
Final Exam for SI211: Numerical Analysis

niladmiran
SIST
ShanghaiTech University

Abstract

This is the solution for Final Exam of SI211: Numerical Analysis, which is taught by Boris Houska.

1 Problem 1

Let

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 & 6 \\ 4 & 10 & 16 \\ 6 & 16 & 28 \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

be a given matrix in $\mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$.

1. Find a lower triangular matrix \mathbf{L} (with 1s on its diagonal) and a diagonal matrix \mathbf{D} such that $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{LDL}^T$.
2. Find a lower triangular matrix \mathbf{L} (with 1s on its diagonal) and an upper triangular matrix \mathbf{R} such that $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{LR}$.

Solution 1. 1. Suppose that

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \ell_{21} & 1 & 0 \\ \ell_{31} & \ell_{32} & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{D} = \begin{bmatrix} d_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{LDL}^T &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \ell_{21} & 1 & 0 \\ \ell_{31} & \ell_{32} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \ell_{21} & \ell_{31} \\ 0 & 1 & \ell_{32} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} d_{11} & \ell_{21}d_{11} & \ell_{31}d_{11} \\ \ell_{21}d_{11} & \ell_{21}^2d_{11} + d_{22} & \ell_{21}\ell_{31}d_{11} + \ell_{32}d_{22} \\ \ell_{31}d_{11} & \ell_{21}\ell_{31}d_{11} + \ell_{32}d_{22} & \ell_{31}^2d_{11} + \ell_{32}^2d_{22} + d_{33} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

compare with the matrix \mathbf{A} , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{11} &= 2, & \ell_{21} &= 2, & \ell_{31} &= 3 \\ d_{22} &= 2, & \ell_{32} &= 2 \\ d_{33} &= 2 \end{aligned}$$

thus,

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{D} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

2. Let

$$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{D}\mathbf{L}^T = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 & 6 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{R}$ is the LU decomposition of \mathbf{A} , by the uniqueness of the LU decomposition, we obtain such \mathbf{L} and \mathbf{R} .

2 Problem 2: Convergence of Newton's Method

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a three times continuously differentiable function with (locally) bounded third derivatives. The first and second derivatives of f are denoted by f' and f'' , respectively. We additionally assume that

1. We have $f(x^*) = 0$ and $f''(x^*) = 0$ at a point $x^* \in \mathbb{R}$, and
2. we have $f'(x^*) \neq 0$.

Prove that the iterates of the exact Newton method, which takes the form

$$x^{k+1} = x^k - \frac{f(x^k)}{f'(x^k)}$$

converges locally with cubic convergence rate, that is

$$|x^{k+1} - x^*| \leq \gamma |x^k - x^*|^3$$

for a constant $\gamma < \infty$.

Solution 2. We expand $f(x^k)$ and $f'(x^k)$ at the point x^* :

$$\begin{aligned} f(x^k) &= f(x^*) + f'(x^*)(x^k - x^*) + \frac{f''(x^*)}{2}(x^k - x^*)^2 + \frac{f^{(3)}(\xi)}{6}(x^k - x^*)^3 \\ &= f'(x^*)(x^k - x^*) + \frac{f^{(3)}(\xi)}{6}(x^k - x^*)^3 \\ f'(x^k) &= f'(x^*) + f''(x^*)(x^k - x^*) + \frac{f^{(3)}(\eta)}{2}(x^k - x^*)^2 \\ &= f'(x^*) + \frac{f^{(3)}(\eta)}{2}(x^k - x^*)^2 \end{aligned}$$

where ξ, η are between x^k and x^* . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} x^{k+1} - x^* &= x^k - x^* - \frac{f'(x^*)(x^k - x^*) + \frac{f^{(3)}(\xi)}{6}(x^k - x^*)^3}{f'(x^*) + \frac{f^{(3)}(\eta)}{2}(x^k - x^*)^2} \\ &= (x^k - x^*) \left(1 - \frac{f'(x^*) + \frac{f^{(3)}(\xi)}{6}(x^k - x^*)^2}{f'(x^*) + \frac{f^{(3)}(\eta)}{2}(x^k - x^*)^2} \right) \\ &= (x^k - x^*)^3 \frac{3f^{(3)}(\eta) - f^{(3)}(\xi)}{6f'(x^*) + 3f^{(3)}(\eta)(x^k - x^*)^2} \end{aligned}$$

since $6f'(x^*) + 3f^{(3)}(\eta)(x^k - x^*)^2 \neq 0$ for x^k sufficiently close to x^* . If we let

$$\gamma = \frac{3f^{(3)}(\eta) - f^{(3)}(\xi)}{6f'(x^*) + 3f^{(3)}(\eta)(x^k - x^*)^2}$$

then

$$|x^{k+1} - x^*| \leq \gamma |x^k - x^*|^3$$

3 Problem 3: Gauss Newton Method

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function with a minimizer $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$ at which we have $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$ and $f(x^*) > 0$. We assume that the Hessian matrix $\nabla^2 f(x^*)$ at x^* is positive definite. Moreover, we introduce the vector-valued function: $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$,

$$g(x) = \begin{bmatrix} f(x) \\ \nabla f(x) \end{bmatrix} \quad (2)$$

1. Prove that the minimizer of $f(x)$ is also a minimizer of the problem

$$\min_x \frac{1}{2} \|g(x)\|^2 \quad (3)$$

2. Explain how to apply a Gauss-Newton method for solving the optimization problem:

$$\min_x \frac{1}{2} \|g(x)\|^2 \quad (4)$$

Discuss the advantages or the disadvantages of Gauss-Newton method for finding the minimizer of f compared to standard Newton method. for solving the equation $\nabla f(x) = 0$ directly.

Solution 3. 1. Suppose that x^* is the minimizer of $f(x)$, that is,

$$f(x^*) = \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x)$$

Now, we need to prove that x^* is also a minimizer of

$$h(x) = \frac{1}{2} \|g(x)\|^2$$

that is, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, h(x^*) \leq h(x)$. Since f is smooth, $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} h(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \|g(x)\|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (f^2(x) + \|\nabla f(x)\|_2^2) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} (f^2(x) + 0) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} (f^2(x^*) + 0) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (f^2(x^*) + \|\nabla f(x^*)\|_2^2) \\ &= h(x^*), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality is because the non-negativity of norm, and the second inequality is because $f(x^*) = \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x) > 0$

2. The Gauss-Newton method takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} x^{k+1} &= x^k - [\nabla g(x^k)^T \nabla g(x^k)]^{-1} \nabla g(x^k)^T g(x^k) \\ &= x^k - \left[\begin{bmatrix} \nabla f(x^k)^T \\ \nabla^2 f(x^k) \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} \nabla f(x^k)^T \\ \nabla^2 f(x^k) \end{bmatrix} \right]^{-1} [\nabla f(x^k), \nabla^2 f(x^k)] \begin{bmatrix} f(x^k) \\ \nabla f(x^k) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= x^k - [\nabla f(x^k) \nabla f(x^k)^T + (\nabla^2 f(x^k))^2]^{-1} [f(x^k) \mathbf{I} + \nabla^2 f(x^k)] \nabla f(x^k) \end{aligned}$$

this is a special type of Newton-type methods, of which $M(x^k)$ takes the form:

$$M(x^k) = [f(x^k) \mathbf{I} + \nabla^2 f(x^k)]^{-1} [\nabla f(x^k) \nabla f(x^k)^T + (\nabla^2 f(x^k))^2]$$

and the update step can be written as

$$x^{k+1} = x^k - [M(x^k)]^{-1} \nabla f(x^k)$$

The disadvantage of this Gauss-Newton method:

- (a) First, it needs to compute the the inverse of a complicated matrix $M(x^k)$ at each iteration, and the matrix $M(x^k)$ becomes ill-conditioned quickly compared to the Hessian matrix.
- (b) Second, since the limit of $M(x^k)$:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M(x^k) = [f(x^*)\mathbf{I} + \nabla^2 f(x^*)]^{-1} (\nabla^2 f(x^*))^2$$

equals to $\nabla^2 f(x^*)$ only if $f(x^*) = 0$, that is, the Gauss-Newton methods with locally quadratic convergence rate only if $f(x^*) = 0$, but $f(x^*) \neq 0$, which means the method is only with locally linearly convergence rate.

4 Problem 4: Equality Constrained Optimization

Let us consider an equality-constrained optimization problem of the form

$$\min_{x,y} \quad y^4 - \frac{x}{2} \quad (5)$$

$$s.t. \quad x + y = 1 \quad (6)$$

with scalar optimization variables $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

1. What is the minimizer (x^*, y^*) of the optimization problem (5)?
2. Let λ^* be the multiplier of the equality constraint of (5). What is the value of λ^* ?
3. Let us consider an exact Newton method of the form $z^{k+1} = z^k - [J(z^k)]^{-1} R(z^k)$ for solving (5). Here,

$$R(z) = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_x(y^4 - \frac{x}{2} + \lambda(x + y - 1)) \\ \nabla_y(y^4 - \frac{x}{2} + \lambda(x + y - 1)) \\ x + y - 1 \end{bmatrix}, z = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} \quad (7)$$

and $J(z^k)$ is the Jacobian matrix of $R(z)$ with respect to z at z^k . $R(z)$ denotes the KKT residuum and the stacked primal-dual iterates, respectively. Because we consider an exact Newton method, we expect locally quadratic convergence of the method. What is the convergence rate

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|z^{k+1} - z^*\|}{\|z^k - z^*\|^2} \quad (8)$$

of the method? You may assume that the method started at a point $x^0 \approx x^*$ in a very small neighborhood of the point $z^* = (x^*, y^*, \lambda^*)$ and $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the Euclidean norm.

Solution 4. 1. The Lagrangian function is given by $L(x, y, \lambda) = y^4 - \frac{x}{2} + \lambda(x + y - 1)$. Write the KKT condition for the problem (5):

$$\begin{cases} \nabla_x L(x, y, \lambda) = \lambda - \frac{1}{2} = 0 \\ \nabla_y L(x, y, \lambda) = 4y^3 + \lambda = 0 \\ \nabla_\lambda L(x, y, \lambda) = x + y - 1 = 0 \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

from which we obtain that $(x^*, y^*, \lambda^*) = (\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$.

2. From (1), we know that $\lambda^* = \frac{1}{2}$.
3. From the definition of $R(z)$, we have

$$R(z) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \\ 4y^3 + \lambda \\ x + y - 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } J(z^k) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 12(y^k)^2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (10)$$

The inverse of $J(z^k)$ is given by

$$[J(z^k)]^{-1} = \frac{1}{12(y^k)^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 12(y^k)^2 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 12(y^k)^2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (11)$$

then,

$$\begin{aligned}
12(y^k)^2 z^{k+1} &= 12(y^k)^2 z^k - 12(y^k)^2 [J(z^k)]^{-1} R(z^k) \\
&= 12(y^k)^2 \begin{bmatrix} x^k \\ y^k \\ \lambda^k \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 12(y^k)^2 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 12(y^k)^2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^k - \frac{1}{2} \\ 4(y^k)^3 + \lambda^k \\ x^k + y^k - 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 12(y^k)^2 - 8(y^k)^3 + \frac{1}{2} \\ 8(y^k)^3 - \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} 12(y^k)^2 \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$x^{k+1} = \frac{12(y^k)^2 - 8(y^k)^3 + \frac{1}{2}}{12(y^k)^2} \quad (12)$$

$$y^{k+1} = \frac{8(y^k)^3 - \frac{1}{2}}{12(y^k)^2} \quad (13)$$

$$\lambda^{k+1} = \frac{1}{2} \quad (14)$$

from the above equations, we also have $x^{k+1} = 1 - y^{k+1}$. Then,

$$z^{k+1} - z^* = \begin{bmatrix} -y^{k+1} - \frac{1}{2} \\ y^{k+1} + \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (15)$$

which implies that

$$\|z^{k+1} - z^*\| = 2\|y^{k+1} + \frac{1}{2}\|, \forall k \geq 0 \quad (16)$$

on the other hands, we expand $y^{k+1} - y^*$ at the point $y^k - y^* = y^k + \frac{1}{2}$, which yields

$$\begin{aligned}
y^{k+1} + \frac{1}{2} &= \frac{8(y^k)^3 - \frac{1}{2} + 6(y^k)^2}{12(y^k)^2} \\
&= \frac{8(y^k + \frac{1}{2})^3 - 6(y^k + \frac{1}{2})^2}{12(y^k + \frac{1}{2})^2 - 12(y^k + \frac{1}{2}) + 3} \\
&= \left(y^k + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \left(-2 + o(y^k + \frac{1}{2})\right) \\
&= -2\left(y^k + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + o\left(\left(y^k + \frac{1}{2}\right)^3\right)
\end{aligned}$$

thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|z^{k+1} - z^*\| &= 2\|y^{k+1} + \frac{1}{2}\| \\
&= 2\left\|2\left(y^k + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2\right\|^2 + o\left(\left\|y^k + \frac{1}{2}\right\|^3\right) \\
&= 2\|z^k - z^*\|^2 + o\left(\|z^k - z^*\|^3\right)
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|z^{k+1} - z^*\|}{\|z^k - z^*\|^2} = 2$$