

Julius-Maximilians-Universität Würzburg
Institut für Informatik
Lehrstuhl für Informatik IV
Theoretische Informatik

Bachelor Thesis

simulation of proof systems

Nils Wisiol

submitted on May 11, 2012

supervisor:
Dr. Christian Glaßer

Contents

| | | |
|----------|--|----------|
| 1 | Introduction | 3 |
| 2 | Preliminaries | 4 |
| 3 | A set in $\text{co-NEXP} \setminus \text{OPT}$ | 5 |
| 4 | Conclusion and future work | 6 |
| | Bibliography | 8 |

1 Introduction

Welcome to my bachelor's thesis. Based upon work of Messner (1999).

2 Preliminaries

Let x be something.

Definition 1 (Proof system). *A function $f \in \mathcal{FP}$ is called proof system for a language L if the range of f is L .*

Definition 2 (OPT). *Let OPT be the complexity class of all sets that have a p -optimal proof system.*

3 A set in $\text{co-NEXP} \setminus \text{OPT}$

In this section, we will show that there are sets without optimal proof systems.

Theorem 1. *Let $t : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a time-constructible function such that for every polynomial p there is a number n with $p(n) \leq t(n)$. Then there is a language $L \in \text{co-NTIME}(t(n))$ that has no p -optimal proof system.*

Jochen Messner showed in Messner (1999) that under the same presumptions as in our theorem, there is a language $L \in \text{co-NTIME}(t(n))$ without an optimal acceptor. He also proofed that the existence of a optimal acceptor is equivalent to the existence of a optimal proof system for every p -cylinder L .

Proof. Let f_1, f_2, \dots be a enumeration of all \mathcal{FP} -functions with $\text{time}(f_i) \leq n^i + i$. For any $i > 0$, let L_i be the regular language described by the expression $0^i 10^*$. Define

How is that obtained?

$$L'_i = \{x \in L_i \mid \forall y \in \Sigma^* |y| \leq t(|x|) \implies f_i(y) \neq x\}.$$

That is, as long as you put proofs of polynomial length (relative to x) into f_i , you will not get x out. Let $L = \bigcup_{i>0} L'_i$.

First, we obtain $L \in \text{co-NTIME}(t(n))$. To show this, one considers

$$L \in \text{co-NTIME} \Leftrightarrow \overline{L} = \overline{\bigcup_{i>0} L'_i} = \bigcap_{i>0} \overline{L'_i} \in \text{NTIME}.$$

By negating the condition for L'_i , we get

$$\overline{L'_i} = \{x \in \Sigma^* \mid x \notin L_i \vee (\exists y \in \Sigma^* |y| \leq t(|x|) \wedge f_i(y) = x)\}.$$

For any given x , we can decide in polynomial time whether it is in any L_i or not. If it is not, then x is in $\overline{L'_i}$ for all $i > 0$ and therefore $x \in \overline{L}$, so we are done. If it is in any L_i , it is in exactly one L_i . Let i^* be the set with $x \in L_{i^*}$. We can simulate a deterministic polynomial-time machine calculating $f_{i^*}(y)$ on every input $y \in \Sigma^*$ with $|y| \leq t(|x|)$. If, and only if, there is a path with $f_{i^*}(y) = x$, then $x \in \overline{L}$. In both cases, $\overline{L} \in \text{NTIME}(t(n))$.

For a proof system f_i with $f_i(\Sigma^*) = L$, we observe that $L'_i = L_i$. Assume there is an $L_i \ni x = 0^i 1z \notin L'_i$. Then there is an y with $|y| \leq t(|x|)$ and $f_i(y) = x$. Since f_i is a proof system for L , this yields $x = 0^i 1z \in L$ and so $x \in L'_i$, which contradicts the assumption. Therefore, for any y with $f_i(y) = x \in L_i$ we know that $|y| > t(|x|)$. Speaking informally, every proof system f_i for L is “slow” on $L'_i \subset L$.

Assume now, for contradiction, that f_i is a optimal proof system for L . Let g be a function defined as

$$g(bx) = \begin{cases} f(x) & (b = 0), \\ x & (b = 1 \text{ and } x = 0^i 10^* \in L_i). \end{cases}$$

g is a proof system for L with polynomial length-bounded proofs for all $x \in L_i$. As f_i is optimal, there is a function $p \in \mathcal{FP}$ such that for all $x \in L'_i$, $f_i(p(x)) = g(x)$. But as $p \in \mathcal{FP}$, $|p(x)| =: y \leq q(|x|) \leq t(|x|)$ for a polynomial q . According to the definition of L'_i , this yields $f_i(y) \neq x$. Therefore, f_i is not optimal on L'_i , which contradicts the assumption that f_i is optimal on L . \square

4 Conclusion and future work

What a great work!

Hiermit versichere ich, dass ich die vorliegende Arbeit selbständig verfasst und keine anderen Hilfsmittel und Quellen als die angegebenen benutzt habe. Weiterhin versichere ich, die Arbeit weder bisher noch gleichzeitig einer anderen Prüfungsbehörde vorgelegt zu haben.

Würzburg, den _____, _____
(Nils Wisiol)

Bibliography

Messner, J. (1999). On optimal algorithms and optimal proof systems. In *Proceedings of the 16th annual conference on Theoretical aspects of computer science*, STACS'99, pages 541–550, Berlin, Heidelberg. Springer-Verlag.