

Real Analysis

Cantor Set

August 18, 2021

1 Defining the Cantor set

For a set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, we let $a \cdot S := \{ax : x \in S\}$, $a + S := \{a + x : x \in S\}$ for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

Start with $\mathcal{F}_0 := [0, 1]$. Inductively define $\mathcal{F}_{k+1} := \left(\frac{1}{3}\mathcal{F}_k\right) \cup \left(\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{3}\mathcal{F}_k\right)$. For example, $\mathcal{F}_1 = \left[0, \frac{1}{3}\right] \cup \left[\frac{2}{3}, 1\right]$ and $\mathcal{F}_2 = \left[0, \frac{1}{9}\right] \cup \left[\frac{2}{9}, \frac{3}{9}\right] \cup \left[\frac{6}{9}, \frac{7}{9}\right] \cup \left[\frac{8}{9}, 1\right]$. By induction, \mathcal{F}_k is a union of 2^k disjoint closed intervals. We define $\mathcal{F} := \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_k$ to be the **Cantor set**. Note, $\mathcal{F}_k \supseteq \mathcal{F}_{k+1}$. So this is a decreasing sequence of nonempty compact sets, which means \mathcal{F} is nonempty. In fact, this is compact (closed because intersection of closed sets, bounded because contained in $[0, 1]$). We will eventually show that \mathcal{F} is an uncountable set.

For now, note that \mathcal{F} is closed. Take any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a < b$. Take $m \in \mathbb{N}$ to be such that $3^m > \frac{6}{b-a}$.

For such a choice of m , $\left(\frac{3k+1}{3^m}, \frac{3k+2}{3^m}\right) \subset (a, b)$. But, by the description of \mathcal{F} is is not hard to see that $\left(\frac{3k+1}{3^m}, \frac{3k+2}{3^m}\right) \cap \mathcal{F} = \emptyset \forall k, m \geq 1$. It follows that \mathcal{F} cannot contain any open ball, whence $F^\circ = \emptyset$. By definition, \mathcal{F} is rare or nowhere dense.

2 Ternary expansions

Consider a sequence of numbers $\mathfrak{A} = (a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ taking values in $\{0, 1, 2\}$. We define a rule $f(\mathfrak{A}) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{3^n}$. Note that the sequence given by $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_i}{3^i}$ is an increasing sequence. Further $S_n \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2}{3^i} \leq \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{3}} = 1 \forall n$. So, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{3^n}$ is a real number in $[0, 1]$. So $f : \{0, 1, 2\}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a well defined function. We will show that f is surjective but not injective.

Proposition 1 f is not injective.

PROOF Consider the sequences $\mathfrak{A}_1 = (1, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$, $\mathfrak{A}_2 = (0, 2, 2, 2, \dots)$. We note that $f(\mathfrak{A}_1) = \frac{1}{3}$ and that $f(\mathfrak{A}_2) = \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{2}{3^i} = \frac{2}{9} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{3}} = \frac{1}{3}$. So we have found $\mathfrak{A}_1 \neq \mathfrak{A}_2$ with $f(\mathfrak{A}_1) = f(\mathfrak{A}_2)$. ■

We do a somewhat more general analysis and determine exactly what are the cases when curious things (as above happen). That is, we ask that if two sequences $\mathfrak{A} = (a_n)$, $\mathfrak{B} = (b_n)$ satisfy that $f(\mathfrak{A}) = f(\mathfrak{B})$, then what are the conditions on $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}$.

So we are assuming that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_i}{3^i} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_i}{3^i}$ and that $\mathfrak{A} \neq \mathfrak{B}$. So $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a_k \neq b_k$, and take k to be the least

such. WLOG assume $a_k > b_k$. Now $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_i}{3^i} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_i}{3^i} \Rightarrow \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \frac{a_i}{3^i} = \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \frac{b_i}{3^i} \Rightarrow \frac{a_k - b_k}{3^k} + \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{a_i}{3^i} = \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{b_i}{3^i}$. Note $\frac{1}{3^k} \leq \frac{1}{3^k} + \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{a_i}{3^i} \leq \frac{a_k - b_k}{3^k} + \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{a_i}{3^i} = \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{b_i}{3^i} \leq \frac{2}{3^{k+1}} \cdot \frac{3}{2} = \frac{1}{3^k}$. This means $(a_i, b_i) = (0, 2) \forall i > k, a_k - b_k = 1$. So the only 'curious' cases is one of the following two types:

$$0.s_1 s_2 \cdots s_m 1000 \cdots = 0.s_1 s_2 \cdots s_m 0222 \cdots$$

$$0.s_1 s_2 \cdots s_m 2000 \cdots = 0.s_1 s_2 \cdots s_m 1222 \cdots$$

But the set of these numbers is just the set of all numbers of the form $\frac{t}{3^k}$.

Now define a function $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2\}^{\mathbb{N}}$. First we say that if $x = \frac{t}{3^k}$ for some integers $t, k \geq 0$ we take the ternary expansion which has lesser usage of 1's.

Now for any other $x \in [0, 1]$, inductively define a sequence $\mathfrak{A} = (a_n) \in \{0, 1, 2\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ as follows: Let a_1 be largest so that $\frac{a_1}{3} \leq x$; and we let a_{m+1} to be the largest so that $\frac{a_{m+1}}{3^{m+1}} \leq x - \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{a_i}{3^i}$. By induction, it follows that $0 \leq x - \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{a_i}{3^i} < \frac{1}{3^m}$. This gives a sequence \mathfrak{A} such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{3^n} = x$. It's not hard to see that $f(g(x)) = x \forall x \in [0, 1]$. In other words, we have proved the

Proposition 2 f is surjective.

3 Relation between \mathcal{F} and ternary expansion

From now on, whenever we say 'the ternary expansion of x ' we always mean $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{3^n}$ where $(a_n) = g(x)$. And we will mean $\{1, \dots, n\}$ when we write $[n]$. Also, for a sequence $\mathfrak{A} = (a_n)$ we define the i^{th} projection map as $\pi_i(\mathfrak{A}) := a_i$. Let $\mathcal{G}_1 := \{x \in [0, 1] : \pi_j(g(x)) \neq 1 \forall j \in [1]\}$, that is, the set of all $x \in [0, 1]$ such that the first term in its ternary expansion is not 1. It is not hard to see that $\mathcal{G}_1 = \mathcal{F}_1$. Indeed, $\pi_1(g(x)) = 1 \iff x \in (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3})$. Similarly define $\mathcal{G}_2 := \{x \in [0, 1] : \pi_j(g(x)) \neq 1 \forall j \in [2]\}$ and observe that $\mathcal{G}_2 = \mathcal{F}_2$. In fact, it is true that $\mathcal{G}_n = \mathcal{F}_n \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ where $\mathcal{G}_n := \{x \in [0, 1] : \pi_j(g(x)) \neq 1 \forall j \in [n]\}$. It is thus clear that $\mathcal{G} := \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{G}_k = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_k = F$. But, $\mathcal{G} = f(\{0, 2\}^{\mathbb{N}})$. By our earlier discussion, we have seen exactly when f fails to be injective. In particular $f|_{\{0, 2\}^{\mathbb{N}}}$ is injective. Uncountability of $\{0, 2\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ implies the uncountability of \mathcal{F} .

Corollary 3 $\forall r > 0, a \in \mathcal{F}, \exists b \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $0 < |b - a| < r$. In other words, \mathcal{F} has no isolated point.

PROOF Let $r > 0, a \in \mathcal{F}$. Take $m \in \mathbb{N}$ to be such that $3^m > \frac{2}{r}$. Say $g(a) = (a_n)$.

Define $\mathfrak{B} := (a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}, 2 - a_m, a_{m+1}, a_{m+2}, \dots)$ and $b := f(\mathfrak{B})$. Clearly $|b - a| = \frac{2}{3^m} \in (0, r)$. ■

Finally, we exhibit a surjection $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$. Note that $\tilde{g} := g|_{\mathcal{G}} = g|_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a surjection whose image is $\{0, 2\}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Next define $h : \{0, 2\}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ by $(a_n) \mapsto \left(\frac{\pi_n(a_n)}{2}\right)$. h is surjective as well. Lastly, notice that the map $\rho : \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ given by $(a_n) \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{2^n}$ is well defined and a surjection (by the same argument used to prove proposition 2). The surjectivity of all these maps proves the surjectivity of $(\rho \circ h \circ \tilde{g}) : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$. In short, if the ternary expansion of $x \in \mathcal{F}$ is $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{3^n}$ (so that each $a_n \in \{0, 2\}$) then we map it to $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n/2}{2^n}$. This is well defined because the ternary representation of elements of \mathcal{F} is unique.