

## DIFFERENTIAL COHOMOLOGY SEMINAR 2

TALK BY MATTHIAS FRERICHS

In this lecture we want to learn the basics of  $\infty$ -category theory. For the  $\infty$ -categorical background, we broadly follow [Gro10] and a little [Lur09].

### 1. BASICS ON $(\infty, 1)$ -CATEGORIES

$(\infty, 1)$ -categories have different models that capture its essence. The first model are *quasi-categories*.

**Definition 1.** Given a natural number  $n$ , let  $\langle n \rangle$  denote the linearly ordered set  $\{0, \dots, n\}$ . The *simplex category*  $\Delta$  is the category of finite linearly ordered sets  $\langle n \rangle$ , for every  $n$ , and monotone functions.

**Definition 2.** Given  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , the *i-face map* is the unique injective map  $\delta_n^i : \langle n - 1 \rangle \rightarrow \langle n \rangle$  missing  $i$ . The *i-degeneracy map* is the unique surjective map  $\sigma_n^i : \langle n + 1 \rangle \rightarrow \langle n \rangle$  such that  $i$  and  $i + 1$  have the same image.

**Theorem 3.** As a category,  $\Delta$  is generated from the face and degeneracy maps subject to the simplicial identities, i.e.

$$(4) \quad \delta_{n+1}^i \delta_n^j = \delta_{n+1}^{j+1} \delta_n^i, \quad i \leq j$$

$$(5) \quad \sigma_{n-1}^j \sigma_n^i = \sigma_{n-1}^i \sigma_n^{j+1}, \quad i \leq j$$

$$(6) \quad \sigma_n^j \delta_{n+1}^i = \begin{cases} \delta_n^i \sigma_{n-1}^{j-1}, & i < j \\ 1, & i = j \\ \delta_n^{i-1} \sigma_{n-1}^j, & i > j \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Omitted.  $\square$

**Definition 7.** A *simplicial set* is a contravariant functor  $X : \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ . Denote by  $s\text{Set}$  the category of simplicial sets.  $X_n := X(\langle n \rangle)$  is the set of  $n$ -simplices.

By only representing the face maps, we can depict a simplicial set as follows:

$$(8) \quad X_0 \leftarrow\!\!\!= X_1 \leftarrow\!\!\!= X_2 \leftarrow\!\!\!= \dots$$

$\infty$ -categories are then defined in terms of a lifting condition, for which we need to define horns.

**Definition 9.** Let  $\Delta^n$  denote the representable functor associated to  $\langle n \rangle$ . The face map  $\delta_n^i$  induces a map of simplicial sets  $d_n^i : \Delta^{n-1} \rightarrow \Delta^n$ . The image of  $d_n^i$  is called the *i-face*. The *i-horn*  $\Lambda^{i,n}$  is the union of all faces, except the *i-face*.

*Remark 10.* Another characterization of  $\Lambda^{i,n}$  is the following: A  $t$ -simplex  $f : \langle t \rangle \rightarrow \langle n \rangle$  is a  $t$ -simplex for  $\Lambda^{i,n}$  if and only if there is  $j \neq i$  not in the image of  $f$ .

**Definition 11.** A simplicial set  $X$  is a *quasi-category* if, for every  $0 < i < n$  and solid diagram

$$(12) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda^{i,n} & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ \Delta^n & & \end{array}$$

there is a dashed arrow rendering the diagram commutative. If the above condition holds for every  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , we call  $X$  a *Kan complex*.

**Example 13.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category. The *nerve* of  $\mathcal{C}$ , denoted  $N\mathcal{C}$ , is the simplicial set where the  $n$ -simplices are  $\text{Hom}_{\text{Cat}}(\langle n \rangle, \mathcal{C})$ . This defines a functor  $N : \text{Cat} \rightarrow s\text{Set}$ .

**Proposition 14.**  $\mathrm{NC}$  is a quasi-category, and a Kan complex if and only if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a groupoid.

*Proof.* Straightforward combinatorics.  $\square$

*Remark 15.* The nerve is a special case of the following construction: Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category,  $\Gamma : \Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  a functor, then define  $N_\Gamma$  as the composition

$$(16) \quad \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Cat}}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Set}) \longrightarrow s\mathrm{Set}$$

where the first functor is Yoneda, while the second is pre-composition with  $\Gamma^{\mathrm{op}}$ . In the case of the nerve,  $\Gamma$  is the functor sending  $\langle n \rangle$  to the linearly ordered set viewed as a category. On the other hand, assuming  $\mathcal{C}$  is cocomplete, we can left Kan extend  $\Gamma$  along the Yoneda functor  $\Delta \rightarrow s\mathrm{Set}$ , we denote by  $\mathrm{Ho}_\Gamma$  the resulting functor  $s\mathrm{Set} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ .

**Proposition 17.** The pair  $(\mathrm{Ho}_\Gamma, N_\Gamma) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow s\mathrm{Set}$  is an adjoint pair. In the case of  $N : \mathrm{Cat} \rightarrow s\mathrm{Set}$ , the functor is fully faithful.

*Proof.* Abstract nonsense about left Kan extensions. Full faithfulness can be checked directly.  $\square$

*Remark 18.*  $\mathrm{Ho} : s\mathrm{Set} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cat}$  is called the *homotopy category* functor. If  $X$  is a quasi-category,  $\mathrm{Ho}X$  has  $X_0$  as set of objects and homotopy classes of maps as morphism, see [Lan21, 1.2.5].

*Remark 19.* Denote by  $s\mathrm{Cat}$  the category of simplicially enriched categories. In [Lur09, 1.1.5.1], Lurie constructs a cosimplicial object  $\Delta \rightarrow s\mathrm{Cat}$ . The resulting nerve functor  $N_\Delta : s\mathrm{Cat} \rightarrow s\mathrm{Set}$  is called *homotopy coherent nerve*. If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a category enriched over  $\infty$ -groupoids, its homotopy coherent nerve is a  $\infty$ -category. The induced right adjoint is denoted  $\mathfrak{C}$ , the adjoint pair  $(\mathfrak{C}, N_\Delta)$  underlies a Quillen equivalence.

Denote by  $\mathrm{Kan}$  the category of Kan complexes. One can show that  $\mathrm{Kan}$  is self-enriched, which motivates, together with [Equation \(14\)](#), the following definition:

**Definition 20.**  $\mathfrak{S} := N_\Delta(\mathrm{Kan})$  is called the *quasi-category of  $\infty$ -groupoids*.

## 2. ACCESSIBLE AND PRESENTABLE CATEGORIES

In general, a limit, resp. colimit, preserving functor need not have a left, resp. right, adjoint. Here we wish to introduce a rather large class of quasi-categories for which the previous statement holds. Let  $\kappa$  denote a regular cardinal.

**Definition 21.** A category  $\mathcal{I}$  is  $\kappa$ -filtered if, for every  $\mathcal{J}$  with  $< \kappa$  many morphisms, every diagram  $\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$  has a cocone. A functor  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is  $\kappa$ -accessible if it preserves  $\kappa$ -filtered colimits. Given a category  $\mathcal{C}$ , an object  $X$  is  $\kappa$ -compact if  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, -) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{Set}$  is  $\kappa$ -accessible.

**Definition 22.** A category  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $\kappa$ -accessible if there exists a set  $S \subseteq \mathcal{C}_0$  of  $\kappa$ -compact objects that generate  $\mathcal{C}$  under  $\kappa$ -filtered colimits. A category is accessible if it is  $\kappa$ -accessible, for some regular cardinal  $\kappa$ .

**Definition 23.** A category  $\mathcal{C}$  that is accessible and cocomplete is called *locally presentable*.

**Theorem 24.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category, then  $\mathcal{C}$  is locally presentable if and only if there exists a small category  $S$  such that the induced functor  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{PShv}(S)$  is fully faithful, accessible, and a right adjoint.

**Theorem 25.** Let  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$  be locally presentable categories. A functor  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is a left, resp. right, adjoint if and only if it preserves colimits, resp. limits and is accessible.

We now generalize this to quasi-categories.

**Definition 26.** Given a simplicial set  $X$ , denote by  $X^{\mathrm{op}}$  the simplicial set obtained by reversing the structure maps:  $X_n^{\mathrm{op}} = X_n$ , for all  $n$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} (d_i : X_n^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow X_{n-1}^{\mathrm{op}}) &= (d_{n-i} : X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1}) \\ (s_i : X_n^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow X_{n+1}^{\mathrm{op}}) &= (s_{n-i} : X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

If  $X$  is a quasi-category, so is  $X^{\mathrm{op}}$ .

**Definition 27.** Let  $X$  be a quasi-category. The *quasi-category of simplicial presheaves* is defined as  $\mathrm{PShv}(X) := \mathrm{Hom}_{s\mathrm{Set}}(X^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathfrak{S})$ .

**Theorem 28** (Yoneda). *Given a quasi-category  $X$ , there is a fully faithful functor  $X \rightarrow \mathcal{PShv}(X)$ . The functor  $X \rightarrow \mathcal{PShv}(X)$  presents the category of pre-sheaves as the free cocompletion of  $X$ .*

**Theorem 29.** *Let  $K$  be a quasi-category.*

- (1) *There exists a set of  $\kappa$ -compact objects  $\mathcal{C}^0$  in  $K$ , such that every object in  $K$  is a  $\kappa$ -filtered colimit of objects in  $\mathcal{C}^0$ .*
- (2) *There exists a small category  $\mathcal{C}^0$  and a fully faithful accessible right adjoint  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Fun}((\mathcal{C}^0)^{\text{op}}, \text{Set})$ .*

In those cases we say  $K$  is presentable. We now again have the adjoint functor theorem.

**Theorem 30.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$  be presentable  $\infty$ -categories.*

- (1)  *$F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is a left adjoint if and only if it preserves colimits.*
- (2)  *$F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is a right adjoint if and only if it preserves limits and is accessible.*

Note in particular the  $\infty$ -category of sheaves is a presentable  $\infty$ -category.

### 3. STABLE $\infty$ -CATEGORIES AND SPECTRA

We now use the  $\infty$ -categorical framework to study spectra. Let us recall some facts about spectra, to motivate the story. The *Freudenthal suspension theorem* states that the suspension functor  $\Sigma: \text{Top} \rightarrow \text{Top}$  stabilizes the homotopy type. More explicitly, the map

$$\pi_k(X) \rightarrow \pi_{k+1}(\Sigma X) \rightarrow \pi_{k+2}(\Sigma^2 X) \rightarrow \dots$$

stabilizes for  $k$  large enough, if  $X$  satisfies some connectivity condition. This defined the stable homotopy groups  $\pi_n^S(X)$  as the stabilization of this sequence.

There is significant interest in computing these stable homotopy groups, in particular in the case where  $X$  is a sphere, given that it helps us understand many phenomena in algebraic topology.

We now want a setting where these stable homotopy groups naturally live and can be studied. We know that  $(\Sigma, \Omega)$  induces an adjunction on the category of pointed topological spaces. What we want is an adjustment of this definition such that the adjunction  $(\Sigma, \Omega)$  is an equivalence.

We now take a  $\infty$ -categorical perspective on this and use it to study such stable phenomena.

**Definition 31.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an  $\infty$ -category with initial and terminal object.  $\mathcal{C}$  has a 0-object if they are equivalent.

**Example 32.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a 1-category. Then  $\mathcal{C}$  is pointed as a 1-category if and only if it is pointed as an  $\infty$ -category.

**Example 33.** Notice  $\mathcal{S}$  is not pointed, we hence can define  $\mathcal{S}_*$  as the slices under the terminal space, i.e.  $\mathcal{S}_* = \mathcal{S}_{*/}$ . This  $\infty$ -category is then pointed by construction.

Note  $\mathcal{S}_*$  is not just some pointed  $\infty$ -category, it is in some sense the universal one.

**Proposition 34.** *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a pointed  $\infty$ -category. Then the functor*

$$\text{ev}_{S^0}: \text{Fun}^L(\mathcal{S}_*, \mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}$$

*that evaluates at  $S^0$  is an equivalence.*

We now generalize from there and define triangles in  $\mathcal{S}_*$ .

**Definition 35.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a pointed  $\infty$ -category. A *triangle* in  $\mathcal{C}$  is a diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Z \end{array}$$

where  $X$ ,  $Y$ , and  $Z$  are objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Definition 36.** We say a triangle is a *exact* if it is a pullback square and *coexact* if it is a pushout square.

**Definition 37.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a pointed  $\infty$ -category. Let  $\mathcal{C}^\Sigma$  be the full subcategory of  $\text{Fun}([1] \times [1], \mathcal{C})$  with objects coexact triangles of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array},$$

meaning  $Y$  is the suspension of  $X$ .

**Definition 38.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a pointed  $\infty$ -category. Let  $\mathcal{C}^\Omega$  be the full subcategory of  $\text{Fun}([1] \times [1], \mathcal{C})$  with objects exact triangles of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & X \end{array},$$

meaning  $Y$  is the loop object of  $X$ .

**Proposition 39.** If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a pointed  $\infty$ -category with finite (co)limits. Then there exists functors

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma: \mathcal{C} &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \\ \Omega: \mathcal{C} &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 40.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a pointed  $\infty$ -category with finite (co)limits. The following are equivalent:

- (1) A triangle is exact if and only if it is coexact.
- (2) The functors  $\Sigma$  and  $\Omega$  are equivalences and the inverses of each other.
- (3) A square is a pullback square if and only if it is a pushout square.

**Definition 41.** A pointed  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$  is *stable* if it satisfies one of the three equivalent conditions above.

Recall that before the rise of  $\infty$ -categories, *triangulated categories* were used to study stable homotopy theory. Hence, it is unsurprising that we can relate stable  $\infty$ -categories to triangulated categories.

**Proposition 42.** If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a stable  $\infty$ -category, then the homotopy category  $h\mathcal{C}$  is a triangulated category.

Of course arbitrary pointed  $\infty$ -categories will not be stable. We hence want a procedure that stabilizes them. There are several approaches. One approach, that is powerful from a theoretical perspective, is given via reduced 1-excisive functors out of finite pointed spaces. Here, we focus on explicit spectrum objects, as there are characterized more explicitly. For a comparison of these two approaches see [Lur17].

**Definition 43.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a pointed  $\infty$ -category. A *pre-spectrum object* in  $\mathcal{C}$ , is a functor  $X: \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  such that  $X(i, j) = 0$  for  $i \neq j$  and all squares are pushout squares. Let  $PSp(\mathcal{C})$  be the  $\infty$ -category of pre-spectrum objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

For a given pre-spectrum object  $X$ , let  $\alpha_{m-1}: \Sigma X_{m-1} \rightarrow X_m$  and  $\beta_m: X_m \rightarrow \Omega X_{m+1} = \Omega \Sigma X_m$ .

**Definition 44.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a pointed  $\infty$ -category. A *spectrum object* in  $\mathcal{C}$  is a pre-spectrum object in  $\mathcal{C}$ , such that  $\alpha_{m-1}$  and  $\beta_m$  are equivalences for all  $m$ . Let  $Sp(\mathcal{C})$  be the  $\infty$ -category of spectrum objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Definition 45.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a pointed  $\infty$ -category. The stabilization of  $\mathcal{C}$  is the  $\infty$ -category  $Sp(\mathcal{C})$  of spectrum objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Of course  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $Sp(\mathcal{C})$  are suitably related.

**Theorem 46.** For a given pointed  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$ , there is an adjunction

$$\mathcal{C} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\Omega} \end{array} Sp(\mathcal{C})$$

Moreover,  $Sp(\mathcal{C})$  is in some sense the universal stabilization of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Theorem 47.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a pointed  $\infty$ -category and  $\mathcal{D}$  a stable  $\infty$ -category. Then  $\Sigma^\infty$  induces an equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories

$$(\Sigma^\infty)^*: \text{Fun}^L(Sp(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{D}) \simeq \text{Fun}^L(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$$

Let us now focus on the case  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{S}_*$ .

**Example 48.** The stabilization of  $\mathcal{S}_*$  is the  $\infty$ -category of spectra, denoted  $\text{Sp}$ .

Similar to  $\mathcal{S}_*$ ,  $\text{Sp}$  is also the universal stable  $\infty$ -category, as a special instance of the result above.

**Theorem 49.** *If  $\mathcal{D}$  is a stable  $\infty$ -category. Then the functor*

$$\text{ev}_{\mathbb{S}}: \text{Fun}^L(\text{Sp}, \mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{D}$$

*that evaluates at  $\mathbb{S}$  is an equivalence.*

#### 4. GENERALIZED COHOMOLOGY THEORIES

Cohomology theories were traditionally defined in the context of topological spaces. However, now that we have the tools of  $\infty$ -categories and stable  $\infty$ -categories. We can significantly generalize those definitions. This last result follows work in [Lur17].

**Definition 50.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a pointed  $\infty$ -category with pushouts, and  $\Sigma: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  and suspension functor. A *generalized cohomology theory* is a functor  $H: h\mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{Ab}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , such that the following conditions hold:

- There is a natural isomorphism  $H^\bullet \rightarrow H^{\bullet+1}\Sigma$
- Coexact sequences maps to exact sequences.
- Arbitrary coproducts map to products.

We now have the following major result that significantly generalizes the classical Brown representability theorem.

**Theorem 51.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a nice  $\infty$ -category and  $(H^\bullet, \delta)$  be a generalized cohomology theory. Then there exists a spectrum object  $E$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , such that  $H^\bullet(X) \cong \text{Hom}_{h\mathcal{C}}(X, E^\bullet)$ , where  $\delta = (\beta_\bullet)_*$ .*

**Example 52.** Unsurprisingly,  $\mathcal{S}_*$  satisfies the niceness conditions, and so we can conclude that every generalized cohomology on  $\mathcal{S}_*$  is given by a spectrum, recovering the original Brown representability theorem.

#### REFERENCES

- [Gro10] Moritz Groth. A short course on  $\infty$ -categories. *arXiv preprint*, 2010. [arXiv:1007.2925](#).
- [Lan21] Markus Land. *Introduction to  $\infty$ -categories*. Birkhäuser Cham, 2021.
- [Lur09] Jacob Lurie. *Higher topos theory*, volume 170 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009.
- [Lur17] Jacob Lurie. Higher algebra. [Available online](#), September 2017.