

Idea of proof:

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii) easy

use that ∇_{chern} is \mathbb{C} -linear

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) $g(u, v) = \omega(u, Iv)$

∇ Levi-Civita

$$\Rightarrow d(g(x, y)) = g(\nabla x, y) + g(x, \nabla y)$$

$$\nabla \circ I = I \circ \nabla$$

$$\Rightarrow d(\omega(x, y)) = \omega(\nabla x, y) + \omega(x, \nabla y)$$

Direct computation shows that:

$$d\omega = 0$$

(i) \Rightarrow (iii) hardest part

key fact if h Kähler $\Leftrightarrow \forall x \in X$

\exists hol. coord. z_1, \dots, z_n s.t.

$$(h_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = I_n + O(\sum |z_i|^2)$$

now use that $\nabla_{\text{chern}}, \nabla_{LC}$ depend

on h to first order

\Rightarrow reduces to standard metric \square

4. The Fubini-Study metric

- $X = \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$ = dual of tautological line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)$
- Hermitian metric h on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$
via $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1) \subset \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$
and Hermitian metric h^* on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$
- Now $U_i = \{ [z_1 : \dots : 1 : \dots : z_{n+1}] \} \subset \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$
 σ_i : Canonical trivialization
of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)$

Defn: Chern form ω of h^* locally

$$\text{by } \omega_i = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \partial \bar{\partial} \log h^*(\sigma_i^*)$$

(1,1)-form on $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$

check: This is well-defined

$$\omega_j|_{U_i \cap U_i} = \omega_i|_{U_i \cap U_i}$$

Hint: Real parts of analytic fcts are harmonic

Explicitly: $\omega_i = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left(\frac{1}{1 + \sum_{j \neq i} |z_j|^2} \right)$

Facts: (i) ω is positive

Indeed, $\omega_{[0:\dots:1:0:\dots:0]} = \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{j \neq i} dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j$

(ii) ω is closed

(use that $\partial^2 = \bar{\partial}^2 = 0$, $\partial \bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial} \partial = 0$)

$\Rightarrow (X, \omega)$ compact Kähler manifold

$\Rightarrow Y \hookrightarrow X$ closed manifold

Then: (Y, ω) compact Kähler manifold

Lecture 5: The de Rham theorem
via sheaves

1. Sheaves: X topological space \mathcal{F} sheaf (of abelian groups) on X : $\mathcal{F}(U)$ ab. group

$$\mathcal{F} \text{ Presheaf } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} U \mapsto \mathcal{F}(U) \quad U \subset X \text{ open} \\ \text{s.t. if } V \subset U, \text{ then have} \\ \rho_{UV} : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V) \\ + \text{compatibility if } W \subset V \subset U \\ \mathcal{F}(\emptyset) = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

+ sheaf condition:

$$U \subset X \text{ open, } U = \bigcup_i V_i \text{ open cover}$$

$$\text{Then: } \prod \rho_{UV_i} : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \prod \mathcal{F}(V_i)$$

should be an isomorphism

$$\text{onto } \{ (\sigma_i)_{i \in I} \mid \sigma_i|_{V_i \cap V_j} = \sigma_j|_{V_i \cap V_j} \}$$

- $\phi: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ morphism of (pre-) sheaves;

$$= (\phi_U: \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U), \quad \rho_{UV}^{\mathcal{G}} \circ \phi_U = \phi_V \circ \rho_{UV}^{\mathcal{F}})$$

Fact: ("sheaves are local")

$$\phi: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \text{ monomorphism/epimorphism/isomorphism}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \phi_x: \mathcal{F}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_x \quad \text{---} \parallel \text{---}$$

$$\forall x \in X, \quad \mathcal{F}_x = \varinjlim_{U \ni x} \mathcal{F}(U)$$

- $\text{Ab}(X) = \text{category of sheaves on } X$
is abelian category, "enough injectives"

\Rightarrow can do cohomology

- Basic observation:

$$\Gamma: \text{Ab}(X) \rightarrow \text{Ab}$$

$$\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F}(X)$$

left-exact, but not right exact

e.g. X complex manifold

$$\exp: \mathcal{O}_X \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^*$$

(since logarithms exist locally)

but in general:

$$\mathcal{O}_X(X) \not\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^*(X)$$

2. Sheaf cohomology,

- $\{H^q(X, \mathcal{F})\}_{q \geq 0}$ sequence of abelian groups

(i) choose injective resolution

$$\mathcal{I}^\bullet = (\mathcal{I}^0 \xrightarrow{\phi^0} \mathcal{I}^1 \xrightarrow{\phi^1} \mathcal{I}^2 \rightarrow \dots)$$

$$j: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^0, \text{ s.t.}$$

(a) \mathcal{I}^q injective

$$(b) \mathcal{I}^{q-1} \xrightarrow{\phi^{q-1}} \mathcal{I}^q \xrightarrow{\phi^q} \mathcal{I}^{q+1} \text{ exact}$$

and j monomorphism

$$j(\mathcal{F}) = \ker(\phi^0)$$

(ii) Apply Γ to \mathcal{Z}^\bullet

no complex $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{Z}^\bullet)$ of
abelian groups

$$(iii) H^q(X, \mathcal{F}) := H^q(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{Z}^\bullet))$$

Need to check: (a) \mathcal{Z}^\bullet exists

(b) $H^q(X, \mathcal{F})$ independent of
choice of \mathcal{Z}^\bullet

$$\bullet H^0(X, \mathcal{F}) = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}(X)$$

In Practice can compute

$H^q(X, \mathcal{F})$ using either

• flasque/flabby resolution

(functorial)

• fine resolution

(partitions of unity exist)

• not obvious

3. De Rham Cohomology:

- X smooth manifold, $\dim X = n$

$$\underline{\mathbb{R}} = \text{constant sheaf}, \quad \underline{\mathbb{R}}_x = \mathbb{R}$$

warning: $\underline{\mathbb{R}}(U) \neq \mathbb{R}$

- de Rham complex

$$A^0 \xrightarrow{d} A^1 \xrightarrow{d} A^2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow A^n \rightarrow 0$$

sheaves of C^∞ -modules

- Poincaré lemma \Rightarrow resolution
of $\underline{\mathbb{R}}$

Fact i (i) A^k is fine

$$(ii) \quad (i) \Rightarrow H^q(X, A^k) = 0, \quad q > 0$$

$$(iii) \quad H^q(X, \underline{\mathbb{R}}) \cong H^q(\Gamma(X, A^\bullet))$$

\uparrow
usual de
Rham cohomology