## Report (Performance)

All the following performance outputs were run using the following sudoku puzzle (hard) encoding.

```
4....8.5.3.....7....2....6....8.4....1.....6.3.7.5..2....1.4.....
```

This is the stats output for the minimal encoding on a hard sudoku puzzle.

```
WARNING: for repeatability, setting FPU to use double precision
Number of variables:
                      729
 Number of clauses:
                  8829
 Parse time:
                  0.00 s
 Eliminated clauses:
                 0.00 Mb
 Simplification time:
                 0.00 s
Conflicts
              ORIGINAL
                                 LEARNT
                                            Progress
          Vars Clauses Literals
                             Limit Clauses Lit/Cl |
______
   100 l
           231
              1559 4288
                         571
                                 94
                                     13 | 46.512 % |
_______
restarts
              : 2
conflicts
              : 105
                         (16442 /sec)
decisions
                         (0.00 % random) (39461 /sec)
              : 252
                         (684623 /sec)
propagations
              : 4372
conflict literals
              : 1255
                         (13.57 % deleted)
Memory used
              : 11.00 MB
CPU time
              : 0.006386 s
SATISFIABLE
```

## This is the stats output for the efficient encoding.

```
WARNING: for repeatability, setting FPU to use double precision
Number of variables:
                       729
 Number of clauses:
                  11745
 Parse time:
                  0.00 s
 Eliminated clauses:
                  0.00 Mb
 Simplification time: 0.00 s
| Conflicts |
               ORIGINAL
                                   LEARNT
                                               Progress
           Vars Clauses Literals
                              Limit Clauses Lit/Cl |
_______
restarts
               : 1
conflicts
               : 94
                          (12383 /sec)
decisions
                           (0.00 % random) (23581 /sec)
               : 179
              : 3730
                          (491371 /sec)
propagations
conflict literals
              : 934
                           (13.12 % deleted)
Memory used
               : 11.00 MB
CPU time
              : 0.007591 s
SATISFIABLE
```

This is the stats output for the extended encoding.

```
WARNING: for repeatability, setting FPU to use double precision
Number of variables:
                     729
 Number of clauses:
                 11988
 Parse time:
                  0.01 s
 Simplification time:
                  0.01 s
Conflicts |
              ORIGINAL
                                LEARNT
                                            Progress
          Vars Clauses Literals
                             Limit Clauses Lit/Cl |
______
restarts
              : 1
conflicts
              : 7
                         (456 /sec)
                        (0.00\ \% \text{ random}) (1695 / \text{sec})
decisions
              : 26
propagations
              : 1309
                         (85349 /sec)
conflict literals
             : 40
                         (23.08 % deleted)
Memory used
              : 11.00 MB
CPU time
              : 0.015337 s
SATISFIABLE
```

## Observations:

There is an inverse relationship between CPU runtime and the amount of propagations. As the number of clauses goes up CPU run time goes up and propagations goes down.

The amount of conflicts and conflict literals go down at an increasing rate as more clauses are added by the efficient and extended encodings.

Comparing minimal to efficient, it is almost the exact same but there are more propagations on minimal. This makes sense because there are more clauses in minimal compared to efficient.

Extended took longer compared to all the other ones since it has more clauses.