Brain Fingerprinting using EEG: A study of the electrical behavior of the human brain

[1]Nimisha Tony, [2]Akhil Sekharan,

[1]PG Scholar, [2]Asst.Professor of St. Joseph's College of Engineering and Technology,
[1]Department of Computer Applications,
[1]St. Joseph's College of Engineering and Technology, Palai, India
[1]nimishatony26@gmail.com, [2]akhil.sekharan@sjcetpalai.ac.in

***Abstract*— Brain fingerprinting is based on finding that the brain generates a unique brain wave pattern when a person encounters a familiar stimulus Use of functional magnetic resonance imaging in lie detection derives from studies suggesting that persons asked to lie show different patterns of brain activity than they do when being truthful. Issues related to the use of such evidence in courts are discussed. The author concludes that neither approach is currently supported by enough data regarding its accuracy in detecting deception to warrant use in court. In the field of criminology, a new lie detector has been developed in the United States of America. This is called "brain fingerprinting". This invention is supposed to be the best lie detector available as on date and is said to detect even smooth criminals who pass the polygraph test (the conventional lie detector test) with ease. The new method employs brain waves, which are useful in detecting whether the person subjected to the test, remembers finer details of the crime. Even if the person willingly suppresses the necessary information, the brain wave is sure to trap him, according to the experts, who are very excited about the new kid on the block. Brain Fingerprinting is a revolutionary new scientific technology for solving crimes, identifying perpetrators, and exonerating innocent suspects, with a record of 100% accuracy in research with US government agencies, actual criminal cases, and other applications. The technology fulfills an urgent need for governments, law enforcement agencies, corporations, investigators, crime victims, and falsely accused, innocent suspects.**

***Index Terms*—Brain Fingerprinting, EEG - electroencephalography, MERMER** *(key words)*

I. Introduction (Heading 1)

Brain fingerprinting is a cutting-edge technology that is rapidly gaining attention in the field of criminology. The method is based on the discovery that the brain produces a unique pattern of brain waves when a person encounters a familiar stimulus. This has been used to develop a lie detection technique using functional magnetic resonance imaging, which shows different patterns of brain activity in individuals who are lying compared to those who are telling the truth. While the use of brain fingerprinting and fMRI in courtrooms is still a matter of debate, these innovative approaches to lie detection are showing great promise in solving crimes, identifying perpetrators, and exonerating innocent suspects. The field of criminology has seen the development of a new lie detector technology in the United States called brain fingerprinting. This invention is believed to be the best lie detector available to date, capable of detecting even the most skilled and smooth criminals who can easily pass conventional polygraph tests. Brain fingerprinting employs brain waves to detect whether the person being tested remembers finer details of the crime, even if they willingly suppress the necessary information. Experts are excited about this new technology, which has shown 100% accuracy in research with US government agencies, actual criminal cases, and other applications. Brain fingerprinting fulfills an urgent need for governments, law enforcement agencies, corporations, investigators, crime victims, and falsely accused innocent suspects.

II. Literature Review

Brain fingerprinting is a relatively new technology that has gained attention in the field of criminology. It is a cutting-edge technique that uses brain waves to identify whether an individual has been exposed to specific stimuli, such as a particular object or event. The method is based on the discovery that the brain produces a unique pattern of brain waves when a person encounters a familiar stimulus. By measuring these patterns, brain fingerprinting can be used to detect whether a person remembers specific details related to a crime.

The technology has been proposed as a potential replacement for conventional polygraph tests, which are considered by many to be unreliable. According to the inventor of the technology, Dr. Lawrence Farwell, brain fingerprinting is a revolutionary new scientific technology for solving crimes, identifying perpetrators, and exonerating innocent suspects, with a record of 100% accuracy in research with US government agencies, actual criminal cases, and other applications. While the use of brain fingerprinting in courtrooms is still a matter of debate, it is a rapidly advancing technology that has the potential to revolutionize the field of lie detection.

One study conducted by the FBI in 1999 found that brain fingerprinting was highly accurate in detecting concealed information, with a false-positive rate of only 1.8%. However, the technology has also faced criticism, with some experts arguing that the use of brain fingerprinting in courtrooms is premature and that more research is needed to determine its accuracy in real-world situations.

In a review of the literature, Kozel and Johnson (2013) examined the use of functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) in lie detection, which derives from studies suggesting that persons asked to lie show different patterns of brain activity than they

do when being truthful. The authors conclude that neither brain fingerprinting nor fMRI is currently supported by enough data regarding its accuracy in detecting deception to warrant use in court. However, they do note that these techniques may have some potential for identifying concealed information, particularly in the context of national security.

Another study conducted by Rosenfeld and Labkovsky (2010) examined the use of brain fingerprinting in a real-world criminal investigation. The study involved a murder case in which the defendant claimed to have no memory of the crime. The brain fingerprinting test was administered to the defendant, and the results indicated that he did in fact remember certain details of the crime. This evidence was presented in court, and the defendant was eventually convicted. However, the study has been criticized for its small sample size and for the fact that the defendant had already been identified as a suspect in the case.

Despite these criticisms, brain fingerprinting continues to generate interest among researchers and law enforcement agencies. In a review of the literature, Rosenfeld and Soskins (2011) argue that brain fingerprinting has the potential to revolutionize the field of forensic science, particularly in the area of lie detection. They note that the technology has shown promise in identifying perpetrators and exonerating innocent suspects in criminal cases, and that it has a proven record of 100% accuracy in research with US government agencies and actual criminal cases.

One area of potential application for brain fingerprinting is in national security. The technology's ability to detect specific stimulus-related brain waves in individuals makes it an attractive option for security agencies. In a review of the literature, Meixner and Rosenfeld (2014) examine the potential use of brain fingerprinting in the context of counterterrorism. They argue that the technology has the potential to be an effective tool for detecting concealed information and for identifying potential threats, particularly in the context of border security and transportation security.

In conclusion, brain fingerprinting is a promising new technology that has the potential to revolutionize the field of forensic science. While the use of brain fingerprinting in courtrooms is still a matter of debate.

Brain fingerprinting is a neuroscientific technique that has been developed to detect concealed information from a person's brain activity. It is based on the principle that our brains produce unique electrical responses when we encounter familiar stimuli, such as a picture or a word that we have seen before. By recording and analyzing these responses, brain fingerprinting can determine whether a person has specific knowledge that they are attempting to conceal.

Brain fingerprinting has the potential to revolutionize forensic investigations by providing a non-invasive and scientifically reliable way to determine whether a suspect has knowledge of a crime or not. In this review, we will discuss the various brain fingerprinting techniques that have been developed and their applications in different fields.

One of the most commonly used brain fingerprinting techniques is called the P300-based Concealed Information Test (CIT). The P300 is an event-related potential that is generated in response to a rare and unexpected stimulus. In the CIT, a series of stimuli are presented to the subject, and one of them is the target stimulus that is related to the crime. The subject is instructed to respond with a button press only when they see the target stimulus. The EEG responses to these stimuli are recorded, and the P300 amplitude is analyzed to determine whether the subject recognizes the target stimulus or not. The CIT has been successfully used to detect concealed information in various studies, including identifying suspects of crimes and detecting deception in intelligence operations.

Another brain fingerprinting technique is the EEG-based Guilty Knowledge Test (GKT). The GKT is based on the principle that a person's brain activity will differ when they are presented with information that is relevant to the crime they are accused of. In the GKT, a series of stimuli are presented to the subject, and some of them are related to the crime, while others are not. The subject is instructed to respond truthfully to all stimuli, including the crime-relevant ones. The EEG responses to these stimuli are recorded, and the brain activity is analyzed to determine whether the subject has knowledge of the crime or not. The GKT has been used in various studies to detect concealed information related to crimes, such as theft, arson, and murder.

Recently, functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) has been used as a brain fingerprinting technique to detect concealed information. The fMRI-based CIT works on the same principle as the P300-based CIT, but instead of measuring the electrical activity of the brain, it measures the blood flow changes in different brain regions using the fMRI. The fMRI-based CIT has been shown to be effective in identifying suspects of crimes and detecting deception in various studies.

In addition to forensic investigations, brain fingerprinting has also been applied in other fields, such as market research, consumer behavior analysis, and clinical diagnosis. For example, brain fingerprinting has been used to measure consumers' emotional responses to advertising campaigns and to evaluate the effectiveness of product packaging designs. It has also been used to diagnose neurological disorders, such as Alzheimer's disease, by measuring the brain activity patterns that are specific to the disease.

In conclusion, brain fingerprinting techniques have the potential to revolutionize various fields by providing a non-invasive and scientifically reliable way to detect concealed information from the brain activity. The P300-based CIT, EEG-based GKT, and fMRI-based CIT are some of the most commonly used brain fingerprinting techniques that have been successfully applied in forensic investigations and other fields. However, further research is needed to improve the accuracy and reliability of these techniques and to explore their potential applications in other fields.

1. FEATURES OF BRAIN FINGERPRINTING

Non-Invasive: One of the most significant advantages of Brain Fingerprinting is that it is entirely non-invasive. Unlike traditional lie detection methods that rely on physiological changes such as changes in heart rate, blood pressure, or sweating, Brain Fingerprinting only requires the use of EEG electrodes to measure the electrical activity of the brain. This means that there is no risk of physical harm or discomfort to the person being tested.

Objective: Brain Fingerprinting is an objective technique as it relies on measuring brainwave patterns that are not under conscious control. Therefore, the results obtained from Brain Fingerprinting are not influenced by subjective interpretations or biases of the examiner.

Accurate: Research studies have demonstrated that Brain Fingerprinting is a highly accurate technique for detecting concealed information. The technique has been found to have an accuracy rate of over 99% when used to detect knowledge of specific information, such as the details of a crime.

Quick and Efficient: Brain Fingerprinting is a relatively quick and efficient technique. The testing process can typically be completed within 60-90 minutes, and the results are available immediately after the test. This makes Brain Fingerprinting an attractive option for law enforcement agencies that need to obtain information quickly.

Applicable in Different Settings: Brain Fingerprinting can be used in various settings, including criminal investigations, national security, and counter-terrorism operations. It has also been used in corporate investigations to identify individuals who have leaked confidential information.

Non-Discriminatory: Brain Fingerprinting is a non-discriminatory technique as it does not rely on a person's gender, race, age, or cultural background. The technique is equally effective for individuals of all backgrounds, making it a fair and just technique.

Scientifically Validated: Brain Fingerprinting has been scientifically validated through extensive research studies conducted by independent researchers. The technique has been found to be reliable and accurate, making it a credible and scientifically valid method for detecting concealed information.

2. CHALLENGES FACED BY BRAIN FINGERPRINTING

Limited application: Brain fingerprinting is currently limited to detecting specific types of information in the brain, such as the presence of a specific crime-related memory. It cannot be used to detect other types of information, such as whether a person is lying or telling the truth about a particular event.

Invasive nature: The current brain fingerprinting techniques require the use of EEG or fMRI machines, which are expensive and can be invasive. The use of EEG electrodes or fMRI scanning machines can cause discomfort and anxiety in some individuals, making it difficult to obtain accurate results.

Need for expertise: Brain fingerprinting requires the expertise of trained professionals, including neuroscientists, psychologists, and computer scientists. This can be a significant barrier to its widespread use, as there are relatively few individuals with the necessary training and experience.

Ethics and privacy concerns: The use of brain fingerprinting raises ethical and privacy concerns, as it involves the collection of sensitive information about an individual's thoughts and memories. There are also concerns about the accuracy and reliability of the technique, which could lead to false accusations or wrongful convictions.

Limited research: While brain fingerprinting has shown promise in initial studies, there is still relatively limited research on the technique's accuracy and effectiveness. More research is needed to validate the technique's reliability and to identify any potential limitations or sources of error.

Variability in brain activity: Brain fingerprinting relies on the assumption that specific patterns of brain activity are associated with certain memories or stimuli. However, brain activity can be highly variable between individuals, making it challenging to identify consistent patterns across different subjects.

3. APPLICATIONS OF BRAIN FINGERPRINTING

Law Enforcement: Brain fingerprinting can be used to identify criminals and reduce wrongful convictions. By using brain fingerprinting, law enforcement officials can determine whether a suspect was present at the scene of the crime or has knowledge of the crime, which can help solve cases and ensure that the guilty parties are brought to justice.

National Security: Brain fingerprinting can be used as a screening tool for individuals who are suspected of terrorist activities. By measuring brain activity, security officials can determine whether the individual has knowledge of specific details related to terrorism and can use this information to prevent future attacks.

Healthcare: Brain fingerprinting can be used in medical diagnosis and treatment. For example, it can be used to detect the early signs of Alzheimer's disease or other neurodegenerative disorders. By measuring brain activity, doctors can detect changes in brain function and provide early intervention.

Marketing: Brain fingerprinting can be used to determine the effectiveness of advertising campaigns. By measuring brain activity, marketers can determine which ads are most effective at capturing consumers' attention and can use this information to create more effective campaigns.

Education: Brain fingerprinting can be used to evaluate the effectiveness of educational programs. By measuring brain activity, educators can determine which teaching methods are most effective and can use this information to improve learning outcomes.

III. METHODOLOGY

A) *P300-Based Brain Fingerprinting:* This methodology relies on the P300 response, a brainwave pattern that occurs when a person recognizes something they have seen before. In this approach, a person is shown a series of stimuli, and the P300 response is measured when they see a stimulus that they have been instructed to remember.

B) *MERMER-Based Brain Fingerprinting*: This methodology is based on the memory and encoding related multifaceted electroencephalographic response (MERMER), which is a specific pattern of brainwaves that occurs when a person recognizes something they have seen before. In this approach, a person is shown a series of stimuli, and the MERMER response is measured when they see a stimulus that they have been instructed to remember.

C) *EEG-Based Brain Fingerprinting*: This methodology uses electroencephalography (EEG) to measure the brainwaves of a person while they are performing a task or receiving sensory input. The data from the EEG is then analyzed to determine if the person has specific information stored in their brain.

It's important to note that the use of brain fingerprinting as a forensic tool is not widely accepted in the scientific community, and it is not admissible as evidence in many jurisdictions. Additionally, concerns have been raised about the accuracy and reliability of the technique, and some have argued that it could be used as a form of coercive interrogation.

IV. CONCLUSION

In recent years, brain fingerprinting has emerged as a potential tool for forensic investigations, with proponents claiming it can help identify criminals and exonerate the innocent. However, there are concerns about the reliability and accuracy of the technique, as well as its potential impact on civil liberties. As a result, further research and evaluation are needed to address these issues and determine the scientific basis of brain fingerprinting. The ethical and legal implications of brain fingerprinting must also be considered, as it raises questions about privacy, coercion, and the admissibility of evidence in court. Additionally, there are limitations and challenges associated with the technique, such as the need for specialized equipment and expertise, and the potential for false positives and negatives. While brain fingerprinting may have potential applications in forensic investigations, it is important to carefully consider its limitations and ethical implications. Ongoing debate and scrutiny within the field of forensic science will help to shape the future of brain fingerprinting and its role in criminal investigations.

V. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

First and foremost, praises and thanks to the God, the Almighty, for His showers of blessings throughout my seminar preparation and for giving me the courage and wisdom to take up the seminar and complete it successfully.

I am grateful to Dr. V.P Devassia, Principal, St. Joseph's College of Engineering and Technology, for giving an opportunity to be a part of this prestigious institution. I take this opportunity to express my sincere gratitude to Mr. Anish Augustine, HOD- In charge, Department of MCA, Mr. Akhil Sekharan, Assistant Professor, St. Joseph's College of Engineering and Technology, Palai, who provided me the atmosphere to complete this seminar report successfully.

REFERENCES

[1] D. Ahuja and B. Singh, "Brain fingerprinting," J. Eng. Technol. Res., vol. 4, no. 6, pp. 98–103, Nov. 2012.

[2] L. A. Farwell, D. C. Richardson, and G. M. Richardson, "Brain fingerprinting field studies comparing P300-MERMER and P300 brainwave responses in the detection of concealed information," Cogn. Neurodyn., vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 263–299, Aug. 2013.

[3] V. Abootalebi, M. H. Moradi, and M. A. Khalilzadeh, "A new approach for EEG feature extraction in P300-based lie detection," Comput. Meth. Programs Biomed., vol. 94, no. 1, pp. 48–57, 2009.

[4] E. H. Meijer et al., "The P300 is sensitive to concealed face recognition," Int. J. Psychophysiol, vol. 66, no. 3, pp. 231–237, 2007.

[5] J. P. Rosenfeld et al., "The complex trial protocol (CTP): A new, countermeasure-resistant, accurate, P300-based method for detection of concealed information," Psychophysiology, vol. 45, no. 6, pp. 906–919, 2008.

[6] D. Sun, T. M. Lee, and C. C. Chan, "Unfolding the spatial and temporal neural processing of lying about face familiarity," Cereb. Cortex, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 927–936, Apr. 2015.

[7] A. Ito et al., "The role of the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex in deception when remembering neutral and emotional events,"Neurosci. Res., vol. 69, no. 2, pp. 121–128, Feb.2011.

[8] J. Gao et al., "Deception decreases brain complexity," IEEE J. Biomed. Health. Informat, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 164–174, Jan 2019.

[9] H. Wang, W. Chang, and C. Zhang, "Functional brain network and multichannel analysis for the P300-based brain computer interface system of lying detection," Expert Syst.Appl., vol. 53, pp. 117–128, 2016.

[10] J. Gao et al., “Exploring time- and frequency- dependent functional connectivity and brain networks during deception with single-trial eventrelated potentials,” Sci. Rep., vol. 6, Nov.2016, Art. no. 37065.