

Chest Tube Securing Device

Pre-manufacturing line

Andres Tovar Ph.D, Edwin N. Prieto Ph.D(c). Shantanu Shinde M.Sc(c)

Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis

Table of contents


- 1. Objectives
- 2. 3D printing process
- 3. Plastic Injection Molding
- 4. Numerical Analysis process
- 5. Testing process
- 6. Schedule

INDIANA UNIVERSITY PURDUE UNIVERSITY INDIANAPOLIS

Main objective

Main Goal

The goal of this project is to finalize the prototype for chest tube securing device which can be used to secure the chest tube. to the patient's chest wall without the need of sutures.



Specific Objectives

• Evaluation of the clinical benefits of the prototype.

Specific Objectives

- Evaluation of the clinical benefits of the prototype.
- Definition and testing of the Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP).

Specific Objectives

- Evaluation of the clinical benefits of the prototype.
- Definition and testing of the Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP).
- Three material combinations per CTSD part (retainer, base, and receptacle) will be produced.

Specific Objectives

- Evaluation of the clinical benefits of the prototype.
- Definition and testing of the Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP).
- Three material combinations per CTSD part (retainer, base, and receptacle) will be produced.
- 100 pre-production prototypes.

Engineering targets

Top part

- Flexibility for opening the snaps.
- Not exceed the maximum elastic zone.

Bottom part

The adherence with the tape.

Flexible part

- · Adherence.
- Maximum friction.



Engineering targets

Whole part

- · The product life (fatigue).
- The proper snap-fit.




Figure 1: Half-section view complete CTSD

According to the design requirements, perform the comparison between 3D printing and plastic injection, in terms of mechanical strength, manufacturing viability, price.

Research Plan

• Evaluation of the 3D printing process.

According to the design requirements, perform the comparison between 3D printing and plastic injection, in terms of mechanical strength, manufacturing viability, price.

Research Plan

- · Evaluation of the 3D printing process.
- Evaluation of the Plastic injection process.

According to the design requirements, perform the comparison between 3D printing and plastic injection, in terms of mechanical strength, manufacturing viability, price.

6/17

Research Plan

- Evaluation of the 3D printing process.
- Evaluation of the Plastic injection process.
- · Numerical analysis process.

According to the design requirements, perform the comparison between 3D printing and plastic injection, in terms of mechanical strength, manufacturing viability, price.

Research Plan

- · Evaluation of the 3D printing process.
- Evaluation of the Plastic injection process.
- · Numerical analysis process.

INDIANA UNIVERSITY PURDUE UNIVERSITY INDIANAPOLIS

· Testing protocol.

3D printing process

Design of experiments (Part I)

		Top part					
		ABS	PC/ABS	Nylon	PC	PLA	SLA
Bottom part	ABS	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	/
	PC/ABS	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	/
	Nylon	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	/
	PC	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	/
Bot	PLA	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	/
	SLA	✓	✓	✓	/	/	/

Table 1: Design of Experiments for 3D printing.

Design of experiments (Part I)

		Top part					
		ABS	PC/ABS	Nylon	PC	PLA	SLA
	ABS	~	~	~	\	~	
part	PC/ABS		~	~)	~	~
1	Nylon	~	~ \	~)	~	~
Bottom	PC	~	~	~ \	\	~	~
Bot	PLA		~	~	~ \	~	
	SLA	~	~	~	~	~) ~

Table 2: Full factorial DOE for 3D printing.

Design of experiments (Part I)

		Top part					
		ABS	PC/ABS	Nylon	PC	PLA	SLA
art	ABS	✓	✓	✓	✓	/	
	PC/ABS		~	~	/	✓	
n p	Nylon			✓	✓	✓	
Bottom part	PC				✓	✓	
	PLA					✓	
	SLA						/

Table 3: Total number of combinations.

Flexible part

Flexible Materials	Printing Method
TPE	FDM
TPU	FDM
Flexible	SLA



Table 4: Flexible combinations.

Figure 2: Receptacle CAD

10/17

Total number of experiments

ID	No.
Top - Base	16
Flexible	3
Total	48

Table 5: Total Number of experiments



Figure 3: Render of the CTSD

11/17

What do we want to figure out?

Manufacturability

- Printing quality.
- · Time.
- Printing complexity.

Mechanical properties

- Bonding force.
- Sliding force.
- · Snapping.





Figure 4: Dual material parts render.

INDIANA UNIVERSITY PURDUE UNIVERSITY INDIANAPOLIS


Plastic Injection Molding

Design mold process



13/17

Methodology





Numerical Analysis process

Finite Element Analysis

Purpose I

- · To determine max stresses.
- To determine the product life (fatigue).
- To make structural optimization.

Purpose II

- · CTSD experiments.
- · To avoid mold trials.




Figure 5: Explicit Simulation CTSD

Testing process

Sliding force



Figure 6: Sliding force testing.





Figure 7: Force Displacement curvature

Schedule

Schedule





Questions?