## Real Analysis

## Problem Set 1: Hints to selected problems

I. **Problem.** Let  $r \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ . Show that  $\frac{1}{k}$ , r + k,  $rk \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ .

**Solution.** Assume the contrary. It is clear that  $k \neq 0$ .

- $\frac{1}{k} \in \mathbb{Q} \implies k \in \mathbb{Q}$ .
- $r + k \in \mathbb{Q} \implies k = (r + k) r \in \mathbb{Q}$ .
- $r \cdot k \in \mathbb{O} \implies k = r^{-1} \cdot r \cdot k \in \mathbb{O}$
- 2. Define  $f: \mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{Q}$  by  $f(x) = x^2$ . Show that  $f^{-1}(2) = \emptyset$ . You may assume properties of integers and natural numbers.
- 3. Let K be an ordered field. Show that 1 > 0. It can be shown that  $x^2 \ge 0$  with equality iff x = 0.
- 4. Let K be an ordered field and  $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq K$  which is bounded above. Show that if l and l' are both least upper bounds of S, then l = l'.
- 5. Let *K* be an ordered field. We can define the *greatest lower bound* (*glb*) of a nonempty subset of *K*, bounded below, similar to the least upper bound. Come up with such a definition. The *glb* will be referred to as the *infimum*.
  - When do we say K has the glb property? Come up with a definition. Build a similar problem like Problem 4 and convince yourself that it's true.
- 6. Let K be an ordered field with the *lub* property. Let S be a non-empty subset of K which is bounded above. Let  $-S := \{-x : x \in S\}$ . Here -x denotes the additive inverse of x in K. You may assume that such an additive inverse always exists and is unique.
  - (a) Does -S have a glb?
  - (b) Every nonempty subset of K bounded above has an  $lub \iff$  every nonempty subset of K bounded below has a glb. Prove or disprove. If false, suggest a reasonable salvage and prove it.
- 7. Let  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ . Prove the following.
  - (a) If a < b and  $c \le d$  then a + c < b + d.
  - (b) If 0 < a < b and 0 < c < d then ac < bd.
  - (c) If  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $\frac{a}{b} < \frac{c}{d}$  then  $\frac{a}{b} < \frac{a+c}{b+d} < \frac{c}{d}$ .
- 8. Consider the function  $f: \mathbb{R}^{\times} \to \mathbb{R}^{\times}$  given by  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ . Assume algebraic properties. Prove the following.
  - (a) If a > 0 then f(a) > 0.
  - (b) f is a bijection.

- 9. Prove the following using the principle of mathematical induction:
  - (a)  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{j(j+1)} = \frac{n}{n+1}$ . Notice that  $n(n+2) = (n+1)^2$ .
  - (b)  $n < 2^n \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \ge 0$
  - (c) Any nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{N}_0$  has a least element. Known as the Well-Ordering principle. The equivalence of this statement with the principle of induction can be found in any standard textbook.
  - (d) If x > -1 then  $(1 + x)^n \ge 1 + nx \ \forall \ n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 1}$ . Known as Bernouli's inequality. Look up Bartle Sherbert's book.

**Definition.** I. The empty set  $\emptyset$  is said to have cardinality 0.

- 2. A set S is said to have cardinality  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  if  $\exists$  a bijection  $f : S \to \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .
- 3. A set S is said to be finite if  $S = \emptyset$  or there is some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  and a bijection  $f : S \to \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .
- 4. A set *S* is said to be infinite if it is not finite.

## Lemma 1

Let  $S \neq \emptyset$  be a finite set. Say  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  are such that there are bijections  $f: S \to \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $g: S \to \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . Then m = n.

## Corollary 2

The cardinality of a finite set is well-defined. Denote the cardinality of S by |S|.

10. **Problem.** Assume the above.  $h: A \to B$  is a bijection where A, B are finite sets. Show that |A| = |B|.

**Solution.** Let n = |A|, m = |B|. We have  $f: A \xrightarrow{\sim} \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $g: B \xrightarrow{\sim} \{1, \dots, m\}$ . We know that bijections between sets have inverses which are themselves bijections, that is,  $\exists u: B \xrightarrow{\sim} A$  such that  $u(b(a)) = a \ \forall \ a \in A$ , and that composition of bijections is a bijection, that is,  $v := (f \circ u): B \xrightarrow{\sim} \{1, \dots, n\}$ . So  $v: B \xrightarrow{\sim} \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $g: B \xrightarrow{\sim} \{1, \dots, m\}$  are bijections. By lemma i, m = n.

- 11. A, B are finite disjoint sets. Show that  $|A \cup B| = |A| + |B|$ .
- 12. Determine the set of all real numbers x that satisfy  $3x + 4 \le 5$ .
- 13. The real numbers have the trichotomy property, which is stated as follows. For any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  exactly one of the following is true: a < 0, a = 0, a > 0. If  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  are such that ab > 0 show that either  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+$  or  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^-$ .
- 14. Find all real numbers x satisfying  $x^2 x > 6$ . Use the trichotomoy property.
- 15. For a positive real number a, we mean by  $a^{1/n}$  (for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ ) another positive real number which when raised to the  $n^{th}$  power gives a. Assume that  $a^{1/n}$  exists and is unique for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Show that  $a > b \iff a^{1/n} > b^{1/n}$ .
- 16. Assume existence of roots as before. Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ . Show that  $a^{1/m} > a^{1/n} \iff n > m$ .

2

17. **Problem.** Let  $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ . Show that  $(a^{-1})^n = (a^n)^{-1}$ .

**Solution.** We proceed by induction on n. The base case is trivial because  $(a^{-1})^1 = a^{-1} = (a^1)^{-1}$ . Suppose  $(a^{-1})^k = (a^k)^{-1}$  for some  $k \ge 1$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then notice that  $(a^{-1})^{k+1}(a^{k+1}) = (a^k)^{-1} \cdot a^{-1} \cdot a \cdot a^k = (a^k)^{-1} \cdot a^k = 1$ . By uniqueness of inverses, we conclude that  $(a^{k+1})^{-1} = (a^{-1})^{k+1}$ . Notice that we tried to avoid commutativity of multiplication.

- 18. Let  $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Show that  $a^m a^n = a^{m+n}$ .
- 19. Let  $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Show that  $(a^m)^n = a^{mn}$ .
- 20. **Problem.** Using induction, prove the AM-GM inequality. You may assume properties of exponentiation. Here is the satement of the inequality:

Let 
$$a_n, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$$
, then  $\frac{a_1 + \cdots + a_n}{n} \ge (a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot a_n)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ 

**Solution.** Base case (one variable) is trivial. We just show the inductive step. Suppose the above statement is true for any n non-negative real numbers  $a_i$  (induction hypothesis).

Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ . WLOG, assume these are in descending order. Then their mean is  $\overline{x} = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i$ . If  $x_i = \overline{x} \forall i$ , we are done. Suppose not. Then  $x_1 > \overline{x}$ . It follows that  $x_{n+1} < \overline{x}$ . Consider a new quantity  $y = x_1 + x_{n+1} - \overline{x}$ . Clearly  $y \geq 0$ . It follows that  $y \cdot \overline{x} = (\overline{x} - x_{n+1})(x_1 - \overline{x}) + x_n x_{n+1} > x_n x_{n+1}$  Note that the arithmetic mean of the numbers  $x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n, y$  is  $\overline{x}$ . We thus have

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i = (x_2 \cdots x_n) (x_n x_{n+1}) < (x_2 \cdots x_n) (y \cdot \overline{x}) = (x_2 \cdots x_n \cdot y) \overline{x} \stackrel{\text{IH}}{\leq} (\overline{x})^n \cdot \overline{x} = (\overline{x})^{n+1}.$$