

## DIFFERENTIAL COHOMOLOGY SEMINAR 8

TALK BY HANNES BERKENHAGEN

The aim of this talk is to review twisted cohomology theory with the aim of later discussing twisted differential cohomology theories [BG16]. For these talks the main source is [ABG<sup>+</sup>14, ABG18].

### 1. TWISTED COHOMOLOGY

Let  $R$  be a ring spectrum, meaning a monoid object in the  $\infty$ -category of spectra  $\mathcal{S}p$ . From this we get a presentable stable  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{M}od_R$  of left  $R$ -module spectra. Objects therein are morphisms of the form  $R \wedge M \rightarrow M$  satisfying the usual associativity and unit conditions up to coherent homotopies.

Note we have an adjunction diagram

$$\mathcal{S}p \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{R \wedge -} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\mathbf{Hom}_R(R, -)} \end{array} \mathcal{M}od_R ,$$

where the right adjoint is in fact the forgetful functor. This in particular means  $\mathcal{M}od_R$  has a distinguished object  $R$  i.e. the free  $R$ -module of rank 1. We now refine these constructions.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $R$  be a ring spectrum. An  $R$ -line is an  $R$ -module  $L$  such that  $L \simeq R$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $\mathcal{L}ine_R$  be the full sub- $\infty$ -groupoid of  $\mathcal{M}od_R$  spanned by the  $R$ -lines.

Note by construction this is equivalent to  $BGL_1(R)$ , the  $\infty$ -group of  $R$ -linear automorphisms of  $R$ .

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $X$  be a space. Then we denote by  $\mathcal{M}od_R(X)$  the  $\infty$ -category of  $R$ -module spectra parametrized over  $X$ , i.e. the functor category  $\mathbf{Fun}(X^{op}, \mathcal{M}od_R)$ .

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $X$  be a space. Then we denote by  $\mathcal{L}ine_R(X)$  the full sub- $\infty$ -groupoid of  $\mathcal{M}od_R(X)$  spanned by those functors  $L: X^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}ine_R$ , meaning it is  $\mathbf{Fun}(X^{op}, \mathcal{L}ine_R)$ .

Recall this generalization of local systems of abelian groups, which map out of groupoids instead of  $\infty$ -groupoids.

**Example 1.5.** Let  $R_X: X^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}ine_R$  be the constant functor, then this is an object in  $\mathcal{L}ine_R(X)$ .

We now proceed to the Thom construction.

**Definition 1.6** (Thom spectrum). The *Thom R-module spectrum* is the functor

$$M: \mathcal{S}rp\mathcal{d}_{\infty}^{op}/\mathcal{L}ine_R \rightarrow \mathcal{M}od_R,$$

which sends  $f: X^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}ine_R$  to the  $R$ -module spectrum  $\mathrm{colim}(X^{op} \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{L}ine_R \rightarrow \mathcal{M}od_R)$ .

Let us note an alternative characterization that will be important later.

*Remark 1.7.* For a given map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ , we get a map  $f^*: \mathcal{M}od_R(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}od_R(X)$  by precomposition with  $f^{op}$ . By the adjoint functor theorem, this functor admits a left adjoint  $f_!: \mathcal{M}od_R(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}od_R(Y)$  and a right adjoint  $f_*: \mathcal{M}od_R(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}od_R(Y)$ . Now for  $p: X \rightarrow *$ , we have

$$Mf \simeq p_!(i \circ f).$$

*Remark 1.8* ([ABG10, 3.6]). Let  $\mathcal{T}riv_R$  be the slice groupoid  $\mathcal{L}ine_R/R$ , together with the canonical projection  $\pi: \mathcal{T}riv_R \rightarrow \mathcal{L}ine_R$ . An object of  $\mathcal{T}riv_R$  is a pair  $(L, \phi)$  of a  $R$ -line  $L$  and an equivalence  $\phi: L \rightarrow R$ . Consider then the following:

- (1)  $\mathcal{T}riv_R$  is a slice  $\infty$ -groupoid, hence contractible, and  $\pi$  is a Kan fibration.
- (2)  $GL_1(R)$  is equivalent to the fiber of  $\pi$  over  $R \in \mathcal{L}ine_R$  and acts freely on the fibers of  $\pi$ .

These observations imply  $\mathcal{L}\text{ine}_R$  is equivalent to  $BGL_1(R)$ , the classifying space for  $R$ -line bundles.

We now proceed to define twisted cohomology theories.

**Definition 1.9** (Twisted cohomology). Let  $R$  be a ring spectrum,  $X$  be a space and let  $p: X \rightarrow *$  be the projection map, and let  $f: X^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}\text{ine}_R$  be an  $R$ -line bundle over  $X$ . The  $f$ -twisted  $R$ -cohomology of  $X$  is defined as the mapping spectrum

$$R_f(X) := \text{Map}_{\mathcal{M}\text{od}_R}(Mf, R) \simeq \text{Map}_{\mathcal{M}\text{od}_R(X)}(f, p^*R) \simeq \text{Map}_{\mathcal{M}\text{od}_R(X)}(f, R_X).$$

Similarly, we have  $f$ -twisted  $R$ -homology of  $X$  defined as

$$R^f(X) := \text{Map}_{\mathcal{M}\text{od}_R}(R, Mf) \simeq Mf.$$

In particular we can define the twisted cohomology groups of a space  $X$  with twist given by a map  $f: X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}\text{ine}_R$ , by

$$R_f^n(X) := \pi_0(\text{Map}_{\mathcal{M}\text{od}_R}(Mf, \Sigma^n R)) \cong \pi_{-n}(\text{Map}_{\mathcal{M}\text{od}_R(X)}(f, R_X)).$$

Similarly we define the twisted homology groups by

$$R_n^f(X) := \pi_0(\text{Map}_{\mathcal{M}\text{od}_R}(\Sigma^n R, Mf)) \cong \pi_n(Mf).$$

*Remark 1.10.* Note that if  $f$  is the constant map with value  $R$ , then we recover ordinary  $R$ -cohomology and  $R$ -homology. Concretely, we have

$$Mf \simeq R \wedge \Sigma_+^\infty X$$

and so it follows that

$$R_f(X) \simeq \text{Map}_{\mathcal{M}\text{od}_R}(R \wedge \Sigma_+^\infty X, R) \simeq \text{Map}_{\mathcal{S}\text{p}}(\Sigma_+^\infty X, R)$$

Applying  $\pi_{-n}$  this recovers the regular  $R$ -cohomology groups of  $X$ , and analogous for homology.

Let us look at some examples of twisted cohomology theories.

**Example 1.11.** Let  $BO(n)$  be the topological groupoid of  $n$ -dimensional, inner product spaces and orthogonal morphisms, viewed as an  $\infty$ -groupoid. Consider the composition

$$f_n: BO(n) \xrightarrow{\text{Th}_n} \mathcal{S}_* \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{\infty-n}} \mathcal{S}\text{p}$$

where the first functor maps an inner product space  $V$  to its one-point compactification. Choosing an orthonormal basis for  $V$ , we get an isomorphism  $\mathbb{R}^n \simeq V$ , which induces an equivalence of spectra

$$\Sigma^{\infty-n} \text{Th}(V) \simeq \Sigma^{\infty-n} \text{Th}(\mathbb{R}^n) \simeq \Sigma^{\infty-n} S^n \simeq \mathbb{S}.$$

In particular,  $f_n$  factors through  $\mathcal{L}\text{ine}_{\mathbb{S}}$ . Let  $p: BO(n) \rightarrow *$ , then

$$Mf_n \simeq p_! \Sigma^{\infty-n} \text{Th}_n \simeq \Sigma^{\infty-n} p_! \text{Th}_n \simeq \Sigma^{\infty-n} \text{Th}(E_n),$$

where  $E_n \rightarrow BO(n)$  is the universal  $n$ -dimensional vector bundle and  $\text{Th}(E_n)$  is the one-point compactification of the total space  $E_n$ . To prove the last equivalence, consider the following facts:

- (1) Given a inner product space  $V$ , the Thom space of  $V$  is homotopy equivalent to the homotopy cofiber of the inclusion  $V \setminus \{0\} \subseteq V$ .
- (2)  $\text{Th}_n$  factors as the cofiber functor  $C: \text{Arr}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_*$  following the functor  $F: BO(n) \rightarrow \text{Arr}(\mathcal{S})$  mapping  $V$  to the inclusion  $V \setminus \{0\} \subseteq V$ . Here,  $\text{Arr}(\mathcal{S})$  denotes the  $\infty$ -category of arrows in  $\mathcal{S}$ .
- (3)  $p_!$  commutes with  $C$ , since this last functor is left adjoint to the inclusion  $\mathcal{S}_* \subseteq \text{Arr}(\mathcal{S})$ .
- (4) By straightening-unstraightening, a functor  $f: BO(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  is equivalent to a Kan fibration  $E^f = BO(n) \times_{\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{S}_* \rightarrow BO(n)$  and  $p_!(f) \simeq E^f$ .
- (5) Let  $F_1$ , resp.  $F_0$ , be the target and source components of  $F$ , then  $E^{F_1} \simeq E_n$  and  $E^{F_0} \simeq E_n \setminus \zeta_n$ , where  $\zeta_n$  is the zero section.
- (6) Finally,  $p_! \text{Th}_n$  is equivalent to the cofiber of  $E_n \setminus \zeta_n \subseteq E_n$ , which is equivalent to the one-point compactification of the total space  $E_n$ .

**Example 1.12.** Let  $O$  be the stable orthogonal group. The map  $J_n$  induced by  $f_n$  from the automorphisms of  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{S})$  sends  $\phi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\Sigma^{\infty-n} \text{Th}(\phi): \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ . Consider  $\mathbb{R} \oplus -: O(n) \rightarrow O(n+1)$ , then  $\text{Th}(\mathbb{R} \oplus \phi) = \text{Th}(\mathbb{R}) \wedge \text{Th}(\phi) = \Sigma \text{Th}(\phi)$ , therefore the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} O(n) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{R}\oplus -} & O(n+1) \\ & \searrow J_n & \downarrow J_{n+1} \\ & & GL_1(\mathbb{S}) \end{array}$$

commutes, and the maps  $J_n$  induced a group homomorphism  $J : O \rightarrow GL_1(\mathbb{S})$ , called *J-homomorphism*. The delooped map  $BJ : BO \rightarrow \mathcal{L}\text{ine}_{\mathbb{S}}$  is equivalent to the colimit of the maps  $f_n$ . The Thom spectrum of  $BJ$  is denoted  $MO$ , called the *real bordism spectrum*.

**Example 1.13.** The decomplexification map  $U \rightarrow O$  induces a twisting over  $X = BU$  by post-composition with  $BJ$ . The Thom spectrum of  $BU \rightarrow BO \rightarrow \mathcal{L}\text{ine}_{\mathbb{S}}$  is denoted  $MU$ , called the *complex bordism spectrum*. In general, a group homomorphism  $\xi : G \rightarrow O$  induces a twisting over  $X = BG$  by post-composing with  $BJ$ . By taking  $G = SO, Spin$  or *String*, we obtain the *oriented*, *spin* and *string bordism spectra*.

**Example 1.14.** Recall that we have a diagram characterizing *BString*

$$K(\mathbb{Z}, 3) \rightarrow BString \rightarrow BSpin \rightarrow BGL_1(\mathbb{S}) = \mathcal{L}\text{ine}_{\mathbb{S}}$$

then applying the Thom construction to this diagram we get

$$\Sigma_+^\infty K(\mathbb{Z}, 3) \rightarrow MString \rightarrow MSpin.$$

Here we use the fact that the map  $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}\text{ine}_{\mathbb{S}}$  factors through the point, and hence its Thom spectrum is  $\Sigma_+^\infty K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$ .

As a next step we have a string orientation map  $MString \rightarrow \text{tmf}$ , where  $\text{tmf}$  is the spectrum of topological modular forms, following the computation in [AHR10]. Using the fact that  $\Sigma_+^\infty$  is monoidal, we know that the units of  $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$  include  $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$  itself, meaning we get a map  $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3) \rightarrow GL_1(\text{tmf})$ . Applying  $B$  gives us a map  $f : K(\mathbb{Z}, 4) \rightarrow BGL_1(\text{tmf}) = \mathcal{L}\text{ine}_{\text{tmf}}$ , classifying the twist of  $\text{tmf}$ -cohomology. Further details can be found in [ABG10].

Let us try a more feasible example.

**Example 1.15.** Let

$$K(1, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow BSpin \rightarrow BSO$$

be the fiber sequence and let

$$K(\mathbb{Z}, 2) \rightarrow BSpin^c \rightarrow BSO \rightarrow BGL_1(\mathbb{S}) = \mathcal{L}\text{ine}_{\mathbb{S}}.$$

Applying the Thom construction to the second sequence and again using the fact that  $K(\mathbb{Z}, 2) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}\text{ine}_{\mathbb{S}}$  factors through the point, we get the sequence

$$\Sigma_+^\infty K(\mathbb{Z}, 2) \rightarrow MSpin^c \rightarrow MSO.$$

Now, using Atiyah–Bott–Shapiro orientation  $MSpin^c \rightarrow KU$  [ABS64], we get a map  $K(\mathbb{Z}, 2) \rightarrow GL_1 KU$ , which induces a map  $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}\text{ine}_{KU}$ . This gives us twisted  $KU$ -cohomology of  $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$ . As  $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$  classifies bundle gerbes, this is the twist of  $KU$ -theory by bundle gerbes.

## 2. TWISTS VIA PICARD GROUPOIDS AND GRADING

This section requires some further details. Up until now we defined everything via  $\mathcal{L}\text{ine}_R$ , however for many applications we need to work with  $\text{Pic}_R$  instead.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $R$  be a ring spectrum. The *Picard  $\infty$ -groupoid*  $\text{Pic}_R$  is the full sub- $\infty$ -groupoid of  $\text{Mod}_R$  spanned by the invertible  $R$ -modules, i.e. those  $R$ -modules  $M$  such that there exists an  $R$ -module  $N$  with  $M \wedge_R N \simeq R$ .

*Remark 2.2.* It is a common fact that the  $\infty$ -groupoid  $\text{Pic}_R$  is equivalent to  $\pi_0(R) \times \mathcal{L}\text{ine}_R$ , meaning that the invertible  $R$ -modules are given by the  $R$ -lines together with a shift by an element in  $\pi_0(R)$ . Here it is important to note that this equivalence does not respect the monoidal structure as only an equivalence of underlying  $\infty$ -groupoids.

We can now generalize [Definition 1.6](#) to  $\text{Pic}_R$ .

**Definition 2.3** (Thom spectrum). The *Thom R-module spectrum* is the functor

$$M: \text{Grpd}_{\infty}^{op}/\text{Pic}_R \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R,$$

which sends  $f: X^{op} \rightarrow \text{Pic}_R$  to the  $R$ -module spectrum  $\text{colim}(X^{op} \xrightarrow{f} \text{Pic}_R \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R)$ .

We can now generalize [Definition 1.9](#) to  $\text{Pic}_R$ .

**Definition 2.4** (Twisted cohomology). Let  $R$  be a ring spectrum,  $X$  be a space and let  $p: X \rightarrow *$  be the projection map, and let  $f: X^{op} \rightarrow \text{Pic}_R$ . The  $f$ -twisted  $R$ -cohomology of  $X$  is defined as the mapping spectrum

$$R_f(X) := \text{Map}_{\text{Mod}_R}(Mf, R) \simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Mod}_R(X)}(f, p^*R) \simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Mod}_R(X)}(f, R_x).$$

Similarly, we have  $f$ -twisted  $R$ -homology of  $X$  defined as

$$R^f(X) := \text{Map}_{\text{Mod}_R}(R, Mf) \simeq Mf.$$

Let us see how this additional generality helps us. Let  $f: X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}\text{ine}_R$ , then we get a map  $\Sigma^n f: \Sigma^n X \rightarrow \text{Pic}_R$ , which induces a cohomology  $R^{*+n}(X)$ . Now we have

$$R_{\Sigma^n f}(X) \simeq \text{Map}(M\Sigma^n f, R) \simeq \text{Map}(\Sigma^n f, R_x) \simeq \text{Map}(f, \Omega^n R_x) \simeq \Omega^n \text{Map}(f, R_x) \simeq \Omega^n R_f(X)$$

This equivalence can only work via  $\text{Pic}_R$ . Indeed even though  $R$  is in  $\mathcal{L}\text{ine}_R$ ,  $\Sigma^n R$  is not in  $\mathcal{L}\text{ine}_R$ . However, it is in  $\text{Pic}_R$ , with inverse  $\Sigma^{-n} R$ .

This shows that gradings can be recovered via twisted cohomology theories using  $\text{Pic}_R$  as twists.

#### REFERENCES

- [ABG10] Matthew Ando, Andrew J. Blumberg, and David Gepner. Twists of  $K$ -theory and TMF. In *Superstrings, geometry, topology, and  $C^*$ -algebras*, volume 81 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 27–63. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010.
- [ABG<sup>+</sup>14] Matthew Ando, Andrew J. Blumberg, David Gepner, Michael J. Hopkins, and Charles Rezk. An  $\infty$ -categorical approach to  $R$ -line bundles,  $R$ -module Thom spectra, and twisted  $R$ -homology. *J. Topol.*, 7(3):869–893, 2014.
- [ABG18] Matthew Ando, Andrew J. Blumberg, and David Gepner. Parametrized spectra, multiplicative Thom spectra and the twisted Umkehr map. *Geom. Topol.*, 22(7):3761–3825, 2018.
- [ABS64] M. F. Atiyah, R. Bott, and A. Shapiro. Clifford modules. *Topology*, 3(suppl):3–38, 1964.
- [AHR10] Matthew Ando, Michael J. Hopkins, and Charles Rezk. Multiplicative orientations of ko-theory and of the spectrum of topological modular forms. *unpublished notes*, 2010. [Unpublished notes](#).
- [BG16] Ulrich Bunke and David Gepner. Differential function spectra, the differential Becker-Gottlieb transfer, and applications to differential algebraic  $K$ -theory. [arXiv:1306.0247](#), 2016.