

Introduction



Cite this article: Adesso G, Lo Franco R, Parigi V. 2018 Foundations of quantum mechanics and their impact on contemporary society. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **376**: 20180112. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2018.0112>

Accepted: 24 April 2018

One contribution of 17 to a discussion meeting issue ‘Foundations of quantum mechanics and their impact on contemporary society’.

Subject Areas:

quantum physics

Authors for correspondence

Gerardo Adesso

e-mail: gerardo.adesso@nottingham.ac.uk

Rosario Lo Franco

e-mail: rosario.lofranco@unipa.it

Valentina Parigi

e-mail: valentina.parigi@lkb.upmc.fr

Foundations of quantum mechanics and their impact on contemporary society

Gerardo Adesso¹, Rosario Lo Franco^{2,3} and
Valentina Parigi⁴

¹School of Mathematical Sciences and Centre for the Mathematics and Theoretical Physics of Quantum Non-Equilibrium Systems, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham NG7 2RD, UK

²Dipartimento di Energia, Ingegneria dell’Informazione e Modelli Matematici, Università di Palermo, Viale delle Scienze, Edificio 9, 90128 Palermo, Italy

³Dipartimento di Fisica e Chimica, Università di Palermo, via Archirafi 36, 90123 Palermo, Italy

⁴Laboratoire Kastler Brossel, Sorbonne Université, CNRS, ENS-PSL Research University, Collège de France, 4 Place Jussieu, 75252 Paris, France

1. Introduction

Nearing a century since its inception, quantum mechanics is as lively as ever. Its signature manifestations, such as superposition, wave-particle duality, uncertainty principle, entanglement and non-locality were long confronted as weird predictions of an incomplete theory, paradoxes only suitable for philosophical discussions, or mere mathematical artefacts with no counterpart in the physical reality [1,2]. Nevertheless, decades of progress in the experimental verification and control of quantum systems have routinely proven detractors wrong [3–7].

Whereas there remains little doubt that quantum mechanics works and is one of the most accurate theories ever conceived to describe our universe, in particular at subatomic scales, considerable debate, however, remains on the interpretation of its elusive foundations [8].

Far from being deterred by Feynman’s safe assumption that ‘nobody understands quantum mechanics’, the international community has hence worked relentlessly to shed light on the physical meaning of fundamental quantum principles and to push the boundaries of the quantum description of the world. Such an effort, undoubtedly driven by curiosity at its raw roots, has also had a number of important paybacks.

On the one hand, this investigation has led to the creation of new fields of knowledge, like quantum information theory [9] and more recently quantum thermodynamics [10], as well as the development of novel mathematical and computational tools applicable to other domains, including condensed matter physics, statistical mechanics and cosmology [11]. On the other hand, research in quantum science is achieving a very concrete impact, as the improved understanding of the resource power of quantum phenomena [12,13] has triggered a technological overhaul that is rivalling the three major industrial revolutions of the last century [14].

The exciting prospects of superfast quantum computers, unbreakable quantum cryptography and ultrasensitive quantum sensors have captured the fascination of the general public, also thanks to the recent involvement of technology giants such as Google, IBM and Microsoft, who are striving to embrace the challenge to make quantum technology a household commodity in the near future [15]. It is, therefore, a very timely occasion to look back at the conceptual progress accomplished in understanding quantum mechanics in the past few decades, while acknowledging the transformative impact its modern applications are having on society. At the same time, it is even more intriguing to reflect on the most fundamental questions which remain wide open on the foundations of quantum theory, and wonder which blueprints for even more disruptive technologies could come along with addressing them.

This issue follows from a dedicated Scientific Discussion Meeting¹ where these fascinating topics have been explored, giving rise to stimulating debates among speakers and audience. The present issue thus aims at conveying the spirit of those discussions, inviting interested readers on a wild ride from quantum foundations to applications and back [16]. Setting off from a foray into the often conflicting interpretations of quantum theory [17,18], the issue ventures into advances on the physical meaning of still puzzling phenomena, including quantum measurement [19], quantum randomness [20], (non)locality [21,22], particle indistinguishability [23], causality [24] and the nature of time [25]. The journey reaches up to the frontiers of the quantum world, by exploring the interplay of quantum mechanics with black-hole physics [26] and with thermodynamics [27], also investigating the emergence of the familiar classical world via quantum principles [28]. The peaks of quantum technology are then grazed with recent progress on topological quantum computing [29] and prospects of a quantum internet [30], as well as experimental advances in satellite-based quantum communication and verification of basic laws of quantum theory [31].

These contributions, together with many others not covered in this issue, demonstrate how far the multi-disciplinary community of quantum scientists and engineers has progressed in the quest for delivering innovative technologies of global impact, and yet how much there is still to discover on the very same groundwork on which our current description of the physical reality is based. We hope this issue may motivate future generations to delve even further into the quirky fabric of the quantum realm and keep the discussion on its foundations alive and healthy. The more we make sense of core quantum features, the better uses we can make of them to benefit society at large. The next revolution may be just a quantum bit away.

Data accessibility. This article has no additional data.

Competing interests. We declare we have no competing interests.

Funding. GA acknowledges financial support from the European Research Council (Grant no. 637352).

References

1. Einstein A, Podolsky B, Rosen N. 1935 Can quantum-mechanical description of physical reality be considered complete? *Phys. Rev.* **47**, 777–780. (doi:10.1103/PhysRev.47.777)
2. Bell JS. 1987 *Speakable and unspeakable in quantum mechanics*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
3. Zeilinger A. 1999 Experiment and the foundations of quantum physics. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **71**, S288–S297. (doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.71.S288)
4. Wineland DJ. 2013 Nobel lecture: superposition, entanglement, and raising Schrödinger's cat. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **85**, 1103–1114. (doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.85.1103)

¹<https://royalsociety.org/science-events-and-lectures/2017/12/quantum-mechanics/>.

5. Haroche S. 2013 Nobel lecture: controlling photons in a box and exploring the quantum to classical boundary. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **85**, 1083–1102. (doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.85.1083)
6. Hensen B *et al.* 2015 Experimental loophole-free violation of a Bell inequality using entangled electron spins separated by 1.3 km. *Nature* **526**, 682. (doi:10.1038/nature15759)
7. Yin J *et al.* 2017 Satellite-based entanglement distribution over 1200 kilometers. *Science* **356**, 1140–1144. (doi:10.1126/science.aan3211)
8. Cabello A. 2017 Interpretations of quantum theory: a map of madness. In *What is quantum information?* (eds O Lombardi, S Fortin, F Holik, C López), ch. 7, pp. 138–144. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
9. Nielsen MA, Chuang IL. 2000 *Quantum computation and quantum information*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
10. Vinjanampathy S, Anders J. 2016 Quantum thermodynamics. *Contemp. Phys.* **57**, 545–579. (doi:10.1080/00107514.2016.1201896)
11. Preskill J. 2000 Quantum information and physics: some future directions. *J. Mod. Opt.* **47**, 127–137. (doi:10.1080/09500340008244031)
12. Horodecki R, Horodecki P, Horodecki M, Horodecki K. 2009 Quantum entanglement. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **81**, 865–942. (doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.81.865)
13. Streltsov A, Adesso G, Plenio MB. 2017 Colloquium: quantum coherence as a resource. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **89**, 041003. (doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.89.041003)
14. Dowling JP, Milburn GJ. 2003 Quantum technology: the second quantum revolution. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **361**, 1655–1674. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2003.1227)
15. Castelvechi D. 2017 Quantum computers ready to leap out of the lab in 2017. *Nature* **541**, 9. (doi:10.1038/541009a)
16. Gisin N, Fröwis F. 2018 From quantum foundations to applications and back. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **376**, 20170326. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2017.0326)
17. Auffèves A, Grangier P. 2018 Extracontextuality and extravalence in quantum mechanics. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **376**, 20170311. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2017.0311)
18. Rovelli C. 2018 ‘Space is blue and birds fly through it’. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **376**, 20170312. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2017.0312)
19. Zurek WH. 2018 Quantum reversibility is relative, or does a quantum measurement reset initial conditions? *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **376**, 20170315. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2017.0315)
20. Grangier P, Auffèves A. 2018 What is quantum in quantum randomness? *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **376**, 20170322. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2017.0322)
21. Krämer L, del Rio L. 2018 Operational locality in global theories. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **376**, 20170321. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2017.0321)
22. Unruh WG. 2018 Locality and quantum mechanics. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **376**, 20170320. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2017.0320)
23. Compagno G, Castellini A, Lo Franco R. 2018 Dealing with indistinguishable particles and their entanglement. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **376**, 20170317. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2017.0317)
24. D’Ariano GM. 2018 Causality re-established. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **376**, 20170313. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2017.0313)
25. Vaccaro JA. 2018 The quantum theory of time, the block universe, and human experience. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **376**, 20170316. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2017.0316)
26. Braunstein SL, Pirandola S. 2018 Quantum information versus black hole physics: deep firewalls from narrow assumptions. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **376**, 20170324. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2017.0324)
27. Popescu S, Sainz AB, Short AJ, Winter A. 2018 Quantum reference frames and their applications to thermodynamics. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **376**, 20180111. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2018.0111)
28. Zurek WH. 2018 Quantum theory of the classical: quantum jumps, Born’s Rule and objective classical reality via quantum Darwinism. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **376**, 20180107. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2018.0107)
29. Conrad J, Chamberland C, Breuckmann NP, Terhal BM. 2018 The small stellated dodecahedron code and friends. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **376**, 20170323. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2017.0323)
30. Dahlberg A, Wehner S. 2018 Transforming graph states using single-qubit operations. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **376**, 20170325. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2017.0325)
31. Agnesi C *et al.* 2018 Exploring the boundaries of quantum mechanics: advances in satellite quantum communications. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **376**, 20170461. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2017.0461)