




# 1 Results




(a)




(b)




(c)




(d)




(e)



(f)




(g)




(h)


Figure 1: Comparison of  $x$  and  $\hat{x}$  for all cases




(a)




(b)




(c)




(d)




(e)



(f)




(g)




(h)

Figure 2: Comparison of  $x$  and  $\hat{x}$  for all cases




(a)




(b)

Figure 3: Comparison of  $x$  and  $\hat{x}$  for all cases



(a)



(b)

Figure 4: Typical sparsity level for  $N = 1000$  and  $4000$  with  $p = 0.1$