

# BOTT PERIODICITY

NILAY KUMAR

What assumptions do we need to make on the spaces? All vector bundles throughout will be complex.

Recall from last time the definition of  $K$ -theory.

**Definition 1.** Let  $\text{Vect} : \text{HTop}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{CRIG}$  denote the functor taking any space  $X$  to the commutative semiring of vector bundles over  $X$  with the operations of direct sum and tensor product. Notice that  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is taken to  $f^* : \text{Vect } Y \rightarrow \text{Vect } X$ . Then, by the usual Grothendieck construction, there exists a universal functor

$$K : \text{HTop}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{CRING}$$

such that if  $R$  is a commutative ring then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Vect}(X) & \longrightarrow & R \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \\ K(X) & & \end{array}$$

commutes. It follows that for a map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Vect } Y & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \text{Vect } X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K(Y) & \xrightarrow{Kf} & K(X) \end{array}$$

commutes.

*Remark 2.* By construction, every element in  $K(X)$  is of the form  $[E] - [F]$  for  $E, F \in \text{Vect}(X)$ . There exists  $G \in \text{Vect } X$  such that  $F \oplus G$  is trivial of rank  $n$ :  $F \oplus G \cong \underline{n}$ . Then  $[E] - [F] = [E] + [G] - ([F] + [G]) = [E \oplus G] - [\underline{n}]$ . We conclude that every element of  $K(X)$  is of the form  $[H] - [\underline{n}]$ .

Moreover, suppose  $E, F \in \text{Vect } X$  are such that  $[E] = [F]$ . Then, by definition of the Grothendieck construction, there exists  $G \in \text{Vect } X$  such that  $E \oplus G \cong F \oplus G$ . If  $G' \in \text{Vect } X$  is such that  $G \oplus G' \cong \underline{n}$  then adding  $G'$ , we find that  $E \oplus \underline{n} \cong F \oplus \underline{n}$ . We conclude that  $[E] = [F]$  if and only if  $E$  and  $F$  are *stably equivalent*, i.e. there exists a suitable trivial bundle such that they become equivalent after adding the trivial bundle.

**Definition 3.** If  $X \in \text{HTop}_+^{\text{op}}$ , the inclusion of the basepoint into  $X$  yields a map  $K(X) \rightarrow K(+)$ . We define the reduced  $K$ -theory  $\tilde{K}(X)$  to be the kernel

$$0 \longrightarrow \tilde{K}(X) \longrightarrow K(X) \longrightarrow K(+) \longrightarrow 0.$$

The constant map  $X \rightarrow +$  yields a natural splitting of this exact sequence

$$K(X) \cong \tilde{K}(X) \oplus K(+) \cong \tilde{K}(X) \oplus \mathbb{Z},$$

hence inducing a functor  $\tilde{K} : \text{HTOP}_+^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{CRING}$ . A straightforward computation shows that  $[E] = [F]$  in  $\tilde{K}(X)$  if and only if  $E \oplus \underline{n} \cong F \oplus \underline{m}$  for some  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $A \subset X$ . As in cohomology, we wish to obtain a long exact sequence on  $K$ -theory. Consider the cofiber sequence associated to  $i : A \rightarrow X$ :

$$A \xrightarrow{i} X \xrightarrow{j} Ci \xrightarrow{\pi} \Sigma A \xrightarrow{-\Sigma i} \Sigma X \xrightarrow{-\Sigma \pi} \Sigma Ci \xrightarrow{\Sigma^2 i} \Sigma^2 X \longrightarrow \dots$$

where  $(-\Sigma i)(x \wedge t) = f(x) \wedge (1 - t)$ . Applying  $K$ -theory, we claim:

**Proposition 4.** *For  $i : A \hookrightarrow X$  there is a natural long exact sequence*

$$\dots \longrightarrow K^{-1}(X) \longrightarrow K^{-1}(A) \longrightarrow K^0(X, A) \longrightarrow K^0(X) \longrightarrow K^0(A),$$

where  $K^{-n}(X) \equiv K(\Sigma^n X)$  and  $K^{-n}(X, A) \equiv K(\Sigma^n Ci)$  for all  $n \geq 0$ , and the maps are induced from the cofiber sequence above.

*Proof.* Work. □

Bott periodicity is a fundamental result stating that  $K$ -theory is 2-periodic.

**Theorem 5** (Bott periodicity).  $K^{-n}(X) \cong K^{-n-2}(X)$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .

In particular, defining

$$\begin{aligned} K^{2n}(X) &\equiv K^0(X) \\ K^{2n+1}(X) &\equiv K^{-1}(X) \end{aligned}$$

for  $n \geq 0$ , the data of the long exact sequence for a pair  $(X, A)$  reduces to the exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K^0(X, A) & \longrightarrow & K^0(X) & \longrightarrow & K^0(A) \\ \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \\ K^1(A) & \longleftarrow & K^1(X) & \longleftarrow & K^1(X, A) \end{array}$$

To prove Bott periodicity, we will use a series of results. We will work with reduced  $K$ -theory for convenience.

**Lemma 6.** *Write  $BU = \text{colim}_n BU(n)$ . Then there is a natural isomorphism of functors  $\tilde{K}$  and  $[-, BU]$ . That is, there is a natural bijection*

$$[X, BU] \cong \tilde{K}(X).$$

*Proof.* Recall that  $[X, BU(n)] \cong \text{Vect}^n X$ , whence

$$\begin{aligned} [X, BU] &= [X, \text{colim}_n BU(n)] \\ &= \text{colim}_n [X, BU(n)] \\ &= \text{colim}_n \text{Vect}^n X. \end{aligned}$$

It is straightforward to see that the map  $\text{Vect}^n X \rightarrow \text{Vect}^{n+1} X$  is given by adding a trivial bundle. Hence elements  $E, F \in \text{colim}_n \text{Vect}^n X$  – say, vector bundles of rank  $r$  and  $s$  respectively – are equal in the colimit if and only if there exist  $l, k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $E \oplus \underline{l} \cong F \oplus \underline{k}$ . Thus there is a natural isomorphism  $\text{colim}_n \text{Vect}^n X \cong \tilde{K}(X)$ , completing the proof. **why does colim commute with maps?**  $\square$

Assume, for the moment, the following proposition.

**Proposition 7.** *There is a homotopy equivalence  $BU \simeq \Omega SU$ .*

Then we can prove Bott periodicity as follows.

*Proof of Theorem 5.* It suffices to show that there is a natural isomorphism  $\tilde{K}(\Sigma^2 X) \cong \tilde{K}(X)$ . Using Lemma 6 and the above proposition, we have natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{K}(\Sigma^2 X) &\cong [\Sigma^2 X, BU] \\ &\cong [X, \Omega^2 BU] \\ &\cong [X, \Omega SU] \\ &\cong [X, BU] \\ &\cong \tilde{K}(X). \end{aligned}$$

**Why is  $\Omega BU \simeq SU$ ?**  $\square$

All the hard work lies in the proof of the homotopy equivalence  $BU \simeq \Omega SU$ .