

DIFFERENTIAL COHOMOLOGY SEMINAR 8

TALK BY HANNES BERKENHAGEN

The aim of this talk is to review twisted cohomology theory with the aim of later discussing twisted differential cohomology theories [BG16]. For these talks the main source is [ABG⁺14, ABG18].

1. TWISTED COHOMOLOGY

Let R be a ring spectrum, meaning a monoid object in the ∞ -category of spectra $\mathcal{S}p$. From this we get a presentable stable ∞ -category $\mathcal{M}od_R$ of left R -module spectra. Objects therein are morphisms of the form $R \wedge M \rightarrow M$ satisfying the usual associativity and unit conditions up to coherent homotopies.

Note we have an adjunction diagram

$$\mathcal{S}p \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{R \wedge -} \\ \xleftarrow[\text{Hom}_R(R, -)]{\perp} \end{array} \mathcal{M}od_R ,$$

where the right adjoint is in fact the forgetful functor. This in particular means $\mathcal{M}od_R$ has a distinguished object R i.e. the free R -module of rank 1. We now refine these constructions.

Definition 1.1. Let R be a ring spectrum. An R -line is an R -module L such that $L \simeq R$.

Definition 1.2. Let $\mathcal{L}ine_R$ be the full sub- ∞ -groupoid of $\mathcal{M}od_R$ spanned by the R -lines.

Note by construction this is equivalent to $BGL_1(R)$, the ∞ -group of R -linear automorphisms of R .

Definition 1.3. Let X be a space. Then we denote by $\mathcal{M}od_R(X)$ the ∞ -category of R -module spectra parametrized over X , i.e. the functor category $\text{Fun}(X^{op}, \mathcal{M}od_R)$.

Definition 1.4. Let X be a space. Then we denote by $\mathcal{L}ine_R(X)$ the full sub- ∞ -groupoid of $\mathcal{M}od_R(X)$ spanned by those functors $L: X^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}ine_R$, meaning it is $\text{Fun}(X^{op}, \mathcal{L}ine_R)$.

Recall this generalization of local systems of abelian groups, which map out of groupoids instead of ∞ -groupoids.

Example 1.5. Let $R_X: X^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}ine_R$ be the constant functor, then this is an object in $\mathcal{L}ine_R(X)$.

We now proceed to the Thom construction.

Definition 1.6 (Thom spectrum). The *Thom R -module spectrum* is the functor

$$M: \mathcal{G}rpd_{\infty}^{op} / \mathcal{L}ine_R \rightarrow \mathcal{M}od_R,$$

which sends $f: X^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}ine_R$ to the R -module spectrum $\text{colim}(X^{op} \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{L}ine_R \rightarrow \mathcal{M}od_R)$.

Let us note an alternative characterization that will be important later.

Remark 1.7. For a given map $f: X \rightarrow Y$, we get a map $f^*: \mathcal{M}od_R(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}od_R(X)$ by precomposition with f^{op} . By the adjoint functor theorem, this functor admits a left adjoint $f_!: \mathcal{M}od_R(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}od_R(Y)$ and a right adjoint $f_*: \mathcal{M}od_R(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}od_R(Y)$. Now for $p: X \rightarrow *$, we have

$$Mf \simeq p_!(i \circ f).$$

Remark 1.8 ([ABG10, 3.6]). Let $\mathcal{T}riv_R$ be the slice groupoid $\mathcal{L}ine_R/R$, together with the canonical projection $\pi: \mathcal{T}riv_R \rightarrow \mathcal{L}ine_R$. An object of $\mathcal{T}riv_R$ is a pair (L, ϕ) of a R -line L and an equivalence $\phi: L \rightarrow R$. Consider then the following:

- (1) $\mathcal{T}riv_R$ is a slice ∞ -groupoid, hence contractible, and π is a Kan fibration.
- (2) $GL_1(R)$ is equivalent to the fiber of π over $R \in \mathcal{L}ine_R$ and acts freely on the fibers of π .

These observations imply $\mathcal{L}ine_R$ is equivalent to $BGL_1(R)$, the classifying space for R -line bundles.

We now proceed to define twisted cohomology theories.

Definition 1.9 (Twisted cohomology). Let R be a ring spectrum, X be a space and let $p: X \rightarrow *$ be the projection map, and let $f: X^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}ine_R$ be an R -line bundle over X . The f -twisted R -cohomology of X is defined as the mapping spectrum

$$R_f(X) := \text{Map}_{\text{Mod}_R}(Mf, R) \simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Mod}_R(X)}(f, p^*R) \simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Mod}_R(X)}(f, R_X).$$

Similarly, we have f -twisted R -homology of X defined as

$$R^f(X) := \text{Map}_{\text{Mod}_R}(R, Mf) \simeq Mf.$$

In particular we can define the twisted cohomology groups of a space X with twist given by a map $f: X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}ine_R$, by

$$R_f^n(X) := \pi_0(\text{Map}_{\text{Mod}_R}(Mf, \Sigma^n R)) \cong \pi_{-n}(\text{Map}_{\text{Mod}_R(X)}(f, R_X)).$$

Similarly we define the twisted homology groups by

$$R_n^f(X) := \pi_0(\text{Map}_{\text{Mod}_R}(\Sigma^n R, Mf)) \cong \pi_n(Mf).$$

Remark 1.10. Note that if f is the constant map with value R , then we recover ordinary R -cohomology and R -homology. Concretely, we have

$$Mf \simeq R \wedge \Sigma_+^\infty X$$

and so it follows that

$$R_f(X) \simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Mod}_R}(R \wedge \Sigma_+^\infty X, R) \simeq \text{Map}_{\mathcal{S}p}(\Sigma_+^\infty X, R)$$

Applying π_{-n} this recovers the regular R -cohomology groups of X , and analogous for homology.

Let us look at some examples of twisted cohomology theories.

Example 1.11. Let $BO(n)$ be the topological groupoid of n -dimensional, inner product spaces and orthogonal morphisms, viewed as a ∞ -groupoid. Consider the composition

$$f_n : BO(n) \xrightarrow{\text{Th}_n} \mathcal{S}_* \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{\infty-n}} \mathcal{S}p$$

where the first functor maps a inner product space V to its one-point compactification. Choosing a orthonormal basis for V , we get an isomorphism $\mathbb{R}^n \simeq V$, then $\Sigma^{\infty-n}\text{Th}(V) \simeq \Sigma^{\infty-n}\text{Th}(\mathbb{R}^n) \simeq \Sigma^{\infty-n}S^n \simeq \mathbb{S}$, so that f_n factors through $\mathcal{L}ine_{\mathbb{S}}$. Let $p: BO(n) \rightarrow *$, then

$$Mf_n \simeq p_!\Sigma^{\infty-n}\text{Th}_n \simeq \Sigma^{\infty-n}p_!\text{Th}_n \simeq \Sigma^{\infty-n}\text{Th}(E_n)$$

where $E_n \rightarrow BO(n)$ is the universal n -dimensional vector bundle and $\text{Th}(E_n)$ is the one-point compactification of the total space E_n . To prove the last equivalence, consider the following facts:

- (1) Given a inner product space V , the Thom space of V is homotopy equivalent to the homotopy cofiber of the inclusion $V \setminus \{0\} \subseteq V$.
- (2) Th_n factors as the cofiber functor $C: \text{Arr}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_*$ following the functor $F: BO(n) \rightarrow \text{Arr}(\mathcal{S})$ mapping V to the inclusion $V \setminus \{0\} \subseteq V$. Here, $\text{Arr}(\mathcal{S})$ denotes the ∞ -category of arrows in \mathcal{S} .
- (3) $p_!$ commutes with C , since this last functor is left adjoint to the inclusion $\mathcal{S}_* \subseteq \text{Arr}(\mathcal{S})$.
- (4) By straightening-unstraightening, a functor $f: BO(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is equivalent to a Kan fibration $E^f = BO(n) \times_{\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{S}_* \rightarrow BO(n)$ and $p_!(f) \simeq E^f$.
- (5) Let F_1 , resp. F_0 , be the target and source components of F , then $E^{F_1} \simeq E_n$ and $E^{F_0} \simeq E_n \setminus \zeta_n$, where ζ_n is the zero section.
- (6) Finally, $p_!\text{Th}_n$ is equivalent to the cofiber of $E_n \setminus \zeta_n \subseteq E_n$, which is equivalent to the one-point compactification of the total space E_n .

Example 1.12. Let O be the stable orthogonal group. The map J_n induced by f_n from the automorphisms of \mathbb{R} to $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{S})$ sends $\phi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ to $\Sigma^{\infty-n}\text{Th}(\phi): \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$. Consider $\mathbb{R} \oplus -: O(n) \rightarrow O(n+1)$, then $\text{Th}(\mathbb{R} \oplus \phi) = \text{Th}(\mathbb{R}) \wedge \text{Th}(\phi) = \Sigma\text{Th}(\phi)$, therefore the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
O(n) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{R} \oplus -} & O(n+1) \\
& \searrow J_n & \downarrow J_{n+1} \\
& & GL_1(\mathbb{S})
\end{array}$$

commutes, and the maps J_n induced a group homomorphism $J : O \rightarrow GL_1(\mathbb{S})$, called *J-homomorphism*. The delooped map $BJ : BO \rightarrow \mathcal{L}ine_{\mathbb{S}}$ is equivalent to the colimit of the maps f_n . The Thom spectrum of BJ is denoted MO , called the *real bordism spectrum*.

Example 1.13. The decomplexification map $U \rightarrow O$ induces a twisting over $X = BU$ by post-composition with BJ . The Thom spectrum of $BU \rightarrow BO \rightarrow \mathcal{L}ine_{\mathbb{S}}$ is denoted MU , called the *complex bordism spectrum*. In general, a group homomorphism $\xi : G \rightarrow O$ induces a twisting over $X = BG$ by post-composing with BJ . By taking $G = SO, Spin$ or *String*, we obtain the *oriented, spin* and *string bordism spectra*.

Example 1.14. Recall that we have a diagram characterizing $BString$

$$K(\mathbb{Z}, 3) \rightarrow BString \rightarrow BSpin \rightarrow BGL_1(\mathbb{S}) = \mathcal{L}ine_{\mathbb{S}}$$

then applying the Thom construction to this diagram we get

$$\Sigma_+^{\infty} K(\mathbb{Z}, 3) \rightarrow MString \rightarrow MSpin.$$

Here we use the fact that the map $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}ine_{\mathbb{S}}$ factors through the point, and hence its Thom spectrum is $\Sigma_+^{\infty} K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$.

As a next step we have a string orientation map $MString \rightarrow \mathrm{tmf}$, where tmf is the spectrum of topological modular forms, following the computation in [AHR10]. Using the fact that Σ_+^{∞} is monoidal, we know that the units of $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$ include $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$ itself, meaning we get a map $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3) \rightarrow GL_1(\mathrm{tmf})$. Applying B gives us a map $f : K(\mathbb{Z}, 4) \rightarrow BGL_1(\mathrm{tmf}) = \mathcal{L}ine_{\mathrm{tmf}}$, classifying the twist of tmf -cohomology. Further details can be found in [ABG10].

Let us try a more feasible example.

Example 1.15. Let

$$K(1, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow BSpin \rightarrow BSO$$

be the fiber sequence and let

$$K(\mathbb{Z}, 2) \rightarrow BSpin^c \rightarrow BSO \rightarrow BGL_1\mathbb{S} = \mathcal{L}ine_{\mathbb{S}}.$$

Applying the Thom construction to the second sequence and again using the fact that $K(\mathbb{Z}, 2) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}ine_{\mathbb{S}}$ factors through the point, we get the sequence

$$\Sigma_+^{\infty} K(\mathbb{Z}, 2) \rightarrow MSpin^c \rightarrow MSO.$$

Now, using Atiyah–Bott–Shapiro orientation $MSpin^c \rightarrow KU$ [ABS64], we get a map $K(\mathbb{Z}, 2) \rightarrow GL_1 KU$, which induces a map $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}ine_{KU}$. This gives us twisted KU -cohomology of $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$. As $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$ classifies bundle gerbes, this is the twist of KU -theory by bundle gerbes.

2. TWISTS VIA PICARD GROUPOIDS AND GRADING

This section requires some further details. Up until now we defined everything via $\mathcal{L}ine_R$, however for many applications we need to work with Pic_R instead.

Definition 2.1. Let R be a ring spectrum. The *Picard ∞ -groupoid* Pic_R is the full sub- ∞ -groupoid of Mod_R spanned by the invertible R -modules, i.e. those R -modules M such that there exists an R -module N with $M \wedge_R N \simeq R$.

Remark 2.2. It is a common fact that the ∞ -groupoid Pic_R is equivalent to $\pi_0(R) \times \mathcal{L}ine_R$, meaning that the invertible R -modules are given by the R -lines together with a shift by an element in $\pi_0(R)$. Here it is important to note that this equivalence does not respect the monoidal structure is only an equivalence of underlying ∞ -groupoids.

We can now generalize [Definition 1.6](#) to Pic_R .

Definition 2.3 (Thom spectrum). The *Thom R -module spectrum* is the functor

$$M: \mathrm{Grpd}_{\infty}^{op}/\mathrm{Pic}_R \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_R,$$

which sends $f: X^{op} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_R$ to the R -module spectrum $\mathrm{colim}(X^{op} \xrightarrow{f} \mathrm{Pic}_R \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_R)$.

We can now generalize [Definition 1.9](#) to Pic_R .

Definition 2.4 (Twisted cohomology). Let R be a ring spectrum, X be a space and let $p: X \rightarrow *$ be the projection map, and let $f: X^{op} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_R$. The *f -twisted R -cohomology of X* is defined as the mapping spectrum

$$R_f(X) := \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{Mod}_R}(Mf, R) \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{Mod}_R(X)}(f, p^*R) \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{Mod}_R(X)}(f, R_x).$$

Similarly, we have *f -twisted R -homology of X* defined as

$$R^f(X) := \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{Mod}_R}(R, Mf) \simeq Mf.$$

Let us see how this additional generality helps us. Let $f: X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}ine_R$, then we get a map $\Sigma^n f: \Sigma^n X \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_R$, which induces a cohomology $R^{*+n}(X)$. Now we have

$$R_{\Sigma^n f}(X) \simeq \mathrm{Map}(M\Sigma^n f, R) \simeq \mathrm{Map}(\Sigma^n f, R_x) \simeq \mathrm{Map}(f, \Omega^n R_x) \simeq \Omega^n \mathrm{Map}(f, R_x) \simeq \Omega^n R_f(X)$$

This equivalence can only work via Pic_R . Indeed even though R is in $\mathcal{L}ine_R$, $\Sigma^n R$ is not in $\mathcal{L}ine_R$. However, it is in Pic_R , with inverse $\Sigma^{-n}R$.

This show that gradings can be recovered via twisted cohomology theories using Pic_R as twists.

REFERENCES

- [ABG10] Matthew Ando, Andrew J. Blumberg, and David Gepner. Twists of K -theory and TMF. In *Superstrings, geometry, topology, and C^* -algebras*, volume 81 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 27–63. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010.
- [ABG⁺14] Matthew Ando, Andrew J. Blumberg, David Gepner, Michael J. Hopkins, and Charles Rezk. An ∞ -categorical approach to R -line bundles, R -module Thom spectra, and twisted R -homology. *J. Topol.*, 7(3):869–893, 2014.
- [ABG18] Matthew Ando, Andrew J. Blumberg, and David Gepner. Parametrized spectra, multiplicative Thom spectra and the twisted Umkehr map. *Geom. Topol.*, 22(7):3761–3825, 2018.
- [ABS64] M. F. Atiyah, R. Bott, and A. Shapiro. Clifford modules. *Topology*, 3(suppl):3–38, 1964.
- [AHR10] Matthew Ando, Michael J. Hopkins, and Charles Rezk. Multiplicative orientations of ko -theory and of the spectrum of topological modular forms. *unpublished notes*, 2010. [Unpublished notes](#).
- [BG16] Ulrich Bunke and David Gepner. Differential function spectra, the differential Becker-Gottlieb transfer, and applications to differential algebraic K -theory. [arXiv:1306.0247](#), 2016.