

## The $K$ -moment problem for compact semi-algebraic sets

Konrad Schmüdgen

Sektion Mathematik, Karl-Marx-Universität Leipzig, O-7010 Leipzig,  
Federal Republic of Germany

Received May 14, 1990

Let  $K$  be a closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . A function  $s: \mathbb{N}_0^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called a  $K$ -moment sequence if there exists a positive Borel measure  $\mu \in M(\mathbb{R}^d)$  supported by  $K$  such that  $s(\alpha)$  is the  $\alpha$ -th moment of  $\mu$ , i.e.  $s(\alpha) = \int x^\alpha d\mu$ , for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ . The main result of this note characterizes the  $K$ -moment sequences for compact semi-algebraic sets  $K$ . In particular, it proves a conjecture of Berg and Maserick (cf. [B–M, p. 495] and [B, p. 119]) and it subsumes a number of known results for special sets  $K$  (see e.g. [A, B–M, C, M]). Our results come out as an interplay between the multidimensional moment problem and semi-algebraic geometry. First we apply the positivstellensatz to solve the  $K$ -moment problem, while then the moment problem is used to obtain a representation for the positive polynomials on  $K$ .

We collect a few standard notations, cf. [B, F]. Let  $M(\mathbb{R}^d)$  denote the set of positive Borel measures  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  which have moments of all order, i.e.  $x^\alpha \in L^1(\mu)$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ . We write  $x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_d^{\alpha_d}$  for  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ , where  $x_j^0 := 1$ .  $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  and  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  are the real resp. complex polynomials in  $d$  indeterminates  $x_1, \dots, x_d$ . Let  $s$  be a function  $s: \mathbb{N}_0^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $p$  a complex polynomial  $p(x) = \sum a_\alpha x^\alpha$ . We define a polynomial  $\bar{p}$  by  $\bar{p}(x) := \sum \bar{a}_\alpha x^\alpha$  and a function  $p(E)s: \mathbb{N}_0^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $(p(E)s)(\beta) := \sum a_\alpha s(\alpha + \beta)$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ . We say that  $s$  is *positive definite* if  $\sum_{k, l=1}^n s(\alpha_k + \alpha_l) c_k \bar{c}_l \geq 0$  for arbitrary  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ ,  $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $R = \{r_1, \dots, r_m\}$  be a finite subset of  $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ . Suppose that the semi-algebraic set  $K_R := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : r_j(x) \geq 0 \text{ for } j=1, \dots, m\}$  is compact. Then a function  $s: \mathbb{N}_0^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a  $K_R$ -moment function if and only if  $s$  and  $(r_{j_1} \dots r_{j_k})(E)s$  are positive definite for all possible choices  $j_1, \dots, j_k$  of pairwise different numbers from  $\{1, \dots, m\}$ .

*Proof.* That the above condition is necessary follows easily from the representation  $s(\alpha) = \int x^\alpha d\mu$  combined with the fact that  $\text{supp } \mu \subseteq K_R$ . We prove its sufficiency.

Let  $\Sigma_R$  denote the set of all finite sums of elements  $p^2$  and  $p^2 r_{j_1} \dots r_{j_k}$ , where  $p \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  and  $j_1, \dots, j_k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ . Then  $\Sigma_R$  is a cone in  $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  and

closed under multiplication of polynomials. Since  $K_R$  is compact, there is a  $\varrho > 0$  such that  $|x|^2 := x_1^2 + \dots + x_d^2 < \varrho^2$  for all  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in K_R$ , i.e.  $\varrho^2 - |x|^2$  is positive on  $K_R$ . From the positivstellensatz in semi-algebraic geometry ([B-C-R, Corollaire 4.4.3, (ii)], cf. [S]) we conclude that there exist polynomials  $g, h \in \Sigma_R$  such that  $(\varrho^2 - |x|^2)g = 1 + h$ .

Let  $L_s$  be the (complex) linear functional on  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  defined by  $L_s(x^\alpha) = s(\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ , and let  $\mathcal{H}_s$  be the canonical Hilbert space associated with the positive definite function  $s$ , see e.g. [F, Sect. 4]. Scalar product and norm of  $\mathcal{H}_s$  are denoted by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and  $\| \cdot \|$ , respectively. For notational simplicity we shall consider the polynomials of  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  directly as elements of  $\mathcal{H}_s$ . (Strictly speaking, we have to take the corresponding elements of the quotient space  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_d]/N$ , where

$$N := \{p \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_d] : L_s(p\bar{p}) = 0\}.$$

Then, by this convention and by the construction of  $\mathcal{H}_s$ ,  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  is a dense linear subspace of  $\mathcal{H}_s$  and  $\langle p, q \rangle = L_s(p\bar{q})$  for  $p, q \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ . The assumption that  $s$  and  $(r_{j_1} \dots r_{j_k})(E)s$  are positive definite implies that  $L_s$  is non-negative on the cone  $\Sigma_R$ . This fact will be used in the sequel without mention.

Suppose  $p \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  and  $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ . The crucial step in this proof is to show that

$$\|x_j p\| \leq \varrho \|p\|. \quad (1)$$

Since obviously  $p\bar{p} = p_1^2 + p_2^2$  with  $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ , we have  $p\bar{p} \in \Sigma_R$ . Hence we obtain

$$L_s(|x|^{2n} p\bar{p}g) \leq L_s(|x|^{2(n-1)} p\bar{p}(|x|^2 g + 1 + h)) = \varrho^2 L_s(|x|^{2(n-1)} p\bar{p}g)$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that

$$L_s(|x|^{2n} p\bar{p}g) \leq \varrho^{2n} L_s(p\bar{p}g), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2)$$

Let  $\nu_{p,j} \in M(\mathbb{R})$  be a measure with moments  $L_s(x_j^n p\bar{p})$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We denote by  $\chi_\lambda$  the characteristic function of  $(-\infty, -\lambda) \cup (\lambda, +\infty)$ , where  $\lambda > 0$ . Then we have for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^{2n} \int_{\chi_\lambda} d\nu_{p,j} &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^{2n} d\nu_{p,j}(t) = L_s(x_j^{2n} p\bar{p}) \leq L_s(x_j^{2n} p\bar{p}(|x|^2 g + 1 + h)) \\ &= \varrho^2 L_s(x_j^{2n} p\bar{p}g) \leq \varrho^2 L_s(|x|^{2n} p\bar{p}g) \leq \varrho^{2n} \varrho^2 L_s(p\bar{p}g), \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from (2). If  $\lambda > \varrho$ , the preceding estimate implies that  $\int_{\chi_\lambda} d\nu_{p,j} = 0$ . Therefore,  $\text{supp } \nu_{p,j} \subseteq [-\varrho, \varrho]$ . Hence we get

$$\|x_j p\|^2 = L_s(x_j^2 p\bar{p}) = \int t^2 d\nu_{p,j}(t) \leq \varrho^2 \int d\nu_{p,j} = \varrho^2 L_s(p\bar{p}) = \varrho^2 \|p\|^2$$

which proves (1).

Let  $X_j$  denote the multiplication operator by the coordinate  $x_j$  on the domain  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  of  $\mathcal{H}_s$ . By (1),  $X_j$  is bounded. The operators  $X_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, d$ , are symmetric and they pairwise commute on  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ , hence their closures  $\bar{X}_j$  are commuting bounded self-adjoint operators on  $\mathcal{H}_s$ . If  $E$  denotes the spectral

measure of this family,  $\mu(\cdot) := \langle E(\cdot)1, 1 \rangle$  is a measure of  $M(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with moments  $L_s(x^\alpha) = s(\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ . Since  $\|X_j\| \leq \varrho$  by (1),

$$\text{supp } \mu \subseteq Q := [-\varrho, \varrho] \times \dots \times [-\varrho, \varrho].$$

Thus we have  $L_s(r_k p^2) = \int_Q r_k p^2 d\mu \geq 0$  for each polynomial  $p \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  and  $k = 1, \dots, m$ . From this and the Weierstraß approximation theorem we conclude that  $\text{supp } \mu \subseteq \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : r_k(x) \geq 0\}$ . Hence  $\text{supp } \mu \subseteq K_R$ .  $\square$

*Remarks.* We sketch a second proof which is less elementary and perhaps less instructive than the previous one. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_j^n\|^2 &= L_s(x_j^{2n}) \leq L_s(x_j^{2n}(|x|^2 g + 1 + h)) = \varrho^2 L_s(x_j^{2n} g) \\ &\leq \varrho^2 L_s(|x|^{2n} g) \leq \varrho^{2n+2} L_s(g), \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from (2) applied with  $p=1$ . Therefore, the sequence

$$\gamma_{2n} := s((2n, 0, \dots, 0)) + s((0, 2n, 0, \dots, 0)) + \dots + s((0, \dots, 0, 2n)), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

satisfies Carleman's condition  $\sum \gamma_{2n}^{-1/2n} = +\infty$ , hence  $s$  is a moment sequence by a result of Nussbaum [N, p. 189]. With a bit more work [by verifying first the density of polynomials in  $L^p(\mu)$  for  $p \geq 2$ ] it then follows that  $\text{supp } \mu \subseteq K_R$ .

Let us retain the assumptions of Theorem 1 and the notation introduced above. An immediate consequence of Theorem 1 and of the Hahn-Banach separation theorem for convex sets is

**Corollary 2.** *Each polynomial  $p \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  which is non-negative on  $K_R$  belongs to the closure of  $\Sigma_R$  in the finest locally convex topology on  $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ .*

**Corollary 3.** *If  $p \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  is positive on the set  $K_R$ , then  $p \in \Sigma_R$ .*

*Proof.* We argue in a similar way as in [C, p. 260]. For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $P_n$  be the polynomials  $p \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  with degree  $p \leq 2n$ , and let  $\Sigma_n^i$  be the interior of  $\Sigma_n := \Sigma_R \cap P_n$  in the finite dimensional space  $P_n$ . For  $k, l \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $j_1, \dots, j_{k+l} \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ , we have

$$2x_{j_1} \dots x_{j_{k+l}} = (x_{j_1} \dots x_{j_k} + x_{j_{k+1}} \dots x_{j_{k+l}})^2 - (x_{j_1} \dots x_{j_k})^2 - (x_{j_{k+1}} \dots x_{j_{k+l}})^2.$$

Hence  $P_n = \Sigma_n - \Sigma_n$ . From the latter it follows that  $\Sigma_n^i \neq \emptyset$ .

Now assume to the contrary that  $p$  is not in  $\Sigma_R$ . Then  $p \in P_m$  and  $p \notin \Sigma_m^i$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . We show by induction that there are linear functionals  $F_n$ ,  $n \geq m$ , on  $P_n$  such that  $F_n(\cdot) > 0$  on  $\Sigma_n^i$ ,  $F_n(p) \leq 0$  and  $F_n|_{P_{n-1}} = F_{n-1}$  if  $n-1 \geq m$ . The existence of  $F_n$  follows from the separation theorem for convex sets in  $P_n$  (recall that  $\Sigma_n^i \neq \emptyset$ ). For  $n=m$  we use the assumption  $p \notin \Sigma_m^i$ . If  $F_n$  is chosen, then we have  $(\ker F_n) \cap \Sigma_{n+1}^i = \emptyset$ , so that there exists a linear functional  $G_{n+1}$  on  $P_{n+1}$  such that  $G_{n+1}(\cdot) = 0$  on  $\ker F_n$  and  $G_{n+1}(\cdot) > 0$  on  $\Sigma_{n+1}^i$ . Then clearly  $G_{n+1}|_{P_n} = \gamma F_n$  for some  $\gamma > 0$ , so  $F_{n+1} := \gamma^{-1} G_{n+1}$  has the desired properties. Now  $F(q) := F_n(q)$ ,  $q \in P_n$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , defines, unambiguously, a linear functional on  $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ . Since  $\Sigma_n^i \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\Sigma_n$  is contained in the closure of  $\Sigma_n^i$  in  $P_n$ , so  $F_n(\cdot) \geq 0$  on  $\Sigma_n$ . Thus  $F(\cdot) \geq 0$  on  $\Sigma_R$  and  $s(\alpha) := F(x^\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ , satisfies the condition required in Theorem 1. Hence there exists a measure  $\mu \in M(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $\text{supp } \mu \subseteq K_R$  and  $F(q) = \int q d\mu$  for all  $q \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ . Since  $F(p) \leq 0$  by construction and  $p$  is positive on the compact set  $K_R$ , we have the desired contradiction.  $\square$

## References

- [A] Atzmon, A.: A moment problem for positive measures. *Pac. J. Math.* **59**, 317–325 (1975)
- [B] Berg, C.: The multidimensional moment problem and semigroups. In: Landau, H.J. (ed.) *Moments in mathematics. Proc. Symp. Appl. Math.*, vol. 37, pp. 110–124. Am. Math. Soc., Providence, 1987
- [B–C–R] Bochnak, J., Coste, M., Roy, M.-F.: *Géométrie algébrique réelle*. Berlin Heidelberg New York: Springer 1987
- [B–M] Berg, C., Maserick, P.H.: Polynomially positive definite sequences. *Math. Ann.* **259**, 487–495 (1982)
- [C] Cassier, G.: Problème des moments sur un compact de  $R^n$  et décomposition de polynômes a plusieurs variables. *J. Funct. Anal.* **58**, 254–266 (1984)
- [F] Fuglede, B.: The multidimensional moment problem. *Expo. Math.* **1**, 47–65 (1983)
- [M] McGregor, J.L.: Solvability criteria for certain  $N$ -dimensional moment problem. *J. Approx. Theory* **30**, 315–333 (1980)
- [N] Nussbaum, A.E.: Quasi-analytic vectors. *Ark. Mat.* **6**, 179–191 (1965)
- [S] Stengle, G.: A Nullstellensatz and a Positivstellensatz in semialgebraic geometry. *Math. Ann.* **207**, 87–97 (1974)