# Comentarios revisión

## Reviewer 1

| Location | Reviewer commentary | Author’s answer |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Abstract | The abstract must be divided in objective, method… | Correction made. |
| Introduction | which characteristics? | Corrected to fit the narrative. |
| Assessment | Maybe I would explain this section in the same order that it were carried out:   1. Caridiovascular .. 2. Morphological .. 3. Respiratory .. 4. Anaerobic … | Reordered as suggested. |
| Assessment | Better comprehension like this, I think | Corrected for better understanding. |
| Results, body composition | Redundant. First lines and table are enough | Redundant segments removed. |
| Results, body composition | ¿No information about the rest of parameters is presented? ¿only anthropometric characteritics and correlations? Why? Wingate test’s results are not interesting to be included? | All parameters used were added. |
| Results, body composition | A title here for this paragraph | Title included. |
| Results, respiratory profile | Do you mean the fatigue index? It hasn’t been described in the previous section ’Anaerobic muscle fatigue test | Fatigue index included as part of the description of the Wingate test variables. |
| Figure 1 | Rewrite in the same order of presentation in Figure: SP, FVC, SMIP…. | Reordered as suggested. |
| Discussion | What about DP, PO…? | Discussion on PD and PO added. |
| Discussion | Any information about women/men? | Discussion on sex added. |

## Reviewer 2

| Reviewer commentary | Author’s answer |
| --- | --- |
| I strongly advise you to read the rules for authors, namely submission and publication; | - |
| Must be done in Spanish, English and Portuguese; | Title and abstract included in all three languages. |
| Font type and size, Times New Roman 11; | Format corrected. |
| Paragraph indentation; | Format corrected. |
| There are no legends for Tables, and they must be. | Legend for table 1 included. |
| The abstract and keywords do not include the objective, method, results, conclusions; | Segment corrected. |
| Keywords: Arterial Compliance, Young Athletes, Cardiorespiratory health does not exist in terms of mesh; | Keywords corrected. |
| In the respiratory profile, do you talk about positive correlation, positive how? significant? Proper language. | In discussion, the term “positive correlation” modified to “significant relationship”, in respiratory profile, the term was modified to “significant correlation”. |
| Uses a lot of connection heavily, but be careful as both use it for p=0.001 and p=0.048 | A smaller p-value is not synonymous of a larger effect size, hence the need to use conventions to talk about effect sizes regarding Pearson’s correlation coefficient (c.f., PMID: [23997866](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23997866)). |
| Body composition and respiratory profile results benefited from being in the table; | All parameters used were added. |
| The bibliography does not follow the recommendations in the text: Bibliographic references will be identified in the text by calling them in superscript Arabic numerals and numbered consecutively according to their order of appearance in the text, tables and figures. | Citation format corrected. |