

INDEX THEORY.

Recall L a line bundle,

$$\text{Td}(L) = \frac{c_1(L)}{1 - e^{-c_1(L)}} = 1 + \frac{1}{2} c_1(L) + \frac{1}{12} c_1(L)^2 - \frac{1}{720} c_1(L)^4$$

$$\zeta(2\ell) = \frac{(-1)^{\ell+1} (2\pi)^{2\ell}}{2(2\ell)!} B_{2\ell}.$$

Up to H^4 , the last exercise is (so for surfaces)

$$(1 + \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{12}x^2)(1 + \frac{1}{2}y + \frac{1}{12}y^2) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}(x+y) + \frac{1}{12}((x+y)^2 + xy).$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} E = L_1 \oplus L_2, \quad x = c_1(L_1), y = c_1(L_2). \quad c_1(E) = x+y, \quad c_2(E) = xy \\ 1 + \frac{1}{2}c_1(E) + \frac{1}{12}(c_1(E)^2 + c_2(E)) + \dots \end{array} \right) \leftarrow \text{stuff in } H^4.$$

How to compute higher terms uniformly?

$E = L_1 \oplus \dots \oplus L_r$. ϕ a genus. we introduce a parameter, t .

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_t(E) &= \phi_t(L_1) \dots \phi_t(L_r) \\ &= f(t c_1(L_1)) \dots f(t c_1(L_r)). \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \log \phi_t(E) = \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{d}{dt} \log f(t c_1(L_i)).$$

$$\frac{d\phi_t}{dt} = \phi_t \cdot \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{d}{dt} \log f(t c_1(L_i)).$$

Case 1. $f(x) = 1 - x$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \log(1 - tx) = - \frac{x}{1 - tx}$$

$$c_t(E) = \sum_{k=0}^r (-1)^k t^k c_k(E).$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^r k(-1)^{k-1} t^{k-1} c_k(E) = \left(\sum_{k=0}^r (-t)^k c_k(E) \right) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t^{k-1} c_1(L_i)^k$$

"Newton's formula"

or something...

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k! t^{k-1} ch_k(E).$$

Define:

$$ch(E) = \sum_{i=1}^n ch(L_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n e^{c_1(L_i)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} ch_k(E).$$

notice: $ch(E \oplus F) = ch(E) + ch(F)$.

$$ch_k(E) \in H^{2k}(X; \mathbb{Q}).$$

$$ch(E) = r, \quad ch_1(E) = c_1(E).$$

Exercise. Compute ch_2, ch_3 in terms of c_i .

Can now play the same game with the Todd genus.

$$f(tx) = \frac{tx}{1 - e^{-tx}} \quad \left| \quad \frac{d}{dt} \log f(tx) = \frac{1}{t} + \frac{e^{-tx}}{1 - e^{-tx}} = -\frac{1}{t} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^k x^{k-1}}{k!} B_k \right.$$

One finds similarly

$$\sum_{k=1}^r k t^{k-1} \text{Td}_k(E) = \left(\sum_{k=0}^r t^k \text{Td}_k(E) \right) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_k}{k} t^{k-1} ch_{k-1}(E).$$

Exercise. Check that this is correct.

Before we get to Chern-Weil theory, we will discuss H.R.R.

Let E be a rank r holc vector bundle on a complex manifold X .

We have a sheaf of holc sections, i.e. locally

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j} s^a(z, \bar{z}) = 0.$$

Call the sheaf $\mathcal{O}(E)$.

(stem cover)

One might try to compute $H^k(X, \mathcal{O}(E))$ via Dolbeault or Čech. ~~It is~~

Theorem (Serre duality) $\dim H^k(X, \mathcal{O}(E)) < \infty$ if X is compact.

$H^k = 0$ for $k > n$.

Defn. $\chi(E) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \dim H^k(X, \mathcal{O}(E))$.

Theorem. (Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch)

$$\chi(E) = \int_X \text{ch}(E) \text{Td}(X)$$

$$(\text{Td}(X) := \text{Td}(TX)).$$

Let's look at $n=1$. Here $\text{ch}(E) = r + c_1(E)$ $\text{Td}(X) = 1 + c_1(TX) = 1 - c_1(K)$.

In this case the RHS is

$$= -\frac{r}{2} \deg(K) + \deg(E) \quad \text{here } \deg(E) = \int c_1(E).$$

Let's take $E = \mathcal{O}_{X \times \mathbb{C}}$ so $\mathcal{O}(E) = \mathcal{O}$. Of course we know

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}) = \mathbb{C} \quad H^1(X, \mathcal{O}) \cong H^0(X, K)^\vee$$

whence $\deg(K) = 2-2g$.

Another example is $X = \mathbb{CP}^n$, w/ $E = X \times \mathbb{C}$.

$$H^k(X, \mathcal{O}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & k=0 \\ 0 & k>0 \end{cases} \rightarrow \chi(\mathbb{CP}^n, \mathcal{O}) = 1.$$

ch will be ore so let's compute $\text{Td}(\mathbb{CP}^n)$. Let L be the tautological line bundle. What is $c(T\mathbb{CP}^n)$? Notice that

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow (L^\vee)^{n+1} \rightarrow T\mathbb{CP}^n \rightarrow 0.$$

whence the latter two have the same total Chern character.

Write $x = c_1(L)$. $c(T\mathbb{CP}^n) = (1 - c_1(L))^{n+1}$. We want to pick out
 coeff. of x^n of $Td(T\mathbb{CP}^n) = \left(\frac{x}{e^x - 1}\right)^{n+1}$

$$= \text{Res}_{x=0} x^{-n-1} \left(\frac{x}{e^x - 1}\right)^{n+1} dx = \text{Res}_{y=0} \frac{dy}{y^{n+1}(1+y)} = (-1)^n \quad (dy = e^x dx)$$

In fact the Todd genus is the unique thing to put in HRR to get the right answer for \mathbb{CP}^n .

Suppose we have $L = (g_{\alpha\beta})_{\alpha,\beta \in I}$ cocycle in $GL(1, \mathbb{C})$.

$$c_1(L)_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} (\log g_{\beta\gamma} - \log g_{\alpha\gamma} + \log g_{\alpha\beta}).$$

$g(L)$ is represented by the closed form

$$+ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \left(\frac{1}{g_{\alpha\beta}} - \frac{1}{g_{\beta\gamma}} + \frac{1}{g_{\alpha\gamma}} \right) \eta_\alpha d\eta_\beta d\eta_\gamma.$$

Notice the second two terms vanish and we get

$$= + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{\alpha\beta} \log g_{\alpha\beta} d\eta_\alpha d\eta_\beta \quad \text{notice this is well-defined in coh. indpt of choice of branch.}$$

Connections on cpx vector bundles.

∇ nabla (sharp (?) maybe late in Aramaic)

$$\nabla: \Gamma(X, E) \rightarrow \Omega^1(X, E) = \Gamma(X, T^*X \otimes E).$$

with:

- linear
- $\nabla(fs) = df \otimes s + f \nabla s$.

Notice on U_α we can write $s = \begin{bmatrix} s_1 \\ \vdots \\ s_r \end{bmatrix}$, then

$$\nabla s = \begin{bmatrix} ds_1 \\ \vdots \\ ds_r \end{bmatrix} + \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ r \times r \text{-matrix of cpx 1-forms}}}{[\omega_i^j]} [s_j]$$

Notice that $\nabla_1 - \nabla_0 \in \Omega^1(X, \text{End } E)$.

Moreover, if ∇_α is a connection on Eu_α then

$$\nabla = \sum_{\alpha} \eta_{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha}$$

is a connection on E .

~~More~~ Finally, check that there is a unique extension

$$\nabla: \Omega^k(X, E) \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}(X, E).$$

satisfying the Leibniz rule.

Now ∇ is a differential op. (linear) on $\Omega^*(X, E)$. Consider ∇^2 . It turns out that this is just a 0th order diff. op. even though ∇ is 1st order. In particular,

$$\nabla^2 = F \in \Omega^2(X, \text{End}(E)). \quad \text{"curvature of } \nabla"$$

How to see this? You can just compute

$$(d+w)^2 = dw + w^2. \quad (\text{care: these are matrices})$$

For a line bundle, $F = dw$. Let's compute using cocycles. Put on each chart U_α the connection $\nabla_\alpha = d$. Globally we get

$$\nabla = \sum \eta_{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha}$$

$$\nabla|_{U_{\alpha}} = \sum \eta_{\beta} (g_{\alpha\beta} \circ d \circ g_{\beta\alpha}) = d - \sum_{\beta} \eta_{\beta} d \log g_{\alpha\beta} \quad (\text{since } g_{\beta\alpha} = g_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}).$$

Now

$$F|_{U_{\alpha}} = - \sum_{\beta} d\eta_{\beta} d \log g_{\alpha\beta}.$$

This is global now so extends

$$F = - \sum_{\alpha\beta} \eta_{\alpha} d\eta_{\beta} d \log g_{\alpha\beta}.$$

$$= - \sum \log g_{\alpha\beta} d\eta_{\alpha} d\eta_{\beta} + d \left(\sum_{\alpha\beta} \eta_{\alpha} d\eta_{\beta} \log g_{\alpha\beta} \right).$$

The punchline:

$$c_1(L) = - \frac{1}{2\pi i} F \quad \text{in } H^2(X, \mathbb{C}).$$

Now more generally.

E vector bundle, ∇ connection on E , curvature F .

$$\det \left(I - \frac{1}{2\pi i} F \right) = c(E) \quad \text{in } \pi H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{C}).$$

To compute, one uses

$$\det(I - A) = \exp \operatorname{Tr} \log(I - A) \quad \text{which makes sense b/c } A \text{ nilpotent.}$$

Step 1. Prove that this formula yields a closed form.

Step 2. Changing connection changes the form by an exact piece.

Step 3. Check naturality.

Step 4. Normalization.

Step 5. Product formula.

↑
"Chern-Weil theory."

For the Todd genus,

$$\operatorname{Td}(E) = \det \left(\frac{-\frac{1}{2\pi i} F}{I - e^{\frac{1}{2\pi i} F}} \right).$$

and the Chern character,

$$\operatorname{ch}(E) = \operatorname{Tr} \left(e^{-\frac{1}{2\pi i} F} \right).$$