#### **C.RELATIONSHIPS AND TRENDS:**

#### 1.Gender & Survival

- -Women survived far more than men.
- -This reflects the "women and children first" rule.

# 2.Class (Pclass) & Survival

- -1st Class passengers had the highest survival rate.
- -3rd Class passengers had the lowest survival rate.
- -Strong negative correlation between Pclass and survival.

#### 3.Fare & Survival

- -Higher fares → higher survival probability.
- -Suggests that wealthier passengers had better access to lifeboats (linked to class).

## 4.Age & Survival

- -Children (≤10 years) had a higher chance of survival.
- -Older passengers had lower survival rates.

# 5.Family Size (SibSp + Parch) & Survival

- -Small families (1–2 relatives) had better survival.
- -Very large families had low survival chances.
- -Single passengers also had lower survival compared to small families.

#### 6.Embarked Port & Survival

- -Passengers from Cherbourg (C) had a higher survival rate compared to Southampton (S).
- 7. Correlation Trends (from heatmap)
- -Survived positively correlates with Fare, negatively with Pclass.
- -Pclass is strongly tied to Fare (wealthy passengers traveled in 1st class).
- -SibSp and Parch are moderately correlated → families traveling together.

## **OVERALL INSIGHTS**

Survival was **not random**. It depended heavily on **gender**, **class**, **age**, **and wealth**. The strongest trends:

- Females > Males
- 1st Class > 2nd > 3rd
- Children > Adults > Elderly
- Wealthier passengers (high fare) > Poorer passengers (low fare)

#### **OBSERVATION OF EACH VISUAL:**

### 1.Count plot:

#### A.

- The plot shows two bars: 0 = Did Not Survive, 1 = Survived.
- -The "0" bar (non-survivors) is much taller than the "1" bar (survivors).
- -This means the majority of passengers did not survive the Titanic disaster.
- -The dataset survival rate is about 38% survived vs 62% did not survive.
- **B.**First-class passengers had the highest survival rate, second-class had moderate survival, while third-class faced the lowest chances. This shows that socioeconomic status played a major role in survival on the Titanic.

## 2.Histoplot:

- -Most passengers were between 20-40 years old.
- -Fewer children and elderly passengers.
- -Right-skew shows fewer older individuals (>60).

## 3.pairplot

# Age vs Survival

Survivors are slightly younger on average.

Children (<10 years old) show higher survival.

### Fare vs Survival

Survivors often paid higher fares (linked to 1st class).

Many low-fare passengers did not survive.

### Pclass vs Survival

Strong split: 1st class passengers had much higher survival.

3rd class dominated by non-survivors.

#### SibSp / Parch vs Survival

Small families (1–2 relatives) had better survival chances.

Very large families had lower survival.

# 4.Heatmap

Fare has a **positive correlation** with survival ( $\sim$ 0.26)  $\rightarrow$  higher fares = better chance.

Pclass has a **negative correlation** ( $\sim$  -0.34)  $\rightarrow$  higher class number (3rd) = lower survival.

Age correlation with survival is weak (~ -0.08).

SibSp and Parch show weak correlations, but families of size 1–2 had better chances than singles or very large families.

## 5. Histoplot:

Most fares are low (<100), but there are outliers with very high fares.

# 6.box plot:

1st class fares are much higher on average compared to 2nd and 3rd.

# 7.scatter plot:

Survivors tend to cluster at **younger ages and higher fares**.

## 8.stripplot:

The stripplot shows that **females across most ages had higher survival**, while **many males**, **especially adults**, **did not survive**. This clearly reflects the "**women and children first**" evacuation pattern on the Titanic.

#### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS:

- In total, a greater number of passengers perished than survived.
- > The fact that females lived longer than males indicates that gender had a significant impact on survival.
- Passengers in first class had the highest survival rate, while those in third class had the lowest.
- Higher fares were linked to better survival chances.
- > Younger people and children, particularly girls, fared better in terms of survivability.
- > Cherbourg (C) passengers fared better than Southampton (S) and Queenstown (Q) passengers.
- A heatmap and pairplot demonstrated that, although age alone had less of an impact, survival had a positive correlation with fare and class.