DIFFERENTIAL COHOMOLOGY SEMINAR 4 (DRAFT)

TALK BY ALESSANDRO NANTO

In the last talk we learned the definition of a differential cohomology theory, as a sheaf valued in spectra on the site of manifolds. This talk continues our journey through differential cohomology theories, and focuses on the following three topics:

(1) We want to learn how to construct non-trivial examples out of sheaves valued in chain complexes.
(2) We want to understand how we can extend classical cohomology operations to the setting of differential cohomology theories.
(3) We want to introduce suitable analogues of fiber-wise integration.

1. Abelian Groups, Spectra and the Heart

Let us start by reviewing the relation between abelian groups, rings and spectra.

Definition 1.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and X be a spectrum, define $\pi_n(X) := \pi_0(\Omega^{\infty+n}X) = \pi_0(X_{-n})$. We call π_n the *n-th homotopy group* of X.

Remark 1.2. Note that since $X_n \simeq \Omega^2 X_{n+2}$, for any n, the set $\pi_0(X_n)$ underlies the structure of an abelian group.

The category $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{p}$ underlies the structure of a symmetric monoidal ∞-category ([Lur17, Corollary 4.8.2.19]). Following [Lur17], we denote by $\otimes$ the tensor product on $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{p}$.

Definition 1.3. A commutative algebra object in $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{p}$ is called an *$\mathbb{E}_\infty$-ring spectrum*, see [Lur17, Definition 7.1.0.1]. Given an $\mathbb{E}_\infty$-ring spectrum R, denote by Mod_R the corresponding category of *left R-module spectra*, see [Lur17, Definition 7.1.1.2].

Remark 1.4. The sphere spectrum $\mathbb{S}$ acts as the monoidal unit of $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{p}$, therefore it is a $\mathbb{E}_\infty$-ring spectrum. The category $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{S}}$ is canonically equivalent to $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{p}$.

Definition 1.5. Denote by $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{p}_{\geq 0} \subseteq \mathcal{S}\mathrm{p}$ the full sub-category generated by *connective spectra*, i.e. spectra X such that $\pi_n(X) \simeq 0$, for all $n < 0$. Denote by $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{p}^{\heartsuit} \subseteq \mathcal{S}\mathrm{p}_{\geq 0}$ the *heart of spectra*, i.e. the full sub-category generated by spectra X such that $\pi_n(X) \simeq 0$, for all $n > 0$.

We have the following result relating connective spectra and the heart, which follow immediately.

Lemma 1.6. *Let X be a connective spectrum. The following are equivalent:*

(1) X is in the heart.
(2) $\pi_n(\Omega^\infty X) = 0$, for all $n > 0$.
(3) $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}_*}(S, \Omega^\infty X) \simeq 0$, for all connected, pointed spaces S.
(4) X is local with respect to the class of maps $\Sigma^\infty S \to 0$, for every connected pointed space S.

The category $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{p}_{\geq 0}$ is presentable and π_0 induces an equivalence between the heart and $\mathcal{A}\mathrm{b}$ ([Lur17, Proposition 1.4.3.6]). The heart is a sub-category of local objects of connective spectra, therefore the inclusion $\mathcal{A}\mathrm{b} \simeq \mathcal{S}\mathrm{p}^{\heartsuit} \subseteq \mathcal{S}\mathrm{p}_{\geq 0}$ is a right adjoint. The category $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{p}_{\geq 0}$ is closed under $\otimes$ and, given X, Y connective spectra,

$$\pi_0(X \otimes Y) \simeq \pi_0(X) \otimes \pi_0(Y) \tag{1.7}$$

see [Dav24, Theorem 2.3.28]

Definition 1.8. Given an abelian group A, denote by HA the (unique up to equivalence) spectrum of the heart such that $\pi_0(HA) \simeq A$. We call HA the *Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum* of A.

Using Equation (1.7), one can prove H, viewed as a functor $\mathcal{A}\mathrm{b} \to \mathcal{S}\mathrm{p}$, is lax monoidal. In particular, if R is a commutative ring, then HR is a connective $\mathbb{E}_\infty$-ring spectrum. On the other hand, if R is a connective $\mathbb{E}_\infty$-ring spectrum and M a connective module, then $\pi_0(M)$ is a $\pi_0(R)$-module.

Why do you say lax here?

Definition 1.9. Given a commutative ring R, denote by $\mathrm{Ch}(R) = \mathrm{Ch}(\mathrm{Mod}_R)$ the ordinary category of unbounded chain complexes. Let $\mathcal{D}(R)$ be the ∞-localization of $\mathrm{Ch}(R)$ at the class of quasi-isomorphisms.

Similar to the heart of spectra, given an $\mathbb{E}_\infty$-ring spectrum R, denote by $\mathrm{Mod}_R^\heartsuit \subseteq \mathrm{Mod}_R$ the full sub-category generated by R-modules such that the underlying spectrum belongs to the heart of spectra.

Theorem 1.10 (Stable Dold-Kan Correspondence)**.** *Let R be a commutative ring.*

(1) $\mathrm{Mod}_R \simeq \mathrm{Mod}_{HR}^\heartsuit$ via taking Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectra.
(2) The equivalence in (1) extends to an equivalence $H : \mathcal{D}(R) \simeq \mathrm{Mod}_{HR}$ of symmetric monoidal ∞-categories.

Proof. (1) is [Lur17, Proposition 7.1.1.13], while (2) is [Lur17, Theorem 7.1.2.13]. □

An interesting consequence of Theorem 1.10 is the following:

Corollary 1.11. *Given $F \in \mathcal{D}(R)$, then $\pi_n(HF) \simeq H_n(F)$, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_n(HF) &= \pi_0(\Omega^{\infty+n} HF)\\ &\overset{①}{\simeq} \pi_0(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}\mathrm{p}}(\Sigma^n \mathbb{S}, HF))\\ &\overset{②}{\simeq} \pi_0(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Mod}_{HR}}(\Sigma^n HR, HF))\\ &\overset{③}{\simeq} \pi_0(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(R)}(R[n], F))\\ &\overset{④}{\simeq} H_n(F)\end{aligned}$$

① The functor $\Omega^{\infty+n}$ is corepresented by the shifted sphere spectrum $\Sigma^n\mathbb{S}$. ② The forgetful functor $\mathrm{Mod}_{HR} \to \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{S}} \simeq \mathcal{S}\mathrm{p}$ is right adjoint to tensoring by HR and $HR \otimes (\Sigma^n\mathbb{S}) \simeq \Sigma^n HR$. ③ Theorem 1.10 ④ π_0 of the mapping space $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(R)}(R[n], F)$ is equivalent to the mapping space $R[n] \to F$ in the *ordinary* derived category of R, i.e. homotopy classes of maps $R[n] \to F$, which correspond exactly to classes in $H_n(F)$. □

2. More ∞-categorical baggage

Maybe this section would benefit from a better title and some intro? It seems we are trying to construct a left adjoint explicitly. Why?

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a presentable ∞-category. The ∞-categorical background given in previous talks allows to conclude the existence of a number of functors. Here we give a (somewhat) explicit formula for one.

Remark 2.1. Recall $\mathcal{E}\mathrm{uc}$, the full sub-category of $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{fd}$ generated by Euclidean manifolds $\mathbb{R}^n$, for every $n \geq 0$. Denote by j the inclusion functor $\mathcal{E}\mathrm{uc} \subseteq \mathcal{M}\mathrm{fd}$. Recall that the restriction along j induces an equivalence $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{hv}(\mathcal{M}\mathrm{fd}, \mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{S}\mathrm{hv}(\mathcal{E}\mathrm{uc}, \mathcal{C})$, see [ADH21, Corollary A.5.6].

Evaluation at $\{0\}$ induces an adjunction $(\mathrm{Lconst}, \Gamma) : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{S}\mathrm{hv}(\mathcal{M}\mathrm{fd}, \mathcal{C})$, where the functor Γ is evaluation at $\{0\}$, while the left adjoint Lconst maps $C \in \mathcal{C}$ to the sheafification of the constant pre-sheaf with value C.

Remark 2.2. Every presentable ∞-category $\mathcal{C}$ is uniquely *cotensored over* $\mathcal{S}$, see [Lur09, Remark 5.5.2.6]. More explicitly, for every space S and object C, there is an object C^S together with a natural equivalence

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}}(S, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, C)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, C^S)$$

Date: 03.06.2025 & 17.06.2025.

Definition 2.3. Denote by Sing the functor $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{fd} \to \mathcal{S}$ mapping a manifold to its underlying space. Given a presentable ∞-category $\mathcal{C}$, denote by $\flat$ the composition $\mathcal{C} \to \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{S}^{op}, \mathcal{C}) \to \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{M}\mathrm{fd}^{op}, \mathcal{C})$, the first functor coming from Remark 2.2, the second being pre-composition with Sing^{op}.

Explicitly, given an object $C \in \mathcal{C}$, the associated pre-sheaf $\flat C$ maps a manifold M to $C^{\mathrm{Sing}(M)}$.

Lemma 2.4 ([BG21, Corollary 6.46])**.** *$\flat$ factors through $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{hv}(\mathcal{M}\mathrm{fd}, \mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{M}\mathrm{fd}^{op}, \mathcal{C})$.*

Lemma 2.4 is the direct consequence of a weaker version of a generalized version of Seifert-van Kampen theorem, namely [Lur17, Proposition A.3.2], stating that, given a topological space X and a covering sieve $\mathcal{O}$, the space $\mathrm{Sing}(X)$ is the colimit of $\mathrm{Sing}(U)$ over $U \in \mathcal{O}$.

Theorem 2.5. *$\flat : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{S}\mathrm{hv}(\mathcal{M}\mathrm{fd}, \mathcal{C})$ is left adjoint to Γ.*

Proof. The composition $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\flat} \mathcal{S}\mathrm{hv}(\mathcal{M}\mathrm{fd}, \mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{j_*} \mathcal{S}\mathrm{hv}(\mathcal{E}\mathrm{uc}, \mathcal{C})$ maps an object C to the sheaf $\flat C$ restricted to Euclidean spaces. Since $\mathbb{R}^n$ is contractible, $(\flat C)(\mathbb{R}^n) = C^{\mathrm{Sing}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \simeq C$ and so $\flat$ restricted to $\mathcal{E}\mathrm{uc}$ is equivalent to Const, the functor taking C to the the pre-sheaf with constant value C, which is left adjoint to Γ restricted to $\mathcal{E}\mathrm{uc}$. $\square$

3. Sheaves of complexes and spectra

Again, what is the aim of this section?

The stable Dold-Kan correspondence allows us to move freely between sheaves of $H\mathbb{Z}$-module spectras and sheaves valued in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Remark 3.1. We identify the category of cochain complexes with $\mathrm{Ch}(R)$ by reversing grading. Namely, given a cochain V^*, we are implicitly identifying it with the chain complex $V_n = V^{-n}$.

Definition 3.2 ([BNV16, Definition 7.14])**.** Given $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, denote by $\tau^{\geq n}$, resp. $\tau^{\leq n}$, the *naive truncation functors*, mapping a cochain complex V^* to

$$\cdots \to 0 \to V^n \to V^{n+1} \to \cdots, \qquad \text{resp.}\ \cdots \to V^{n-1} \to V^n \to 0 \to \cdots$$

Given $F : \mathcal{M}\mathrm{fd}^{op} \to \mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{Z})$, denote by $F^{\geq n}$ the composite $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{fd}^{op} \xrightarrow{F} \mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\tau^{\geq n}} \mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{Z})$, and similarly we define $F^{\leq n}$. If F is a sheaf, then so are its truncations.

Lemma 3.3 ([BNV16, Lemma 7.12])**.** *Let $F : \mathcal{M}\mathrm{fd}^{op} \to \mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{Z})$ a sheaf of chain complexes of C^∞-modules, then $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{fd}^{op} \xrightarrow{F} \mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z})$ is a sheaf.*

Definition 3.4. Denote by Ω^* the sheaf $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{fd}^{op} \to \mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{Z})$ mapping a manifold to its de Rham complex.

Lemma 3.3 ensures that the sheaf in Definition 3.4 and the corresponding naive truncations remain sheaves after post-composition with the localization functor $\mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Definition 3.5. Given a sheaf $F : \mathcal{M}\mathrm{fd}^{op} \to \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z})$, denote by HF the *Eilenberg-Mac Lane sheaf* of $H\mathbb{Z}$-module spectra obtained by applying point-wise the equivalence of Theorem 1.10.

Recall now the machinery set-up in Section 2.

Remark 3.6. Since $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z})$ is presentable, we know that they is cotensored over $\mathcal{S}$. Given a space S and a chain complex M_*, the cotensor ${M_*}^S$ is the chain complex of graded linear maps $C_*(S, \mathbb{Z}) \to M_*$, from the (normalized) singular chain complex of S to M_*, see [Lur17, Definition 1.3.2.1]. In particular, let $M_* = M$ be concentrated in degree 0, then ${M_*}^S$ is the singular cochain complex of S with values in M.

Definition 3.7. Consider the morphism $\Omega^*(M) \to (\flat\mathbb{R})(M) = C^*(M, \mathbb{R})$ taking a form $\omega \in \Omega^n(M)$ to the linear map $\int \omega : C_n(M, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{R}$. We call the induced transformation $\mathrm{dR} : \Omega^* \to \flat\mathbb{R}$ the *de Rham morphism.*

Lemma 3.8 ([AS10, Theorem 3.25])**.** *dR is point-wise an equivalence of A_∞-algebras.*

4. Deligne Cohomology

Again, here I am not following anymore. It seems two a priori different definitions of Deligne cohomology are proposed and proven to coincide?

Finally, we have enough machinery to talk about Deligne cohomology.

Definition 4.1. Given $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(\ell) : \mathcal{M}\mathrm{fd}^{op} \to \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z})$ as the limit of

$$\begin{tikzcd} & \Omega^{\geq \ell} \arrow[d] \\ \flat\mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] & \flat\mathbb{R} \end{tikzcd}$$

The vertical morphism being the composition $\Omega^{\geq \ell} \subseteq \Omega^* \xrightarrow{\mathrm{dR}} \flat\mathbb{R}$. We call the corresponding sheaf of $H\mathbb{Z}$-modules spectra $H\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(\ell)$ the *ℓ-th Deligne sheaf.*

Remark 4.2 (Model A, see [HS05, §3.2]). Let $\acute{C}(\ell)^n(M) \subseteq C^n(M,\mathbb{Z}) \oplus C^{n-1}(M,\mathbb{R}) \oplus \Omega^n(M)$ consist of triples (c,h,ω) for which $\omega = 0$ if $n < \ell$, with differential $\delta(c,h,\omega) = (\delta c, \mathrm{dR}(\omega) - c - \delta h, d\omega)$. This complex $\acute{C}^*(\ell)(M)$ fits into a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} \acute{C}^*(\ell)(M) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Omega^{\geq \ell}(M) \arrow[d] \\ C^*(M,\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] & C^*(M,\mathbb{R}) \end{tikzcd}$$

which commutes up to homotopy given by the projections $\acute{C}^n(\ell)(M) \to C^{n-1}(M,\mathbb{R})$. The diagram above model the homotopy pullback of Definition 4.1, hence $\acute{C}^*(\ell)(M)$ is a model for $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(\ell)(M)$.

Remark 4.3 (Model B). Recall that $\flat$ preserves cofiber sequences, since it is left adjoint, and that fiber sequences are the same a cofiber sequences in stable ∞-categories. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(\ell) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Omega^{\geq \ell} \arrow[d] \arrow[dd, bend left] \\ \flat\mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \flat\mathbb{R} \arrow[d] \\ 0 \arrow[r] & \flat(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \end{tikzcd}$$

Since the bottom square is an homotopy pullback, $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(\ell)(M)$ is equivalent to the homotopy pullback of the diagram in red. Let $\breve{C}^n(\ell)(M) \subseteq C^{n-1}(M,\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \oplus \Omega^n(M)$ consist of pairs (χ,ω) for which $\omega = 0$ if $n < \ell$, with differential $\delta(\chi,\omega) = (e^{2\pi i \mathrm{dR}(\omega)} - \delta\chi, d\omega)$. Similar to Remark 4.2, the complex $\breve{C}^*(\ell)(M)$ fits into the above diagram so that the outer square is an homotopy pullback, hence it is equivalent to $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(\ell)(M)$.

Take an n-cocycle ($n \geq \ell$) in the model from Remark 4.3, i.e. $(\chi,\omega) \in C^{n-1}(M,\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \oplus \Omega^n(M)$ such that $d\omega = 0$ and $\delta\chi = e^{2\pi i \mathrm{dR}(\omega)}$. Such a cocycle determines a differential character of degree $n-1$ for M, in the sense of the following definition:

Definition 4.4 ([HS05, Definition 3.4], see also [BB14, Chapter 5])**.** Consider a manifold M, a *differential character* of degree n consists of a character $\chi : Z_n^\infty(M,\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ on the group of smooth n-cycles of M together with a n-form $\omega \in \Omega^{n+1}(M)$, such that, for every smooth $(n+1)$-chain c,

$$\chi(\partial c) = e^{2\pi i \int_c \omega}$$

Remark 4.5 (Model C, see [ADH21, Lemma 7.3.4]). Consider the following diagram in the category of sheaves on $\mathcal{E}\mathrm{uc}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
j_*\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(\ell) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Omega^{\geq \ell} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] \\
\mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] \arrow[rr, bend right] & \Omega^* \arrow[r] & \Omega^{\leq \ell - 1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

The left square is the pullback square of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(\ell)$ restricted to $\mathcal{E}\mathrm{uc}$. Since the right square is a pullback, $j_*\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(\ell)$ is equivalent to the pullback of the diagram in red. Let $\check{C}^*(\ell)$ be the sheaf of chain complexes $\mathbb{Z} \to \Omega^0 \to \cdots\cdots \to \Omega^{\ell-1} \to 0 \to \cdots$, where $\mathbb{Z}$ is in degree 0. The complex $\check{C}^*(\ell)$ fits into the above diagram, so that the outer square is an homotopy pullback, and thus $j_*\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(\ell) \simeq \check{C}^*(\ell)$ and $j^*\check{C}^*(\ell) \simeq \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(\ell)$.

Given a manifold M, let $\mathcal{O}$ be a good open cover and $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{O})$ the closure of $\mathcal{O}$ under finite intersections, then

$$\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(\ell)(M) \simeq \lim_{U \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{O})} \check{C}^*(\ell)(U) \simeq \lim_{n \in \Delta} \prod_{U_1, \cdots, U_n \in \mathcal{O}} \check{C}^*(\ell)(U_1 \cap \cdots \cap U_n)$$

Finally, we can apply [BNV16, Lemma 7.10] to calculate the last limit as a the total complex functor applied to the bicomplex

$$\check{C}^{m,n}(\ell)(\mathcal{O}) := \prod_{U_1, \cdots, U_n \in \mathcal{O}} \check{C}^m(\ell)(U_1 \cap \cdots \cap U_n)$$

5. Unfolding the fracture square of Deligne Cohomology

References

[ADH21] Araminta Amabel, Arun Debray, and Peter J. Haine. Differential cohomology: Categories, characteristic classes, and connections. *arXiv preprint*, 2021. arXiv:2109.12250.

[AS10] Camilo Arias Abad and Florian Schaetz. The A_∞ de Rham theorem and integration of representations up to homotopy, 2010.

[BB14] Christian Bär and Christian Becker. *Differential Characters and Geometric Chains*, pages 1–90. Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2014.

[BG21] Ulrich Bunke and David Gepner. Differential function spectra, the differential becker-gottlieb transfer, and applications to differential algebraic k-theory. *arXiv preprint*, 2021. arXiv:1306.0247.

[BNV16] Ulrich Bunke, Thomas Nikolaus, and Michael Völkl. Differential cohomology theories as sheaves of spectra. *J. Homotopy Relat. Struct.*, 11(1):1–66, 2016.

[Dav24] Jack Davies. V4d2 - Algebraic Topology II So24 (stable and chromatic homotopy theory). *Lecture notes*, 2024. Unpublished.

[HS05] M. J. Hopkins and I. M. Singer. Quadratic functions in geometry, topology, and M-theory. *J. Differential Geom.*, 70(3):329–452, 2005.

[Lur09] Jacob Lurie. *Higher topos theory*, volume 170 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009.

[Lur17] Jacob Lurie. Higher algebra. Available online, September 2017.