



**Hewlett Packard
Enterprise**



Technical Feasability of an Incentivized Blockchain-Based Deposit-Refund System

Bachelor Thesis

for the

Bachelor of Science

in Applied Computer Science

at Baden-Wuerttemberg Cooperative State University Stuttgart

by

Niklas Sauer

September 3rd, 2017

Time of Project

12 Weeks

Student ID, Class

2677254, STG-TINF15A

Company

Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Location

Böblingen, Germany

Supervisor

Ralph Beckmann

Reviewer

Wolfgang Weyand

Manager

Ricardo Fernandez Diaz

Declaration of Authorship

Hereby I solemnly declare:

1. that this Bachelor Thesis, titled *Technical Feasability of an Incentivized Blockchain-Based Deposit-Refund System* is entirely the product of my own scholarly work, unless otherwise indicated in the text or references, or acknowledged below;
2. I have indicated the thoughts adopted directly or indirectly from other sources at the appropriate places within the document;
3. this Bachelor Thesis has not been submitted either in whole or part, for a degree at this or any other university or institution;
4. I have not published this Bachelor Thesis in the past;
5. the printed version is equivalent to the submitted electronic one.

I am aware that a dishonest declaration will entail legal consequences.

Stuttgart, September 3rd, 2017

Niklas Sauer

Abstract

Contents

Acronyms	V
List of Figures	VI
List of Tables	VII
Listings	VIII
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Motivation	1
1.2 Goals and Scope of Tasks	2
1.3 Research Design	2
1.4 Thesis Overview	3
2 Theoretical Framework	4
2.1 Deposit-Refund Systems for Bottled Beverages	4
2.2 Decentralized Applications	4
2.2.1 Architecture and Components	4
2.2.2 Platforms	4
2.3 Web Service Metrics	4
3 Concept	5
3.1 Solution Overview	5
3.2 Architecture	5
3.2.1 Client-Server Model	5
3.2.2 Decentralized Model	5
3.3 Comparison Framework	5
4 Implementation	6
4.1 Client-Server Model	6
4.2 Decentralized Model	6
5 Comparison	7
6 Conclusion and Discussion	8
7 Summary	9
8 Outlook	10
8.1 Enhancements and Additions	10
8.2 Adoption and Scalability	10

8.3 Additional Fields of Application	10
Bibliography	11

Acronyms

HPE Hewlett Packard Enterprise

List of Figures

List of Tables

1.1	MacBook Pro (Retina, 15-inch, Mid 2015) Technical Specifications [1]	. . .	3
-----	--	-------	---

Listings

1 Introduction

1.1 Motivation

Compared to glass, plastic or aluminum packaging represents a lightweight and durable alternative. The impact of lightweight materials on shipping costs is non-negligible and has therefore been leveraged in the beverage industry for the past 30 years. Simultaneously, the quote of reusable bottles (Mehrwegflasche) has steadily fallen (from 72% in 1991 [5, § 9 Abs. 2] to 45,1% in 2014 [3]), which prompted German lawmakers to introduce a system of returnable one-way bottles (Einwegflasche) in 2003 on which a deposit is payable [5, § 9 Abs. 2].

Contrary to expectations [5, § 8], this regulation has not stopped the influx of one-way bottles but has rather benefitted bottlers. Whenever consumers pollute by leaving behind one-way bottles, an instant 25 cent profit – assuming that no one else has returned them – is generated for the producer. This passive profit was estimated to have reached up to 175M€ in 2015 alone [4].

Ideally, this pollution of the environment should be punished by splitting non-claimed deposits of one-way bottles between environmental agencies and those consumers who regularly purchase reusable bottles, which save more resources. As a further consequence, those consumers who repeatedly neglect to return their one-ways should be required to pay a higher deposit. Such a revised approach can hopefully maximize the number of returned one-way bottles and effectively steer users towards reusable ones. Otherwise, a further decline may be inevitable and is shown to have had a direct negative impact on global warming, in addition to the excess amount of waste produced hereof [2].

When considered on a case-by-case basis, this problem inherently deals with deposits of very low extrinsic value. Therefore, implementing the proposed approach by utilizing smart-contracts and micro-transactions on the Blockchain may come to mind upon choosing the underlying architecture. But can this approach withstand traditional methods; is this endeavour perhaps more desirable?

1.2 Goals and Scope of Tasks

Since no research on the feasibility of this approach has been undertaken for an application as specific as this one, the study focuses on both a Blockchain implementation as well as that of a traditional client-server model. The objective of the research is to provide a technological recommendation to supporters of an incentivized deposit-refund system and guide Hewlett Packard Enterprise (HPE) and interested parties alike in developing decentralized applications on a general basis. The results are relevant as they reduce the go-to-market time of the previously outlined solution to stop the influx of one-way bottles, which in turn reduces pollution and warming of the earth (comp. 1.1), a serious concern to today's society. Moreover, HPE can offer more profound Blockchain-related consulting services through the insights gained.

It shall be explicitly noted that the study does not cover analyzing the effectiveness of employing such a system or verifying whether this represents the best possible approach. Similarly, the legal framework and ethical questions pertaining to its usage are disregarded.

1.3 Research Design

The main research question is of comparative nature and can be formulated as following:

"What are the differences and similarities between a Blockchain-based implementation of an incentivized deposit-refund system and that of a traditional client-server model approach?"

To limit the variables and length of discussion, a comparison framework is defined based upon the most important metrics inherent to web services as presented in literature. Additionally, solution specific characteristics such as the prevention of counterfeit claims are considered. Further, both implementations revolve around the same, limited feature set specified later.

All performance tests (*benchmarking*) are carried out on the following machine:

CPU	2.5 GHz Intel Core i7
GPU	AMD Radeon R9 M370X 2048 MB
Memory	16 GB 1600 MHz DDR3 RAM
Storage	500 GB SSD

Table 1.1: MacBook Pro (Retina, 15-inch, Mid 2015) Technical Specifications [1]

1.4 Thesis Overview

Chapter 2 reviews the relevant literature and is intended to answer all descriptive research questions that help define the project variables. The key concepts are then applied in chapter 3 as part of the architectural overview derived from the functional requirements of an incentivized deposit-refund system given earlier in the chapter. At the same time, the criteria to compare both implementations are determined. Chapter 4 then describes the procedure to implement each solution, after which the actual comparison may take place in chapter 5. Chapter 6 uses the results to draw a conclusion, discuss probable alternatives, as well as any limitations encountered during the study. Finally, chapter 7 summarizes the thesis’s goals, methodology and results and is followed by chapter 8 to highlight interesting related research opportunities.

2 Theoretical Framework

2.1 Deposit-Refund Systems for Bottled Beverages

2.2 Decentralized Applications

2.2.1 Architecture and Components

2.2.2 Platforms

2.3 Web Service Metrics

3 Concept

3.1 Solution Overview

3.2 Architecture

3.2.1 Client-Server Model

3.2.2 Decentralized Model

Assumptions

3.3 Comparison Framework

4 Implementation

4.1 Client-Server Model

4.2 Decentralized Model

5 Comparison

6 Conclusion and Discussion

7 Summary

8 Outlook

8.1 Enhancements and Additions

8.2 Adoption and Scalability

8.3 Additional Fields of Application

Bibliography

- [1] June 2018. URL: https://support.apple.com/kb/SP719?viewlocale=en_US&locale=de_DE.
- [2] URL: <https://www.duh.de/mehrweg-klimaschutz0/einweg-plastikflaschen/>.
- [3] *Abfüllung von Getränken in Mehrweg- und ökologisch vorteilhaften Einweggetränkeverpackungen*. Umwelt Bundesamt, 2014.
- [4] *Mehrweg- und Recyclingsystem für ausgewählte Getränkeverpackungen aus Nachhaltigkeitssicht*. PWC, 2011.
- [5] *VerpackV*. 2001.