DIFFERENTIAL COHOMOLOGY SEMINAR 4 (DRAFT)

TALK BY ALESSANDRO NANTO

In the last talk we learned the definition of a differential cohomology theory, as a sheaf valued in spectra on the site of manifolds. This talk continues our journey through differential cohomology theories, and focuses on the following three topics:

(1) We want to learn how to construct non-trivial examples out of sheaves valued in chain complexes.
(2) We want to understand how we can extend classical cohomology operations to the setting of differential cohomology theories.
(3) We want to introduce suitable analogues of fiber-wise integration.

1. Abelian Groups, Spectra and the Heart

Let us start by reviewing the relation between abelian groups, rings and spectra.

Definition 1.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and X be a spectrum, define $\pi_n(X) := \pi_0(\Omega^{\infty+n}X) = \pi_0(X_{-n})$. We call π_n the *n-th homotopy group* of X.

Remark 1.2. Note that since $X_n \simeq \Omega^2 X_{n+2}$, for any n, the set $\pi_0(X_n)$ underlies the structure of an abelian group.

The category $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{p}$ underlies the structure of a symmetric monoidal ∞-category ([Lur17, Corollary 4.8.2.19]). Following [Lur17], we denote by $\otimes$ the tensor product on $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{p}$.

Definition 1.3. A commutative algebra object in $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{p}$ is called an *$\mathbb{E}_\infty$-ring spectrum*, see [Lur17, Definition 7.1.0.1]. Given an $\mathbb{E}_\infty$-ring spectrum R, denote by Mod_R the corresponding category of *left R-module spectra*, see [Lur17, Definition 7.1.1.2].

Remark 1.4. The sphere spectrum $\mathbb{S}$ acts as the monoidal unit of $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{p}$, therefore it is a $\mathbb{E}_\infty$-ring spectrum. The category $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{S}}$ is canonically equivalent to $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{p}$.

Definition 1.5. Denote by $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{p}_{\geq 0} \subseteq \mathcal{S}\mathrm{p}$ the full sub-category generated by *connective spectra*, i.e. spectra X such that $\pi_n(X) \simeq 0$, for all $n < 0$. Denote by $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{p}^\heartsuit \subseteq \mathcal{S}\mathrm{p}_{\geq 0}$ the *heart of spectra*, i.e. the full sub-category generated by spectra X such that $\pi_n(X) \simeq 0$, for all $n > 0$.

We have the following result relating connective spectra and the heart, which follow immediately.

Lemma 1.6. *Let X be a connective spectrum. The following are equivalent:*

(1) X is in the heart.
(2) $\pi_n(\Omega^\infty X) = 0$, for all $n > 0$.
(3) $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}_*}(S, \Omega^\infty X) \simeq 0$, for all connected, pointed spaces S.
(4) X is local with respect to the class of maps $\Sigma^\infty S \to 0$, for every connected pointed space S.

The category $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{p}_{\geq 0}$ is presentable and π_0 induces an equivalence between the heart and $\mathcal{A}\mathrm{b}$ ([Lur17, Proposition 1.4.3.6]). The heart is a sub-category of local objects of connective spectra, therefore the inclusion $\mathcal{A}\mathrm{b} \simeq \mathcal{S}\mathrm{p}^\heartsuit \subseteq \mathcal{S}\mathrm{p}_{\geq 0}$ is a right adjoint. The category $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{p}_{\geq 0}$ is closed under $\otimes$ and, given X, Y connective spectra,

$$\pi_0(X \otimes Y) \simeq \pi_0(X) \otimes \pi_0(Y) \tag{1.7}$$

see [Dav24, Theorem 2.3.28]

Definition 1.8. Given an abelian group A, denote by HA the (unique up to equivalence) spectrum of the heart such that $\pi_0(HA) \simeq A$. We call HA the *Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum* of A.

Date: 03.06.2025 & 18.06.2025.

Using Equation (1.7) and the adjunction between H and π_0, one can prove H, viewed as a functor $\mathcal{A}\mathrm{b} \to \mathcal{S}\mathrm{p}$, is lax monoidal. In particular, if R is a commutative ring, then HR is a connective $\mathbb{E}_\infty$-ring spectrum. On the other hand, if R is a connective $\mathbb{E}_\infty$-ring spectrum and M a connective module, then $\pi_0(M)$ is a $\pi_0(R)$-module.

Definition 1.9. Given a commutative ring R, denote by $\mathrm{Ch}(R) = \mathrm{Ch}(\mathrm{Mod}_R)$ the ordinary category of unbounded chain complexes. Let $\mathcal{D}(R)$ be the ∞-localization of $\mathrm{Ch}(R)$ at the class of quasi-isomorphisms.

Similar to the heart of spectra, given an $\mathbb{E}_\infty$-ring spectrum R, denote by $\mathrm{Mod}_R^\heartsuit \subseteq \mathrm{Mod}_R$ the full sub-category generated by R-modules such that the underlying spectrum belongs to the heart of spectra.

Theorem 1.10 (Stable Dold-Kan Correspondence)**.** *Let R be a commutative ring.*

(1) $\mathrm{Mod}_R \simeq \mathrm{Mod}_{HR}^\heartsuit$ *via taking Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectra.*
(2) The equivalence in (1) extends to an equivalence $H : \mathcal{D}(R) \simeq \mathrm{Mod}_{HR}$ *of symmetric monoidal ∞-categories.*

Proof. (1) is [Lur17, Proposition 7.1.1.13], while (2) is [Lur17, Theorem 7.1.2.13]. □

An interesting consequence of Theorem 1.10 is the following:

Corollary 1.11. *Given $F \in \mathcal{D}(R)$, then $\pi_n(HF) \simeq H_n(F)$, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_n(HF) &= \pi_0(\Omega^{\infty+n}HF)\\ &\overset{①}{\simeq} \pi_0(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}\mathrm{p}}(\Sigma^n\mathbb{S}, HF))\\ &\overset{②}{\simeq} \pi_0(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Mod}_{HR}}(\Sigma^n HR, HF))\\ &\overset{③}{\simeq} \pi_0(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(R)}(R[n], F))\\ &\overset{④}{\simeq} H_n(F)\end{aligned}$$

① The functor $\Omega^{\infty+n}$ is corepresented by the shifted sphere spectrum $\Sigma^n\mathbb{S}$. ② The forgetful functor $\mathrm{Mod}_{HR} \to \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{S}} \simeq \mathcal{S}\mathrm{p}$ is right adjoint to tensoring by HR and $HR \otimes (\Sigma^n\mathbb{S}) \simeq \Sigma^n HR$. ③ Theorem 1.10 ④ π_0 of the mapping space $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(R)}(R[n], F)$ is equivalent to the mapping space $R[n] \to F$ in the *ordinary* derived category of R, i.e. homotopy classes of maps $R[n] \to F$, which correspond exactly to classes in $H_n(F)$. □

2. Locally constant sheaves on manifolds

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a presentable ∞-category. The ∞-categorical background given in previous talks allows to conclude the existence of a number of functors. Here we give a (somewhat) explicit formula for one.

Remark 2.1. Let $\mathcal{E}\mathrm{uc}$, the full sub-category of $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{fd}$ generated by Euclidean manifolds $\mathbb{R}^n$, for every $n \geq 0$. Denote by j the inclusion functor $\mathcal{E}\mathrm{uc} \subseteq \mathcal{M}\mathrm{fd}$. Recall that the restriction along j induces an equivalence $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{hv}(\mathcal{M}\mathrm{fd}, \mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{S}\mathrm{hv}(\mathcal{E}\mathrm{uc}, \mathcal{C})$, see [ADH21, Corollary A.5.6].

Remark 2.2. A *good cover* on a n-dimensional manifold M consists of an open cover $\mathcal{O}$ such that every finite intersection of elements in $\mathcal{O}$ is contractible. A *differentiable good cover*, or DG cover, is an open cover $\mathcal{O}$ such that every finite intersection of elements in $\mathcal{O}$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n$, see [FSS11, Definition 6.3.9]. Every paracompact smooth manifold admits a DG cover, see [FSS11, Proposition A.1], the proof reduces the claim of existence to [Fer07, Satz 237].

Evaluation at $\{0\}$ induces an adjunction $(\mathrm{L}, \Gamma) : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{S}\mathrm{hv}(\mathcal{M}\mathrm{fd}, \mathcal{C})$, where the functor Γ is evaluation at $\{0\}$, while the left adjoint L maps $C \in \mathcal{C}$ to the sheafification of the constant pre-sheaf with value C.

Remark 2.3. Every presentable ∞-category $\mathcal{C}$ is uniquely *cotensored over* $\mathcal{S}$, see [Lur09, Remark 5.5.2.6]. More explicitly, for every space S and object C, there is an object C^S together with a natural equivalence

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}}(S, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, C)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, C^S)$$

Definition 2.4. Denote by Sing the functor $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{fd} \to \mathcal{S}$ mapping a manifold to its underlying space. Given a presentable ∞-category $\mathcal{C}$, denote by $\flat$ the composition $\mathcal{C} \to \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{S}^{op}, \mathcal{C}) \to \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{M}\mathrm{fd}^{op}, \mathcal{C})$, the first functor coming from Remark 2.3, the second being pre-composition with Sing^{op}.

Explicitly, given an object $C \in \mathcal{C}$, the associated pre-sheaf $\flat C$ maps a manifold M to $C^{\mathrm{Sing}(M)}$.

Lemma 2.5 ([BG16, Corollary 6.46])**.** *$\flat$ factors through $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{hv}(\mathcal{M}\mathrm{fd}, \mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{M}\mathrm{fd}^{op}, \mathcal{C})$.*

Lemma 2.5 is the direct consequence of a weaker version of a generalized version of Seifert-van Kampen theorem, namely [Lur17, Proposition A.3.2], stating that, given a topological space X and a covering sieve $\mathcal{O}$, the space $\mathrm{Sing}(X)$ is the colimit of $\mathrm{Sing}(U)$ over $U \in \mathcal{O}$.

Theorem 2.6. *$\flat : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{S}\mathrm{hv}(\mathcal{M}\mathrm{fd}, \mathcal{C})$ is left adjoint to Γ.*

Proof. The composition $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\flat} \mathcal{S}\mathrm{hv}(\mathcal{M}\mathrm{fd}, \mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{j^*} \mathcal{S}\mathrm{hv}(\mathcal{E}\mathrm{uc}, \mathcal{C})$ maps an object C to the sheaf $\flat C$ restricted to Euclidean spaces. Since $\mathbb{R}^n$ is contractible, $(\flat C)(\mathbb{R}^n) = C^{\mathrm{Sing}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \simeq C$ and so $\flat$ restricted to $\mathcal{E}\mathrm{uc}$ is equivalent to L, the functor taking C to the the pre-sheaf with constant value C, which is left adjoint to Γ restricted to $\mathcal{E}\mathrm{uc}$. □

Remark 2.7. The proof of Theorem 2.6 shows that, given an object $C \in \mathcal{C}$, the constant pre-sheaf on $\mathcal{E}\mathrm{uc}$ with value C is equivalent to the restriction of the sheaf $\flat C$. In particular, the constant pre-sheaf is already a sheaf on $\mathcal{E}\mathrm{uc}$.

3. Sheaves of complexes and spectra

The stable Dold-Kan correspondence allows us to move freely between sheaves of $H\mathbb{Z}$-module spectras and sheaves valued in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z})$. In this section, we introduce definition and some technical lemmas regarding sheaves on manifolds valued in the derived category.

Remark 3.1. We identify the category of cochain complexes with $\mathrm{Ch}(R)$ by reversing grading. Namely, given a cochain V^*, we are implicitly identifying it with the chain complex $V_n = V^{-n}$.

Definition 3.2 ([BNV16, Definition 7.14])**.** Given $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, denote by $\tau^{\geq n}$, resp. $\tau^{\leq n}$, the *naive truncation functors*, mapping a cochain complex V^* to

$$\cdots \to 0 \to V^n \to V^{n+1} \to \cdots, \qquad \text{resp. } \cdots \to V^{n-1} \to V^n \to 0 \to \cdots$$

Given $F : \mathcal{M}\mathrm{fd}^{op} \to \mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{Z})$, denote by $F^{\geq n}$ the composite $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{fd}^{op} \xrightarrow{F} \mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\tau^{\geq n}} \mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{Z})$, and similarly we define $F^{\leq n}$. If F is a sheaf, then so are its truncations.

Lemma 3.3 ([BNV16, Lemma 7.12])**.** *Let $F : \mathcal{M}\mathrm{fd}^{op} \to \mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{Z})$ a sheaf of chain complexes of C^∞-modules, then $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{fd}^{op} \xrightarrow{F} \mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z})$ is a sheaf.*

Definition 3.4. Denote by Ω^* the sheaf $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{fd}^{op} \to \mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{Z})$ mapping a manifold to its de Rham complex.

Lemma 3.3 ensures that the sheaf in Definition 3.4 and the corresponding naive truncations remain sheaves after post-composition with the localization functor $\mathrm{Ch}(\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Definition 3.5. Given a sheaf $F : \mathcal{M}\mathrm{fd}^{op} \to \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z})$, denote by HF the *Eilenberg-Mac Lane sheaf* of $H\mathbb{Z}$-module spectra obtained by applying point-wise the equivalence of Theorem 1.10.

4. Higher de Rham Theorem

The classical de Rham theorem gives an explicit ring isomorphism between the de Rham cohomology of a manifold M and its singular cohomology with real coefficients. Using the modern perspective we can recover de Rham theorem as a corollary of a more general equivalence of $\mathbb{A}_\infty$-algebras. Here we use the machinery set-up in Section 2.

Remark 4.1. Since $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z})$ is presentable, we know that it is cotensored over $\mathcal{S}$. Given a space S and a chain complex M_*, the cotensor ${M_*}^S$ is the chain complex of graded linear maps $C_*(S, \mathbb{Z}) \to M_*$, from the (normalized) singular chain complex of S to M_*, see [Lur17, Definition 1.3.2.1]. In particular, let $M_* = M$ be concentrated in degree 0, then ${M_*}^S$ is the singular cochain complex of S with values in M.

Definition 4.2. Consider the morphism $\Omega^*(M) \to (\flat\mathbb{R})(M) = C^*(M,\mathbb{R})$ taking a form $\omega \in \Omega^n(M)$ to the linear map $\int \omega : C_n(M,\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{R}$. We call the induced transformation $\mathrm{dR} : \Omega^* \to \flat\mathbb{R}$ the *de Rham morphism.*

We can now state the main theorem.

Theorem 4.3 ([AS10, Theorem 3.25])**.** $\mathrm{dR} : \Omega^* \to \flat\mathbb{R}$ *point-wise lifts to an $\mathbb{A}_\infty$-quasi-isomorphism of DG algebras.*

A chain morphism between DG algebras lifts to an $\mathbb{A}_\infty$-morphism if it is compatible with the DG algebra structures *up to coherent homotopies*. These homotopies are a sequence of graded linear maps ψ_n satisfying a specific sequence of coherence conditions, see [LV12, Proposition 10.2.12]. One such conditions in the case of dR is that

$$\mathrm{dR}(\omega \wedge \eta) - \mathrm{dR}(\omega) \cup \mathrm{dR}(\eta) = \psi_2(d\omega, \eta) + (-1)^{|\omega|}\psi_2(\omega, d\eta) - d\psi_2(\omega,\eta) \tag{4.4}$$

In cohomology, Equation (4.4) together with dR being a quasi-isomorphism, recover the classical de Rham theorem. An $\mathbb{A}_\infty$-quasi-isomorphism is an $\mathbb{A}_\infty$-morphism where the underlying chain morphism is a quasi-isomorphism.

5. Deligne Cohomology

In this section, we give the definition of the ℓ-th Deligne sheaf as the Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum (see Definition 3.5) associated to a sheaf $F : \mathcal{M}\mathrm{fd}^{op} \to \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z})$. Following that, we give explicit cochain complexes that are quasi-isomorphic to the value of F at a manifold M.

Definition 5.1. Given $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(\ell) : \mathcal{M}\mathrm{fd}^{op} \to \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z})$ as the pullback of

$$\begin{tikzcd} \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(\ell) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Omega^{\geq \ell} \arrow[d] \\ \flat\mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] & \flat\mathbb{R} \end{tikzcd}$$

The vertical morphism being the composition $\Omega^{\geq\ell} \subseteq \Omega^* \xrightarrow{\mathrm{dR}} \flat\mathbb{R}$. We call the corresponding Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum $H\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(\ell)$ the *ℓ-th Deligne sheaf.*

Remark 5.2 (Model A, see [HS05, §3.2])*.* Let $\acute{C}(\ell)^n(M) \subseteq C^n(M,\mathbb{Z}) \oplus C^{n-1}(M,\mathbb{R}) \oplus \Omega^n(M)$ consist of triples (c,h,ω) for which $\omega = 0$ if $n < \ell$, with differential $\delta(c,h,\omega) = (\delta c, \mathrm{dR}(\omega) - c - \delta h, d\omega)$. This complex $\acute{C}^*(\ell)(M)$ fits into a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} \acute{C}^*(\ell)(M) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Omega^{\geq \ell}(M) \arrow[d] \\ C^*(M,\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] & C^*(M,\mathbb{R}) \end{tikzcd}$$

which commutes up to homotopy given by the projections $\acute{C}^n(\ell)(M) \to C^{n-1}(M,\mathbb{R})$. The diagram above model the pullback of Definition 5.1, hence $\acute{C}^*(\ell)(M)$ is a model for $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(\ell)(M)$.

Remark 5.3 (Model B)*.* Recall that $\flat$ preserves cofiber sequences, since it is left adjoint, and that fiber sequences are the same a cofiber sequences in stable ∞-categories. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(\ell) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Omega^{\geq \ell} \arrow[d] \arrow[dd, bend left] \\ \flat\mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \flat\mathbb{R} \arrow[d] \\ 0 \arrow[r] & \flat(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \end{tikzcd}$$

Since the bottom square is an pullback, $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(\ell)(M)$ is equivalent to the pullback of the diagram in red. Let $\breve{C}^n(\ell)(M) \subseteq C^{n-1}(M,\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \oplus \Omega^n(M)$ consist of pairs (χ,ω) for which $\omega = 0$ if $n < \ell$, with differential $\delta(\chi,\omega) = (e^{2\pi i \mathrm{dR}(\omega)} - \delta\chi, d\omega)$. Similar to Remark 5.2, the complex $\breve{C}^*(\ell)(M)$ fits into the above diagram so that the outer square is an pullback, hence it is equivalent to $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(\ell)(M)$.

Take an n-cocycle ($n \geq \ell$) in the model from Remark 5.3, i.e. $(\chi, \omega) \in C^{n-1}(M, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \oplus \Omega^n(M)$ such that $d\omega = 0$ and $\delta\chi = e^{2\pi i \mathrm{dR}(\omega)}$. Such a cocycle determines a differential character of degree $n-1$ for M, in the sense of the following definition:

Definition 5.4 ([HS05, Definition 3.4], see also [BB14, Chapter 5])**.** Consider a manifold M, a *differential character* of degree n consists of a character $\chi : Z_n^\infty(M, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ on the group of smooth n-cycles of M together with a n-form $\omega \in \Omega^{n+1}(M)$, such that, for every smooth $(n+1)$-chain c,

$$\chi(\partial c) = e^{2\pi i \int_c \omega}$$

Remark 5.5 (Model C, see [ADH21, Lemma 7.3.4])*.* Consider the following diagram in the category of sheaves on $\mathcal{E}\mathrm{uc}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
j^*\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(\ell) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & j^*\Omega^{\geq \ell} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d, red] \\
\mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] \arrow[rr, bend right, red] & j^*\Omega^* \arrow[r] & j^*\Omega^{\leq \ell-1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

The left square is obtained by: 1 Apply the restriction functor j^* to the pullback diagram of Definition 5.1 2 Substitute $j^*\flat\mathbb{R}$ with $j^*\Omega^*$ (see Theorem 4.3) and $j^*\flat\mathbb{Z}$ with $\mathbb{Z}$ (see Remark 2.7). Since the right square is a pullback, $j^*\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(\ell)$ is equivalent to the pullback of the diagram in red. Let $\check{C}^*(\ell)$ be the sheaf of chain complexes $\mathbb{Z} \to \Omega^0 \to \cdots\cdots \to \Omega^{\ell-1} \to 0 \to \cdots$, where $\mathbb{Z}$ is in degree 0 includes into Ω^0 as constant functions. The complex $\check{C}^*(\ell)$ fits into the above diagram, so that the outer square is an pullback, therefore $j^*\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(\ell) \simeq \check{C}^*(\ell)$ and $j^*\check{C}^*(\ell) \simeq \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(\ell)$. Since $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(\ell)$ is equivalent to $\check{C}^*(\ell)$ on Euclidean manifolds, consider a manifold M, let $\mathcal{O}$ be a good open cover and $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{O})$ the closure of $\mathcal{O}$ under finite intersections, then

$$\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(\ell)(M) \simeq \lim_{U \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{O})} \check{C}^*(\ell)(U) \simeq \lim_{n \in \Delta} \prod_{U_1, \cdots, U_n \in \mathcal{O}} \check{C}^*(\ell)(U_1 \cap \cdots \cap U_n)$$

We then apply [BNV16, Lemma 7.10] to calculate the last limit as a the total complex functor applied to the bicomplex

$$\check{C}^{m,n}(\ell)(\mathcal{O}) := \prod_{U_1, \cdots, U_n \in \mathcal{O}} \check{C}^m(\ell)(U_1 \cap \cdots \cap U_n)$$

6. Unfolding the fracture square of Deligne Cohomology

7. Live Latexing

Here are some live latexed notes based on the talk. In order not to mess with the integrity of the main document, they are written separately. A lot of content here can be ignored, as it is already part of the notes. However, whatever is new and or interesting can be incorporated in the main document later on.

Note the map $\Omega^\bullet \to \flat\mathbb{R}$ does not really exist, as the map is trying to be the de Rham map, but we cannot integrate general forms. We need to take smooth forms. That means we rather have a zig-zag of map $\Omega^\bullet \leftarrow \Omega^\bullet_{\text{smooth}} \to \flat\mathbb{R}$, where the first map is the inclusion of smooth forms into all forms, and the second map is the actual de Rham map, and both maps in the zig-zag are quasi-isomorphisms.

Need to understand how this influences previous arguments and whether we can find relevant references.

References

[ADH21] Araminta Amabel, Arun Debray, and Peter J. Haine. Differential cohomology: Categories, characteristic classes, and connections. *arXiv preprint*, 2021. arXiv:2109.12250.

[AS10] Camilo Arias Abad and Florian Schaetz. The A_∞ de Rham theorem and integration of representations up to homotopy, 2010.

[BB14] Christian Bär and Christian Becker. *Differential Characters and Geometric Chains*, pages 1–90. Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2014.

[BG16] Ulrich Bunke and David Gepner. Differential function spectra, the differential Becker-Gottlieb transfer, and applications to differential algebraic K-theory. arXiv:1306.0247, 2016.

[BNV16] Ulrich Bunke, Thomas Nikolaus, and Michael Völkl. Differential cohomology theories as sheaves of spectra. *J. Homotopy Relat. Struct.*, 11(1):1–66, 2016.

[Dav24] Jack Davies. V4d2 - Algebraic Topology II So24 (stable and chromatic homotopy theory). *Lecture notes*, 2024. Unpublished.

[Fer07] Dirk Ferus. Analysis III (In German). *Lecture notes*, 2007. Unpublished.

[FSS11] Domenico Fiorenza, Urs Schreiber, and Jim Stasheff. Čech cocycles for differential characteristic classes - An ∞-Lie theoretic construction. arXiv:1011.4735, 2011.

[HS05] M. J. Hopkins and I. M. Singer. Quadratic functions in geometry, topology, and M-theory. *J. Differential Geom.*, 70(3):329–452, 2005.

[Lur09] Jacob Lurie. *Higher topos theory*, volume 170 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009.

[Lur17] Jacob Lurie. Higher algebra. Available online, September 2017.

[LV12] Jean-Louis Loday and Bruno Vallette. *Algebraic operads*, volume 346. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.