

	C = 0.001	C = 0.01	... C = 10
gamma=0.001	SVC(C=0.001, gamma=0.001)	SVC(C=0.01, gamma=0.001)	... SVC(C=10, gamma=0.001)
gamma=0.01	SVC(C=0.001, gamma=0.01)	SVC(C=0.01, gamma=0.01)	... SVC(C=10, gamma=0.01)
...
gamma=100	SVC(C=0.001, gamma=100)	SVC(C=0.01, gamma=100)	... SVC(C=10, gamma=100)

Simple Grid Search

We can implement a simple grid search just as for loops over the two parameters, training and evaluating a classifier for each combination:

In[18]:

```
# naive grid search implementation
from sklearn.svm import SVC
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(
    iris.data, iris.target, random_state=0)
print("Size of training set: {} size of test set: {}".format(
    X_train.shape[0], X_test.shape[0]))

best_score = 0

for gamma in [0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, 100]:
    for C in [0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, 100]:
        # for each combination of parameters, train an SVC
        svm = SVC(gamma=gamma, C=C)
        svm.fit(X_train, y_train)
        # evaluate the SVC on the test set
        score = svm.score(X_test, y_test)
        # if we got a better score, store the score and parameters
        if score > best_score:
            best_score = score
            best_parameters = {'C': C, 'gamma': gamma}

print("Best score: {:.2f}".format(best_score))
print("Best parameters: {}".format(best_parameters))
```

Out[18]:

```
Size of training set: 112 size of test set: 38
Best score: 0.97
Best parameters: {'C': 100, 'gamma': 0.001}
```

The Danger of Overfitting the Parameters and the Validation Set

Given this result, we might be tempted to report that we found a model that performs with 97% accuracy on our dataset. However, this claim could be overly optimistic (or just wrong), for the following reason: we tried many different parameters and