# DIFFERENTIAL COHOMOLOGY SEMINAR 4 (DRAFT)

#### TALK BY ALESSANDRO NANTO

In the last talk we learned the definition of a differential cohomology theory, as a sheaf valued in spectra on the site of manifolds. This talk continues our journey through differential cohomology theories, and focuses on the following three topics:

- (1) We want to learn how to construct non-trivial examples out of sheaves valued in chain complexes.
- (2) We want to understand how we can extend classical cohomology operations to the setting of differential cohomology theories.
- (3) We want to introduce suitable analogues of fiber-wise integration.

#### 1. ABELIAN GROUPS, SPECTRA AND THE HEART

Let us start by reviewing the relation between abelian groups, rings and spectra.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and X be a spectrum, define  $\pi_n(X) := \pi_0(\Omega^{\infty + n}X) = \pi_0(X_{-n})$ . We call  $\pi_n$  the n-th homotopy group of X.

Remark 1.2. Note that since  $X_n \simeq \Omega^2 X_{n+2}$ , for any n, the set  $\pi_0(X_n)$  underlies the structure of an abelian group.

The category Sp underlies the structure of a symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -category ([Lur17, Corollary 4.8.2.19]). Following [Lur17], we denote by  $\otimes$  the tensor product on Sp.

**Definition 1.3.** A commutative algebra object in Sp is called an  $\mathbb{E}_{\infty}$ -ring spectrum, see [Lur17, Definition 7.1.0.1]. Given an  $\mathbb{E}_{\infty}$ -ring spectrum R, denote by  $\operatorname{Mod}_R$  the corresponding category of left R-module spectra, see [Lur17, Definition 7.1.1.2].

Remark 1.4. The sphere spectrum  $\mathbb{S}$  acts as the monoidal unit of  $\mathbb{S}p$ , therefore it is a  $\mathbb{E}_{\infty}$ -ring spectrum. The category  $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{S}}$  is canonically equivalent to  $\mathbb{S}p$ .

**Definition 1.5.** Denote by  $\operatorname{Sp}_{\geq 0} \subseteq \operatorname{Sp}$  the full sub-category generated by *connective spectra*, i.e. spectra X such that  $\pi_n(X) \simeq 0$ , for all n < 0. Denote by  $\operatorname{Sp}^{\heartsuit} \subseteq \operatorname{Sp}_{\geq 0}$  the *heart of spectra*, i.e. the full sub-category generated by spectra X such that  $\pi_n(X) \simeq 0$ , for all n > 0.

We have the following result relating connective spectra and the heart, which follow immediately.

**Lemma 1.6.** Let X be a connective spectrum. The following are equivalent:

- (1) X is in the heart.
- (2)  $\pi_n(\Omega^{\infty}X) = 0$ , for all n > 0.
- (3)  $\operatorname{Hom}_{S_{\alpha}}(S, \Omega^{\infty}X) \simeq 0$ , for all connected, pointed spaces S.
- (4) X is local with respect to the class of maps  $\Sigma^{\infty}S \to 0$ , for every connected pointed space S.

The category  $\mathrm{Sp}_{\geq 0}$  is presentable and  $\pi_0$  induces an equivalence between the heart and  $\mathrm{Ab}$  ([Lur17, Proposition 1.4.3.6]). The heart is a sub-category of local objects of connective spectra, therefore the inclusion  $\mathrm{Ab} \simeq \mathrm{Sp}^{\heartsuit} \subseteq \mathrm{Sp}_{\geq 0}$  is a right adjoint. The category  $\mathrm{Sp}_{\geq 0}$  is closed under  $\otimes$  and, given X, Y connective spectra,

(1.7) 
$$\pi_0(X \otimes Y) \simeq \pi_0(X) \otimes \pi_0(Y)$$

see [Dav24, Theorem 2.3.28]

**Definition 1.8.** Given an abelian group A, denote by HA the (unique up to equivalence) spectrum of the heart such that  $\pi_0(HA) \simeq A$ . We call HA the Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum of A.

Date: 03.06.2025 & 17.06.2025

Using Equation (1.7), one can prove H, viewed as a functor  $Ab \to Sp$ , is lax monoidal. In particular, if R is a commutative ring, then HR is a connective  $\mathbb{E}_{\infty}$ -ring spectrum. On the other hand, if R is a connective  $\mathbb{E}_{\infty}$ -ring spectrum and M a connective module, then  $\pi_0(M)$  is a  $\pi_0(R)$ -module.

**Definition 1.9.** Given a commutative ring R, denote by  $Ch(R) = Ch(Mod_R)$  the ordinary category of unbounded chain complexes. Let  $\mathcal{D}(R)$  be the  $\infty$ -localization of Ch(R) at the class of quasi-isomorphisms.

Similar to the heart of spectra, given an  $\mathbb{E}_{\infty}$ -ring spectrum R, denote by  $\operatorname{Mod}_R^{\heartsuit} \subseteq \operatorname{Mod}_R$  the full subcategory generated by R-modules such that the underlying spectrum belongs to the heart of spectra.

**Theorem 1.10** (Stable Dold-Kan Correspondence). Let R be a commutative ring.

- (1)  $\operatorname{Mod}_R \simeq \operatorname{Mod}_{HR}^{\heartsuit}$  via taking Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectra.
- (2) The equivalence in (1) extends to an equivalence  $H: \mathcal{D}(R) \simeq \mathrm{Mod}_{HR}$  of symmetric monoidal  $\infty$ -categories.

*Proof.* (1) is [Lur17, Proposition 7.1.1.13], while (2) is [Lur17, Theorem 7.1.2.13]. 
$$\Box$$

An interesting consequence of Theorem 1.10 is the following:

Corollary 1.11. Given  $F \in \mathcal{D}(R)$ , then  $\pi_n(HF) \simeq H_n(F)$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Proof.

$$\pi_n(HF) = \pi_0(\Omega^{\infty+n}HF)$$

$$\stackrel{\textcircled{1}}{\simeq} \pi_0(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{P}}}(\Sigma^n\mathbb{S}, HF))$$

$$\stackrel{\textcircled{2}}{\simeq} \pi_0(\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Mod}_{HR}}(\Sigma^nHR, HF))$$

$$\stackrel{\textcircled{3}}{\simeq} \pi_0(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{D}(R)}(R[n], F))$$

$$\stackrel{\textcircled{4}}{\simeq} H_n(F)$$

① The functor  $\Omega^{\infty+n}$  is corepresented by the shifted sphere spectrum  $\Sigma^n \mathbb{S}$ . ② The forgetful functor  $\operatorname{Mod}_{HR} \to \operatorname{Mod}_{\mathbb{S}} \simeq \operatorname{Sp}$  is right adjoint to tensoring by HR and  $HR \otimes (\Sigma^n \mathbb{S}) \simeq \Sigma^n HR$ . ③ H is an equivalence of stable categories. ④  $\pi_0$  of the mapping space  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(R)}(R[n], F)$  is equivalent to the mapping space  $R[n] \to F$  in the *ordinary* derived category of R, i.e. homotopy classes of maps  $R[n] \to F$ , which correspond exactly to classes in  $H_n(F)$ .

#### 2. From Chain Complexes to Spectra via stable Dold-Kan

We now use our newly gained understanding of spectra to construct differential cohomology theories out of sheaves valued in chain complexes, via the stable Dold-Kan correspondence.

Remark 2.1. We identify the category of cochain complexes with Ch(R) by reversing grading. Namely, given a cochain  $V^*$ , we are implicitly identifying it with the chain complex  $V_n = V^{-n}$ .

**Definition 2.2** ([BNV16, Definition 7.14]). Given  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , denote by  $\sigma^{\geq n}$ , resp.  $\sigma^{\leq n}$ , the naive truncation functors, mapping a cochain complex  $V^*$  to

$$\cdots \to 0 \to V^n \to V^{n+1} \to \cdots$$

resp.

$$\cdots \to V^{n-1} \to V^n \to 0 \to \cdots$$

Given  $F: \mathcal{M}fd^{op} \to \mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $\sharp \in \{\geq n, \leq n\}$ , denote by  $F^{\sharp}$  the composite  $\mathcal{M}fd^{op} \to \mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sigma^{\sharp}} \mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{Z})$ . Notice that if F is a sheaf, then  $F^{\sharp}$  is also a sheaf.

**Lemma 2.3** ([BNV16, Lemma 7.12]). Let  $F : \mathcal{M}fd^{op} \to Ch(\mathbb{Z})$  a sheaf of chain complexes of  $C^{\infty}$ -modules, then  $\mathcal{M}fd^{op} \xrightarrow{F} Ch(\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z})$  is a sheaf.

**Definition 2.4.** Denote by  $\Omega^*$  the sheaf  $\mathcal{M}fd^{op} \to \mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{Z})$  mapping a manifold to its de Rham complex.

Lemma 2.3 ensures that the sheaf in Definition 2.4 and the corresponding naive truncations remain sheaves after post-composition with the localization functor  $Ch(\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z})$ .

**Definition 2.5.** Given a sheaf  $F: \mathcal{M}fd^{op} \to \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z})$ , denote by HF the *Eilenberg-Mac Lane sheaf* of  $H\mathbb{Z}$ -module spectra obtained by applying point-wise the equivalence of Theorem 1.10.

#### 3. Deligne Cohomology

**Definition 3.1.** Given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(n) : \mathcal{M}fd^{op} \to \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z})$  by the pullback

$$\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(n) \longrightarrow \Omega^{\geq n} \\
\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \\
\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \Omega^*$$

We call the corresponding sheaf of  $H\mathbb{Z}$ -modules spectra  $H\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(n)$  the n-th Deligne sheaf.

### 4. Unfolding the fracture square of Deligne Cohomology

Now that we have a rigorous definition of Deligne cohomology, we can start to think about operations on it. First of all, we need a suitable monoidal structure.

**Definition 4.1.** Let F, G be two differential cohomology theories. The *monoidal product*  $F \otimes G$  is defined as the sheafification of the presheaf  $F \wedge G$ , which is the point-wise wedge product of spectra.

Now, recall there is a Hom of differential forms

$$\Omega^{\leq k} \otimes \Omega^{\leq m} \to \Omega^{\leq k+m}$$
,

which induces a Hom of differential cohomology theories

$$\mathcal{E}(k) \otimes \mathcal{E}(m) \to \mathcal{E}(k+m)$$

Ideally, we would like to describe such an operation in a very explicit manner, however, in the realm of spectra this can be very challenging. This suggests an alternative perspective.

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $\mathcal{L}(k)$  be the sheaf of chain complexes defined as the pullback in  $Shv(\mathcal{M}fd, D(\mathbb{Z}))$  of the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{L}(k) & \longrightarrow & \Omega^{\leq k} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow_{dR} \,, \\ \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} \end{array}$$

where  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the functor  $M \mapsto C^{\bullet}(M, \mathbb{Z})$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  is the functor  $M \mapsto C^{\bullet}(M, \mathbb{R})$ 

Remark 4.3. We can explicitly describe the chain complex  $\mathcal{L}(k)$  as follows.

$$\mathcal{L}(k)^{n} = \{(c, \omega, h) \in C^{n}(-\mathbb{Z}) \oplus \Omega^{n}(-) \oplus C^{n-1}(-\mathbb{R}) | \omega = 0 \text{ if } n > k \text{ and } c - dR(\omega) = dh \}$$

Remark 4.4. We expect that  $H\mathcal{L}(k)$  in fact recovers  $\mathcal{E}(k)$ , meaning operations on  $\mathcal{L}(k)$  help us understand operations on Deligne cohomology.

This needs to be checked.

It is expected that sheafification is nec-

essary, but ex ample is miss-

Using the explicit description from Remark 4.3, we can define an operation on  $\mathcal{L}(k)$  as follows:

$$(c_1, \omega_1, h_1) \otimes (c_2, \omega_2, h_2) = (c_1 \cup c_2, \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2, (-1)^{|c_1|} c_1 \cup h_2 + h_1 \cup \omega_2 + B(\omega_1, \omega_2)),$$

where

$$dR(\omega_1) \cup dR(\omega_2) = -dR(\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2) = dB(\omega_1, \omega_2)$$

Remark 4.5. Intuitively  $B(\omega_1, \omega_2)$  measures the failure of dR taking  $\wedge$  to  $\cup$ .

Remark 4.6. Ideally we would expect this formula to be well-defined, meaning  $(c_1, \omega_1, h_1) \otimes (c_2, \omega_2, h_2)$  should satisfy the conditions in Remark 4.3. In general, this is only true if  $c_1, \omega_2$  satisfy  $dc_1 = d\omega_2 = 0$ . In particular, it is well-defined at the level of cohomology classes, as any element is closed therein.

Is there a reasonable way to pick  $B(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ ?

## References

- $[BNV16] \label{eq:bounds} \mbox{Ulrich Bunke, Thomas Nikolaus, and Michael V\"{o}lkl. Differential cohomology theories as sheaves of spectra. \mbox{\it J. Homotopy Relat. Struct.}, 11(1):1–66, 2016.$
- [Dav24] Jack Davies. V4d2 Algebraic Topology II So24 (stable and chromatic homotopy theory). Lecture notes, 2024. Unpublished.
- [Lur17] Jacob Lurie. Higher algebra. Available online, September 2017.