

Table 1
List of samples/studies for perceived injustice

Study	<i>N</i>	<i>r</i>	Design	Sample	Age	Continent	Country	Setting	Published	Intended
Bagci and Stathi, 2020	1	-.03	Cross-Sectional	Convenience	> 18	Asia	Turkey	Post-Colonial	No	No
	2	-.14	Cross-Sectional	Probability	> 18	Europe	UK	L.-T. Migration	No	No
Bagci and Turnuklu, 2019	1	-.25	Cross-Sectional	Students	> 18	Asia	Turkey	Post-Colonial	Yes	Yes
Bagci et al., 2018a	1	-.06	Cross-Sectional	Convenience	> 18	Asia	Turkey	Other	Yes	No
Bagci et al., 2019	1	.19	Cross-Sectional	Convenience	> 18	Europe	UK	L.-T. Migration	Yes	Yes
	2	-.00	Cross-Sectional	Convenience	> 18	Asia	Turkey	Post-Colonial	Yes	Yes
	3	-.08	Cross-Sectional	Convenience	> 18	Asia	Turkey	Post-Colonial	Yes	Yes
Bagci et al., 2020	1	-.11	Cross-Sectional	Convenience	> 18	Asia	Turkey	Other	Yes	No
	2	-.05	Cross-Sectional	Convenience	> 18	Asia	Turkey	Sexuality	Yes	No
	3	-.07	Cross-Sectional	Convenience	> 18	Asia	Turkey	Post-Colonial	Yes	No
Bagci, 2014	1	.05	Cross-Sectional	Students	< 18	Europe	UK	L.-T. Migration	No	No
	2	-.04	Cross-Sectional	Students	< 18	Europe	UK	L.-T. Migration	No	No
Benner and Wang, 2017	1	.11	Cross-Sectional	Students	< 18	N. America	USA	L.-T. Migration	Yes	No
Bond and Dixon, 2020	1	.13	Cross-Sectional	Probability	> 18	Europe	UK	L.-T. Migration	No	Yes
Çakal et al., 2011	1	-.26	Cross-Sectional	Students	> 18	Africa	S. Africa	Post-Colonial	Yes	Yes
Çakal et al., 2016	1	-.31	Cross-Sectional	Convenience	> 18	Asia	Turkey	Post-Colonial	Yes	Yes
Castro Solano and Lupano Perugini, 2013	1	-.35	Cross-Sectional	Students	> 18	S. America	Argentina	S.-T. Migration	Yes	No
Castro, 2005	1	-.11	Cross-Sectional	Students	< 18	S. America	Costa Rica	Post-Slavery	Yes	No
Çelebi et al., 2016	1	-.27	Cross-Sectional	Students	> 18	Asia	Turkey	Post-Colonial	Yes	Yes
Cernat, 2019	1	-.11	Cross-Sectional	Probability	> 18	Europe	Romania	Other	Yes	Yes
Chang, 2017	1	.07	Cross-Sectional	Students	> 18	N. America	USA	S.-T. Migration	No	Yes
Cruse, 2015	1	.44	Cross-Sectional	Students	> 18	N. America	USA	Post-Slavery	No	Yes
de Vroome et al., 2014	1	.02	Cross-Sectional	Probability	> 18	Europe	Netherlands	L.-T. Migration	Yes	No
	2	-.03	Cross-Sectional	Probability	> 18	Europe	Netherlands	L.-T. Migration	Yes	No
Di Bernardo et al., 2019	1	.23	Cross-Sectional	Students	< 18	Europe	Italy	L.-T. Migration	Yes	Yes
Di Saint Pierre et al., 2015	1	-.07	Cross-Sectional	Probability	> 18	Europe	Netherlands	L.-T. Migration	Yes	Yes
Dixon et al., 2010	1	-.22	Cross-Sectional	Probability	> 18	Africa	S. Africa	Post-Colonial	Yes	Yes
Droogendyk et al., 2016	1	-.01	Experimental	Students	> 18	N. America	Canada	L.-T. Migration	Yes	Yes
Eller et al., 2020	1	.07	Cross-Sectional	Students	> 18	S. America	Mexico	Other	Yes	No
Franco, 2019	1	-.25	Cross-Sectional	Probability	> 18	N. America	USA	Other	Yes	No
Goto et al., 2002	1	.22	Cross-Sectional	Probability	> 18	N. America	USA	L.-T. Migration	Yes	Yes
Haase et al., 2019	1	-.06	Cross-Sectional	Convenience	< 18	Europe	Germany	L.-T. Migration	Yes	No
Hayward et al., 2017	1	-.33	Cross-Sectional	Convenience	> 18	N. America	USA	Post-Slavery	Yes	Yes
Hayward et al., 2018	1	-.04	Cross-Sectional	Convenience	> 18	N. America	USA	Post-Slavery	Yes	Yes
	2	-.28	Cross-Sectional	Convenience	> 18	N. America	USA	L.-T. Migration	Yes	Yes
Head, 2013	1	.10	Cross-Sectional	Probability	> 18	N. America	USA	Post-Slavery	No	Yes

Study	N	r	Design	Sample	Age	Continent	Country	Setting	Published	Intended
Herda, 2013	2	.10	Cross-Sectional	Probability	>18	N. America	USA	L.-T. Migration	No	Yes
	3	.02	Cross-Sectional	Probability	>18	N. America	USA	Post-Slavery	No	No
	4	.01	Cross-Sectional	Probability	>18	N. America	USA	L.-T. Migration	No	No
	1	.06	Cross-Sectional	Probability	<18	N. America	USA	Post-Slavery	Yes	No
Herda, 2016	1	.07	Cross-Sectional	Probability	<18	N. America	USA	L.-T. Migration	Yes	No
Hui et al., 2015	1	.32	Cross-Sectional	Convenience	>18	Asia	Hong Kong	L.-T. Migration	Yes	No
Hunt et al., 2007	1	.07	Cross-Sectional	Convenience	>18	N. America	USA	Post-Slavery	Yes	Yes
Jasinskaja-Lahti et al., 2012	1	.41	Cross-Sectional	Convenience	>18	Europe	Finland	L.-T. Migration	Yes	No
Jiang et al., 2016	1	.33	Cross-Sectional	Students	>18	Asia	Singapore	S.-T. Migration	Yes	No
Jones, 2017	1	.18	Cross-Sectional	Convenience	>18	Multiple	Multiple	Post-Colonial	No	No
Kamberi et al., 2017	1	.19	Cross-Sectional	Students	<18	Europe	Macedonia	Other	Yes	Yes
Kanas et al., 2015	1	.14	Cross-Sectional	Probability	>18	Asia	Indonesia	Religion	Yes	No
Kende et al., 2020	1	.05	Cross-Sectional	Probability	<18	Europe	Belgium	L.-T. Migration	Yes	No
Kiang et al., 2011	1	.16	Cross-Sectional	Probability	<18	N. America	USA	L.-T. Migration	Yes	Yes
Kim, 2015	1	.03	Cross-Sectional	Students	<18	N. America	USA	L.-T. Migration	No	No
	2	.08	Cross-Sectional	Probability	<18	N. America	USA	L.-T. Migration	No	No
	3	.09	Cross-Sectional	Probability	<18	N. America	USA	L.-T. Migration	No	No
Koschate et al., 2012	1	.00	Longitudinal	Probability	<18	Europe	Germany	Other	Yes	Yes
Krahé et al., 2005	1	.16	Cross-Sectional	Students	>18	Europe	Germany	S.-T. Migration	Yes	Yes
	2	.23	Cross-Sectional	Students	>18	Europe	UK	S.-T. Migration	Yes	Yes
	3	.15	Cross-Sectional	Convenience	>18	Europe	Germany	S.-T. Migration	Yes	Yes
Leong and Ward, 2000	1	.16	Cross-Sectional	Convenience	>18	Asia	Singapore	S.-T. Migration	Yes	No
Leszczensky et al., 2020	1	.07	Cross-Sectional	Probability	<18	Europe	Germany	L.-T. Migration	Yes	No
	2	.06	Cross-Sectional	Probability	<18	Europe	Netherlands	L.-T. Migration	Yes	No
	3	.05	Cross-Sectional	Probability	<18	Europe	Sweden	L.-T. Migration	Yes	No
Lopez, 2004	1	.19	Cross-Sectional	Students	>18	N. America	USA	L.-T. Migration	Yes	Yes
	2	.03	Cross-Sectional	Students	>18	N. America	USA	Post-Slavery	Yes	Yes
Lutterbach and Beelmann, 2020	1	.24	Cross-Sectional	Convenience	>18	Europe	Germany	L.-T. Migration	Yes	Yes
Mallet et al., 2013	1	.07	Cross-Sectional	Probability	>18	Europe	UK	Religion	Yes	Yes
Martiny et al., 2020	1	.18	Cross-Sectional	Students	>18	Europe	Norway	L.-T. Migration	Yes	No
McGinley, 2007	1	.04	Cross-Sectional	Convenience	>18	Europe	Russia	L.-T. Migration	No	No
Perrucci and Hu, 1995	1	.15	Cross-Sectional	Students	>18	N. America	USA	S.-T. Migration	Yes	No
Poore et al., 2002	1	.14	Cross-Sectional	Convenience	<18	N. America	Canada	Post-Colonial	Yes	Yes
Prati et al., 2020	1	.03	Longitudinal	Convenience	>18	Europe	Italy	L.-T. Migration	No	Yes
Rafqi and Thomsen, 2020	1	.07	Cross-Sectional	Probability	>18	Europe	Denmark	L.-T. Migration	Yes	No
Reimer et al., 2017	1	.24	Cross-Sectional	Students	>18	Europe	UK	Sexuality	Yes	Yes
	2	.09	Longitudinal	Students	>18	Multiple	Multiple	Sexuality	Yes	Yes
Reimer et al., 2020	1	.13	Cross-Sectional	Students	>18	Asia	India	Caste	No	Yes
	2	.00	Cross-Sectional	Students	>18	Asia	India	Caste	No	Yes
Rodriguez and Gurin, 1990	1	.12	Cross-Sectional	Probability	>18	N. America	USA	L.-T. Migration	Yes	Yes

Study		N	r	Design	Sample	Age	Continent	Country	Setting	Published	Intended
Rowley et al., 2008	1	68	.04	Cross-Sectional	Students	<18	N. America	USA	Post-Slavery	Yes	Yes
Ruck et al., 2011	1	129	.04	Cross-Sectional	Students	<18	N. America	USA	Post-Slavery	Yes	Yes
Saenz, 2005	1	1,337	.02	Cross-Sectional	Students	>18	N. America	USA	L.-T. Migration	No	Yes
Saguy and Chernyak-Hai, 2012	1	74	-.34	Cross-Sectional	Students	>18	Asia	Israel	L.-T. Migration	Yes	Yes
Saguy et al., 2009	2	175	-.22	Cross-Sectional	Students	>18	Asia	Israel	Post-Colonial	Yes	Yes
Schäfer et al., 2018	1	751	-.02	Longitudinal	Probability	<18	Europe	UK	L.-T. Migration	No	Yes
Sengupta and Sibley, 2013	1	1,008	-.02	Cross-Sectional	Probability	>18	Oceania	NZ	Post-Colonial	Yes	Yes
Sengupta and Sibley, 2020	1	1,977	-.10	Longitudinal	Probability	>18	Oceania	NZ	Post-Colonial	No	No
	2	526	-.02	Longitudinal	Probability	>18	Oceania	NZ	L.-T. Migration	No	No
	3	684	-.03	Longitudinal	Probability	>18	Oceania	NZ	L.-T. Migration	No	No
Sengupta, 2020b	1	4,708	.02	Cross-Sectional	Probability	>18	Asia	India	Religion	No	Yes
Sengupta, 2020a	1	13,646	-.11	Cross-Sectional	Probability	>18	Asia	India	Caste	No	Yes
	2	1,526	-.21	Cross-Sectional	Probability	>18	Asia	India	Religion	No	Yes
	2	49,764	-.10	Cross-Sectional	Probability	>18	Asia	India	Caste	No	Yes
	3	935	-.19	Cross-Sectional	Probability	>18	Asia	India	Religion	No	Yes
	3	33,137	-.08	Cross-Sectional	Probability	>18	Asia	India	Caste	No	Yes
	4	271	-.22	Cross-Sectional	Probability	>18	Asia	India	Religion	No	Yes
Shammas, 2009	1	753	-.11	Cross-Sectional	Students	>18	N. America	USA	L.-T. Migration	No	No
Shani and Boehnke, 2017	1	281	.04	Quasi-Experimental	Students	<18	Asia	Israel	Post-Colonial	Yes	Yes
Simons et al., 2019	1	385	.00	Cross-Sectional	Convenience	>18	N. America	USA	Post-Slavery	Yes	No
Swami et al., 2010	1	249	-.38	Cross-Sectional	Students	>18	Europe	UK	S.-T. Migration	Yes	No
Swami, 2009	1	81	-.75	Cross-Sectional	Students	>18	Europe	UK	S.-T. Migration	Yes	No
	2	110	-.03	Cross-Sectional	Students	>18	Europe	UK	S.-T. Migration	Yes	No
Tausch et al., 2015	1	112	-.06	Cross-Sectional	Students	>18	N. America	USA	L.-T. Migration	Yes	Yes
Teney, 2011	1	1,445	-.08	Cross-Sectional	Probability	<18	Europe	Belgium	S.-T. Migration	Yes	No
Thomsen and Rafiqi, 2016	1	3,958	-.03	Cross-Sectional	Probability	>18	Europe	Denmark	L.-T. Migration	Yes	No
Titzmann et al., 2007	1	506	.00	Cross-Sectional	Convenience	<18	Europe	Germany	L.-T. Migration	Yes	No
	1	506	-.04	Cross-Sectional	Convenience	<18	Europe	Germany	L.-T. Migration	Yes	No
	2	506	-.07	Cross-Sectional	Convenience	<18	Asia	Israel	L.-T. Migration	Yes	No
	2	506	-.12	Cross-Sectional	Convenience	<18	Asia	Israel	L.-T. Migration	Yes	No
Tostado, 2017	1	313	-.00	Cross-Sectional	Probability	<18	N. America	USA	L.-T. Migration	No	No
	2	918	.07	Cross-Sectional	Probability	<18	N. America	USA	Post-Slavery	No	No
	3	118	.08	Cross-Sectional	Probability	<18	N. America	USA	Post-Colonial	No	No
	4	150	-.07	Cross-Sectional	Probability	<18	N. America	USA	L.-T. Migration	No	No
Tropp et al., 2012	1	72	-.29	Longitudinal	Students	>18	N. America	USA	Post-Slavery	Yes	Yes
	2	282	-.11	Longitudinal	Students	>18	N. America	USA	L.-T. Migration	Yes	Yes
	3	417	.05	Longitudinal	Students	>18	N. America	USA	L.-T. Migration	Yes	Yes
Tropp et al., 2018	1	502	-.25	Cross-Sectional	Probability	>18	N. America	USA	Post-Slavery	Yes	No
	2	500	-.26	Cross-Sectional	Probability	>18	N. America	USA	L.-T. Migration	Yes	No
	3	501	-.20	Cross-Sectional	Probability	>18	N. America	USA	L.-T. Migration	Yes	No

Study		N	r	Design	Sample	Age	Continent	Country	Setting	Published	Intended
Tropp, 2007	1	709	.06	Cross-Sectional	Probability	> 18	N. America	USA	Post-Slavery	Yes	Yes
Twali et al., 2020	1	201	-.10	Cross-Sectional	Convenience	> 18	N. America	USA	L.-T. Migration	No	Yes
	2	209	.08	Cross-Sectional	Convenience	> 18	N. America	USA	Post-Slavery	No	Yes
Vedder et al., 2007	1	736	.03	Cross-Sectional	Students	< 18	Multiple	Multiple	L.-T. Migration	Yes	No
Wölfer and Hewstone, 2019	1	466	-.07	Cross-Sectional	Students	< 18	Europe	UK	L.-T. Migration	No	No
	2	161	-.04	Cross-Sectional	Students	< 18	Europe	UK	L.-T. Migration	No	No
	3	86	.06	Cross-Sectional	Students	< 18	Europe	UK	Other	No	No
Yu, Bodycott, et al., 2019	1	726	-.09	Cross-Sectional	Students	> 18	Asia	Hong Kong	S.-T. Migration	Yes	No
Yu, Mak, et al., 2019	1	2,201	-.11	Cross-Sectional	Students	> 18	Asia	Hong Kong	S.-T. Migration	Yes	No

Note. Age: > 18 = Sample consisted of adults (> 18 years). > 18 = Sample included adolescents/children (< 18 years). Setting: L.-T. Migration = Long-Term Migration, S.-T. Migration = Short-Term Migration. Published: Has the study been published? Intended: Was the study conducted with the intention to examine the effects of intergroup contact on one or more of the three outcome measures?

Table 2
List of samples/studies for collective action

Study	<i>N</i>	<i>r</i>	Design	Sample	Age	Continent	Country	Setting	Published	Intended
Albhour et al., 2019	1	-19	Cross-Sectional	Convenience	> 18	Asia	Palestine	Post-Colonial	Yes	Yes
Bagci and Turnuklu, 2019	1	-10	Cross-Sectional	Students	> 18	Asia	Turkey	Post-Colonial	Yes	Yes
Bagci et al., 2018b	1	.31	Cross-Sectional	Convenience	> 18	Asia	Turkey	Other	Yes	Yes
Becker et al., 2013	1	-.35	Experimental	Students	> 18	N. America	Canada	Other	Yes	Yes
Bond and Dixon, 2020	1	.05	Cross-Sectional	Probability	> 18	Europe	UK	L.-T. Migration	No	Yes
Çakal et al., 2011	1	-.29	Cross-Sectional	Students	> 18	Africa	S. Africa	Post-Colonial	Yes	Yes
Çakal et al., 2016	1	-.13	Cross-Sectional	Convenience	> 18	Asia	Turkey	Post-Colonial	Yes	Yes
Di Bernardo et al., 2019	1	.20	Cross-Sectional	Students	< 18	Europe	Italy	L.-T. Migration	Yes	Yes
Dixon et al., 2017	1	.15	Cross-Sectional	Students	> 18	Asia	India	Religion	Yes	Yes
Droogendyk et al., 2016	1	.05	Experimental	Students	> 18	N. America	Canada	L.-T. Migration	Yes	Yes
Hässler et al., 2020	1	-.04	Cross-Sectional	Convenience	> 18	Multiple	Multiple	Multiple	Yes	Yes
	11	-.09	Cross-Sectional	Convenience	> 18	Multiple	Multiple	Sexuality	Yes	Yes
Hayward et al., 2018	1	.08	Cross-Sectional	Convenience	> 18	N. America	USA	Post-Slavery	Yes	Yes
	2	-.03	Cross-Sectional	Convenience	> 18	N. America	USA	L.-T. Migration	Yes	Yes
Lubensky, 2004	1	-.12	Cross-Sectional	Students	> 18	N. America	USA	L.-T. Migration	No	Yes
	2	-.10	Cross-Sectional	Students	> 18	N. America	USA	Post-Slavery	No	Yes
McKeown and Taylor, 2017	1	-.30	Cross-Sectional	Students	> 18	Europe	UK	Religion	Yes	Yes
Pereira et al., 2017	1	.16	Cross-Sectional	Convenience	< 18	Europe	Bulgaria	Other	Yes	Yes
Prati et al., 2020	1	.04	Longitudinal	Convenience	> 18	Europe	Italy	L.-T. Migration	No	Yes
Reimer et al., 2017	1	-.03	Cross-Sectional	Students	> 18	Europe	UK	Sexuality	Yes	Yes
	2	.05	Longitudinal	Students	> 18	Multiple	Multiple	Sexuality	Yes	Yes
Saab et al., 2017	1	-.28	Cross-Sectional	Probability	> 18	Asia	Lebanon	L.-T. Migration	Yes	Yes
Schäfer et al., 2018	1	-.22	Longitudinal	Probability	< 18	Europe	UK	L.-T. Migration	No	Yes
Sengupta and Sibley, 2020	1	-.06	Longitudinal	Probability	> 18	Oceania	NZ	Post-Colonial	No	No
	2	.00	Longitudinal	Probability	> 18	Oceania	NZ	L.-T. Migration	No	No
	3	.02	Longitudinal	Probability	> 18	Oceania	NZ	L.-T. Migration	No	No
Sengupta, 2020b	1	.03	Cross-Sectional	Probability	> 18	Asia	India	Religion	No	Yes
Sengupta, 2020a	1	-.03	Cross-Sectional	Probability	> 18	Asia	India	Caste	No	Yes
	2	-.28	Cross-Sectional	Probability	> 18	Asia	India	Religion	No	Yes
	2	-.02	Cross-Sectional	Probability	> 18	Asia	India	Caste	No	Yes
	3	-.02	Cross-Sectional	Probability	> 18	Asia	India	Religion	No	Yes
	3	-.03	Cross-Sectional	Probability	> 18	Asia	India	Caste	No	Yes
	4	.08	Cross-Sectional	Probability	> 18	Asia	India	Religion	No	Yes
Tausch et al., 2015	1	-.23	Cross-Sectional	Students	> 18	N. America	USA	L.-T. Migration	Yes	Yes
Tropp et al., 2012	1	-.12	Longitudinal	Students	> 18	N. America	USA	Post-Slavery	Yes	Yes
	2	-.17	Longitudinal	Students	> 18	N. America	USA	L.-T. Migration	Yes	Yes
	3	-.03	Longitudinal	Students	> 18	N. America	USA	L.-T. Migration	Yes	Yes

Study	<i>N</i>	<i>r</i>	Design	Sample	Age	Continent	Country	Setting	Published	Intended
-------	----------	----------	--------	--------	-----	-----------	---------	---------	-----------	----------

Note. Age: > 18 = Sample consisted of adults (> 18 years). > 18 = Sample included adolescents/children (< 18 years). Setting: L.-T. Migration = Long-Term Migration, S.-T. Migration = Short-Term Migration. Published: Has the study been published? Intended: Was the study conducted with the intention to examine the effects of intergroup contact on one or more of the three outcome measures?

Table 3
List of samples/studies for policy support

Study		<i>N</i>	<i>r</i>	Design	Sample	Age	Continent	Country	Setting	Published	Intended
Çakal et al., 2011	1	488	-.27	Cross-Sectional	Students	> 18	Africa	S. Africa	Post-Colonial	Yes	Yes
Çelebi et al., 2016	1	320	-.23	Cross-Sectional	Students	> 18	Asia	Turkey	Post-Colonial	Yes	Yes
Cernat, 2019	1	602	-.09	Cross-Sectional	Probability	> 18	Europe	Romania	Other	Yes	Yes
Dixon et al., 2007	1	1,556	-.09	Cross-Sectional	Probability	> 18	Africa	S. Africa	Post-Colonial	Yes	Yes
Forbes, 2001	1	658	-.09	Cross-Sectional	Probability	> 18	N. America	USA	Post-Slavery	No	Yes
Hässler et al., 2020	1	1,000	-.01	Cross-Sectional	Convenience	> 18	Multiple	Multiple	Multiple	Yes	Yes
	11	3,883	-.09	Cross-Sectional	Convenience	> 18	Multiple	Multiple	Sexuality	Yes	Yes
Kamperi et al., 2017	1	187	.06	Cross-Sectional	Students	< 18	Europe	Macedonia	Other	Yes	Yes
Lopez, 2004	1	165	.17	Cross-Sectional	Students	> 18	N. America	USA	L.-T. Migration	Yes	Yes
	2	92	.10	Cross-Sectional	Students	> 18	N. America	USA	Post-Slavery	Yes	Yes
Reimer et al., 2020	1	76	-.10	Cross-Sectional	Students	> 18	Asia	India	Caste	No	Yes
	2	127	-.04	Cross-Sectional	Students	> 18	Asia	India	Caste	No	Yes
Saguy and Chernyak-Hai, 2012	1	74	-.24	Cross-Sectional	Students	> 18	Asia	Israel	L.-T. Migration	Yes	Yes
Saguy et al., 2009	2	175	.00	Cross-Sectional	Students	> 18	Asia	Israel	Post-Colonial	Yes	Yes
Sengupta and Sibley, 2013	1	1,008	.03	Cross-Sectional	Probability	> 18	Oceania	NZ	Post-Colonial	Yes	Yes
Sengupta and Sibley, 2020	1	1,977	-.02	Longitudinal	Probability	> 18	Oceania	NZ	Post-Colonial	No	No
	2	526	-.00	Longitudinal	Probability	> 18	Oceania	NZ	L.-T. Migration	No	No
	3	684	-.00	Longitudinal	Probability	> 18	Oceania	NZ	L.-T. Migration	No	No
Uluğ and Cohrs, 2017	1	105	-.14	Cross-Sectional	Convenience	> 18	Asia	Turkey	Post-Colonial	Yes	Yes

Note. Age: > 18 = Sample consisted of adults (> 18 years). > 18 = Sample included adolescents/children (< 18 years). Setting: L.-T. Migration = Long-Term Migration, S.-T. Migration = Short-Term Migration. Published: Has the study been published? Intended: Was the study conducted with the intention to examine the effects of intergroup contact on one or more of the three outcome measures?

References

- Albzour, M., Penic, S., Nasser, R., & Green, E. G. T. (2019). Support for 'normalization' of relations between Palestinians and Israelis, and how it relates to contact and resistance in the West Bank. *Journal of Social and Political Psychology*, 7(2), 978–996. <https://doi.org/10.5964/jspp.v7i2.877>
- Bagci, S. C. (2014). *Cross-ethnic friendships in multiethnic educational settings: Consequences for psychological, academic and motivational outcomes among young adolescents* (Publication No. 1779551294) [Doctoral dissertation, Goldsmiths, University of London]. ProQuest Dissertations and Theses Global.
- Bagci, S. C., & Stathi, S. (2020). *Secure and insecure ingroup identification as mediators between identity threat and psychological well-being and intergroup bias* [Manuscript in preparation].
- Bagci, S. C., Stathi, S., & Piyale, Z. E. (2019). Imagined contact facilitates acculturation, sometimes: Contradicting evidence from two sociocultural contexts. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology*, 25(4), 539–552. <https://doi.org/10.1037/cdp0000256>
- Bagci, S. C., & Turnuklu, A. (2019). Intended, unintended, and unknown consequences of contact: The role of positive-negative contact on outgroup attitudes, collective action tendencies, and psychological well-being. *Social Psychology*, 50(1), 7–23. <https://doi.org/10.1027/1864-9335/a000355>
- Bagci, S. C., Turnuklu, A., & Bekmezci, E. (2018a). The buffering role of in-group identification and intergroup contact on the association between perceived discrimination and mental health. *Journal of Community & Applied Social Psychology*, 28(5), 293–305. <https://doi.org/10.1002/casp.2357>
- Bagci, S. C., Turnuklu, A., & Bekmezci, E. (2018b). Cross-group friendships and psychological well-being: A dual pathway through social integration and empowerment. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 57(4), 773–792. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjso.12267>
- Bagci, S. C., Verkuyten, M., Koc, Y., Turnuklu, A., Piyale, Z. E., & Bekmezci, E. (2020). Being tolerated and being discriminated against: Links to psychological well-being through threatened social identity needs. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 50, 1463–1477. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.2699>
- Becker, J. C., Wright, S. C., Lubensky, M. E., & Zhou, S. (2013). Friend or ally: Whether cross-group contact undermines collective action depends on what advantaged group members say (or don't say). *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 39(4), 442–455. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167213477155>
- Benner, A. D., & Wang, Y. (2017). Racial/ethnic discrimination and adolescents' well-being: The role of cross-ethnic friendships and friends' experiences of discrimination. *Child Development*, 88(2), 493–504. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.12606>
- Bond, J., & Dixon, J. (2020). *White and Black UK residents' support for collective action for the removal of public symbols associated with historical racism in England* [Unpublished dataset]. The Open University.
- Çakal, H., Hewstone, M., Güler, M., & Heath, A. (2016). Predicting support for collective action in the conflict between Turks and Kurds: Perceived threats as a mediator of intergroup contact and social identity. *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations*, 19(6), 732–752. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1368430216641303>
- Çakal, H., Hewstone, M., Schwär, G., & Heath, A. (2011). An investigation of the social identity model of collective action and the 'sedative' effect of intergroup contact among Black and White students in South Africa. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 50(4), 606–627. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8309.2011.02075.x>
- Castro, V. S. (2005). Discriminación percibida y autoestima en jóvenes de grupos minoritarios y mayoritarios en Costa Rica [Perceived discrimination and self-esteem among ethnic majority and minority youths in Costa Rica]. *Revista Interamericana de Psicología*, 39(1), 93–106.
- Castro Solano, A., & Lupano Perugini, M. L. (2013). Predictores de la adaptación sociocultural de estudiantes universitarios extranjeros en Argentina [Predictors of socio-cultural adaptation of foreign university students in Argentina]. *Interdisciplinaria*, 30(2), 265–281.
- Çelebi, E., Verkuyten, M., & Smyrnioti, N. (2016). Support for Kurdish language rights in Turkey: The roles of ethnic group, group identifications, contact, and intergroup perceptions. *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, 39(6), 1034–1051. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01419870.2015.1103881>
- Cernat, V. (2019). Interminority contact and solidarity under conflict: Evidence from the Hungarian and Roma minorities in Romania. *Basic and Applied Social Psychology*, 41(5), 326–339. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01973533.2019.1652179>
- Chang, T. K. (2017). *Psychological distress of Asian international students: Applying intergroup contact theory* (Publication No. 10289373) [Doctoral dissertation, Indiana University]. ProQuest Dissertations and Theses Global.

- Cruse, S. L. (2015). *The relationship of ethnic identity, perceived discrimination, and outgroup contact to racial attitudes toward White people in African American college students* (Publication No. 1708665313) [Doctoral dissertation, University of Kansas]. ProQuest Dissertations and Theses Global.
- de Vroome, T., Verkuyten, M., & Martinovic, B. (2014). Host national identification of immigrants in the Netherlands. *International Migration Review*, 48(1), 1–27. <https://doi.org/10.1111/imre.12063>
- Di Bernardo, G. A., Vezzali, L., Stathi, S., McKeown Jones, S., Cocco, V. M., Saguy, T., & Dixon, J. (2019). Fostering social change among advantaged and disadvantaged group members: Integrating intergroup contact and social identity perspectives on collective action. *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1368430219889134>
- Di Saint Pierre, F., Martinovic, B., & De Vroome, T. (2015). Return wishes of refugees in the Netherlands: The role of integration, host national identification and perceived discrimination. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies*, 41(11), 1836–1857. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369183X.2015.1023184>
- Dixon, J., Çakal, H., Khan, W., Osmany, M., Majumdar, S., & Hassan, M. (2017). Contact, political solidarity and collective action: An Indian case study of relations between historically disadvantaged communities. *Journal of Community & Applied Social Psychology*, 27(1), 83–95. <https://doi.org/10.1002/casp.2296>
- Dixon, J., Durrheim, K., & Tredoux, C. (2007). Intergroup contact and attitudes toward the principle and practice of racial equality. *Psychological Science*, 18(10), 867–872. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2007.01993.x>
- Dixon, J., Durrheim, K., Tredoux, C., Tropp, L. R., Clack, B., & Eaton, L. (2010). A paradox of integration? Interracial contact, prejudice reduction, and perceptions of racial discrimination: A paradox of integration. *Journal of Social Issues*, 66(2), 401–416. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4560.2010.01652.x>
- Droogendyk, L., Louis, W. R., & Wright, S. C. (2016). Renewed promise for positive cross-group contact: The role of supportive contact in empowering collective action. *Canadian Journal of Behavioural Science / Revue canadienne des sciences du comportement*, 48(4), 317–327. <https://doi.org/10.1037/cbs0000058>
- Eller, A., Abrams, D., Wright, S. C., & Davies, B. (2020). Effects of intergroup contact and relative gratification vs deprivation on prejudice on both sides of the U.S./Mexico status divide. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 50(7), 406–417. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jasp.12669>
- Forbes, J. M. (2001). *Affirmative action attitudes in black and white* (Publication No. 304725597) [Doctoral dissertation, Wayne State University]. ProQuest Dissertations and Theses Global.
- Franco, M. (2019). Let the racism tell you who your friends are: The effects of racism on social connections and life-satisfaction for Multiracial people. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, 69, 54–65. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijintrel.2018.12.005>
- Goto, S. G., Gee, G. C., & Takeuchi, D. T. (2002). Strangers still? The experience of discrimination among Chinese Americans. *Journal of Community Psychology*, 30(2), 211–224. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcop.9998>
- Haase, A., Rohmann, A., & Hallmann, K. (2019). An ecological approach to psychological adjustment: A field survey among refugees in Germany. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, 68, 44–54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijintrel.2018.10.003>
- Hässler, T., Ullrich, J., Bernardino, M., Shnabel, N., Laar, C. V., Valdenegro, D., Sebben, S., Tropp, L. R., Visintin, E. P., González, R., Dittmann, R. K., Abrams, D., Selvanathan, H. P., Branković, M., Wright, S., von Zimmermann, J., Pasek, M., Aydin, A. L., Žeželj, I., ... Ugarte, L. M. (2020). A large-scale test of the link between intergroup contact and support for social change. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 4(4), 380–386. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-019-0815-z>
- Hayward, L. E., Hornsey, M. J., Tropp, L. R., & Barlow, F. K. (2017). Positive and negative intergroup contact predict Black and White Americans' judgments about police violence against Black Americans. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 47(11), 605–615. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jasp.12463>
- Hayward, L. E., Tropp, L. R., Hornsey, M. J., & Barlow, F. K. (2018). How negative contact and positive contact with Whites predict collective action among racial and ethnic minorities. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 57(1), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjso.12220>
- Head, R. N. (2013). *Coping with perceived discrimination* (Publication No. 1513591939) [Doctoral dissertation, North Carolina State University]. ProQuest Dissertations and Theses Global.
- Herda, D. E. (2013). *Hostile contact: Self-reports of interpersonal discrimination among Blacks, Whites and Latinos in Chicago* (Publication No. 1467751578) [Doctoral dissertation, University of California, Davis]. ProQuest Dissertations and Theses Global.
- Herda, D. E. (2016). The specter of discrimination: Fear of interpersonal racial discrimination among adolescents in Chicago. *Social Science Research*, 55, 48–62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssresearch.2015.09.010>

- Hui, B. P. H., Chen, S. X., Leung, C. M., & Berry, J. W. (2015). Facilitating adaptation and intercultural contact: The role of integration and multicultural ideology in dominant and non-dominant groups. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, 45, 70–84. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijintrel.2015.01.002>
- Hunt, M. O., Wise, L. A., Jipguep, M.-C., Cozier, Y. C., & Rosenberg, L. (2007). Neighborhood racial composition and perceptions of racial discrimination: Evidence from the Black women's health study. *Social Psychology Quarterly*, 70(3), 272–289. <https://doi.org/10.1177/019027250707000306>
- Jasinskaja-Lahti, I., Mähönen, T. A., & Liebkind, K. (2012). Identity and attitudinal reactions to perceptions of inter-group interactions among ethnic migrants: A longitudinal study. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 51(2), 312–329. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8309.2011.02059.x>
- Jiang, Q., Aricat, R. G., Chib, A., Chia, A., Tan, S. M., Tan, L., & Woo, Z. W. (2016). Silent but brewing: Reactive ethnicity and interculturality among Chinese students in Singapore. *Journal of Intercultural Communication*, 40. <http://www.immi.se/intercultural/nr40/aricat.html>
- Jones, M. L. (2017). *Attitudes and experiences of close interethnic friendships among Native emerging adults: A mixed-methods investigation* (Publication No. 1871606658) [Doctoral dissertation, Utah State University]. ProQuest Dissertations and Theses Global.
- Kamberi, E., Martinovic, B., & Verkuyten, M. (2017). Intergroup contact and minority group empowerment: The perspective of Roma and non-Roma adolescents in Macedonia. *Journal of Community & Applied Social Psychology*, 27(5), 424–434. <https://doi.org/10.1002/casp.2320>
- Kanas, A., Scheepers, P., & Sterkens, C. (2015). Interreligious contact, perceived group threat, and perceived discrimination: Predicting negative attitudes among religious minorities and majorities in Indonesia. *Social Psychology Quarterly*, 78(2), 102–126. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0190272514564790>
- Kende, J., Baysu, G., Van Laar, C., & Phalet, K. (2020). Majority group belonging without minority group distancing? Minority experiences of intergroup contact and inequality. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, bjs0.12382. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjs0.12382>
- Kiang, L., Peterson, J. L., & Thompson, T. L. (2011). Ethnic peer preferences among asian american adolescents in emerging immigrant communities. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*, 21(4), 754–761. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-7795.2011.00750.x>
- Kim, T. Y. (2015). *The influence of perceived racial discrimination on depressive symptoms and school outcomes among asian american adolescents* (Publication No. 1722273254) [Doctoral dissertation, The University of Chicago]. ProQuest Dissertations and Theses Global.
- Koschate, M., Hofmann, W., & Schmitt, M. (2012). When East meets West: A longitudinal examination of the relationship between group relative deprivation and intergroup contact in reunified Germany: Group relative deprivation and intergroup contact. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 51(2), 290–311. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8309.2011.02056.x>
- Krahé, B., Abraham, C., Felber, J., & Helbig, M. K. (2005). Perceived discrimination of international visitors to universities in Germany and the UK. *British Journal of Psychology*, 96(3), 263–281. <https://doi.org/10.1348/000712605X48296>
- Leong, C.-H., & Ward, C. (2000). Identity conflict in sojourners. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, 24(6), 763–776. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0147-1767\(00\)00030-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0147-1767(00)00030-4)
- Leszczensky, L., Maxwell, R., & Bleich, E. (2020). What factors best explain national identification among Muslim adolescents? Evidence from four European countries. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies*, 46(1), 260–276. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369183X.2019.1578203>
- Lopez, G. E. (2004). Interethnic contact, curriculum, and attitudes in the first year of college. *Journal of Social Issues*, 60(1), 75–94. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0022-4537.2004.00100.x>
- Lubensky, M. E. (2004). *An unplanned trade-off? the potential conflict between reducing prejudice and supporting engagement in collective action* (Publication No. 305198479) [Doctoral dissertation, University of California, Santa Cruz]. ProQuest Dissertations and Theses Global.
- Lutterbach, S., & Beelmann, A. (2020). Positive and negative intergroup contact and shared reality: Contact effects among host society and refugees. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 50(1), 143–159. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.2601>
- Mallet, J., Lewis, C. A., & Cairns, E. (2013). Perceived in-group density and psychological adjustment in a sample of Northern Irish Catholics and Protestants. *Studia Psychologica*, 55(3), 229–246. <https://doi.org/10.21909/sp.2013.03.639>
- Martiny, S. E., Froehlich, L., Soltanpanah, J., & Haugen, M. S. (2020). Young immigrants in Norway: The role of national and ethnic identity in immigrants' integration. *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology*, 61(2), 312–324. <https://doi.org/10.1111/sjop.12594>

- McGinley, J. E. (2007). *Expatriate adjustment: Resources, responses, and outcomes* (Publication No. 304704480) [Doctoral dissertation, Northcentral University]. ProQuest Dissertations and Theses Global.
- McKeown, S., & Taylor, L. K. (2017). Beyond the irony of intergroup contact: The effects of contact and threat on political participation and support for political violence in Northern Ireland. *Group Dynamics: Theory, Research, and Practice*, 21(4), 234–244. <https://doi.org/10.1037/gdn0000074>
- Pereira, A., Green, E. G. T., & Visintin, E. P. (2017). National identification counteracts the sedative effect of positive intergroup contact on ethnic activism. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00477>
- Perrucci, R., & Hu, H. (1995). Satisfaction with social and educational experiences among international graduate students. *Research in Higher Education*, 36(4), 491–508. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02207908>
- Poore, A. G., Gagne, F., Barlow, K. M., Lydon, J. E., Taylor, D. M., & Wright, S. C. (2002). Contact and the personal/group discrimination discrepancy in an Inuit community. *The Journal of Psychology*, 136(4), 371–382. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00223980209604164>
- Prati, F., Kenfack, S. K., Rubini, M., & Hewstone, M. (2020). [Unpublished longitudinal data on the intergroup contact experiences of African immigrants in Italy]. University of Oxford.
- Rafiqi, A., & Thomsen, J. P. F. (2020). Group discrimination, intergroup contact, and ethnic minority members' reactions toward the majority. *Ethnicities*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1468796820909493>
- Reimer, N. K., Becker, J. C., Benz, A., Christ, O., Dhont, K., Klocke, U., Neji, S., Rychlowska, M., Schmid, K., & Hewstone, M. (2017). Intergroup contact and social change: Implications of negative and positive contact for collective action in advantaged and disadvantaged groups. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 43(1), 121–136. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167216676478>
- Reimer, N. K., Kamble, S. V., Schmid, K., & Hewstone, M. (2020). *Intergroup contact fosters more inclusive social identities*. PsyArXiv. <https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/zcgwt>
- Rodriguez, J., & Gurin, P. (1990). The relationships of intergroup contact to social identity and political consciousness. *Hispanic Journal of Behavioral Sciences*, 12(3), 235–255. <https://doi.org/10.1177/07399863900123001>
- Rowley, S. J., Burchinal, M. R., Roberts, J. E., & Zeisel, S. A. (2008). Racial identity, social context, and race-related social cognition in African Americans during middle childhood. *Developmental Psychology*, 44(6), 1537–1546. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0013349>
- Ruck, M. D., Park, H., Killen, M., & Crystal, D. S. (2011). Intergroup contact and evaluations of race-based exclusion in urban minority children and adolescents. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 40(6), 633–643. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-010-9600-z>
- Saab, R., Harb, C., & Moughalian, C. (2017). Intergroup contact as a predictor of violent and nonviolent collective action: Evidence from Syrian refugees and Lebanese nationals. *Peace and Conflict: Journal of Peace Psychology*, 23(3), 297–306. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pac0000234>
- Saenz, V. B. (2005). *Breaking the cycle of segregation: Examining students' pre-college racial environments and their diversity experiences in college* (Publication No. 305002370) [Doctoral dissertation, University of California, Los Angeles]. ProQuest Dissertations and Theses Global.
- Saguy, T., & Chernyak-Hai, L. (2012). Intergroup contact can undermine disadvantaged group members' attributions to discrimination. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 48(3), 714–720. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2012.01.003>
- Saguy, T., Tausch, N., Dovidio, J. F., & Pratto, F. (2009). The irony of harmony: Intergroup contact can produce false expectations for equality. *Psychological Science*, 20(1), 114–121. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2008.02261.x>
- Schäfer, S. J., Bracegirdle, C., Christ, O., Hewstone, M., Jaspers, E., Reimer, N. K., & Wölfer, R. (2018). *Positive-negative asymmetry of intergroup contact: A dynamic approach* [Unpublished dataset].
- Sengupta, N. K. (2020a). *Inter-caste contact in India* [Unpublished dataset]. University of Kent.
- Sengupta, N. K. (2020b). *Inter-religious contact in India* [Unpublished dataset]. University of Kent.
- Sengupta, N. K., & Sibley, C. G. (2013). Perpetuating one's own disadvantage: Intergroup contact enables the ideological legitimization of inequality. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 39(11), 1391–1403. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167213497593>
- Sengupta, N. K., & Sibley, C. G. (2020). *Intergroup contact and political attitudes among ethnic minorities in New Zealand* [Unpublished dataset]. The University of Auckland.
- Shammas, D. (2009). *Expatriate adjustment: Resources, responses, and outcomes* (Publication No. 304998456) [Doctoral dissertation, Northcentral University]. ProQuest Dissertations and Theses Global.

- Shani, M., & Boehnke, K. (2017). The effect of Jewish–Palestinian mixed-model encounters on readiness for contact and policy support. *Peace and Conflict: Journal of Peace Psychology*, 23(3), 219–227. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pac0000220>
- Simons, R. L., Lei, M.-K., Carter, S., Beach, S. R., Gibbons, F. X., Gerrard, M., & Philibert, R. A. (2019). Inflammation mediates the effect of discrimination, religiosity, and friendship network on expression of the Tp53 cancer suppressor gene. *SSM - Population Health*, 7, 100389. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssmph.2019.100389>
- Swami, V. (2009). Predictors of sociocultural adjustment among sojourning Malaysian students in Britain. *International Journal of Psychology*, 44(4), 266–273. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207590801888745>
- Swami, V., Arteché, A., Chamorro-Premuzic, T., & Furnham, A. (2010). Sociocultural adjustment among sojourning Malaysian students in Britain: A replication and path analytic extension. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 45(1), 57–65. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-009-0042-4>
- Tausch, N., Saguy, T., & Bryson, J. (2015). How does intergroup contact affect social change? Its impact on collective action and individual mobility intentions among members of a disadvantaged group. *Journal of Social Issues*, 71(3), 536–553. <https://doi.org/10.1111/josi.12127>
- Teney, C. (2011). Endorsement of assimilationism among ethnic minority and majority youth in a multination-multiethnic context: The case of Brussels. *European Sociological Review*, 27(2), 212–229. <https://doi.org/10.1093/esr/jcq003>
- Thomsen, J. P. F., & Rafiqi, A. (2016). The contact–prejudice relationship among ethnic minorities: Examining personal discrimination as a boundary condition. *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, 39(10), 1886–1904. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01419870.2015.1110609>
- Titzmann, P. F., Silbereisen, R. K., & Schmitt-Rodermund, E. (2007). Friendship homophily among diaspora migrant adolescents in Germany and Israel. *European Psychologist*, 12(3), 181–195. <https://doi.org/10.1027/1016-9040.12.3.181>
- Tostado, P. (2017). *When you aren't who your friends are: The moderating influence of racial similarity on the association between friendships and mental well-being* (Publication No. 1949722931) [Master's thesis, Portland State University]. ProQuest Dissertations and Theses Global.
- Tropp, L. R. (2007). Perceived discrimination and interracial contact: Predicting interracial closeness among Black and White Americans. *Social Psychology Quarterly*, 70(1), 70–81. <https://doi.org/10.1177/019027250707000108>
- Tropp, L. R., Hawi, D. R., Van Laar, C., & Levin, S. (2012). Cross-ethnic friendships, perceived discrimination, and their effects on ethnic activism over time: A longitudinal investigation of three ethnic minority groups. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 51(2), 257–272. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8309.2011.02050.x>
- Tropp, L. R., Okamoto, D. G., Marrow, H. B., & Jones-Correa, M. (2018). How contact experiences shape welcoming: Perspectives from U.S.-born and immigrant groups. *Social Psychology Quarterly*, 81(1), 23–47. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0190272517747265>
- Twali, M. S., Tran, J., Stewart, A. L., & Vollhardt, J. R. (2020). *Understanding proactive behaviour in the workplace as a function of gender* [Manuscript in preparation], University of Kansas.
- Uluğ, Ö. M., & Cohrs, J. C. (2017). "If we become friends, maybe I can change my perspective": Intergroup contact, endorsement of conflict narratives, and peace-related attitudes in Turkey. *Peace and Conflict: Journal of Peace Psychology*, 23(3), 278–287. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pac0000216>
- Vedder, P., Sam, D. L., & Liebkind, K. (2007). The acculturation and adaptation of Turkish adolescents in North-Western Europe. *Applied Developmental Science*, 11(3), 126–136. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10888690701454617>
- Wölfer, R., & Hewstone, M. (2019). *Social integration in diverse societies: The importance of contact experiences in youth* [Unpublished dataset]. University of Oxford.
- Yu, B., Bodycott, P., & Mak, A. S. (2019). Language and interpersonal resource predictors of psychological and sociocultural adaptation: International students in Hong Kong. *Journal of Studies in International Education*, 23(5), 572–588. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1028315318825336>
- Yu, B., Mak, A. S., & Bodycott, P. (2019). Psychological and academic adaptation of mainland Chinese students in Hong Kong universities. *Studies in Higher Education*, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2019.1693991>