

$$x^2 - 2 = 0$$

$\mathbb{Q} \sim$ rationals

$\mathbb{R} \sim$ reals

↑

no solutions in \mathbb{Q} .

exactly 2 solutions in \mathbb{R} — one of them +ve.

$$x^2 + 1 = 0$$

no solution in \mathbb{R}

$$\{x \in \mathbb{Q} \mid x^2 - 2 < 0\} \neq \emptyset$$

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x^2 + 1 < 0\} = \emptyset$$

I. Preliminaries

Partially ordered set: (P, \leq) , where P is a set and \leq is a relation on P , is called a partially ordered set (Poset) if:

(Reflexivity)

$$a \leq a$$

$$\forall a \in P$$

(Antisymmetry)

$$a \leq b \text{ and } b \leq a \Rightarrow a = b$$

$$\forall a, b \in P$$

(Transitivity)

$$a \leq b, b \leq c \Rightarrow a \leq c$$

$$\forall a, b, c \in P$$

In this case, \leq is said to be a partial order on P .

* $P = \{1, 2, 3, 6\}$. $a \leq b$ iff $a \mid b$. $2 \nmid 3$ and $3 \nmid 2$.
Verify (P, \leq) is a poset.

* $P = \mathbb{R}$. \leq is the usual comparison. (P, \leq) is a poset.

Define $<$: $a < b \Leftrightarrow (a \leq b \text{ and } a \neq b)$

Totally ordered set: (P, \leq) is said to be a totally ordered set if \leq is a partial order on P and $\forall a, b \in P$ either $a \leq b$ or $b \leq a$.

In this case, \leq is said to be a total order on P .

(Totally)ordered field: Let K be a field. $(K, <)$ is said to be a totally ordered field if $<$ is a total order on K and the following hold true:

$$\odot a < b \Rightarrow a + c < b + c$$

$$\forall a, b, c \in K$$

$$\odot a > 0, b > 0 \Rightarrow ab > 0$$

$$\forall a, b \in K$$

In what follows, $(K, <)$ will always denote an ordered field.

$$1) K = K^+ \sqcup K^- \sqcup \{0\} \rightarrow \text{disjoint union}$$

$$2) K^\times = K^+ \sqcup K^- \text{ is a group (under multiplication)}$$

Verify as HW.

$$3) K^+ \text{ is a group (under mult).}$$

In fact, K^+ is a subgroup of K^\times .

Fact: $a^2 > 0 \quad \forall a \in K^*$

Pf: $\rightarrow a > 0: \quad a^2 = a \cdot a > 0$

$$\rightarrow a < 0 \Rightarrow 0 = a + (-a) < 0 + (-a) = -a$$

$$\Rightarrow a^2 = (-a) \cdot (-a) > 0$$

Fact: $1 > 0$

Pf: HW

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{N} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\text{take fractions}} & \mathbb{Q} \\ \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ 0 & & & & \text{field} \end{array}$$

$f: \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ given by $x \mapsto x^2$
is not surjective.
 $f^{-1}(2) = \emptyset$.

Let $S \subseteq K, S \neq \emptyset$. We say $l \in K$ is an upper bound of S if $l \geq x \quad \forall x \in S$.

$l \in K$ is said to be a least upper bound (lub) (or supremum) of S if

- ⊙ l is an u.b. of S
- ⊙ if $l' \in K$ is s.t. $l' < l$ then l' is not an u.b. of S .

K is said to have the lub property if every subset $S \subseteq K, S \neq \emptyset$, which is bounded above, has a supremum.

\mathbb{Q} does not have the lub property. $S = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} \mid x^2 < 2\}$

Theorem (Dedekind / Cauchy / Cantor): There is an ordered field \mathbb{R} such that

i) \mathbb{Q} is an ordered subfield of \mathbb{R} , i.e.,
 \exists a map $i: \mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ s.t.

$$i(a+b) = i(a) + i(b)$$

$$i(ab) = i(a) \cdot i(b)$$

$$a \leq b \Rightarrow i(a) < i(b)$$

For your comfort, you may think that $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and order is preserved inside \mathbb{R} .

ii) \mathbb{R} has the lub property.

I. Properties of \mathbb{R} :

① (Archimedean property) let $x \in \mathbb{R}^+, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\exists n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ s.t. $nx > y$.

Pf: $S = \{nx \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$

(*) — Suppose $t \leq y \quad \forall t \in S$. So S is bdd above. $S \neq \emptyset$.
By lub property of \mathbb{R} , S has a supremum, say u .

$x > 0 \Rightarrow -x < 0 \Rightarrow u - x < u \Rightarrow u - x$ is not an u.b. of S .
 $\Rightarrow \exists w \in S$ s.t. $w > u - x$
 $(w = kx \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{Z}^+)$ $\Rightarrow (k+1)x > u$
 \uparrow
 S
 This is a contradiction ($\because u$ is an u.b. of S)
 So $(*)$ is false $\Rightarrow \exists n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ s.t. $nx > y$. \square

Cor: \mathbb{Z} is unbounded above & unbounded below.

② (\mathbb{Q} is dense in \mathbb{R}) Given $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ($\alpha < \beta$) $\exists a \in \mathbb{Q}$ s.t.
 $\alpha < a < \beta$.

Pf: Try as exercise / look up any standard book or internet. \square

Open interval: $(a, b) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid a < x < b\}$
 $(a < b \in \mathbb{R})$

Cor: $(a, b) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ is an infinite set.

Pf: HW (important)

Exercise: (1) If $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ then $\frac{1}{a} \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$

(2) There is no total ordering on \mathbb{C} .

(3) $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}^+$ s.t. $a > b, c > d$. Show $ac > bd$.

III. Roots of +ve reals:

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$. A real n^{th} root of α is some $x \in \mathbb{R}$
 s.t. $x^n = \alpha$.

(Claim: Atmost one such $x > 0$ exists.

Pf: WLOG, $\alpha > 1$. Suppose $\exists x > y > 0$ in \mathbb{R}^+ s.t. $x^n = \alpha, y^n = \alpha$.

$x^n > y^n$ (use induction + exercise (3))

$\Rightarrow \alpha > \alpha$ (contradiction) \square

Finite set: S is said to be "finite" if $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and a bijection
 $f: S \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.