

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SciVerse ScienceDirect

Journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/cortex

Special issue: Research report

Monkey to human comparative anatomy of the frontal lobe association tracts

Michel Thiebaut de Schotten^{a,b,d,*}, Flavio Dell'Acqua^{a,d,e}, Romain Valabregue^c and Marco Catani^{a,d}

^a Natbrainlab, Department of Forensic and Neurodevelopmental Sciences, Institute of Psychiatry, King's College London, UK

^b INSERM-UPMC UMR S 975, G.H. Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris, France

^c Centre de Recherche de l'Institut du Cerveau et de la Moelle épinière, UPMC Univ Paris 06 UMR_S975/Inserm U975/CNRS UMR 7225, Centre de Neuroimagerie de Recherche – CENIR, Groupe Hospitalier Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris, France

^d Department of Neuroimaging, Institute of Psychiatry, King's College London, UK

^e NIHR Biomedical Research Centre for Mental Health at South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust, King's College London, Institute of Psychiatry, UK

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 12 April 2011

Reviewed 26 May 2011

Revised 21 August 2011

Accepted 27 September 2011

Published online xxx

Keywords:

Comparative anatomy

White matter

Axonal tracing

Tractography

Evolution

ABSTRACT

The greater expansion of the frontal lobes along the phylogeny scale has been interpreted as the signature of evolutionary changes underlying higher cognitive abilities in humans functions in humans. However, it is unknown how an increase in number of gyri, sulci and cortical areas in the frontal lobe have coincided with a parallel increase in connectivity. Here, using advanced tractography based on spherical deconvolution, we produced an atlas of human frontal association connections that we compared with axonal tracing studies of the monkey brain. We report several similarities between human and monkey in the cingulum, uncinate, superior longitudinal fasciculus, frontal aslant tract and orbito-polar tract. These similarities suggest to preserved functions across anthropoids. In addition, we found major differences in the arcuate fasciculus and the inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus. These differences indicate possible evolutionary changes in the connectional anatomy of the frontal lobes underlying unique human abilities.

© 2011 Elsevier Srl. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Comparative anatomy studies have shown that the frontal lobes have expanded more than any other brain region along the phylogeny scale, reaching its greatest relative size in great apes and humans (Semendeferi et al., 2002). The relative volume expansion along the phylogeny scale is also

accompanied by changes in other anatomical features, such as increase in the gyrification index (Zilles et al., 1988, 1989), cortical volume (Hofman, 1985, 1988) and density of synapses (Rockel et al., 1980; Chklovskii et al., 2002; DeFelipe et al., 2002; Emes et al., 2008). In addition, other changes such as the increase in relative size of area 10 (Semendeferi et al., 2001; Petrides et al., 2012, this issue) and the relative quantity of

* Corresponding author. Department of Forensic and Neurodevelopmental Sciences, King's College, Institute of Psychiatry, 16 De Crespigny Park, SE5 8AF London, UK.

E-mail address: michel.thiebaut@gmail.com (M. Thiebaut de Schotten).

0010-9452/\$ – see front matter © 2011 Elsevier Srl. All rights reserved.

doi:10.1016/j.cortex.2011.10.001

frontal white matter (i.e. frontal hyperscaling of white matter; Smaers et al., 2010, 2011) have been reported as primary factors underlying the evolution of primate brain architecture. This suggests that the evolution of complex human cognitive abilities is mediated by frontal connectivity (Sherwood et al., 2005). Most of the evidences related to the hyperscaling of the frontal connections are derived from volumetric measures of the entire frontal lobe. Whether such anatomical differences are general or specific to distinct pathways is unknown.

The connectivity of the monkey frontal lobe has been studied in detail using axonal tracing (Yeterian et al., 2012, *this issue*). The monkey pattern of connectivity is often transposed to humans, an assumption that may not hold true, especially for the frontal lobes. Axonal tracing methods are not suitable to study human connections, recent developments in diffusion imaging tractography (Le Bihan and Breton, 1985; Moseley et al., 1990; Basser et al., 1994; Jones et al., 1999; Mori et al., 1999) offer a valid alternative to visualise the in vivo organisation of human brain pathways. Preliminary tractography studies suggest that some connections (e.g., uncinate fasciculus) as described in the monkey brain, are also found in the human brain (Catani et al., 2002). Other tracts have been described in humans but not in monkeys (e.g., inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus; Catani 2007; Schmahmann and Pandya, 2007; Schmahmann et al., 2007). However, direct comparisons between the detailed anatomical connections of the human and monkey brain are not available.

Therefore in this study, we used tractography to build an atlas of human frontal connections for a direct comparison with a recent atlas of the fibres pathways of the monkey brain (Schmahmann and Pandya, 2006). To increase the quality of the in vivo human reconstructions, we have used tractography based on Spherical Deconvolution (SD) imaging (Tournier et al., 2004; Dell'Acqua et al., 2007). SD is a new method that has recently been developed to partially overcome the limitations of classical diffusion tensor tractography (Basser et al., 2000; Jones, 2008). It has the ability to identify and quantify the orientation of different populations of fibres within a single voxel (Tournier et al., 2007; Dell'Acqua et al., 2010). Preliminary results using SD tractography show anatomical features that have close correspondence to axonal tracing studies (Dell'Acqua et al., 2008; Thiebaut de Schotten et al., 2011a). In this study the main association tracts of the human frontal lobe derived from SD tractography are compared to classical axonal tracing findings of equivalent tracts in the monkey brain. Our aim is to highlight human–simian similarities and differences, in order to understand the anatomical substrates underlying development of higher cognitive functions.

2. Method

2.1. Magnetic resonance data acquisitions

A single 29-year-old, right-handed subject (the first author) gave informed consent to participate to this study.

A total of 70 near-axial slices were acquired on a Siemens 3 Tesla TRIO TIM system equipped with a 32-channel head coil. We used an acquisition sequence, fully optimised for advanced tractography of diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI), which

provided isotropic ($2 \times 2 \times 2$ mm) resolution and coverage of the whole head. The acquisition was peripherally-gated to the cardiac cycle with an echo time (TE) = 85 msec and repetition time (TR) equivalent to 24 RR intervals. At each slice location, 3 images were acquired with no diffusion gradient applied. Additionally, 64 diffusion-weighted images were acquired at each slice location, in which gradient directions were uniformly distributed in space. The diffusion weighting was equal to a b -value of 2000 sec mm^{-2} . To increase signal to noise ratio (SNR) the whole acquisition was repeated 4 times. Raw diffusion-weighted data were up-sampled to $1 \times 1 \times 1$ mm with a 3rd order b -spline interpolation. An axial three-dimensional MPAGE dataset covering the whole head was also acquired (176 slices, voxel resolution = $1 \times 1 \times 1$ mm, TE = 4.2 msec, TR = 2.3 msec, flip angle = 9°).

2.2. Correction of motion and eddy current distortion, and estimation of the fibre orientation distribution

The 4 repeated DWI datasets were concatenated, simultaneously registered, and corrected for subject motion and geometrical distortions using ExploreDTI (<http://www.exploredti.com>; Leemans and Jones, 2009). A SD (Tournier et al., 2004, 2007) approach was chosen to estimate multiple orientations in voxels containing different populations of crossing fibres (Alexander, 2006). SD was calculated applying the damped version of the Richardson–Lucy algorithm (Dell'Acqua et al., 2010). The high SNR of the data allowed us to apply a relatively low regularisation threshold equal to $\eta = .01$ without an excessive increase of spurious fiber orientation distribution (FOD) components. We used a fibre response function equivalent to a tensor of $[1.5 \ .3 \ .3] \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^2 \text{ sec}^{-1}$, 200 algorithm iterations and $\nu = 8$ as previously optimised in (Dell'Acqua et al., 2010). Fibre orientation estimates were obtained by selecting the orientation corresponding to the peaks (local maxima) of each FOD profile. To exclude spurious local maxima, we applied an absolute and a relative threshold. A first “absolute” threshold was used to exclude small local maxima due to noise or isotropic tissue. This threshold is three times the amplitude of a spherical FOD obtained from a grey matter isotropic voxel. A second “relative” threshold of 5% of the maximum amplitude of the FOD was applied to remove the remaining local maxima with values greater than the absolute threshold (Dell'Acqua et al., 2009).

2.3. Tractography algorithm

Whole brain tractography was performed selecting every brain voxel with at least one fibre orientation as a seed voxel. From these voxels and for each fibre orientation streamlines were propagated using an Euler integration with a step size of .5 mm and an angular threshold of 45° . When entering a region with crossing white matter bundles, the algorithm followed the orientation vector of least curvature (as described in Schmahmann et al., 2007). Streamlines were halted when a voxel without fibre orientation was reached or when the curvature between two steps exceeded a threshold of 45° . SD, fibre orientation vector estimation and tractography were performed using in house software developed with Matlab 7.8 (<http://www.matwork.com>).

2.4. MPRAGE dataset and tractography registration to the MNI

The skull was extracted from the MPRAGE dataset using Brain Extraction Tool (BET) provided in FMRIB Software Library (FSL, <http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl>). The skull-stripped MPRAGE dataset was then registered to the B0 volume of the diffusion-weighted dataset using affine (FMRIB's Linear Image Registration Tool, FLIRT) deformation provided in FSL. The registered MPRAGE dataset was then normalised to the stereotaxic Montreal Neurological Institute space (MNI, <http://www.bic.mni.mcgill.ca/>), using affine deformations to the MNI template as provided in FSLview (MNI152_T1_1mm_brain). A similar approach has been described in a previous study (Thiebaut de Schotten et al., 2008).

The full brain tractography was normalised to the MNI space using the transformation matrix derived from the process of normalisation of the registered MPRAGE dataset with the tool "Transform Track" provided in Diffusion Toolkit (<http://www.trackvis.org>; Wedeen et al., 2008).

Binary visitation masks were created for each of the tracts. Binary visitation maps were created from the tractography results by assigning each voxel a value of 1 or 0 depending on whether the voxel was intersected by the streamlines of the tract (Ciccarelli et al., 2003; Catani et al., 2007; Lawes et al., 2008; Thiebaut de Schotten et al., 2011b).

A 3d rendering of the brain and high contrast coronal slices were created in the MNI using the T1 pipeline in Brainvisa (<http://brainvisa.info>).

2.5. Tractography dissections of the human brain

Tractography dissections were performed by two expert neuroanatomists who combined the information provided from a monkey brain atlas (Schmahmann and Pandya, 2006) and a recent human brain atlas (Catani and Thiebaut de Schotten, in press). A one-region of interest (ROI) approach was used for the cingulum. A two-ROIs approach was used for the uncinate and the inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus as described in Catani and Thiebaut de Schotten (2008). A multiple ROIs approach was used to isolate the three components of the Superior Longitudinal Fasciculus as described in Thiebaut de Schotten et al. (2011a).

A two-ROIs approach was used to separate subcomponents of the arcuate fasciculus (Catani et al., 2005, 2007; Glasser and Rilling, 2008). A two-ROIs approach was also used to extract the frontal aslant tract connections from the Broca territory to the supplementary motor area (SMA) and PreSMA (Lawes et al., 2008; Oishi et al., 2008). For the orbito-polar tract the two ROIs were drawn in the frontal pole and in the post-orbital gyrus.

2.6. Meta-analyses of the rhesus monkey atlas

The monkey maps of the cingulum (Fig. 1), superior longitudinal fasciculus (Fig. 2), arcuate fasciculus (Fig. 3), inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus (Fig. 4), uncinate fasciculus (Fig. 5), frontal aslant tract (Fig. 6) and orbito-polar tract (Fig. 7), are derived from an atlas of monkey brain. The atlas uses an anterograde tract-tracer technique, using a radiolabeled

isotope (Schmahmann and Pandya, 2006). This tracer shows connections emerging from the injected site an projection to cortical areas. Projection, commissural and irrelevant association fibres have been removed for visualisation purposes.

For the cingulum, coronal slices of monkey case 22 (Vogt and Pandya, 1987; Schmahmann and Pandya, 2006) were used and only association fibres coloured in red (see Fig. 1). Case 22 was injected in the retrosplenial cortex (areas 23 and 30).

For the first branch of the superior longitudinal fasciculus (SLF I), coronal slices of cases 1 and 2 were used and only association fibres coloured in red (Seltzer and Pandya, 1980; Pandya and Seltzer, 1982; Schmahmann and Pandya, 2006) (see Fig. 2). Case 1 was injected in the medial convexity of the superior parietal lobule (areas PGm and PEc) and case 2 in the caudal superior parietal lobule (areas PEc and PE).

For the second branch of the superior longitudinal fasciculus (SLF II), coronal slices of cases 3 and 4 were used and only association fibres coloured in yellow (Seltzer and Pandya, 1980; Pandya and Seltzer, 1982; Schmahmann and Pandya, 2006) (see Fig. 2). Case 3 was injected in the caudal superior parietal lobule more laterally than case 2 (lateral portion of area PEc), and case 4 was injected in the inferior parietal lobule (areas PG and Opt).

For the third branch of the superior longitudinal fasciculus (SLF III), coronal slices of cases 5 and 6 were used and only association fibres coloured in green (Seltzer and Pandya, 1980; Pandya and Seltzer, 1982; Schmahmann and Pandya, 2006) (see Fig. 2). Case 5 was injected in the rostral inferior parietal lobule (area PF) and case 6 in the middle part of the parietal operculum (ventral portion of area PF).

For the arcuate fasciculus, coronal slices of cases 7–9 were used and only association fibres coloured in red (Seltzer and Pandya, 1978, 1989; Galaburda and Pandya, 1983; Schmahmann and Pandya, 2006) (see Fig. 3). Case 7 was injected in the caudal part of the superior temporal gyrus (area Tpt) and case 8 more ventrally, in the caudal part of the superior temporal gyrus (areas Tpt and paAlt). Case 9 was injected in the lateral part of the cortex of the upper bank of the superior temporal sulcus (area TPO), the ventral part of the superior temporal gyrus (area TAa) and the caudal part of the primary auditory area in the supratemporal plane (area KA).

For the longitudinal fibres of the external/extreme capsule, coronal slices of cases 31 and 32 were used and only association fibres coloured in red (Petrides and Pandya, 1994; Schmahmann and Pandya, 2006) (see Fig. 4). Case 31 was injected in the prefrontal cortex above the midportion of the principal sulcus (area 9/46d) and case 32 below the midcaudal portion of the principal sulcus (area 9/46v).

For the uncinate, coronal slices of cases 13 and 14 were used and only association fibres coloured in red (Seltzer and Pandya, 1978; Schmahmann and Pandya, 2006) (see Fig. 5). Case 13 was injected in the ventral temporal region (area TF) and case 14 in the cortex of the lower bank of the superior temporal sulcus (area IPa).

For the frontal aslant tract, coronal slices of case 25 were used and only association fibres coloured in red (Petrides and Pandya, 1994; Schmahmann and Pandya, 2006) (see Fig. 6). Case 25 was injected in the ventral part of the precentral gyrus (area 4) corresponding to the area of the face.

For the orbito-polar tract, coronal slices of case 33 were used and only association fibres coloured in red (Petrides and

Cingulum




Fig. 1 – Reconstruction of the cingulum: comparison between post-mortem axonal tracing in monkey (case 22 modified from Schmahmann and Pandya, 2006) and human in vivo SD tractography shows simian-human similarities.

Pandya, 1994; Schmahmann and Pandya, 2006) (see Fig. 7). Case 33 was injected in the orbital frontal cortex, encroaching posteriorly on the insular proisocortex (area 47/12).

3. Results

In the human brain, we were able to identify all major long-range association pathways previously described in the monkey brain. We were also able to visualize short-range intralobar frontal connections. For the monkey brain, the cortical projection are indicated using a contemporary international nomenclature (Von Bonin and Bailey, 1947; Paxinos et al., 1999; Schmahmann and Pandya, 2006) while for the human brain we used Brodmann areas (Brodmann, 1909). Correspondence between the two nomenclatures applied to the frontal lobe are discussed in detail in Petrides et al. (this issue).

3.1. Long-range association pathways

Long-range association pathways are defined in this study as inter-lobar connections (i.e. between frontal and other lobes). Long-range association pathways of the frontal lobe include the cingulum (Fig. 1), the superior longitudinal fasciculus (Fig. 2), the arcuate fasciculus (Fig. 3), the inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus (Fig. 4) and the uncinate fasciculus (Fig. 5).

3.1.1. Cingulum bundle

In humans, the cingulum is a sickle-shaped tract composed of fibres of different lengths. The longest fibres run from the amygdala, uncus (BA 35) and parahippocampal gyrus (BA 36 and 30) to sub-genual areas of the orbito-frontal lobe (BA 25 and 11) (Crosby et al., 1962; Nieuwenhuys et al., 2008). Shorter fibres, that join and leave the cingulum along its length, connect to adjacent areas of the cingulated cortex (BA 23 and 24), superior medial frontal gyrus (BA 32, 6, 8 and 9), paracentral lobule (BA 4), precuneus (BA 7), cuneus (BA 19), lingual (BA 18 and 19), and fusiform gyri (BA 19 and 37) (Dejerine, 1895; Nieuwenhuys et al., 2008). The cingulum can be divided into an anterior-dorsal component (the blade of the sickle), which forms most of the white matter of the cingulate gyrus, posterior precuneus (BA 7 and 19), and a posterior-ventral component (the handle of the sickle) running within the parahippocampal gyrus (BA 34 and 28), retrosplenial cingulate gyrus (BA 26, 19 and 30) (Fig. 1).

In the monkey brain, the cingulum has a very similar shape to humans, and its dorsal projections terminate in the rostral cingulate cortex (area 24), orbital cortex (area 11) and medial parietal cortex (area PG/Opt). The ventral component of the cingulum projects posteriorly to the parietal occipital medial sulcus (POMS) and ventrally to the presubiculum, parahippocampal gyrus, entorhinal cortex (area 28) and amygdala (Mufson and Pandya, 1984; Vogt and Pandya, 1987; Schmahmann and Pandya, 2006).

Overall the anatomy of the cingulum is highly conserved between humans and monkeys.

Superior longitudinal fasciculus




Fig. 2 – Reconstructions of the three branches of the superior longitudinal fasciculus (first branch in red, second branch in yellow and third branch in green): comparison between post-mortem axonal tracing in monkey (cases 1–6 modified from [Schmahmann and Pandya, 2006](#)) and human in vivo SD tractography suggests simian-human similarities for the three SLF branches.

3.1.2. Superior longitudinal fasciculus

The superior longitudinal fasciculus has three distinct branches ([Petrides and Pandya, 1984](#)). In humans, the first branch of the superior longitudinal fasciculus (SLF I) connects to the superior parietal lobule and precuneus (BA 5 and 7), to the superior frontal (BA 8, 9, 32) and perhaps to some anterior cingulate areas (BA 24). The second branch (SLF II) originates in the anterior intraparietal sulcus and the angular gyrus (BA 39 and 40) and terminates in the posterior regions of the superior and middle frontal gyrus (BA 6, 8, 9). The third branch (SLF III) connects the intraparietal sulcus and inferior parietal lobule to the inferior frontal gyrus (BA 44, 45, 47) ([Fig. 2](#)).

In the monkey the three branches have a similar anatomy. The SLF I connects the superior frontal gyrus (MII, area 6D and 9) to the posterior medial (area PGm) and caudal superior parietal lobule of the monkey brain (area PE and PEc). The SLF II originates from the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (areas 6D, 8Ad, 9/46, and 46) and ends in the occipito-parietal area (area POa) and the caudal inferior parietal lobule (area PG/Opt, equivalent to human angular gyrus). The SLF III links the posterior part of the inferior frontal gyrus (area 6V and area 44) to the rostral portion of the inferior parietal lobule (areas PF, POa, PFG and PPop).

Overall, the anatomy of the SLF is highly conserved between humans and monkeys.

3.1.3. Arcuate fasciculus

In humans, the longest fibres of the arcuate fasciculus connect the posterior regions of the frontal lobe to the temporal lobe ([Catani et al., 2002, 2005](#); [Parker et al., 2005](#)). A subset of connections links the most posterior part of the superior temporal gyrus (BA 41 and 42) to the inferior frontal gyrus (BA 44 and 45). A larger subset of connections links the middle and inferior temporal gyri (BA 21, 22 and 37) to the inferior pre-central (BA 6) and posterior regions of the middle and inferior frontal gyrus (BA 8, 9, 44 and 45).

In the monkey brain, the arcuate connects the caudal part of the superior temporal gyrus (Tpt) and the dorsal part of area 8 (area 8Ad), area 46, and 6. More recent investigation also revealed arcuate connections to area 44 arising from the superior temporal sulcus next to Tpt ([Petrides and Pandya, 2009](#)).

Overall, the arcuate fasciculus shows significant differences between human and monkey brains, with the projection to middle and inferior temporal gyrus being absent in monkey ([Fig. 3](#)).

3.1.4. Inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus

In humans, the fronto-occipital fasciculus is a long-ranged bowtie-shaped tract that originates from the inferior and medial surface of the occipital lobe (BA 19 and 18), with a minor contribution probably from the medial parietal lobe

Arcuate




Fig. 3 – Reconstruction of the arcuate fasciculus: comparison between post-mortem axonal tracing in monkey (cases 7–9 modified from [Schmahmann and Pandya, 2006](#)) and human in vivo SD tractography. Common anatomical features between human and monkey are reconstructed in red whereas anatomical differences have been coloured in blue.

(Catani et al., 2002; Martino et al., 2010). As it leaves the occipital lobe and enters the temporal stem, the inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus narrows in section and its fibres gather at the level of the external/extreme capsule just above the uncinate fasciculus. Because the diffusion imaging lacks resolution, it cannot discriminate extreme from external capsule. As it enters the frontal lobe, its fibres spread to form a thin sheet, curving dorsolaterally that terminates mainly in the inferior frontal gyrus. The most ventral fibres continue anteriorly and terminate in the medial fronto-orbital region (BA 11) and the frontal pole (BA 10) (Catani et al., 2002). In the tractography reconstruction presented in Fig. 4, the most dorsal fibres terminate in the rostral portion of the superior frontal gyrus (rostral portion of BA 9).

In the monkey brain, because the autoradiographic technique shows axons, it can discriminate extreme from external capsule. The fibres passing through the extreme capsule (EmC) connect the middle superior temporal region (areas IPa, TAa and TPO) and the caudal inferior temporal region (area 19) with the caudal parts of the orbital cortex (area 47/12), the ventro-lateral prefrontal cortex (area 9/46 and 45) and the frontal pole (area 10) (Petrides and Pandya, 1988; Ungerleider et al., 1989; Schmahmann and Pandya, 2006).

Hence, whilst the anterior projections of the extreme capsule in the monkey overlap with those of the human

inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus, the posterior projections do not reach the occipital lobe.

3.1.5. Uncinate fasciculus

In humans, the uncinate fasciculus is a hook-shaped tract that connects the anterior part of the temporal lobe (BA 38) with the orbital (BA 11 and 47) and polar (BA 10) frontal cortex. In the tractography reconstruction presented in Fig. 5, the fibres of the uncinate originate from the temporal pole (BA 38), uncus (BA 35), parahippocampal gyrus (BA 36 and 30), and amygdala. After a U-turn, the fibres of the uncinate enter the anterior floor of the external capsule between the insula and the putamen. Here, the uncinate runs inferiorly to the fronto-occipital fasciculus before entering the orbital region of the frontal lobe, where it splits into a ventro-lateral branch, which terminates in the lateral orbito-frontal cortex (BA 11 and 47), and an antero-medial branch that continues towards the cingulate gyrus (BA 32) and the frontal pole (BA 10) (Dejerine, 1895; Klingler and Gloor, 1960; Crosby et al., 1962).

The monkey uncinate connects the ventro-lateral prefrontal (area 47/12) and the orbital cortex (areas 11 and 13) to the temporal pole, amygdala, and the parahippocampal gyrus (area 35 and 28).

Overall the anatomy of the uncinate is highly conserved between humans and monkeys.

Inferior Fronto-Occipital




Fig. 4 – Comparison between post-mortem axonal tracing of the extreme capsule fibres in monkey (cases 31 and 32 modified from [Schmahmann and Pandya, 2006](#)) and human in vivo SD tractography of the inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus. The two tracts pass through the external capsule and project to similar frontal regions. Their posterior projections are however, different; the external capsule project to the temporal lobe while the inferior fronto-occipital to the occipital lobe.

3.2. Short-range association pathways

A number of intra-lobe connections link different areas of the frontal lobe ([Catani et al., 2012, issue 2](#)). Many of these connections are U-shaped fibres linking adjacent gyri. There are also longer intra-lobe fibres connecting distant gyri within the frontal lobe. Among these connections, the “frontal aslant tract” ([Fig. 6](#)) and the “frontal orbito-polar tract” ([Fig. 7](#)) are presented below in our study.

3.2.1. Frontal aslant tract

The frontal aslant tract resembles a baseball glove. It connects the most posterior part of Broca’s territory (i.e. precentral cortex, BA 6, pars opercularis, BA 44) in the inferior frontal gyrus with the SMA and pre-SMA in the superior frontal gyrus (BA 8 and 6) ([Lawes et al., 2008; Oishi et al., 2008](#)).

In the monkey brain, a group of fibres originates in the ventral part of the precentral gyrus (areas 6V and 44) and projects to the SMA in the superior frontal gyrus (areas 6D and 8B) ([Petrides and Pandya, 1994; Schmahmann and Pandya, 2006](#)). These fibres are very similar to the human frontal aslant tract ([Fig. 6](#)).

3.2.2. Frontal orbito-polar tract

In humans the frontal orbito-polar bundle is a ventral tract connecting posterior (BA 25 and 11) and anterior orbitofrontal gyri (BA 11) and the frontal pole (BA 10).

In monkey, the frontal orbito-polar tract connects the posterior orbital frontal cortex (area 25) to the frontal pole (area 10) ([Petrides and Pandya, 1994; Schmahmann and Pandya, 2006](#)).

Overall the anatomy of the frontal orbito-polar tract is highly similar between humans and monkeys ([Fig. 7](#)).

4. Discussion

In this study, a direct comparison of the major frontal lobe connections between monkey and human suggests the following: (i) the majority of the frontal lobe connections described in the monkey brain using axonal tracing can be visualised in the human brain using SD tractography; (ii) overall a general correspondence between human and monkey connective anatomy is observed for the short and long-range frontal connections; (iii) major differences were

Uncinate




Fig. 5 – Reconstructions of the uncinate: comparison between post-mortem axonal tracing in monkey (cases 13 and 14 modified from [Schmahmann and Pandya, 2006](#)) and human in vivo SD tractography suggests simian-human similarities.

found for the arcuate fasciculus and the inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus, which may underlie unique human cognitive functions.

Human–simian similarities were found for the anatomy of the superior longitudinal fasciculus, the uncinate fasciculus and the cingulum.

The superior longitudinal fasciculus connects frontal and parietal regions that activate in tasks involving visuo-spatial processing ([Corbetta and Shulman, 2002](#); [Shulman et al., 2009, 2010](#)), sensory-motor integration ([Buccino et al., 2004](#); [Johnson-Frey et al., 2005](#); [Bohlhalter et al., 2009](#)), working memory ([Ungerleider et al., 1998](#); [Marklund et al., 2007](#); [Volle et al., 2008](#)), and eye movement ([Corbetta, 1998](#); [Berman et al., 1999](#); [Petit and Haxby, 1999](#)). Lesions to the superior longitudinal connections manifest with neglect ([Gaffan and Hornak, 1997](#); [Thiebaut de Schotten et al., 2005](#); [Doricchi et al., 2008](#)), apraxia ([Goldenberg, 2003](#); [Heilman and Watson, 2008](#); [Goldenberg and Spatt, 2009](#)), working memory impairment ([Levy and Goldman-Rakic, 1999](#); [Curtis, 2006](#); [Sepulcre et al., 2009](#)), optic ataxia and oculomotor dysfunction ([Buneo et al., 2002](#); [Karnath and Perenin, 2005](#); [Blangero et al., 2010](#)). These deficits can be frequently observed in humans and produced experimentally in monkeys.

The uncinate fasciculus is involved in processing, encoding and retrieving percepts and memories with strong emotional valence ([Gaffan and Wilson, 2008](#); [Ross, 2008](#)). Functional studies in humans revealed activation of the fronto-temporal

network mediated by the uncinate in tasks involving integration of emotional material ([Hung et al., 2010](#); [Park et al., 2010](#)), recall of emotionally stimulating memories ([Spoont et al., 2010](#)), estimation of risks ([Vorhold et al., 2007](#)) or watching fearful faces ([Grèzes et al., 2007](#); [Pichon et al., 2009](#)). Lesions to the uncinate connections lead to episodic memory disorders ([Horel, 1978](#); [Eacott and Gaffan, 1992](#); [Levine et al., 1998](#); [Fink et al., 2010](#)) and antisocial behaviour ([Dicks et al., 1969](#); [Price et al., 2008](#); [Craig et al., 2009](#); [Sundram et al., 2012](#), this issue; [Zappalà et al., 2012](#), this issue) both in humans and experimental conditions in monkeys.

Human–simian similarities were also found for the cingulum. The dorsal cingulum connects the anterior cingulate and medial prefrontal cortex with the posterior cingulate and precuneus cortex. These regions show decreased activation during goal-directed tasks (i.e., default network) both in humans ([Raichle et al., 2001](#); [Greicius et al., 2009](#)) and monkeys ([Vincent et al., 2007](#); [Buckner et al., 2008](#)). The cingulum also contains longer fibres, which run from the anterior cingulate and medial prefrontal cortex to the anterior temporal gyrus. Lesion to these fibres manifest with apathy and tameness both in monkeys ([Glees et al., 1950](#)) and humans ([Moniz, 1937](#)).

Our dissection suggests that human–simian similarities extend also to the frontal short-range fibres of the frontal orbito-polar (FOP) and frontal aslant tract (FAT). The functions of these two tracts are not known. The frontal orbito-polar connects the posterior orbital gyrus, including the olfactory

Frontal Aslant Tract




Fig. 6 – Reconstructions of the frontal aslant tract: comparison between post-mortem axonal tracing in monkey (case 25 modified from [Schmahmann and Pandya, 2006](#)) and human in vivo SD tractography shows simian-human similarities.

cortex, with the anterior orbito-frontal gyrus and inferior frontal pole. The frontal pole mainly shows an increase of activation in tasks that require maintaining information in mind while doing something else ([Koechlin et al., 1999](#); [Burgess et al., 2007](#)). Patients with a lesion in the frontal pole manifest difficulties in multitasking ([Burgess et al., 2000](#)) and prospective memory ([Volle et al., 2011](#)). The orbito-frontal cortex is involved in reward behaviour associated with sensory (e.g., taste), multimodal and abstract reinforcers (e.g., monetary gain and loss) ([Kringelbach, 2005](#); [Palminteri et al., 2009](#)). Lesions to the orbito-frontal cortex manifest with insensitivity to future consequences both in humans ([Bechara et al., 2000](#); [Zappalà et al., 2012, this issue](#)) and monkeys ([Tremblay and Schultz, 1999](#)).

The frontal aslant tract connects the frontal operculum with the SMA. Cortical stimulation of the frontal operculum elicits orofacial movements in the monkey brain ([Huang et al., 1989](#)) and phonemic paraphasias in the human brain ([Duffau, 2012, this issue](#)). Cortical recording in the SMA shows different classes of neurons associated with batches of sequential movement ([Donchin et al., 1971](#); [Rizzolatti et al., 1988](#); [Tanji and Shima, 1994](#)). Patients with lesions extending to the FAT manifest with impaired fluency and mutism ([Bates et al., 2003](#); [du Boisgueheneuc et al., 2006](#)). These data suggest that the frontal aslant tract is involved in motor planning ([Eccles, 1982](#); [Boecker et al., 1998](#)), including vocalisation and speech ([Alario et al., 2006](#)).

In summary our findings suggest that humans and monkeys share a similar anatomy for tracts associated with functions that the two species have in common.

For two other long-range tracts, such as the arcuate fasciculus and the inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus, clear anatomical differences were observed. Although these differences may

be due to the limitations of the methods (discussed in detail further) and need to be confirmed in larger sample, we will discuss here their potential functional interpretation on the phylogeny scale. The arcuate fasciculus connects the posterior temporal lobe to the latero-inferior frontal lobe. A subcomponent of the arcuate fasciculus starting from the superior temporal gyrus is observed in both monkey and human. The dorsal part of this subcomponent of the arcuate fasciculus mediate spatiotemporal processing and the ventral part is involved in stimulus identification ([Aboitiz and García, 2009](#)). The majority of the fibres of the arcuate fasciculus project to the middle and inferior temporal gyri in human but not in monkey. Degeneration of the fibres of the arcuate fasciculus manifests with language disorders ([Catani et al., 2003](#); [Berthier et al., 2012, issue 2](#); [Bizzi et al. 2012, issue 2](#)). This finding support the theory that changes in the strength of connections between posterior temporal and inferior frontal regions have increased in the phylogeny scale, allowing a direct link between posterior regions specialised for auditory and visual word perception to frontal regions controlling orofacial movements ([Aboitiz and Garcia, 1997](#); [Aboitiz and García, 1997](#); [Cohen et al., 2000](#); [Epelbaum et al., 2008](#)).

The inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus can be easily dissected in humans using post-mortem blunt dissections ([Trolard, 1906](#); [Curran, 1909](#); [Lawes et al., 2008](#); [Martino et al., 2010](#)) and in vivo tractography ([Catani et al., 2002](#); [Wakana et al., 2004](#); [Catani and Thiebaut de Schotten, 2008](#); [Lawes et al., 2008](#); [Urbanski et al., 2008](#); [Pugliese et al., 2009](#)). The absence of the inferior frontal-occipital fasciculus in the monkey brain has led to the hypothesis that this tract may be unique to the human brain ([Catani, 2007](#)). The projections of the inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus to the area 10 may also

Orbito-Polar Tract




Fig. 7 – Reconstructions of the frontal orbito-polar tract: comparison between post-mortem axonal tracing in monkey (case 33 modified from Schmammann and Pandya, 2006) and human in vivo SD tractography suggests simian-human similarities.

explain the larger relative size of area 10 in humans (Semendeferi et al., 2001) and the in relative increase of frontal white matter (Smaers et al., 2010, 2011). The functions of the inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus remain largely unknown. It has been suggested that the inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus could play a role in the rapid top-down modulation of visual processing in general (i.e., not restricted to processing emotional value of visual percepts) (Pins and Ffytche, 2003; Bar et al., 2006), including top-down amplification of visual percepts characteristic of conscious visual processing (Dehaene et al., 2006) and executive control of voluntary visual recall (Tomita et al., 1999). The inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus could also mediate interaction between the occipital and frontal areas in states of reduced consciousness. For example, Braun et al. (1998) demonstrated that rapid eye movement (REM) sleep is associated with activation of the extrastriate visual cortices, particularly within the ventral processing stream, and concomitant reduction of activity in lateral orbital and prefrontal cortex. The role of the inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus in sleep is also suggested by patients with an acquired inability to dream (anoneria) following lesions of the lateral orbito-frontal cortex (Solms, 1997). Other roles of the inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus remains to be clarified such as its participation in mental rotation (Schendan and Stern, 2008), space-directed attention (Urbanski et al., 2008, 2011), reading (Shaywitz et al., 2002; Mechelli et al., 2004), and semantic processing (Duffau et al., 2005).

The extreme capsule is considered as a separate bundle in the monkey literature. In humans the extreme capsule contains many fibres, the majority of which project to posterior temporal and occipital areas through the uncinate and the inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus. It is possible, however, that some projections of the inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus branches ends in the superior temporal lobe, thus representing the human equivalent of the extreme capsule fasciculus described in the monkey. This tract has been recently linked to language functions (Makris and Pandya, 2009) and could correspond to the ventral semantic pathway described in the human brain (Duffau, 2005; Saur et al., 2008).

In summary the differences we found in the anatomy of the arcuate fasciculus and inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus suggest that these tracts may underpin functions unique to humans.

In this study, the human–simian comparison we provide is based on two distinct methods for reconstructing fibre pathways. Some of the findings may therefore be related to the different methodological approaches, rather than reflecting true anatomical differences. For example, axonal tracing allows for the identification of single axon trajectories (Schmammann and Pandya, 2006) and detailed description of their cortical terminations, whereas SD tractography is based on the diffusion signal acquired from relatively large voxels containing multiple axonal bundles, and is limited in reconstructing tracts approaching cortical regions. This methodological difference

may account for tracts that were identified in the monkey, but not in the human brain. Despite the above limitations, we show that the majority of frontal lobe connections described in the monkey brain through axonal tracing, can be also visualised in the human brain using SD tractography.

This study did not account for inter-individual variability and gender differences as human inter-individual variability data are drawn from one single subject. Inter-subject variability of white matter tracts in the human brain has been previously studied with diffusion tensor tractography and showed lower degree of variability in the central portion of the tracts, and a higher degree of variability in the peripheral regions (Thiebaut de Schotten et al., 2011b). The same study reported gender differences for the long segment, which is more left lateralized in males as compared to females. Future studies will need to examine interindividual variability using SD tractography.

Another limitation of this study is related to the visualisation of the trajectories of the individual tracts based on the drawings derived from histological sections of a monkey brain atlas. Single tracts on coronal slices can be difficult to identify visually and may lead to errors in labelling. In the monkey brain, the reconstruction of a single tract is based on patchy injections in individual cortical areas that do not cover the whole brain, especially in the most ventral areas. This methodological difference may account for tracts identified in the human brain, but not in monkeys, such as the inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus and some components of the arcuate fasciculus. Future ad-hoc studies using axonal tracing are needed to confirm the presence of tracts that are found in the human brain, whilst absent in the monkey brain.

We used SD tractography to overcome some of the limitations of current tractography methods based on the diffusion tensor model. In voxels with crossing, kissing or fanning fibres, the tensor model is unable to describe the complexity of white matter organisation. Consequently, the resultant tractography reconstructions are likely to contain erroneous results (Basser et al., 2000; Catani, 2007; Jones, 2008). SD models the diffusion signal as a distribution of multiple fibre orientations, and is therefore able to resolve fibre crossing in regions with two or more tracts. Tractography reconstructions based on SD help to reduce false negatives (=ineffective tracking of pathways that do exist) but are likely to generate false positives (=tracking of pathways that do not exist). In our study, the inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus and the arcuate are unlikely to represent flawed reconstructions, as the existence of these tracts in the human brain is supported by post-mortem dissections (Türe et al., 2000; Lawes et al., 2008) and cortico-cortical recording in epileptic patients (Kawasaki et al., 2001; Matsumoto et al., 2004). Finally, in this study we focused on association pathways without analysing of the projection and commissural (Berlucchi, 2012, this issue) tracts that are also important for cognition and behaviour such as fronto-striatal (Krause et al., 2012, this issue; Langen et al., 2012; issue 2; Cubillo et al., 2012; issue 2) and thalamocortical pathways (Muirheartaigh and Richardson, 2012; issue 2).

In conclusion, in this study we tried to bridge the gap between human and monkey neuroanatomy of frontal lobe association tracts. Our preliminary findings suggest that most of the association tracts in the frontal lobe are similar between

the two species. These tracts may therefore underlie functions that are conserved along the phylogeny scale. Conversely, some segments of the arcuate fasciculus and the inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus, which are not identified in the monkey brain, may be related to unique human abilities. Future studies with larger samples are necessary to understand the variability of those tracts and their correlation with behaviour.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the NATBRAINLAB (<http://www.natbrainlab.com>) for discussion. This work was supported the Marie Curie Intra-European Fellowships for Career Development (FP7) and the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR) [project CAFORPFC, number ANR-09-RPDOC-004-01 and project HM-TC, number ANR-09-EMER-006].

REFERENCES

- Aboitiz F and Garcia R. The anatomy of language revisited. *Biological Research*, 30(4): 171–183, 1997.
- Aboitiz F and García R. Merging of phonological and gestural circuits in early language evolution. *Reviews in the Neurosciences*, 20(1): 71–84, 2009.
- Aboitiz F and García VR. The evolutionary origin of the language areas in the human brain. A neuroanatomical perspective. *Brain Research Brain Research Reviews*, 25(3): 381–396, 1997.
- Alario F-X, Chainay H, Lehericy S, and Cohen L. The role of the supplementary motor area (SMA) in word production. *Brain Research*, 1076(1): 129–143, 2006.
- Alexander DC. *An Introduction to Computational Diffusion MRI: The Diffusion Tensor and Beyond. Visualization and Processing of Tensor Fields*. Berlin: Springer, 2006. 83–106.
- Bar M, Kassam KS, Ghuman AS, Boshyan J, Schmid AM, Schmidt AM, et al. Top-down facilitation of visual recognition. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 103(2): 449–454, 2006.
- Basser PJ, Mattiello J, and Le Bihan D. MR diffusion tensor spectroscopy and imaging. *Biophysical Journal*, 66(1): 259–267, 1994.
- Basser PJ, Pajevic S, Pierpaoli C, Duda J, and Aldroubi A. In vivo fiber tractography using DT-MRI data. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 44(4): 625–632, 2000.
- Bates E, Wilson S, Saygin A, Dick F, Sereno MI, Knight RT, et al. Voxel-based lesion-symptom mapping. *Nature Neuroscience*, 6(5): 448–450, 2003.
- Bechara A, Tranel D, and Damasio H. Characterization of the decision-making deficit of patients with ventromedial prefrontal cortex lesions. *Brain*, 123(Pt 11): 2189–2202, 2000.
- Berman RA, Colby CL, Genovese CR, Voyvodich JT, Luna B, Thulborn KR, et al. Cortical networks subserving pursuit and saccadic eye movements in humans: An fMRI study. *Human Brain Mapping*, 8(4): 209–225, 1999.
- Berthier ML, Ralph MAL, Pujol J, and Green C. Arcuate fasciculus variability and repetition: The left sometimes can be right. *Cortex*, 2012, issue 2.
- Bizzi A, Nava S, Ferrè F, Castelli G, Aquino D, Ciaraffa F, et al. Gliomas infiltrating the ventrolateral frontal region: Clinical anatomo-functional language assessment with neuropsychology, diffusion MR tractography and functional MR imaging. *Cortex*, 2012, issue 2.

- Blangero A, Ota H, Rossetti Y, Fujii T, Ohtake H, Tabuchi M, et al. Systematic retinotopic reaching error vectors in unilateral optic ataxia. *Cortex*, 46(1): 77–93, 2010.
- Boecker H, Dagher A, Ceballos-Baumann AO, Passingham RE, Samuel M, Friston KJ, et al. Role of the human rostral supplementary motor area and the basal ganglia in motor sequence control: Investigations with H2 15O PET. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 79(2): 1070–1080, 1998.
- Bohlhalter S, Hattori N, Wheaton L, Fridman E, Shamim EA, Garraux G, et al. Gesture subtype-dependent left lateralization of praxis planning: An event-related fMRI study. *Cerebral Cortex*, 19(6): 1256–1262, 2009.
- Braun A, Balkin T, Wesensten N, Gwady F, Carson R, Varga M, et al. Dissociated pattern of activity in visual cortices and their projections during human rapid eye movement sleep. *Science*, 279(5347): 91–95, 1998.
- Brodmann K. Vergleichende Lokalisationslehre der Großhirnrinde: in ihren Prinzipien dargestellt. auf Grund d. Zellenbaues. Barth, Leipzig, Germany, 1909.
- Buccino G, Vogt S, Ritzl A, Fink GR, Zilles K, Freund H-J, et al. Neural circuits underlying imitation learning of hand actions: An event-related fMRI study. *Neuron*, 42(2): 323–334, 2004.
- Buckner RL, Andrews-Hanna JR, and Schacter DL. The brain's default network: Anatomy, function, and relevance to disease. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1124: 1–38, 2008.
- Buneo CA, Jarvis MR, Batista AP, and Andersen RA. Direct visuomotor transformations for reaching. *Nature*, 416(6881): 632–636, 2002.
- Burgess PW, Veitch E, De Lacy Costello A, and Shallice T. The cognitive and neuroanatomical correlates of multitasking. *Neuropsychologia*, 38(6): 848–863, 2000.
- Burgess PW, Gilbert SJ, and Dumontheil I. Function and localization within rostral prefrontal cortex (area 10). *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, 362(1481): 887–899, 2007.
- Catani M. From hodology to function. *Brain*, 130(Pt 3): 602–605, 2007.
- Catani M and Stuss D. At the forefront of clinical neuroscience. *Cortex* 2012, this issue.
- Catani M and Thiebaut de Schotten M. A diffusion tensor imaging tractography atlas for virtual in vivo dissections. *Cortex*, 44(8): 1105–1132, 2008.
- Catani M and Thiebaut de Schotten M. *Atlas of Human Brain Connections*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, in press.
- Catani M, Howard RJ, Pajevic S, and Jones DK. Virtual in vivo interactive dissection of white matter fasciculi in the human brain. *NeuroImage*, 17(1): 77–94, 2002.
- Catani M, Piccirilli M, Cherubini A, Tarducci R, Sciarma T, Gobbi G, et al. Mecocci P Axonal injury within language network in primary progressive aphasia. *Annals of Neurology*, 53(2): 242–247, 2003.
- Catani M, Jones DK, and Ffytche DH. Perisylvian language networks of the human brain. *Annals of Neurology*, 57(1): 8–16, 2005.
- Catani M, Allin MP, Husain M, Pugliese L, Mesulam MM, Murray RM, et al. Symmetries in human brain language pathways correlate with verbal recall. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 104(43): 17163–17168, 2007.
- Catani M, Dell'Acqua F, Vergani F, Valabregue R, and Thiebaut de Schotten M. Short frontal lobe connections of the human brain: Proposal for a new nomenclature. *Cortex*, issue 2.
- Chklovskii DB, Schikorski T, and Stevens CF. Wiring optimization in cortical circuits. *Neuron*, 34(3): 341–347, 2002.
- Ciccarelli O, Toosy AT, Parker GJ, Wheeler-Kingshott CA, Barker GJ, Miller DH, et al. Diffusion tractography based group mapping of major white-matter pathways in the human brain. *NeuroImage*, 19(4): 1545–1555, 2003.
- Cohen L, Dehaene S, Naccache L, Lehericy S, Dehaene-Lambertz G, Hénaff MA, et al. The visual word form area: Spatial and temporal characterization of an initial stage of reading in normal subjects and posterior split-brain patients. *Brain*, 123(Pt 2): 291–307, 2000.
- Corbetta M. Frontoparietal cortical networks for directing attention and the eye to visual locations: Identical, independent, or overlapping neural systems? *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 95(3): 831–838, 1998.
- Corbetta M and Shulman GL. Control of goal-directed and stimulus-driven attention in the brain. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 3(3): 201–215, 2002.
- Craig MC, Catani M, Deeley Q, Latham R, Daly E, Kanaan R, et al. Altered connections on the road to psychopathy. *Molecular Psychiatry*, 14(10): 946–953, 2009.
- Crosby EC, Humphrey T, and Lauer EW. *Correlative Anatomy of the Nervous System*. New York: Macmillan Co., 1962.
- Cubillo A, Halari R, Smith A, Taylor E, and Rubia, K. A review of fronto-striatal and fronto-cortical brain abnormalities in children and adults with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) and new evidence for dysfunction in adults with ADHD during motivation and attention. *Cortex*, 2012, issue 2.
- Curran EJ. A new association fiber tract in the cerebrum. With remarks on the fiber dissection method of studying the brain. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 19: 645–657, 1909.
- Curtis CE. Prefrontal and parietal contributions to spatial working memory. *Neuroscience*, 139(1): 173–180, 2006.
- DeFelipe J, Alonso-Nanclares L, and Arellano JI. Microstructure of the neocortex: Comparative aspects. *Journal of Neurocytology*, 31(3–5): 299–316, 2002.
- Dehaene S, Changeux J-P, Naccache L, Sackur J, and Sergent C. Conscious, preconscious, and subliminal processing: A testable taxonomy. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 10(5): 204–211, 2006.
- Dejerine J. *Anatomie des Centres Nerveux*. Paris: Rueff et Cie, 1895.
- Dell'Acqua F, Coward J, Simmons A, Murphy DGM, Williams S, and Catani M. Mapping crossing fibres of the human brain with spherical deconvolution: Towards an atlas for Clinico-anatomical correlation studies. *Proceedings of the International Society of Magnetic Resonance Medicine*, 17: 3562, 2009.
- Dell'Acqua F, Rizzo G, Scifo P, Clarke RA, Scotti G, and Fazio F. A model-based deconvolution approach to solve fiber crossing in diffusion-weighted MR imaging. *IEEE Transactions on Bio-medical Engineering*, 54(3): 462–472, 2007.
- Dell'Acqua F, Scifo P, Catani M, Scotti G, and Fazio F. Combining spherical deconvolution and streamline tractography: Preliminary results. *16th Annual Meeting of the International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine (ISMRM)*, Toronto (Canada), 2008.
- Dell'Acqua F, Scifo P, Rizzo G, Catani M, Simmons A, Scotti G, et al. A modified damped Richardson–Lucy algorithm to reduce isotropic background effects in spherical deconvolution. *NeuroImage*, 49(2): 1446–1458, 2010.
- Dicks D, Myers RE, and Kling A. Uncus and amygdala lesions: Effects on social behavior in the free-ranging rhesus monkey. *Science*, 165(3888): 69–71, 1969.
- Donchin E, Otto D, Gerbrandt LK, and Pribram KH. While a monkey waits: Electrocortical events recorded during the foreperiod of a reaction time study. *Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology*, 31(2): 115–127, 1971.
- Doricchi F, Thiebaut de Schotten M, Tomaiuolo F, and Bartolomeo P. White matter (dis)connections and gray matter (dys)functions in visual neglect: Gaining insights into the brain networks of spatial awareness. *Cortex*, 44(8): 983–995, 2008.
- du Boisgueheneuc F, Levy R, Volle E, Seassau M, Duffau H, Kinkingnehun S, et al. Functions of the left superior frontal gyrus in humans: A lesion study. *Brain*, 129(Pt 12): 3315–3328, 2006.

- Duffau H, Gatignol P, Mandonnet E, Peruzzi P, Tzourio-Mazoyer N, and Capelle L. New insights into the anatomo-functional connectivity of the semantic system: A study using cortico-subcortical electrostimulations. *Brain*, 128(Pt 4): 797–810, 2005.
- Duffau H. The “frontal syndrome” revisited: Lessons from electrostimulation mapping studies. *Cortex*, this issue.
- Eacott MJ and Gaffan D. Inferotemporal–frontal disconnection: The uncinate fascicle and visual associative learning in monkeys. *The European Journal of Neuroscience*, 4(12): 1320–1332, 1992.
- Eccles JC. The initiation of voluntary movements by the supplementary motor area. *Archiv für Psychiatrie und Nervenkrankheiten*, 231(5): 423–441, 1982.
- Emes RD, Pocklington AJ, Anderson CNG, Bayes A, Collins MO, Vickers CA, et al. Evolutionary expansion and anatomical specialization of synapse proteome complexity. *Nature Neuroscience*, 11(7): 799–806, 2008.
- Epelbaum S, Pinel P, Gaillard R, Delmaire C, Perrin M, Dupont S, et al. Pure alexia as a disconnection syndrome: New diffusion imaging evidence for an old concept. *Cortex*, 44(8): 962–974, 2008.
- Fink F, Eling P, Rischkau E, Beyer N, Tomandl B, Klein J, et al. The association between California verbal learning test performance and fibre impairment in multiple sclerosis: Evidence from diffusion tensor imaging. *Multiple Sclerosis*, 16(3): 332–341, 2010.
- Gaffan D and Hornak J. Visual neglect in the monkey. Representation and disconnection. *Brain*, 120(Pt 9): 1647–1657, 1997.
- Gaffan D and Wilson CR. Medial temporal and prefrontal function: Recent behavioural disconnection studies in the macaque monkey. *Cortex*, 44(8): 928–935, 2008.
- Galaburda AM and Pandya DN. The intrinsic architectonic and connectional organization of the superior temporal region of the rhesus monkey. *The Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 221(2): 169–184, 1983.
- Glasser MF and Rilling JK. DTI tractography of the human brain’s language pathways. *Cerebral Cortex*, 18(11): 2471–2482, 2008.
- Glees P, Cole J, Whitty CW, and Cairns H. The effects of lesions in the cingular gyrus and adjacent areas in monkeys. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry*, 13(3): 178–190, 1950.
- Goldenberg G. Apraxia and beyond: Life and work of Hugo Liepmann. *Cortex*, 39(3): 509–524, 2003.
- Goldenberg G and Spatt J. The neural basis of tool use. *Brain*, 132(Pt 6): 1645–1655, 2009.
- Greicius MD, Supekar K, Menon V, and Dougherty RF. Resting-state functional connectivity reflects structural connectivity in the default mode network. *Cerebral Cortex*, 19(1): 72–78, 2009.
- Grèzes J, Pichon S, and De Gelder B. Perceiving fear in dynamic body expressions. *NeuroImage*, 35(2): 959–967, 2007.
- Heilman KM and Watson RT. The disconnection apraxias. *Cortex*, 44(8): 975–982, 2008.
- Hofman MA. Size and shape of the cerebral cortex in mammals. I. The cortical surface. *Brain, Behavior and Evolution*, 27(1): 28–40, 1985.
- Hofman MA. Size and shape of the cerebral cortex in mammals. II. The cortical volume. *Brain, Behavior and Evolution*, 32(1): 17–26, 1988.
- Horel JA. The neuroanatomy of amnesia. A critique of the hippocampal memory hypothesis. *Brain*, 101(3): 403–445, 1978.
- Huang CS, Hiraba H, Murray GM, and Sessle BJ. Topographical distribution and functional properties of cortically induced rhythmical jaw movements in the monkey (*Macaca fascicularis*). *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 61(3): 635–650, 1989.
- Hung Y, Smith ML, Bayle DJ, Mills T, Cheyne D, and Taylor MJ. Unattended emotional faces elicit early lateralized amygdala-frontal and fusiform activations. *NeuroImage*, 50(2): 727–733, 2010.
- Johnson-Frey SH, Newman-Norlund R, and Grafton ST. A distributed left hemisphere network active during planning of everyday tool use skills. *Cerebral Cortex*, 15(6): 681–695, 2005.
- Jones DK. Studying connections in the living human brain with diffusion MRI. *Cortex*, 44(8): 936–952, 2008.
- Jones DK, Simmons A, Williams SC, and Horsfield MA. Non-invasive assessment of axonal fiber connectivity in the human brain via diffusion tensor MRI. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 42(1): 37–41, 1999.
- Karnath HO and Perenin MT. Cortical control of visually guided reaching: Evidence from patients with optic ataxia. *Cerebral Cortex*, 15(10): 1561–1569, 2005.
- Kawasaki H, Kaufman O, Damasio H, Damasio AR, Granner M, Bakken H, et al. Single-neuron responses to emotional visual stimuli recorded in human ventral prefrontal cortex. *Nature Neuroscience*, 4(1): 15–16, 2001.
- Klingler J and Gloor P. The connections of the amygdala and the anterior temporal cortex in the human brain. *The Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 115(3): 333–369, 1960.
- Koechlin E, Basso G, Pietrini P, Panzer S, and Grafman J. The role of the anterior prefrontal cortex in human cognition. *Nature*, 399(6732): 148–151, 1999.
- Krause M, Mahant N, Kotschet K, Fung VS, Vagg D, Wong CH and Morris JGL. Dysexecutive behaviour following deep brain lesions – A different type of disconnection syndrome. *Cortex*, 2012, issue 2.
- Kringelbach ML. The human orbitofrontal cortex: Linking reward to hedonic experience. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 6(9): 691–702, 2005.
- Langen M, Leemans A, Johnston P, Ecker C, Daly E, Murphy CM, et al. The AIMS Consortium, fronto-striatal circuitry and inhibitory control in autism: Findings from diffusion tensor imaging tractography. *Cortex*, 2012, issue 2.
- Lawes INC, Barrick TR, Murugam V, Spierings N, Evans DR, Song M, et al. Atlas-based segmentation of white matter tracts of the human brain using diffusion tensor tractography and comparison with classical dissection. *NeuroImage*, 39(1): 62–79, 2008.
- Le Bihan D and Breton E. Imagerie de diffusion in-vivo par résonance magnétique nucléaire. *Comptes rendus de l’Académie des sciences*, 301: 1109–1112, 1985.
- Leemans A and Jones DK. The B-matrix must be rotated when correcting for subject motion in DTI data. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 61(6): 1336–1349, 2009.
- Levine B, Black SE, Cabeza R, Sinden M, McIntosh AR, Toth JP, et al. Episodic memory and the self in a case of isolated retrograde amnesia. *Brain*, 121(Pt 10): 1951–1973, 1998.
- Levy R and Goldman-Rakic PS. Association of storage and processing functions in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex of the nonhuman primate. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 19(12): 5149–5158, 1999.
- Makris N and Pandya DN. The extreme capsule in humans and rethinking of the language circuitry. *Brain Structure & Function*, 213(3): 343–358, 2009.
- Marklund P, Fransson P, Cabeza R, Petersson KM, Ingvar M, and Nyberg L. Sustained and transient neural modulations in prefrontal cortex related to declarative long-term memory, working memory, and attention. *Cortex*, 43(1): 22–37, 2007.
- Martino J, Brogna C, Robles SG, Vergani F, and Duffau H. Anatomic dissection of the inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus revisited in the lights of brain stimulation data. *Cortex*, 46(5): 691–699, 2010.
- Matsumoto R, Nair DR, Lapresto E, Najm I, Bingaman W, Shibasaki H, et al. Functional connectivity in the human language system: A cortico-cortical evoked potential study. *Brain*, 127(Pt 10): 2316–2330, 2004.

- Mechelli A, Price CJ, Friston KJ, and Ishai A. Where bottom–up meets top–down: Neuronal interactions during perception and imagery. *Cerebral Cortex*, 14(11): 1256–1265, 2004.
- Moniz E. Prefrontal leucotomy in the treatment of mental disorders. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*, 93(6): 1379, 1937.
- Mori S, Crain BJ, Chacko VP, and Van Zijl PC. Three-dimensional tracking of axonal projections in the brain by magnetic resonance imaging. *Annals of Neurology*, 45(2): 265–269, 1999.
- Moseley ME, Cohen Y, Kucharczyk J, Mintorovitch J, Asgari HS, Wendland MF, et al. Diffusion-weighted MR imaging of anisotropic water diffusion in cat central nervous system. *Radiology*, 176(2): 439–445, 1990.
- Mufson EJ and Pandya DN. Some observations on the course and composition of the cingulum bundle in the rhesus monkey. *The Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 225(1): 31–43, 1984.
- Nieuwenhuys R, Voogd J, and Van Huijzen C. *The Human Central Nervous System*. Berlin, New York: Springer, 2008.
- Oishi K, Zilles K, Amunts K, Faria AV, Jiang H, Li X, et al. Human brain white matter atlas: Identification and assignment of common anatomical structures in superficial white matter. *NeuroImage*, 2008.
- Palminteri S, Boraud T, Lafargue G, Dubois B, and Pessiglione M. Brain hemispheres selectively track the expected value of contralateral options. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 29(43): 13465–13472, 2009.
- Pandya DN and Seltzer B. Intrinsic connections and architectonics of posterior parietal cortex in the rhesus monkey. *The Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 204(2): 196–210, 1982.
- Park J-Y, Gu B-M, Kang D-H, Shin Y-W, Choi C-H, Lee J-M, et al. Integration of cross-modal emotional information in the human brain: An fMRI study. *Cortex*, 46(2): 161–169, 2010.
- Parker GJ, Luzzi S, Alexander DC, Wheeler-Kingshott CA, Ciccarelli O, and Lambon Ralph M. Lateralization of ventral and dorsal auditory-language pathways in the human brain. *NeuroImage*, 24(3): 656–666, 2005.
- Paxinos G, Toga A, and Huan X-F. *The Rhesus Monkey Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates*. San Diego: Academic Press, 1999.
- Petit L and Haxby JV. Functional anatomy of pursuit eye movements in humans as revealed by fMRI. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 82(1): 463–471, 1999.
- Petrides M and Pandya DN. Projections to the frontal cortex from the posterior parietal region in the rhesus monkey. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 228(1): 105–116, 1984.
- Petrides M and Pandya DN. Association fiber pathways to the frontal cortex from the superior temporal region in the rhesus monkey. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 273(1): 52–66, 1988.
- Petrides M and Pandya DN. Dorsolateral prefrontal cortex: Comparative cytoarchitectonic analysis of the human and the macaque frontal cortex. In Boller F and Grafman J (Eds), *Handbook of Neuropsychology*. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 1994: 17–58.
- Petrides M and Pandya DN. Distinct parietal and temporal pathways to the homologues of Broca's area in the monkey. *Public Library of Sciences Biology*, 7(8): e1000170, 2009.
- Petrides M, Tomaiuolo F, Yeterian EH, and Pandya DN. The prefrontal cortex: Comparative architectonic organization in the human and the macaque monkey brains. *Cortex*, this issue.
- Pichon S, De Gelder B, and Grèzes J. Two different faces of threat. Comparing the neural systems for recognizing fear and anger in dynamic body expressions. *NeuroImage*, 47(4): 1873–1883, 2009.
- Pins D and Ffytche DH. The neural correlates of conscious vision. *Cerebral Cortex*, 13(5): 461–474, 2003.
- Price G, Cercignani M, Parker GJM, Altmann DR, Barnes TRE, Barker GJ, et al. White matter tracts in first-episode psychosis: A DTI tractography study of the uncinate fasciculus. *NeuroImage*, 39(3): 949–955, 2008.
- Pugliese L, Catani M, Ameis S, Dell'Acqua F, Thiebaut De Schotten M, Murphy C, et al. The anatomy of extended limbic pathways in Asperger syndrome: A preliminary diffusion tensor imaging tractography study. *NeuroImage*, 47(2): 427–434, 2009.
- Raichle ME, Macleod AM, Snyder AZ, Powers WJ, Gusnard DA, and Shulman GL. A default mode of brain function. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 98(2): 676–682, 2001.
- Rizzolatti G, Camarda R, Fogassi L, Gentilucci M, Luppino G, and Matelli M. Functional organization of inferior area 6 in the macaque monkey. II. Area F5 and the control of distal movements. *Experimental Brain Research*, 71(3): 491–507, 1988.
- Rockel AJ, Hiorns RW, and Powell TP. The basic uniformity in structure of the neocortex. *Brain*, 103(2): 221–244, 1980.
- Ross ED. Sensory-specific amnesia and hypoemotionality in humans and monkeys: Gateway for developing a hodology of memory. *Cortex*, 44(8): 1010–1022, 2008.
- Saur D, Kreher BW, Schnell S, Kümmerer D, Kellmeyer P, Vry MS, et al. Ventral and dorsal pathways for language. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 105(46): 18035–18040, 2008.
- Schendan HE and Stern CE. Where vision meets memory: Prefrontal-posterior networks for visual object constancy during categorization and recognition. *Cerebral Cortex*, 18(7): 1695–1711, 2008.
- Schmahmann JD and Pandya DN. *Fiber Pathways of the Brain*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2006.
- Schmahmann JD and Pandya DN. The complex history of the fronto-occipital fasciculus. *Journal of the History of the Neurosciences*, 16(4): 362–377, 2007.
- Schmahmann JD, Pandya DN, Wang RP, Dai G, D'arceuil HE, De Crespigny AJ, et al. Association fibre pathways of the brain: Parallel observations from diffusion spectrum imaging and autoradiography. *Brain*, 130(Pt 3): 630–653, 2007.
- Seltzer B and Pandya DN. Afferent cortical connections and architectonics of the superior temporal sulcus and surrounding cortex in the rhesus monkey. *Brain Research*, 149(1): 1–24, 1978.
- Seltzer B and Pandya DN. Converging visual and somatic sensory cortical input to the intraparietal sulcus of the rhesus monkey. *Brain Research*, 192(2): 339–351, 1980.
- Seltzer B and Pandya DN. Intrinsic connections and architectonics of the superior temporal sulcus in the rhesus monkey. *The Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 290(4): 451–471, 1989.
- Semendeferi K, Armstrong E, Schleicher A, Zilles K, and Van Hoesen GW. Prefrontal cortex in humans and apes: A comparative study of area 10. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 114(3): 224–241, 2001.
- Semendeferi K, Lu A, Schenker N, and Damasio H. Humans and great apes share a large frontal cortex. *Nature Neuroscience*, 5(3): 272–276, 2002.
- Sepulcre J, Masdeu JC, Pastor MA, Goñi J, Barbosa C, Bejarano B, et al. Brain pathways of verbal working memory: A lesion-function correlation study. *NeuroImage*, 47(2): 773–778, 2009.
- Shaywitz BA, Shaywitz SE, Pugh KR, Mencl WE, Fulbright RK, Skudlarski P, et al. Disruption of posterior brain systems for reading in children with developmental dyslexia. *Biological Psychiatry*, 52(2): 101–110, 2002.
- Sherwood CC, Holloway RL, Semendeferi K, and Hof PR. Is prefrontal white matter enlargement a human evolutionary specialization? *Nature Neuroscience*, 8(5): 537–538 [author reply 538], 2005.
- Shulman GL, Astafiev SV, Franke D, Pope DL, Snyder A, Mcavoy MP, et al. Interaction of stimulus-driven reorienting and expectation in ventral and dorsal frontoparietal and basal ganglia-cortical networks. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 29(14): 4392–4407, 2009.

- Shulman GL, Pope DL, Astafiev SV, Mcavoy MP, Snyder AZ, and Corbetta M. Right hemisphere dominance during spatial selective attention and target detection occurs outside the dorsal frontoparietal network. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 30(10): 3640–3651, 2010.
- Smaers JB, Schleicher A, Zilles K, and Vinicius L. Frontal white matter volume is associated with brain enlargement and higher structural connectivity in anthropoid primates. *Public Library of Sciences*, ONE, 5(2): e9123, 2010.
- Smaers JB, Steele J, Case CR, Cowper A, Amunts K, and Zilles K. Primate prefrontal cortex evolution: Human brains are the extreme of a lateralized ape trend. *Brain, Behavior and Evolution*, 2011.
- Solms M. *The Neuropsychology of Dreams: A Clinico-anatomical Study*. Mahwah NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 1997.
- Spoont MR, Kuskowski M, and Pardo JV. Autobiographical memories of anger in violent and non-violent individuals: A script-driven imagery study. *Psychiatry Research*, 183(3): 225–229, 2010.
- Sundram F, Deeley Q, Sarkar S, Daly E, Latham R, Craig M, et al. White matter microstructural abnormalities in the frontal lobe of adults with antisocial personality disorder. *Cortex*, 2012, issue 2.
- Tanji J and Shima K. Role for supplementary motor area cells in planning several movements ahead. *Nature*, 371(6496): 413–416, 1994.
- Thiebaut de Schotten M, Urbanski M, Duffau H, Volle E, Levy R, Dubois B, et al. Direct evidence for a parietal–frontal pathway subserving spatial awareness in humans. *Science*, 309(5744): 2226–2228, 2005.
- Thiebaut de Schotten M, Kinkingnehun S, Delmaire C, Lehericy S, Duffau H, et al. Visualization of disconnection syndromes in humans. *Cortex*, 44(8): 1097–1103, 2008.
- Thiebaut de Schotten M, Dell’Acqua F, Forkel SJ, Simmons A, Vergani F, Murphy DGM, et al. A lateralized brain network for visuospatial attention. *Nature Neuroscience*, 14(10): 1245–1246, 2011a.
- Thiebaut de Schotten M, ffytche DH, Bizzi A, Dell’Acqua F, Allin M, et al. Atlasing location, asymmetry and inter-subject variability of white matter tracts in the human brain with MR diffusion tractography. *NeuroImage*, 54(1): 49–59, 2011b.
- Tomita H, Ohbayashi M, Nakahara K, Hasegawa I, and Miyashita Y. Top–down signal from prefrontal cortex in executive control of memory retrieval. *Nature*, 401(6754): 699–703, 1999.
- Tournier JD, Calamante F, Gadian DG, and Connelly A. Direct estimation of the fiber orientation density function from diffusion-weighted MRI data using spherical deconvolution. *NeuroImage*, 23(3): 1176–1185, 2004.
- Tournier JD, Calamante F, and Connelly A. Robust determination of the fibre orientation distribution in diffusion MRI: Non-negativity constrained super-resolved spherical deconvolution. *NeuroImage*, 35(4): 1459–1472, 2007.
- Tremblay L and Schultz W. Relative reward preference in primate orbitofrontal cortex. *Nature*, 398(6729): 704–708, 1999.
- Trolard JB. Le faisceau longitudinal inférieur du cerveau. *Revue Neurologique*, 14: 440–446, 1906.
- Türe U, Yaşargil MG, Friedman AH, and Al-Mefty O. Fiber dissection technique: Lateral aspect of the brain. *Neurosurgery*, 47(2): 417–426 [discussion 426–417], 2000.
- Ungerleider LG, Gaffan D, and Pelak VS. Projections from inferior temporal cortex to prefrontal cortex via the uncinate fascicle in rhesus monkeys. *Experimental Brain Research*, 76(3): 473–484, 1989.
- Ungerleider LG, Courtney SM, and Haxby JV. A neural system for human visual working memory. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 95(3): 883–890, 1998.
- Urbanski M, Thiebaut De Schotten M, Rodrigo S, Catani M, Oppenheim C, Touzé E, et al. Brain networks of spatial awareness: Evidence from diffusion tensor imaging tractography. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry*, 79(5): 598–601, 2008.
- Urbanski M, Thiebaut De Schotten M, Rodrigo S, Oppenheim C, Touzé E, Méder J-F, et al. DTI-MR tractography of white matter damage in stroke patients with neglect. *Experimental Brain Research*, 208(4): 491–505, 2011.
- Vincent JL, Patel GH, Fox MD, Snyder AZ, Baker JT, Van Essen DC, et al. Intrinsic functional architecture in the anaesthetized monkey brain. *Nature*, 447(7140): 83–86, 2007.
- Vogt BA and Pandya DN. Cingulate cortex of the rhesus monkey: II. Cortical afferents. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 262(2): 271–289, 1987.
- Volle E, Kinkingnehun S, Pochon JB, Mondon K, Thiebaut De Schotten M, Seassau M, et al. The functional architecture of the left posterior and lateral prefrontal cortex in humans. *Cerebral Cortex*, 2008.
- Volle E, Gonen-Yaacovi G, De Lacy Costello A, Gilbert SJ, and Burgess PW. The role of rostral prefrontal cortex in prospective memory: A voxel-based lesion study. *Neuropsychologia*, 49(8): 2185–2198, 2011.
- Von Bonin G and Bailey P. *The Neocortex of Macaca mulatta*. Urbana: The University of Illinois Press, 1947.
- Vorhold V, Giessing C, Wiedemann PM, Schütz H, Gauggel S, and Fink GR. The neural basis of risk ratings: Evidence from a functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) study. *Neuropsychologia*, 45(14): 3242–3250, 2007.
- Wakana S, Jiang H, Nagae-Poetscher LM, Van Zijl PC, and Mori S. Fiber tract-based atlas of human white matter anatomy. *Radiology*, 230(1): 77–87, 2004.
- Wedeer VJ, Wang RP, Schmähmann JD, Benner T, Tseng WY, Dai G, et al. Diffusion spectrum magnetic resonance imaging (DSI) tractography of crossing fibers. *NeuroImage*, 41(4): 1267–1277, 2008.
- Yeterian EH, Pandya DN, Tomaiuolo F, and Petrides M. The cortical connectivity of the prefrontal cortex in the monkey brain. *Cortex*, this issue.
- Zappalà G, Thiebaut De Schotten M, and Eslinger PJ. Traumatic brain injury and the frontal lobes: What can we gain with diffusion tensor imaging? *Cortex*, 2012, issue 2.
- Zilles K, Armstrong E, Schleicher A, and Kretschmann HJ. The human pattern of gyrification in the cerebral cortex. *Anatomy and Embryology*, 179(2): 173–179, 1988.
- Zilles K, Armstrong E, Moser KH, Schleicher A, and Stephan H. Gyrification in the cerebral cortex of primates. *Brain, Behavior and Evolution*, 34(3): 143–150, 1989.