Thesis proposal

Nil Adell Mill January 2020

Institute of Neuroinformatics, ETH Zurich & Zurich University

1 Introduction & Background

2 Drug discovery—the process by which a potential new medicine is identified—is a complex process that

- 3 encompasses the intersection of several fields (such as biology, statistics, chemistry or pharmacology). The
- entire process is a long and costly endeavor, with a typical time-frame of 10 to 20 years till market release and
- 5 an estimated cost between 2 and 3 billion USD [Schneider, 2019, Scannell et al., 2012], with only 1 out of
- 6 10000 synthesized molecules obtaining market approval. Many of these dropouts happening at the early stages
- of the entire process. Subsequently, there is a need for tools that enable us to identify better drug candidates.
- 8 One of the most promising directions is to improve the *in-silico* methods; which are relatively cheap and quick,
- 9 making them an interesting solution. *In-silico* simulations then cover two main aspects: **predictive modelling**,
- meaning modeling the dynamics of the human body—such that any effect relevant to the drug or the disease
- will be captured by it—and **generative modelling**, i.e. methods to generate good candidates which, in part,
- means methods that are effective at exploring the vast space of possible compounds, estimated to be on the
- order of 10^{60} [Reymond et al., 2012]. Several computational approaches have been used over the years, from
- molecular dynamics simulations to data-driven statistical methods [Hung and Chen, 2014, Kuhn et al., 2016].
- 15 Recently deep learning (DL) has shown signs to be a potential game-changer [Dargan et al., 2019].
- DL has been able to capitalize on the exponential growth of data and the higher availability of computational resources. DL has had remarkable success in computer vision (CV) [Guo et al., 2016] and natural language
- processing (NLP) [Young et al., 2018], and has become the go-to solution for any problem in these two fields.
- 19 For instance, in the case of CV, where we deal with images, a key element of any architecture's success
- 20 was the use of convolutional layers—one will mostly observe convolutional neural networks (CNNs) when
- 21 analyzing the state of the art in CV—which introduces a structural a prior based on the structure of the data
- 22 [Fukushima, 1980, LeCun, 1989, Ulyanov D., Vedaldi A., 2018]. A similar approach can be proposed when
- 23 we deal with biological and molecular data by leveraging their graph representations. Efforts in generalizing
- the convolution operator on non-euclidian structures have given rise to graph convolutional neural networks
- 25 (GCNNs) [Wu et al., 2019]. GCNNs, then, pose an opportunity to drug discovery due to their capacity to deal
- 26 natively with graph data [Sun et al., 2019].
- 27 [NOTE: I feel like there's a narrative transition missing here (from the previous paragraph's argument to this)]
- 28 Another of the big challenges for *in-silico* methods is to unify all the aspects of drug-discovery and be able to
- 29 incorporate all the relevant biological information when designing possible candidate molecules. An initial
- 30 success story on that line is a recent paper [Zhavoronkov et al., 2019] where the authors describe a deep
- learning method by which they are able to discover, synthesize, and test in an animal model, inhibitors of
- 32 discoidin domain receptor 1 (DDR1)—a kinase implicated in fibrosis—in less than two months.
- Those promising results, albeit encouraging, are just the tip of the iceberg. There is still a long way until
- a model can satisfactorily capture the biological complexity of an arbitrary target and produce promising
- 35 candidates. On top of that, there is an added dimension, as such model should account for the variability
- 36 from patient to patient and be able to generate a molecule that accommodates for all the genotypic and
- phenotypic variants, or otherwise generate different candidates for each of the populations of interest. That is
- 38 especially important for hypercomplex diseases. For example in cancer, where a genotypically heterogeneous
- tumoral population may appear within a single patient [Boland and Yurgelun, 2017]. Meaning, diverse tumor

- subpopulations in a single patient may react distinctly to a drug, leading to an evolutionary pressure that can complicate the treatment outlook [Enriquez-Navas et al., 2015].
- There is then a great need to develop models that can be conditioned based on a large set of biological factors,
- and meaningfully account for these when generating a compound or evaluating a compound's effect. In other
- words, there is a need to adopt precision medicine methods.
- 45 Last of all, in a more holistic view, it is of interest to develop multi-scale models that integrate a system's
- 46 complexity at all different levels. For instance, a model that can learn protein-compound interactions—
- 47 commonly known as the docking problem—while at the same time use this information to predict effects of
- the introduction of the compound on the larger protein-protein interaction (PPI) network [Sun et al., 2019].
- This thesis will aim at approaching the mentioned problems.

50 Aim & Methods

- The aim of this thesis will be two-fold. One the one side, analyze how the explicit use of GCNNs may open new opportunities when dealing with biological and chemical data. On the other side, explore how modeling the biology at different scales (e.g. molecular structure v.s. molecular interaction network) may help create better models and, additionally, evaluate how these different scales may be integrated.
- This precise work will be focused on exploring all these concepts in the context of designing anti-cancer drugs.
 The work will be done in collaboration with the Computational Systems Biology group at IBM Research (Zurich), currently part of the iPC consortium¹. As part of such, an end goal of this project is for its results to help in the consortium efforts on pediatric cancer. For instance in contributing to the ongoing research in neuroblastoma, the most common type of cancer diagnosed on the first year of life [Maris, 2010].
- As mentioned previously, the idea of using GCNNs for drug discovery is not a new one in the literature [Sun et al., 2019]. My project will build upon those ideas presented in the literature, expand them, and test their feasibility by implementing them into a wider framework for drug design [Born et al., 2019]. In that context, two main areas of application appear. One of them is to re-design the generative model, for instance by reframing the variational autoencoders—used for molecule generation—to architectures that operate over graphs [Simonovsky and Komodakis, 2018, Li et al., 2018a, Li et al., 2018b].
- The second area is to find better ways to asses the activity of these molecules, and, in a wider context, assess their relevance as drug candidates (i.e. improve the predictive model). In the specific case of the mentioned 67 framework, it is done by using a critic network [Manica et al., 2019], which can be expanded on a set of different fronts. Those would be: using structural data instead of SMILES² [Li et al., 2017, Do et al., 2019], by using GCNNs on the PPI networks in a manner that allows for a more effective way to extract the information in them [Oskooei et al., 2019, Wang et al., 2019], or by introducing particular scores (rewards) based in 71 the interaction of the compound to certain targets [Yingkai Gao et al., 2018, Zhavoronkov et al., 2019] or the combination of the compound with other drugs (a common practice in patients with cancer) [Zitnik et al., 2018]. All these possible changes to the critic model would apply at different abstraction levels. That opens 74 the door to seek for ways to integrate the representations learnt at those different levels [Ying et al., 2018, 75 Ma and Zhang, 2019, Huang et al., 2019]. On top of that, information extracted from here could be then 76 leveraged on the drug generation part of the framework. 77

Data & Baselines

- The data from this project will be obtained from multiple sources including, but not limited to, the Genomics of Drug Sensitivity in Cancer (GDSC) databse [Yang et al., 2013], STRING and STICH, [Szklarczyk et al., 2019, Szklarczyk et al., 2016], DrugBank [Wishart, 2006], ChEMBL [Gaulton et al., 2017], PubChem [Kim et al., 2019] and ZINC 15 [Sterling and Irwin, 2015]. For the development of the models PyTorch [Paszke et al., 2019] will be used as the main framework, most likely alongside DeepChem³.
- The novel predictive model will be evaluated against empirically measured properties of drugs in the mentioned data sources. Furthermore, the model's performance will be compared to that of other models in the literature. A

¹https://ipc-project.eu/

²http://opensmiles.org/

³https://deepchem.io/

specific example of that would be to compare IC50 prediction values for cell-drug pairs (unseen during training) to the empirically measured values from the data sources, as done in [Oskooei et al., 2019, Joo et al., 2019, Oskooei et al., 2018]. Another prospective set of benchmarks to be used are those proposed by MoleculeNet [Wu et al., 2018].

For the evaluation of generative models, previous work [Theis et al., 2016] has discussed how different metrics measure different conceptions of performance. In order to deal with different considerations, such as diversity, novelty, molecular activity, or stability, metrics like the Fréchet ChemNet Distance (FCD) [Preuer et al., 2018] have been proposed. [NOTE: Kinda shitty transition, should I put the lines before this note in [1!]?] In this 94 project one of the metrics for the generative model will come from the predictive model, which will be used to 95 evaluate the effectiveness of the generated compounds. At the same time, another metric for the generative model will be the similarity of the generated compounds to already existing drugs. An approach that has 97 already been used in the literature [Born et al., 2019]. [1!] Moreover, a wider benchmarking framework for generative models, GucaMol, was proposed recently [Brown et al., 2019], and it incorporates elements like the mentioned FCD score, so it will be explored as a benchmark for this project. On top of all these metrics, a natural final step for the entire evaluation of the system would be the actual in-vitro synthesis of the generated 101 compounds, as done in [Zhavoronkov et al., 2019]. However, the complexity of carrying out that evaluation 102 will likely make it far too ambitious for what the scope of this project is. 103

References

- [Boland and Yurgelun, 2017] Boland, C. R. and Yurgelun, M. B. (2017). Mutational cascades in cancer.
- [Born et al., 2019] Born, J., Manica, M., Oskooei, A., Cadow, J., and Martínez, M. R. (2019). PaccMann^RL: Designing anticancer drugs from transcriptomic data via reinforcement learning.
- [Brown et al., 2019] Brown, N., Fiscato, M., Segler, M. H., and Vaucher, A. C. (2019). GuacaMol: Benchmarking Models for de Novo Molecular Design. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling*, 59(3):1096–1108.
- [Dargan et al., 2019] Dargan, S., Kumar, M., Ayyagari, M. R., and Kumar, G. (2019). A Survey of Deep Learning and Its Applications: A New Paradigm to Machine Learning. *Archives of Computational Methods* in Engineering.
- [Do et al., 2019] Do, K., Tran, T., and Venkatesh, S. (2019). Graph transformation policy network for chemical reaction prediction. In *Proceedings of the ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, pages 750–760.
- [Enriquez-Navas et al., 2015] Enriquez-Navas, P. M., Wojtkowiak, J. W., and Gatenby, R. A. (2015). Application of evolutionary principles to cancer therapy.
- [Fukushima, 1980] Fukushima, K. (1980). Neocognitron: A self-organizing neural network model for a mechanism of pattern recognition unaffected by shift in position. *Biological Cybernetics*, 36(4):193–202.
- [Gaulton et al., 2017] Gaulton, A., Hersey, A., Nowotka, M. L., Patricia Bento, A., Chambers, J., Mendez,
 D., Mutowo, P., Atkinson, F., Bellis, L. J., Cibrian-Uhalte, E., Davies, M., Dedman, N., Karlsson, A.,
 Magarinos, M. P., Overington, J. P., Papadatos, G., Smit, I., and Leach, A. R. (2017). The ChEMBL
 database in 2017. Nucleic Acids Research, 45(D1):D945–D954.
- [Guo et al., 2016] Guo, Y., Liu, Y., Oerlemans, A., Lao, S., Wu, S., and Lew, M. S. (2016). Deep learning for visual understanding: A review. *Neurocomputing*, 187:27–48.
- Huang et al., 2019] Huang, J., Li, Z., Li, N., Liu, S., and Li, G. (2019). AttPool: Towards Hierarchical
 Feature Representation in Graph Convolutional Networks via Attention Mechanism. *The IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, pages 6480–6489.
- 130 [Hung and Chen, 2014] Hung, C. L. and Chen, C. C. (2014). Computational approaches for drug discovery.
- [Joo et al., 2019] Joo, M., Park, A., Kim, K., Son, W.-J., Lee, H. S., Lim, G., Lee, J., Lee, D. H., An, J., Kim,
 J. H., Ahn, T., and Nam, S. (2019). A Deep Learning Model for Cell Growth Inhibition IC50 Prediction
 and Its Application for Gastric Cancer Patients. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 20(24):6276.
- [Kim et al., 2019] Kim, S., Chen, J., Cheng, T., Gindulyte, A., He, J., He, S., Li, Q., Shoemaker, B. A., Thiessen, P. A., Yu, B., Zaslavsky, L., Zhang, J., and Bolton, E. E. (2019). PubChem 2019 update: Improved access to chemical data. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 47(D1):D1102–D1109.
- 137 [Kuhn et al., 2016] Kuhn, M., Yates, P., and Hyde, C. (2016). Statistical Methods for Drug Discovery. pages 53–81.

- [LeCun, 1989] LeCun, Y. (1989). Generalization and network design strategies. Technical report.
- [Li et al., 2017] Li, J., Cai, D., and He, X. (2017). Learning Graph-Level Representation for Drug Discovery.
 Technical report.
- [Li et al., 2018a] Li, Y., Vinyals, O., Dyer, C., Pascanu, R., and Battaglia, P. (2018a). Learning Deep Generative Models of Graphs.
- [Li et al., 2018b] Li, Y., Zhang, L., and Liu, Z. (2018b). Multi-objective de novo drug design with conditional graph generative model. *Journal of Cheminformatics*, 10(1).
- [Ma and Zhang, 2019] Ma, T. and Zhang, A. (2019). Incorporating Biological Knowledge with Factor Graph
 Neural Network for Interpretable Deep Learning.
- [Manica et al., 2019] Manica, M., Oskooei, A., Born, J., Subramanian, V., Saéz-Rodríguez, J., and Rodríguez
 Martínez, M. (2019). Toward Explainable Anticancer Compound Sensitivity Prediction via Multimodal
 Attention-Based Convolutional Encoders. Technical report.
- [Maris, 2010] Maris, J. M. (2010). Recent advances in neuroblastoma. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 362(23):2202.
- [Oskooei et al., 2018] Oskooei, A., Born, J., Manica, M., Subramanian, V., Sáez-Rodríguez, J., and Martínez, M. R. (2018). PaccMann: Prediction of anticancer compound sensitivity with multi-modal attention-based neural networks.
- [Oskooei et al., 2019] Oskooei, A., Manica, M., Mathis, R., and Martínez, M. R. (2019). Network-based
 Biased Tree Ensembles (NetBiTE) for Drug Sensitivity Prediction and Drug Sensitivity Biomarker Identification in Cancer. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1).
- [Paszke et al., 2019] Paszke, A., Gross, S., Massa, F., Lerer, A., Bradbury, J., Chanan, G., Killeen, T., Lin,
 Z., Gimelshein, N., Antiga, L., Desmaison, A., Köpf, A., Yang, E., DeVito, Z., Raison, M., Tejani, A.,
 Chilamkurthy, S., Steiner, B., Fang, L., Bai, J., and Chintala, S. (2019). PyTorch: An Imperative Style,
 High-Performance Deep Learning Library.
- [Preuer et al., 2018] Preuer, K., Renz, P., Unterthiner, T., Hochreiter, S., and Klambauer, G. (2018). Fréchet ChemNet Distance: A Metric for Generative Models for Molecules in Drug Discovery. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling*, 58(9):1736–1741.
- [Reymond et al., 2012] Reymond, J. L., Ruddigkeit, L., Blum, L., and van Deursen, R. (2012). The enumeration of chemical space. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Computational Molecular Science*, 2(5):717–733.
- [Scannell et al., 2012] Scannell, J. W., Blanckley, A., Boldon, H., and Warrington, B. (2012). Diagnosing the decline in pharmaceutical R&D efficiency. Technical Report 3.
- [Schneider, 2019] Schneider, G. (2019). Mind and machine in drug design. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 1(3):128–130.
- [Simonovsky and Komodakis, 2018] Simonovsky, M. and Komodakis, N. (2018). GraphVAE: Towards generation of small graphs using variational autoencoders. In *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics*), volume 11139 LNCS, pages 412–422.
- [Sterling and Irwin, 2015] Sterling, T. and Irwin, J. J. (2015). ZINC 15 Ligand Discovery for Everyone. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling*, 55(11):2324–2337.
- [Sun et al., 2019] Sun, M., Zhao, S., Gilvary, C., Elemento, O., Zhou, J., and Wang, F. (2019). Graph convolutional networks for computational drug development and discovery. *Briefings in Bioinformatics*, 2019(0):1–17.
- [Szklarczyk et al., 2019] Szklarczyk, D., Gable, A. L., Lyon, D., Junge, A., Wyder, S., Huerta-Cepas, J.,
 Simonovic, M., Doncheva, N. T., Morris, J. H., Bork, P., Jensen, L. J., and Von Mering, C. (2019). STRING
 v11: Protein-protein association networks with increased coverage, supporting functional discovery in
 genome-wide experimental datasets. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 47(D1):D607–D613.
- [Szklarczyk et al., 2016] Szklarczyk, D., Santos, A., Von Mering, C., Jensen, L. J., Bork, P., and Kuhn, M.
 (2016). STITCH 5: Augmenting protein-chemical interaction networks with tissue and affinity data. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 44(D1):D380–D384.
- [Theis et al., 2016] Theis, L., Van Den Oord, A., and Bethge, M. (2016). A note on the evaluation of
 generative models. In 4th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2016 Conference
 Track Proceedings.

- [Ulyanov D., Vedaldi A., 2018] Ulyanov D., Vedaldi A., L. V. (2018). Deep Image Prior. Technical report.
- [Wang et al., 2019] Wang, M., Yu, L., Zheng, D., Gan, Q., Gai, Y., Ye, Z., Li, M., Zhou, J., Huang, Q., Ma,
 C., Huang, Z., Guo, Q., Zhang, H., Lin, H., Zhao, J., Li, J., Smola, A., and Zhang, Z. (2019). Deep Graph
 Library: Towards Efficient and Scalable Deep Learning on Graphs. Technical report.
- [Wishart, 2006] Wishart, D. S. (2006). DrugBank: a comprehensive resource for in silico drug discovery and
 exploration. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 34(90001):D668–D672.
- [Wu et al., 2019] Wu, Z., Pan, S., Chen, F., Long, G., Zhang, C., and Yu, P. S. (2019). A Comprehensive
 Survey on Graph Neural Networks. Technical report.
- [Wu et al., 2018] Wu, Z., Ramsundar, B., Feinberg, E. N., Gomes, J., Geniesse, C., Pappu, A. S., Leswing,
 K., and Pande, V. (2018). MoleculeNet: A benchmark for molecular machine learning. *Chemical Science*,
 9(2):513–530.
- [Yang et al., 2013] Yang, W., Soares, J., Greninger, P., Edelman, E. J., Lightfoot, H., Forbes, S., Bindal, N.,
 Beare, D., Smith, J. A., Thompson, I. R., Ramaswamy, S., Futreal, P. A., Haber, D. A., Stratton, M. R.,
 Benes, C., McDermott, U., and Garnett, M. J. (2013). Genomics of Drug Sensitivity in Cancer (GDSC): A
 resource for therapeutic biomarker discovery in cancer cells. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 41(D1).
- [Ying et al., 2018] Ying, R., Morris, C., Hamilton, W. L., You, J., Ren, X., and Leskovec, J. (2018). Hierarchical graph representation learning with differentiable pooling. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 2018-Decem, pages 4800–4810.
- [Yingkai Gao et al., 2018] Yingkai Gao, K., Fokoue, A., Luo, H., Iyengar, A., Dey, S., and Zhang, P. (2018).
 Interpretable drug target prediction using deep neural representation. In *IJCAI International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 2018-July, pages 3371–3377.
- [Young et al., 2018] Young, T., Hazarika, D., Poria, S., and Cambria, E. (2018). Recent trends in deep learning based natural language processing.
- Zhavoronkov et al., 2019] Zhavoronkov, A., Ivanenkov, Y. A., Aliper, A., Veselov, M. S., Aladinskiy, V. A.,
 Aladinskaya, A. V., Terentiev, V. A., Polykovskiy, D. A., Kuznetsov, M. D., Asadulaev, A., Volkov, Y.,
 Zholus, A., Shayakhmetov, R. R., Zhebrak, A., Minaeva, L. I., Zagribelnyy, B. A., Lee, L. H., Soll, R.,
 Madge, D., Xing, L., Guo, T., and Aspuru-Guzik, A. (2019). Deep learning enables rapid identification of
 potent DDR1 kinase inhibitors. *Nature Biotechnology*, 37(9):1038–1040.
- [Zitnik et al., 2018] Zitnik, M., Agrawal, M., and Leskovec, J. (2018). Modeling polypharmacy side effects with graph convolutional networks. In *Bioinformatics*, volume 34, pages i457–i466.