In the same way, any existing column can be modified:

```
In[21]: df.eval('D = (A - B) / C', inplace=True)
       df.head()
Out[21]:
       0 0.375506 0.406939 0.069938 -0.449425
        1 0.069087 0.235615 0.154374 -1.078728
        2 0.677945 0.433839 0.652324 0.374209
        3 0.264038 0.808055 0.347197 -1.566886
        4 0.589161 0.252418 0.557789 0.603708
```

Local variables in DataFrame.eval()

The DataFrame.eval() method supports an additional syntax that lets it work with local Python variables. Consider the following:

```
In[22]: column mean = df.mean(1)
       result1 = df['A'] + column_mean
       result2 = df.eval('A + @column_mean')
       np.allclose(result1, result2)
Out[22]: True
```

The @ character here marks a variable name rather than a column name, and lets you efficiently evaluate expressions involving the two "namespaces": the namespace of columns, and the namespace of Python objects. Notice that this @ character is only supported by the DataFrame.eval() method, not by the pandas.eval() function, because the pandas.eval() function only has access to the one (Python) namespace.

DataFrame.query() Method

The DataFrame has another method based on evaluated strings, called the query() method. Consider the following:

```
In[23]: result1 = df[(df.A < 0.5) & (df.B < 0.5)]
        result2 = pd.eval('df[(df.A < 0.5) & (df.B < 0.5)]')
        np.allclose(result1, result2)
Out[23]: True
```

As with the example used in our discussion of DataFrame.eval(), this is an expression involving columns of the DataFrame. It cannot be expressed using the Data Frame.eval() syntax, however! Instead, for this type of filtering operation, you can use the query() method:

```
In[24]: result2 = df.query('A < 0.5 and B < 0.5')
        np.allclose(result1, result2)
Out[24]: True
```

In addition to being a more efficient computation, compared to the masking expression this is much easier to read and understand. Note that the query() method also accepts the @ flag to mark local variables:

```
In[25]: Cmean = df['C'].mean()
    result1 = df[(df.A < Cmean) & (df.B < Cmean)]
    result2 = df.query('A < @Cmean and B < @Cmean')
    np.allclose(result1, result2)</pre>
Out[25]: True
```

Performance: When to Use These Functions

When considering whether to use these functions, there are two considerations: *computation time* and *memory use*. Memory use is the most predictable aspect. As already mentioned, every compound expression involving NumPy arrays or Pandas Data Frames will result in implicit creation of temporary arrays: For example, this:

```
In[26]: x = df[(df.A < 0.5) & (df.B < 0.5)]
is roughly equivalent to this:

In[27]: tmp1 = df.A < 0.5
    tmp2 = df.B < 0.5
    tmp3 = tmp1 & tmp2
    x = df[tmp3]</pre>
```

If the size of the temporary DataFrames is significant compared to your available system memory (typically several gigabytes), then it's a good idea to use an eval() or query() expression. You can check the approximate size of your array in bytes using this:

```
In[28]: df.values.nbytes
Out[28]: 32000
```

On the performance side, eval() can be faster even when you are not maxing out your system memory. The issue is how your temporary DataFrames compare to the size of the L1 or L2 CPU cache on your system (typically a few megabytes in 2016); if they are much bigger, then eval() can avoid some potentially slow movement of values between the different memory caches. In practice, I find that the difference in computation time between the traditional methods and the eval/query method is usually not significant—if anything, the traditional method is faster for smaller arrays! The benefit of eval/query is mainly in the saved memory, and the sometimes cleaner syntax they offer.

We've covered most of the details of eval() and query() here; for more information on these, you can refer to the Pandas documentation. In particular, different parsers and engines can be specified for running these queries; for details on this, see the discussion within the "Enhancing Performance" section.