

# Time-dependent variational principles: application to quantum dynamics

Student of group 515  
Paramonov Nikita

April 10, 2018

# How do we calculate quantum dynamics?

Time-dependent Schrödinger equation:

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} = \hat{H}\Phi$$

Let's solve it formally:

$$\Phi(t) = \Phi(0) \exp\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{H}t\right) \Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle \Phi(t) | \Phi(t) \rangle = 0$$

We can use propagator to calculate dynamics of wave function — **Split operator technique**.

## Pros

- accurate ( $\Delta t^3$ )

## Cons

- slow

Is there any other way to estimate accurate quantum dynamics?

# Time-dependent variational principleS(!)

Time-dependent variational principle (TDVP):

$$\delta S = \delta \left( \int_0^t W d\tilde{t} \right) = 0, \quad W = \frac{\langle \Phi | \hat{H} - i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} | \Phi \rangle}{\langle \Phi | \Phi \rangle}$$

If  $\|W\| < \infty \forall t$  and  $\delta S = 0 \Rightarrow$  McLachlan's variational principle:

$$\delta \left( \frac{\langle \Phi | \hat{H} - i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} | \Phi \rangle}{\langle \Phi | \Phi \rangle} \right) = 0$$

If  $\delta W = 0$  and  $\delta \langle \Phi | \Phi \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow$  Dirac-Frenkel variational principle:

$$\left\langle \delta \Phi \left| \hat{H} - i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right| \Phi \right\rangle = 0$$

# Wave function ansatz

Basis  $\{\phi_k(\vec{R}|\lambda_{k1}, \dots, \lambda_{kM})\}_{k=1}^N$ :

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N C_k \phi_k$$

$$|\delta\Psi\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \delta C_k \phi_k + C_k \sum_{l=1}^M \frac{\partial \phi_k}{\partial \lambda_{kl}} \delta \lambda_{kl} \right)$$

$$\delta C_m^* \sum_{k=1}^N \left\langle \phi_m \left| \hat{H} - i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right| C_k \phi_k \right\rangle = 0$$

$$\delta \lambda_{mj} \sum_{k=1}^N C_m^* \left\langle \frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial \lambda_{mj}} \left| \hat{H} - i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right| C_k \phi_k \right\rangle = 0$$

# Equations of motion

$$\dot{\vec{C}}_n = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_{k,m=1}^N \mathbb{S}_{nm}^{-1} (\mathbb{H}_{mk} - i\hbar \tau_{mk}) \vec{C}_k - \text{vector of coefficients}$$

$$\dot{\lambda}_{kl} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_{m=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^M (\mathbb{X}^{-1})_{km}^{lj} \mathbb{Y}_m^j - \text{matrix of parameters}$$

$$\mathbb{S}_{mk} = \langle \phi_m | \phi_k \rangle, \quad \mathbb{H}_{mk} = \langle \phi_m | \hat{H} | \phi_k \rangle, \quad \tau_{mk} = \sum_{l=1}^M \left\langle \phi_m \left| \frac{\partial \phi_k}{\partial \lambda_{kl}} \right. \right\rangle \dot{\lambda}_{kl}$$

$$\mathbb{X}_{km}^{lj} = \rho_{km} \left( \mathbb{S}_{km}^{(lj)} - (\mathbb{S}^{(l0)} \mathbb{S}^{-1} \mathbb{S}^{(0j)})_{km} \right), \quad \mathbb{Y}_m^j = \sum_{n=1}^N \rho_{mn} \left( \mathbb{H}_{mn}^{(j0)} - (\mathbb{S}^{(j0)} \mathbb{S}^{-1} \mathbb{H})_{mn} \right)$$

$$\rho_{mn} = C_m^* C_n, \quad \mathbb{H}_{mn}^{(j0)} = \left\langle \frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial \lambda_{mj}} \left| \hat{H} \right| \phi_n \right\rangle$$

$$\mathbb{S}_{mn}^{(j0)} = \left\langle \frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial \lambda_{mj}} \left| \phi_n \right. \right\rangle, \quad \mathbb{S}_{km}^{(0j)} = \left\langle \phi_k \left| \frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial \lambda_{mj}} \right. \right\rangle, \quad \mathbb{S}_{km}^{(lj)} = \left\langle \frac{\partial \phi_k}{\partial \lambda_{kl}} \left| \frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial \lambda_{mj}} \right. \right\rangle$$

# What about laws of conservation?

Conservation of norm:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle = \sum_{k,m=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (c_k^* c_m \langle \phi_k | \phi_m \rangle) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{C}^\dagger \mathbb{S} \vec{C} = \dot{\vec{C}}^\dagger \mathbb{S} \vec{C} + \vec{C}^\dagger \mathbb{S} \dot{\vec{C}} + \vec{C}^\dagger \dot{\mathbb{S}} \vec{C} = 0$$

$$\vec{C}^\dagger \mathbb{S} \dot{\vec{C}} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{C}^\dagger (\mathbb{H} - i\hbar\boldsymbol{\tau}) \vec{C},$$

$$\dot{\vec{C}}^\dagger \mathbb{S} \vec{C} = \left( -\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathbb{S}^{-1} (\mathbb{H} - i\hbar\boldsymbol{\tau}) \vec{C} \right)^\dagger \mathbb{S} \vec{C} = \frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{C}^\dagger (\mathbb{H} + i\hbar\boldsymbol{\tau}^\dagger) \vec{C}$$

$$\dot{\vec{C}}^\dagger \mathbb{S} \vec{C} + \vec{C}^\dagger \mathbb{S} \dot{\vec{C}} = -\vec{C}^\dagger (\boldsymbol{\tau} + \boldsymbol{\tau}^\dagger) \vec{C} = -\vec{C}^\dagger \dot{\mathbb{S}} \vec{C} \Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle = 0$$

# What about laws of conservation?

Conservation of energy:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\langle \Psi | \hat{H} | \Psi \rangle}{\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle} &= \frac{1}{\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sum_{k,m=1}^N C_k^* C_m \langle \phi_k | \hat{H} | \phi_m \rangle = \\ &= \frac{1}{\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{C}^\dagger \mathbb{H} \vec{C} = \frac{\dot{\vec{C}}^\dagger \mathbb{H} \vec{C} + \vec{C}^\dagger \mathbb{H} \dot{\vec{C}} + \vec{C}^\dagger \dot{\mathbb{H}} \vec{C} \text{ (?)}}{\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle} \stackrel{?}{=} 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{C}^\dagger \mathbb{H} \dot{\vec{C}} + \dot{\vec{C}}^\dagger \mathbb{H} \vec{C} + \vec{C}^\dagger \dot{\mathbb{H}} \vec{C} &= \vec{C}^\dagger (\dot{\mathbb{H}} - \mathbb{H} \mathbb{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\tau} - \boldsymbol{\tau}^\dagger \mathbb{S}^{-1} \mathbb{H}) \vec{C} \\ (\dot{\mathbb{H}} - \mathbb{H} \mathbb{S}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\tau} - \boldsymbol{\tau}^\dagger \mathbb{S}^{-1} \mathbb{H})_{mk} &= \\ = \sum_{l=1}^M \left\langle \phi_m \left| \hat{H}(\hat{1} - \hat{P}) \left| \frac{\partial \phi_k}{\partial \lambda_{kl}} \right. \right. \right\rangle \dot{\lambda}_{kl} + \left\langle \frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial \lambda_{ml}} \left| (\hat{1} - \hat{P}) \hat{H} \right| \phi_k \right\rangle \dot{\lambda}_{ml}^* &\neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

# Any other drawbacks?

H-matrix:

$$\langle \phi_m | \hat{H} | \phi_k \rangle = \left\langle \phi_m \left| -\frac{\hbar^2}{2M} \Delta \right| \phi_k \right\rangle + \langle \phi_m | V(\vec{R}) | \phi_k \rangle$$

To calculate  $\langle \phi_m | V | \phi_k \rangle$  we need some knowledge about potential energy surface!

Solution: harmonic approximation

Let's expand potential energy:

$$\begin{aligned} V(\vec{R}) = & V(\vec{R}_0) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^M \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial R_{\alpha}} \right) \Big|_{R_{\alpha}=R_{\alpha 0}} (R_{\alpha} - R_{\alpha 0}) + \\ & + \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^M \left( \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial R_{\alpha} \partial R_{\beta}} \right) \Big|_{\substack{R_{\alpha}=R_{\alpha 0} \\ R_{\beta}=R_{\beta 0}}} (R_{\alpha} - R_{\alpha 0})(R_{\beta} - R_{\beta 0}) + \dots \end{aligned}$$



# Basis set

Frozen width gaussian wave packets:

$$|g_k\rangle = \exp\left(\sum_{\alpha}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\omega R_{\alpha}^2 + \xi_{k\alpha}R_{\alpha} + \eta_{k\alpha}\right]\right)$$

$$\xi_{k\alpha} = \omega q_{k\alpha} + p_{k\alpha}, \quad \eta_{k\alpha} = \frac{1}{4}\left(\ln\left[\frac{\omega}{\pi}\right] - 2\omega q_{k\alpha}^2\right) - iq_{k\alpha}p_{k\alpha}$$

$$|g_k\rangle = \left(\frac{\omega}{\pi}\right)^{\alpha/4} \exp\left(\sum_{\alpha}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\omega(R_{\alpha} - q_{k\alpha})^2 + ip_{k\alpha}(R_{\alpha} - q_{k\alpha})\right]\right)$$

$$\langle g_k | g_k \rangle = 1, \quad \langle g_k | R_{\alpha} | g_k \rangle = q_{k\alpha}, \quad \left\langle g_k \left| -i \frac{\partial}{\partial R_{\alpha}} \right| g_k \right\rangle = p_{k\alpha}$$

Trick of gaussian wave packets:

$$\dot{q}_{k\alpha} = \text{Re}(\dot{\xi}_{k\alpha})/\omega, \quad \dot{p}_{k\alpha} = \text{Im}(\dot{\xi}_{k\alpha}) - \text{we need only quarter of } \dot{\lambda} \text{ matrix}$$

# Initial conditions

## Nuclear eigenstates:

$$\mathbb{H}\vec{C}_k = E_k\mathbb{S}\vec{C}_k$$

General eigenvalue problem — several ways to solve it:

- 1 "symmetric"
  - ▶ Lowdin orthogonalization
  - ▶  $\mathbb{S}$ -matrix decomposition
- 2 "nonsymmetric"

# "Symmetric" methods

## Lowdin orthogonalization:

$\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{U} \mathbb{S}_d \mathbb{U}^\dagger$  —  $\mathbb{S}_d$  is diagonal,  $\mathbb{U}$  is unitary

$$\left( \mathbb{S}_d^{-1/2} \mathbb{U}^\dagger \mathbb{H} \mathbb{U} \mathbb{S}_d^{-1/2} \right) \left( \mathbb{S}_d^{1/2} \mathbb{U}^\dagger \vec{C}_k \right) = E_k \left( \mathbb{S}_d^{1/2} \mathbb{U}^\dagger \vec{C}_k \right)$$

$$\mathbb{H}' \vec{C}'_k = E_k \vec{C}'_k$$

## $\mathbb{S}$ -matrix decomposition (Cholesky):

$\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{L} \mathbb{L}^\dagger$  —  $\mathbb{L}$  is lower triangular

$$\left( \mathbb{L}^{-1} \mathbb{H} (\mathbb{L}^\dagger)^{-1} \right) \left( \mathbb{L}^\dagger \vec{C}_k \right) = E_k \left( \mathbb{L}^\dagger \vec{C}_k \right)$$

$$\mathbb{H}'' \vec{C}''_k = E_k \vec{C}''_k$$

# "Nonsymmetric" method

## S-matrix inversion

$S = U \Sigma V^\dagger$  — singular value decomposition

$\Sigma$  — diagonal matrix of singular values (eigenvalues of  $S S^\dagger$  and  $S^\dagger S$ ),

$U$  — unitary matrix of left-singular vectors (eigenvectors of  $S S^\dagger$ ),

$V$  — unitary matrix of right-singular vectors (eigenvectors of  $S^\dagger S$ ).

$$S^{-1} = V \Sigma^{-1} U^\dagger, \quad (S^{-1} \mathbb{H}) \vec{C}_k = E_k \vec{C}_k$$

## Types of singular values decompositions:

- 1 Full SVD — consider all singular values
- 2 Truncated SVD — consider all singular values greater than  $\varepsilon$ , all other assume equal to zero
- 3 Regularized SVD — consider all singular values with certain weights  $s'_i = w_i s_i$ :  
 $w_i = (s_i^2 + \varepsilon^2) / s_i^2$  or  $w_i = 1 + \varepsilon \cdot \exp(-\varepsilon s_i) / s_i$ , where  $\varepsilon$  is small