

DIFFERENTIAL COHOMOLOGY SEMINAR 2

TALK BY MATTHIAS FRERICHs

In this lecture we want to learn the basics of ∞ -category theory. For the ∞ -categorical background, we broadly follow [Gro10] and a little [Lur09].

1. BASICS ON $(\infty, 1)$ -CATEGORIES

$(\infty, 1)$ -categories have different models that capture its essence. The first model are *quasi-categories*.

Definition 1. Given a natural number n , let $\langle n \rangle$ denote the linearly ordered set $\{0, \dots, n\}$. The *simplex category* Δ is the category of finite linearly ordered sets $\langle n \rangle$, for every n , and monotone functions.

Definition 2. Given $0 \leq i \leq n$, the *i -face map* is the unique injective map $\delta_n^i : \langle n-1 \rangle \rightarrow \langle n \rangle$ missing i . The *i -degeneracy map* is the unique surjective map $\sigma_n^i : \langle n+1 \rangle \rightarrow \langle n \rangle$ such that i and $i+1$ have the same image.

Theorem 3. As a category, Δ is generated from the face and degeneracy maps subject to the simplicial identities, i.e.

$$(4) \quad \delta_{n+1}^i \delta_n^j = \delta_{n+1}^{j+1} \delta_n^i, \quad i \leq j$$

$$(5) \quad \sigma_{n-1}^j \sigma_n^i = \sigma_{n-1}^i \sigma_n^{j+1}, \quad i \leq j$$

$$(6) \quad \sigma_n^j \delta_{n+1}^i = \begin{cases} \delta_n^i \sigma_{n-1}^{j-1}, & i < j \\ 1, & i = j \\ \delta_n^{i-1} \sigma_{n-1}^j, & i > j \end{cases}$$

Proof. Omitted. □

Definition 7. A *simplicial set* is a contravariant functor $X : \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$. Denote by $s\text{Set}$ the category of simplicial sets. $X_n := X(\langle n \rangle)$ is the set of n -simplices.

By only representing the face maps, we can depict a simplicial set as follows:

$$(8) \quad X_0 \xleftarrow{\quad} X_1 \xleftarrow{\quad} X_2 \xleftarrow{\quad} \dots$$

∞ -categories are then defined in terms of a lifting condition, for which we need to define horns.

Definition 9. Let Δ^n denote the representable functor associated to $\langle n \rangle$. The face map δ_n^i induces a map of simplicial sets $d_n^i : \Delta^{n-1} \rightarrow \Delta^n$. The image of d_n^i is called the *i -face*. The *i -horn* $\Lambda^{i,n}$ is the union of all faces, except the i -face.

Remark 10. Another characterization of $\Lambda^{i,n}$ is the following: A t -simplex $f : \langle t \rangle \rightarrow \langle n \rangle$ is a t -simplex for $\Lambda^{i,n}$ if and only if there is $j \neq i$ not in the image of f .

Definition 11. A simplicial set X is a *∞ -category* if every map $\Lambda^{i,n} \rightarrow X$ can be extended to Δ^n , for every n and $0 < i < n$. If the extension condition holds for every $0 \leq i \leq n$, we call X a *∞ -groupoid*.

Remark 12. There are different models for ∞ -categories. To distinguish the one above from other models, a simplicial set satisfying the horn filling condition, for $0 < i < n$, is called a *quasi-category*.

Example 13. Let \mathcal{C} be a category. The *nerve* of \mathcal{C} , denoted $N\mathcal{C}$, is the simplicial set where the n -simplices are $\text{Hom}_{\text{Cat}}(\langle n \rangle, \mathcal{C})$. This defines a functor $N : \text{Cat} \rightarrow s\text{Set}$.

Proposition 14. $N\mathcal{C}$ is a ∞ -category, and an ∞ -groupoid if and only if \mathcal{C} is a groupoid.

Proof. Straightforward combinatorics. □

Let $\infty\text{Cat} \subseteq s\text{Set}$ be the full sub-category of quasi-categories.

Proposition 15. $N : \text{Cat} \rightarrow \infty\text{Cat}$ is fully faithful.

The nerve functor has a left adjoint, the *fundamental category* or *homotopy category*. Here we observe some general facts about simplicial sets. Namely for every functor $\Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, where \mathcal{C} cocomplete, we have an adjunction between $s\text{Set}$ and \mathcal{C} .

Example 16. Let $\mathcal{C} = \text{Top}$ and $\Delta \rightarrow \text{Top}$ the functor picking the geometric simplicial complex $|\Delta^n|$. Then the induced adjunction is the classical geometric realization functor $|\cdot| : s\text{Set} \rightarrow \text{Top}$ and singularity functor.

The nerve is indeed the right adjoint of the adjunctions induced via the functor $\Delta \rightarrow \text{Cat}$, which sends $[n]$ to the category $[n]$. This means we also have a left adjoint.

Definition 17. The *homotopy category* $h : s\text{Set} \rightarrow \text{Cat}$ is the left adjoint to the nerve functor.

Remark 18. If the simplicial set is a quasi-category, then the homotopy category can be defined directly as the usual homotopy category of an ∞ -category (i.e. we take equivalence classes of morphisms).

We do have significantly more complicated examples of such adjunctions.

Example 19. Let $\mathcal{C} : \Delta \rightarrow s\text{Cat}$ be the functor defined in [Lur09, Definition 1.1.5.1]. Then we get the adjunction (\mathcal{C}, N_Δ) called the homotopy coherent categorification, homotopy coherent nerve.

This adjunction includes an equivalence of ∞ -categories, if one phrases those notions correctly. In particular, if \mathcal{C} is a Kan-enriched category, then the homotopy coherent nerve is a quasi-category.

Definition 20. Let Kan denote the Kan-enriched category of Kan complexes. The quasi-category of spaces is defined as $N_\Delta(\text{Kan})$.

2. ACCESSIBLE AND PRESENTABLE CATEGORIES

Note, here we benefited from the fact that $\text{Cat}, s\text{Set}, \text{Top}, s\text{Cat}$ are all cocomplete categories, hence admitting such adjunctions. We now want to focus on a class of categories where we similarly can construct adjunctions in such straightforward ways.

Definition 21. A category \mathcal{C} is *locally presentable* if it is cocomplete and κ -accessible for some κ .

Definition 22. A category \mathcal{C} is κ -accessible if there exists a set of κ -compact objects \mathcal{C}^0 in \mathcal{C} , such that every object in \mathcal{C} is a κ -filtered colimit of objects in \mathcal{C}^0 .

Definition 23. A functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is κ -accessible if it preserves κ -filtered colimits.

Theorem 24. Let \mathcal{C} be a category. The following are equivalent:

- (1) \mathcal{C} is locally presentable.
- (2) There exists a small category \mathcal{C}^0 and a fully faithful accessible right adjoint $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Fun}((\mathcal{C}^0)^{op}, \text{Set})$.

Theorem 25. Let \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} be locally presentable categories.

- (1) $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a left adjoint if and only if it preserves colimits.
- (2) $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a right adjoint if and only if it preserves limits and is accessible.

We now generalize this to ∞ -categories.

Definition 26. Let K be a quasi-category. The quasi-category of simplicial presheaves is defined as $\text{Fun}(K^{op}, \mathcal{S})$.

Theorem 27 (Yoneda). For a given quasi-category K , there is a functor $K \rightarrow \text{Fun}(K^{op}, \mathcal{S})$ given by $x \mapsto \text{Fun}(-, x)$, which is fully faithful. Every colimit preserving functor out of $\text{Fun}(K^{op}, \mathcal{S})$ is equivalent to a functor out of K .

Theorem 28. Let K be a quasi-category.

- (1) There exists a set of κ -compact objects \mathcal{C}^0 in K , such that every object in K is a κ -filtered colimit of objects in \mathcal{C}^0 .
- (2) There exists a small category \mathcal{C}^0 and a fully faithful accessible right adjoint $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Fun}((\mathcal{C}^0)^{op}, \text{Set})$.

In those cases we say K is presentable. We now again have the adjoint functor theorem.

Theorem 29. *Let \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} be presentable ∞ -categories.*

- (1) *$F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a left adjoint if and only if it preserves colimits.*
- (2) *$F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a right adjoint if and only if it preserves limits and is accessible.*

Note in particular the ∞ -category of sheaves is a presentable ∞ -category.

3. STABLE ∞ -CATEGORIES AND SPECTRA

We now use the ∞ -categorical framework to study spectra. Let us recall some facts about spectra, to motivate the story. The *Freudenthal suspension theorem* states that the suspension functor $\Sigma: \mathcal{T}op \rightarrow \mathcal{T}op$ stabilizes the homotopy type. More explicitly, the map

$$\pi_k(X) \rightarrow \pi_{k+1}(\Sigma X) \rightarrow \pi_{k+2}(\Sigma^2 X) \rightarrow \dots$$

stabilizes for k large enough, if X satisfies some connectivity condition. This defined the stable homotopy groups $\pi_n^S(X)$ as the stabilization of this sequence.

There is significant interest in computing these stable homotopy groups, in particular in the case where X is a sphere, given that it helps us understand many phenomena in algebraic topology.

We now want a setting where these stable homotopy groups naturally live and can be studied. We know that (Σ, Ω) induces an adjunction on the category of pointed topological spaces. What we want is an adjustment of this definition such that the adjunction (Σ, Ω) is an equivalence.

We now take a ∞ -categorical perspective on this and use it to study such stable phenomena.

Definition 30. Let \mathcal{C} be an ∞ -category with initial and terminal object. \mathcal{C} has a 0-object if they are equivalent.

Example 31. Let \mathcal{C} be a 1-category. Then \mathcal{C} is pointed as a 1-category if and only if it is pointed as an ∞ -category.

Example 32. Notice \mathcal{S} is not pointed, we hence can define \mathcal{S}_* as the slices under the terminal space, i.e. $\mathcal{S}_* = \mathcal{S}_{*/}$. This ∞ -category is then pointed by construction.

Note \mathcal{S}_* is not just some pointed ∞ -category, it is in some sense the universal one.

Proposition 33. *Let \mathcal{D} be a pointed ∞ -category. Then the functor*

$$\text{ev}_{S^0}: \text{Fun}^L(\mathcal{S}_*, \mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{D}$$

that evaluates at S^0 is an equivalence.

We now generalize from there and define triangles in \mathcal{S}_* .

Definition 34. Let \mathcal{C} be a pointed ∞ -category. A *triangle* in \mathcal{C} is a diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Z \end{array}$$

where X , Y , and Z are objects in \mathcal{C} .

Definition 35. We say a triangle is a *exact* if it is a pullback square and *coexact* if it is a pushout square.

Definition 36. Let \mathcal{C} be a pointed ∞ -category. Let \mathcal{C}^Σ be the full subcategory of $\text{Fun}([1] \times [1], \mathcal{C})$ with objects coexact triangles of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array},$$

meaning Y is the suspension of X .

Definition 37. Let \mathcal{C} be a pointed ∞ -category. Let \mathcal{C}^Ω be the full subcategory of $\text{Fun}([1] \times [1], \mathcal{C})$ with objects exact triangles of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & X \end{array},$$

meaning Y is the loop object of X .

Proposition 38. *If \mathcal{C} is a pointed ∞ -category with finite (co)limits. Then there exists functors*

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma: \mathcal{C} &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \\ \Omega: \mathcal{C} &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 39. *Let \mathcal{C} be a pointed ∞ -category with finite (co)limits. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *A triangle is exact if and only if it is coexact.*
- (2) *The functors Σ and Ω are equivalences and the inverses of each other.*
- (3) *A square is a pullback square if and only if it is a pushout square.*

Definition 40. A pointed ∞ -category \mathcal{C} is *stable* if it satisfies one of the three equivalent conditions above.

Recall that before the rise of ∞ -categories, *triangulated categories* were used to study stable homotopy theory. Hence, it is unsurprising that we can relate stable ∞ -categories to triangulated categories.

Proposition 41. *If \mathcal{C} is a stable ∞ -category, then the homotopy category $h\mathcal{C}$ is a triangulated category.*

Of course arbitrary pointed ∞ -categories will not be stable. We hence want a procedure that stabilizes them. There are several approaches. One approach, that is powerful from a theoretical perspective, is given via reduced 1-excisive functors out of finite pointed spaces. Here, we focus on explicit spectrum objects, as there are characterized more explicitly. For a comparison of these two approaches see [Lur17].

Definition 42. Let \mathcal{C} be a pointed ∞ -category. A *pre-spectrum object* in \mathcal{C} , is a functor $X: \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that $X(i, j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$ and all squares are pushout squares. Let $PSp(\mathcal{C})$ be the ∞ -category of pre-spectrum objects in \mathcal{C} .

For a given pre-spectrum object X , let $\alpha_{m-1}: \Sigma X_{m-1} \rightarrow X_m$ and $\beta_m: X_m \rightarrow \Omega X_{m+1} = \Omega \Sigma X_m$.

Definition 43. Let \mathcal{C} be a pointed ∞ -category. A *spectrum object* in \mathcal{C} is a pre-spectrum object in X , such that α_{m-1} and β_m are equivalences for all m . Let $Sp(\mathcal{C})$ be the ∞ -category of spectrum objects in \mathcal{C} .

Definition 44. Let \mathcal{C} be a pointed ∞ -category. The stabilization of \mathcal{C} is the ∞ -category $Sp(\mathcal{C})$ of spectrum objects in \mathcal{C} .

Of course \mathcal{C} and $Sp(\mathcal{C})$ are suitably related.

Theorem 45. *For a given pointed ∞ -category \mathcal{C} , there is an adjunction*

$$\mathcal{C} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \\ \xleftarrow[\Omega]{\perp} \end{array} Sp(\mathcal{C})$$

Moreover, $Sp(\mathcal{C})$ is in some sense the universal stabilization of \mathcal{C} .

Theorem 46. *Let \mathcal{C} be a pointed ∞ -category and \mathcal{D} a stable ∞ -category. Then Σ^∞ induces an equivalence of ∞ -categories*

$$(\Sigma^\infty)^*: \text{Fun}^L(Sp(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D}) \simeq \text{Fun}^L(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$$

Let us now focus on the case $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{S}_*$.

Example 47. The stabilization of \mathcal{S}_* is the ∞ -category of spectra, denoted Sp .

Similar to \mathcal{S}_* , Sp is also the universal stable ∞ -category, as a special instance of the result above.

Theorem 48. *If \mathcal{D} is a stable ∞ -category. Then the functor*

$$\text{ev}_S: \text{Fun}^L(Sp, \mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{D}$$

that evaluates at S is an equivalence.

4. GENERALIZED COHOMOLOGY THEORIES

Cohomology theories were traditionally defined in the context of topological spaces. However, now that we have the tools of ∞ -categories and stable ∞ -categories. We can significantly generalize those definitions. This last result follows work in [Lur17].

Definition 49. Let \mathcal{C} be a pointed ∞ -category with pushouts, and $\Sigma: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and suspension functor. A *generalized cohomology theory* is a functor $H: h\mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}b_{\mathbb{Z}}$, such that the following conditions hold:

- There is a natural isomorphism $H^{\bullet} \rightarrow H^{\bullet+1}\Sigma$
- Coexact sequences maps to exact sequences.
- Arbitrary coproducts map to products.

We now have the following major result that significantly generalizes the classical Brown representability theorem.

Theorem 50. *Let \mathcal{C} be a nice ∞ -category and (H^{\bullet}, δ) be a generalized cohomology theory. Then there exists a spectrum object E in \mathcal{C} , such that $H^{\bullet}(X) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{h\mathcal{C}}(X, E^{\bullet})$, where $\delta = (\beta_{\bullet})_*$.*

Example 51. Unsurprisingly, \mathcal{S}_* satisfies the niceness conditions, and so we can conclude that every generalized cohomology on \mathcal{S}_* is given by a spectrum, recovering the original Brown representability theorem.

REFERENCES

- [Gro10] Moritz Groth. A short course on ∞ -categories. *arXiv preprint*, 2010. [arXiv:1007.2925](https://arxiv.org/abs/1007.2925).
- [Lur09] Jacob Lurie. *Higher topos theory*, volume 170 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009.
- [Lur17] Jacob Lurie. Higher algebra. [Available online](#), September 2017.