Exp \text{ else } Exp \\
Exp0 &\rightarrow Exp1\ Rest0 \\
Rest0 &\rightarrow \epsilon \mid == Exp1 \mid <= Exp1 \\
Exp1 &\rightarrow Exp2\ Rest1 \\
Rest1 &\rightarrow \epsilon \mid + Exp2\ Rest1 \mid - Exp2\ Rest1 \\
Exp2 &\rightarrow Exp3\ Rest2 \\
Rest2 &\rightarrow \epsilon \mid Exp3\ Rest2 \\
Exp3 &\rightarrow \text{VAR} \mid \text{NUM} \mid \text{BOOLEAN} \mid ( Exp )
\end{aligned}$$

Figure 1: Grammar for Micro-Haskell

```
java ParserDemo EvenAnd_example.txt
```

Your task is to implement a similar working parser for the language MH, in the file `MH_Parser.java` (which is discussed below), following the pattern of `EvenAndParser`.

Now we consider the grammar of MH itself. The terminal symbols are the names of lexical classes in tokens output by `CheckedSymbolLexer`. The complete list of these is as follows:

```

VAR    NUM    BOOLEAN  Integer  Bool    if    then  else
(      )      ;      ::    ->    =    ==    <=    +    -

```

The start symbol of the grammar is *Prog*, and the productions are as given in Figure 1. (To reduce clutter, we omit the `#` symbol here, instead distinguishing nonterminals by choice of font.)

If this grammar looks daunting at first, the following observations may be helpful:

- The grammar for types (i.e. the rules for *Type*, *TypeRest*, *Type0*) is a self-contained sub-grammar that can be understood in isolation; see Lecture 14.
- The grammar for expressions (the rules for all nonterminals from *Exp* onwards) is another self-contained sub-grammar, and is broadly similar in its workings to the LL(1) grammar for arithmetic expressions from Lecture 12. Note that the productions for *Exp2* and *Rest2* are intended to cater for multiple function applications, such as `f x y`.
- It may be helpful to study the sample program in `MH_example.txt` in conjunction with the grammar rules.

Once you feel you have assimilated the grammar, find yourself a large sheet of paper and work out the complete LL(1) parse table. (Most of the entries will be blank, so don't panic!) You may find that some calculations of First and Follow sets help you to do this; however, you will not be required to submit these calculations or the written-out parse table you construct.

Now open the file `MH_Parser.java`. You will see that the right hand sides of all the grammar rules have already been constructed for your convenience, so all you have to do is to supply an implementation of the parse table itself in the style of `EvenAndParser`. You may make use of auxiliary definitions and other reasonable devices to reduce the amount of code you need to write, provided that your code remains clearly readable and its correspondence to the parse table you have drawn up remains transparent.

After completing and compiling this, you will now be able to try out your parser on the sample source file provided:

```
java MH_ParserDemo MH_example.txt
```

If this reports successful parsing, it's an encouraging sign that your parser is largely correct and will obtain a reasonable mark. However, to ensure it is *completely* correct, you will have to do some further testing of your own, since (a) there are possible parsing scenarios not represented by this small example, and (b) you will also need to ensure that your parser rejects *incorrect* programs and that the error reports it produces are reasonable.

**Submission:** Submit your answer to part B, **from a DICE machine**, using the command: `submit inf2a cw1 MH_Parser.java`

## Part C: Typechecking for Micro-Haskell [25 marks]

In this section, you will implement critical parts of a typechecker for MH.

The LL(1) grammar we have been using serves to disambiguate inputs and make them readily parseable; but once these issues have been got out of the way, it's much more convenient to work with simpler trees known as *abstract syntax trees (ASTs)* in which extraneous detail has been stripped away. For example, as in Lecture 14, types in MH are conceptually just trees for the grammar:

$$Type \rightarrow Integer \mid Bool \mid Type \rightarrow Type$$

Look at the file `MH_Type_Impl.java`, which defines a Java representation of MH types in this stripped-down form. The interface `MH_TYPE` declares various operations one can perform on such types (check that you understand what they are intended to do), while further down, the class `MH_Type_Impl` provides predefined constants for the MH types `Integer` and `Bool`, as well as a constructor for building a function type (i.e. an arrow type) from two previously existing MH types. In the typechecking code you will be writing, these may be utilized as follows:

```
MH_Type_Impl.IntegerType ; // AST for Integer
MH_Type_Impl.BoolType;    // AST for Bool
new MH_Type_Impl (t1,t2);  // AST for (t1->t2)
```

Clearly, we will need a way to convert syntax trees as produced by the parser into ASTs of this kind. This is done by the provided methods `convertType` and `convertType1` in `MH_Type_Impl.java`. A good warm-up to your own task would be to try and understand the workings of `convertType` and `convertType1` with the help of the comments provided.

A similar notion of abstract syntax trees is also required for *expressions* (trees with topmost label `#Exp`). In effect, ASTs for expressions are just trees for the simplified grammar:

$$Exp \rightarrow VAR \mid NUM \mid BOOLEAN \mid Exp \ Exp \mid Exp \ infix \ Exp \mid \text{if } Exp \text{ then } Exp \text{ else } Exp$$

where *infix* ranges over `==, <=, +, -`. Look in the file `MH_Exp_Impl.java` at the interface `MH_EXP`, which declares various operations that can be performed on such trees. The intended meanings of these operations are all you need to understand from this file (and you can ignore `isLAMBDA` and `isREF`). Their workings are further explained by commented examples in the file `MH_Exp_Impl.java` immediately below the `MH_EXP` interface. However, you don't need to get to grips with the class `MH_Exp_Impl`, which



contains (among other things) some code for converting trees returned by the parser into ASTs for expressions.

Assuming the conversions to ASTs have already been done, your task is to write a typechecker for ASTs, by completing the body of the method `computeType` in the file `MH_Typechecker.java`. More precisely, your code should compute the MH type of an expression given as an AST `exp` of Java type `MH_EXP`, returning the result as an AST of Java type `MH_TYPE`. If the expression is not correctly typed, your code should flag up a type error, which you can do by means of the command:

```
throw new TypeError ("blah blah") ;
```

Each time you include such a command, the string you supply should provide a brief description of the nature of the type error in question. Such error messages should be helpful to MH programmers who need to identify and correct type errors in their programs.

There is one other important ingredient to be explained. The type of an expression such as `if x then y else z`, or even whether it is well-typed at all, will depend on the types ascribed to the variables `x`, `y`, `z`. In general, then, an expression `exp` will have to be typechecked relative to a *type environment* which maps certain variables to certain types associated with them. This is the purpose of `env`, the second argument to `computeType`. You may access the type associated with the variable `x`, for instance, by calling `env.typeOf("x")`.

The definition of the type of an expression (if it has one) is given *compositionally*: that is, it is computed from the types of its subexpressions. This will be reflected in the recursive nature of your implementation of `computeType`: it should compute the type of any subexpressions in order to obtain the type of the whole expression. Here are some hints on how this should work:

1. The types of `NUMs` and `BOOLEANS` are what you think they are.
2. You should assume that each of the infix operations accepts only integer arguments; however, the type of the resulting expression will depend on the infix operation in question.
3. In an application expression  $e_1 e_2$ , the type of  $e_2$  should match the argument type expected by  $e_1$ , and you should think about what the type of the whole expression will be.
4. An expression `if  $e_1$  then  $e_2$  else  $e_3$`  may in principle have any type; however, you should consider what the types of  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  respectively will need to be in order for the whole expression to have type  $t$ .

A final hint on the Java side. To test whether two given type ASTs are equal, you should use the `equals` method from the interface `MH_TYPE`, *not* the `==` operator.

As a rough guideline, the model implementation of `computeType` consists of about 40 lines of Java code.

When you have finished, compile the files `MH_Type_Impl.java`, `MH_Exp_Impl.java` and `MH_Typechecker.java` (in that order), and try executing

```
java MH_Typechecker MH_example.txt
```

Once your typechecker works, this will report that the parse, type conversion and type-check have all been successful. To see what it is doing, look again at `MH_example.txt`. The system is using your code to check that the right hand side of each function definition has the expected type relative to an environment that can be inferred from the types specified in the MH code. (All this is managed by the remaining code in the file `MH_Typechecker.java`.) You should also try out your typechecker on other MH programs — including some that contain type errors to check that your code catches them correctly and supplies appropriate error messages.

**Submission:** Submit your answer to part C, **from a DICE machine**, using the command: `submit inf2a cw1 MH_Typechecker.java`

## Part D: Execution of Micro-Haskell [10 marks]

This part of the practical puts all the pieces together to obtain a full working implementation of Micro-Haskell.

The file `MH_Evaluator.java` contains a rudimentary evaluator for Micro-Haskell. It uses the other parts of the practical to lex, parse and type-check a program, after which, the resulting abstract syntax tree for the program is executed using a small-step operational semantics (as will be covered in Lecture 28). This results in an implementation that's pretty slow compared with a real-world implementation of Haskell,<sup>1</sup> but its purpose is to illustrate how the basic principles of language processing feed into the construction of a compiler/interpreter/evaluator. You are encouraged to take a brief look at the evaluator source code to get a rough idea of how it works (this may become clearer after Lecture 28). You will notice that the code here is relatively short: the bulk of the work has already been done at earlier stages of the language processing pipeline.

---

<sup>1</sup>If you're interested in how to produce a more efficient implementation, take the UG3 course on Compiling Techniques next year.

To use the evaluator, once you have completed the rest of the practical, compile `MH_Evaluator.java` and then run it on the source file of your choice, e.g.:

```
java MH_Evaluator MH_example.txt
```

This will load and typecheck the MH program, and display a prompt `MH>`. Type in an expression you would like to evaluate, and hit return. The expression may involve the functions declared in your MH program. Do this as many times as you like; e.g.:

```
MH> 3+6
...
MH> fib 7
...
MH> gcd 104 (fib 12)
...
```

To quit, hit `CTRL-c`.

This last part of the assignment does not require you to do any further coding. Your solutions to Parts A–C will be combined with the evaluator, and the resulting complete implementation of MH will be marked for the correctness of its behaviour on a test suite of five MH programs (different from those in `MH_example.txt`). However, in order to gain assurance that your solutions to A–C will indeed combine to yield a correct implementation of Micro-Haskell, you should spend a little time testing your complete system on some small MH programs of your own devising (there is a certain amount of fun to be had from this in any case). You should also be sure to test your system on a sample of *incorrect* programs, to ensure that an appropriate error message is raised by the lexer, parser or typechecker as appropriate.

## Support for this assignment

The optional lab sessions in Weeks 5 and 6 will offer support for this assignment: lab demonstrators will be on hand to help you, particularly with any Java programming issues.

You may also post queries to the Piazza forum, but we would ask you to do so rather sparingly: in some previous years, the volume of queries has been overwhelming for staff and students alike! Please respect the following guidelines:

1. Do not post a query to the forum unless you have already spent a significant time (say 40 minutes) trying to answer it yourself — by studying this handout or the lecture slides, by searching the Web for relevant help, etc.

2. Take care not to post any part of an actual solution — not even one line of code!
3. Feel free to answer queries from other students (whilst observing 2). This is much appreciated by staff and will often mean the question gets answered more promptly.
4. Don't post a query without first checking whether the same query has already been posted and answered.<sup>2</sup>
5. Do keep your postings polite and respectful.<sup>3</sup>

## Note on marking

Your submission will be marked by a human marker with the assistance of some autotesting programs that will run your code on various test examples. If your code passes all or most of these tests, the human marker's job will be easy; but if not, the marker may need to inspect your code to assess your level of understanding. It is therefore in your interests to include at least a few comments in your code to show that you know what you are doing (and commenting your code is good practice in any case).

Most critically, please, *please* ensure that your code compiles correctly (in conjunction with the other source files provided) before you submit it! Very few marks will be available for submissions that do not compile.

*John Longley, October 2017*

---

<sup>2</sup>It's in everyone's interests to keep the forum traffic manageable: in previous years, the number of postings was so daunting as to discourage students from scanning them all — they would then post duplicate queries and the problem would snowball.

<sup>3</sup>Even if you are posting anonymously to your classmates, Shay and I can find out who the offenders are if the facility is abused!