

## DIFFERENTIAL COHOMOLOGY SEMINAR 10

TALK BY KONRAD WALDORF

Today we look at the construction of pushforward maps in twisted K-theory due to Carey and Wang [CW08].

### 1. THE CLASSICAL CASE

Let us do a quick review of the classical case. Given a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  and induced map on K-theory  $f^*: K(Y) \rightarrow K(X)$ , we would like to construct a pushforward map  $f_!: K(X) \rightarrow K(Y)$ . In the classical case, this requires  $w_2(X) = f^*w_2(Y)$ . This precisely corresponds to choosing a  $\mathcal{S}pin^c$ -structure on the virtual bundle  $TX - f^*TY$ . This is the necessary condition to define this map.

### 2. REVIEWING TWISTED K-THEORY

Before we proceed to generalize the pushforward map to twisted K-theory, we first review the definition of twisted K-theory. Recall that

$$H^3(M, \mathbb{Z}) \cong PU(\mathcal{H}) - \mathcal{B}dl(M)/\sim$$

where  $\mathcal{H}$  is a separable Hilbert space and  $PU(\mathcal{H})$  is the projective unitary group. Choosing  $P$  a principal  $PU(\mathcal{H})$ -bundle over  $M$  representing a class in  $H^3(M, \mathbb{Z})$ , we can form the associated bundle of Fredholm operators  $\mathcal{F}red(P) := P \times_{PU(\mathcal{H})} \mathcal{F}red(\mathcal{H})$ . Then the 0-th twisted K-theory is defined as

$$K^0(M, P) := \pi_0(\text{Map}(M, P \times_{PU(\mathcal{H})} \mathcal{F}red(\mathcal{H}))) \cong \pi_0(\text{Map}(P, \mathcal{F}red(\mathcal{H})))_{PU(\mathcal{H})}.$$

More generally

$$K^n(M, P) := \pi_0(\text{Map}(P, \Omega^n \mathcal{F}red(\mathcal{H})))_{PU(\mathcal{H})}.$$

In the coming sections we consider the pushforward map in two cases: the torsion case and the non-torsion case.

### 3. UNDERSTANDING THE TORSION CASE

Let us consider the case when the bundle is torsion. Then  $P$  is a principal  $PU(n)$ -bundle, using  $U(n) \hookrightarrow U(\mathcal{H})$ . In this case we can obtain a bundle gerbe  $\mathcal{L}_P$ , which has the following form

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U(n) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \Gamma_P & \xrightarrow{\quad} & E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ PU(n) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & P \times_M P & \xrightarrow{\quad} & P \\ & & & & \downarrow \\ & & & & M \end{array}$$

This structure gives us a map

$$\phi: (\Gamma_P|_{p_1, p_2} \times_{U(1)} \mathbb{C}) \otimes E_{p_2} \rightarrow E_{p_1}$$

meaning  $(E, \phi)$  is a  $\mathcal{L}_P$ -twisted vector bundle. We now can prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $P$  be a principal  $PU(n)$ -bundle. Then, we have an isomorphism  $K(\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{M}\text{-Mod}) \cong K_{U(n), \text{scal}}(P)$ .*

Here  $K_{U(n), \text{scal}}(P)$  is the  $U(n)$ -equivariant K-theory of  $P$  with scalar action of the center  $U(1) \subset U(n)$ . The proof proceeds by mapping a pair  $(E, \phi)$  to the data  $(U(n) \times E \rightarrow E)$  that maps  $(A, v) \mapsto \phi(A, v)$ .

We now have the following second theorem, relating twisted K-theory to twisted vector bundles.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $P$  be a principal  $PU(n)$ -bundle. Then we have an isomorphism*

$$K^0(M, P) \cong K(\mathcal{L}_P - \text{Mod}).$$

Here we are implicitly using the  $PU(\mathcal{H})$ -bundle  $\tilde{P} = P \times_{PU(n)} PU(\mathcal{H})$ , meaning

$$K^0(M, P) = K^0(M, \tilde{P}) = \pi_0(\text{Map}(\tilde{P}, \mathcal{F}\text{red}(\mathcal{H})))_{PU(\mathcal{H})}.$$

Now we also have  $\mathcal{F}\text{red}(\mathcal{H}) \cong \mathcal{F}\text{red}_{U(n)-cts}(\mathcal{H})$ , meaning it is the Fredholm operators with continuous  $U(n)$ -action.

Now before we proceed, recall that we have an equivariant version of Atiyah-Jänich theorem (which holds if certain conditions are satisfied), meaning

$$[M, \mathcal{F}\text{red}_{G-cts}(\mathcal{H})]_G \cong K^0(\mathcal{V}\text{ect}_G(M)).$$

We can now restrict this bijection to a bijection of subsets

$$[M, \mathcal{F}\text{red}_{U(n)-cts}(\mathcal{H}^{\text{scal}})]_G \cong K^0(\mathcal{V}\text{ect}_{U(n), \text{scal}}(M)),$$

where  $\mathcal{H}^{\text{scal}}$  is the Hilbert space with scalar action of the center  $U(1) \subset U(n)$ .

The construction proceeds now by replacing  $\mathcal{H}$  with  $\mathcal{H}^{\text{scal}}$  in the definition of twisted K-theory, meaning we go back to the first step and do

$$K^0(M, P) = K^0(M, \tilde{P}) = \pi_0(\text{Map}(\tilde{P}, \mathcal{F}\text{red}(\mathcal{H}^{\text{scal}})))_{PU(\mathcal{H})}.$$

which via the bijection above is isomorphic to  $K_{U(n), \text{scal}}(P)$ , which by the previous theorem is isomorphic to  $K(\mathcal{L}_P - \text{Mod})$ .

*Remark 3.3.* Due to recent work, we know that if  $P$  is torsion, then  $\mathcal{L}_P \cong \mathcal{A}_P = P \times_{PU(n)} \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ , which is an isomorphism of 2-vector bundles, and induces an isomorphism  $\mathcal{L}_P - \text{Mod} \cong \mathcal{A}_P - \text{Mod}$ .

#### 4. THOM ISOMORPHISM IN THE TORSION CASE

Let  $V \rightarrow M$  be a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector bundle. Then we get  $Tr(V) \rightarrow M$ , the associated  $SO(n)$ -bundle, which comes with a sub-bundle with a  $\mathcal{S}\text{pin}^c(n)$ -structure. This gives us a bijection  $\mathcal{L}_{Tr(V)} \cong CL(V)$ , where  $CL(V)$  is the complex Clifford bundle of  $V$ .

Here we can use the result by Karoubi.

**Theorem 4.1.** *We have an isomorphism  $K(CL(V) - \text{Mod}) \cong K(\text{Th}(V))$ .*

We now want to generalize this to more general twists, which should be the following result.

**Theorem 4.2.** *There is an isomorphism*

$$K^0(M, P + W_3(V)) \cong K^0(\text{Th}(V), \pi^* P).$$

#### 5. NON-TORSION CASE

We now aim to generalize these results to the non-torsion case. Concretely, we want prove an analogue of the following theorem:

$$K(\mathcal{L}_P - \text{Mod}) \cong K_{U(n), \text{scal}}(P)$$

We can try to reproduce the same diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma_P & & E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ P \times_M P & \xrightarrow{\quad} & P \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & M \end{array},$$

but we cannot compare to  $U(n)$  anymore, since  $P$  is not a  $PU(n)$ -bundle. So, we do not get the original result, but rather a restricted version.

**Theorem 5.1.**  $K^0(M, P) \cong K(\mathcal{L}_P - \text{Mod}^{U_2})$ .

Here  $U_2 \subseteq U(\mathcal{H})$  is the group of unitary objects that differ from the identity by a Hilbert-Schmidt operator, and  $\mathcal{L}_P - \text{Mod}^{U_2}$  is the category of  $\mathcal{L}_P$ -twisted vector bundles whose transition functions take values in  $U_2$ .

Here  $U^2$  can also be described via colimits, as  $U^2 \cong \text{colim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} U(n)$ . So this result can be interpreted as the fact that filtered colimits preserve the original theorem.

Now we analogously have an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{L}_P - \text{Mod}^{U_2} \cong \mathcal{A}_p - \text{Mod}^{U_2}.$$

*Remark 5.2.* Similar to above, we anticipate an isomorphism of 2-vector bundles  $\mathcal{L}_P \cong P \times_{PU(\mathcal{H})} \text{HS}(\mathcal{H})$ , that induces the isomorphism above as a special case. This would require a suitable Morita category for these Hilbert-Schmidt operators, which is currently unknown.

## 6. TWISTED PUSHFORWARD

As a last step we can deduce the Thom isomorphism in this non-torsion case. Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ . Then we have  $W_3(f) := W_3(TX \oplus f^*TY)$ . This gives us a pushforward map

$$f_!: K^*(X, W_3(f) + f^*P) \rightarrow K^{(*+(\dim X - \dim Y) \mod 2)}(Y, P),$$

for  $P \rightarrow Y$  some  $PU(\mathcal{H})$ -bundle.

The construction of this map proceeds as follows: We can factor  $X \rightarrow Y$  into  $X \hookrightarrow Y \times S^n \rightarrow Y$ . The first step uses the Thom isomorphism, and the second step uses  $C^*$ -algebra techniques.

*Remark 6.1.* If  $Y$  is trivial, then this construction gives us a version of an index.

## 7. D-BRANES

There is an application of these methods to physics. Given a  $U(n)$ -bundle  $\mathcal{G}$  over  $X$  with sub objects  $Q$ , such that the restriction along  $Q$  has a  $\mathcal{G}|_Q$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$ .

It is claimed by Witten that these d-branes are classified by some version of K-theory. This can be made precise as follows (with some adjustments). Given  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{G}|_Q \otimes \mathcal{L}_f$ -module we get an element in  $K^0(Q, \mathcal{G}|_Q \otimes \mathcal{L}_f)$ . Using the pushforward map, we have a map

$$K^0(Q, \mathcal{G}|_Q \otimes \mathcal{L}_f) \rightarrow K^*(X, \mathcal{G})$$

giving us an element in  $K^*(X, \mathcal{G})$ , as desired.

We can in particular consider the case when  $X = \mathcal{G}$ , which is known as the WZW-model in physics. In this case,  $Q$  are conjugacy classes  $C_g$  of some element  $g$  in the group and for  $f: C_g \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ , we have

$$W_3(f) = (f^*\mathcal{G}_{bas})^{\check{c}}$$

This is a non-trivial observation. Indeed comparing with the Freed-Hopkins-Teleman approach [FHT11], we see that

$$K_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}_{bas}^k) \cong \text{Rep}^{k+\check{c}}(L\mathcal{G}),$$

meaning this  $\check{c}$  Coxeter shift appears naturally in the representation theory of the loop group.

Hence this approach suggests a possible geometric interpretation of these constructions.

## REFERENCES

- [CW08] Alan L. Carey and Bai-Ling Wang. Thom isomorphism and push-forward map in twisted K-theory. *J. K-Theory*, 1(2):357–393, 2008.
- [FHT11] Daniel S. Freed, Michael J. Hopkins, and Constantin Teleman. Loop groups and twisted K-theory I. *J. Topol.*, 4(4):737–798, 2011.